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## Text S1: Correlation between torsion angles in the PNA strands.

The analysis of PNA torsion angles performed with Curves + showed a high degree of deviation and flexibility, and particularly pronounced in the case of $\alpha$ and $\varepsilon$ torsion angles. Indeed, in all MD simulations, two main average values were found, for $\alpha\left(-100^{\circ}\right.$ and $\left.100^{\circ}\right)$ and $\varepsilon\left(-20^{\circ}\right.$ and $\left.180^{\circ}\right)$ (Figure S2). The analysis of distribution of torsion angles revealed that in our simulation $80 \%$ of values ranged around $-100^{\circ}$, in both 1 /RNA and $2 /$ RNA heteroduplexes, while $\varepsilon$ assumed preferentially values around $180^{\circ}$ (Figure S1). To check whether the discrete values assumed by these torsion angles were correlated, the Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated on the torsion angle $\varepsilon$ of the residue $i$ and the torsion $\alpha_{(i+1)}$ of the subsequent base, sampled on 0.1 ns interval. Indeed, it has been previously reported that these angles were correlated in PNA containing duplexes [S-1].

The results, reported in Table S5, showed that for both 1 /RNA and 2 /RNA heteroduplexes the Pearson correlation coefficients between $\varepsilon_{i}$ and $\alpha_{(i+1)}$ are all below 0.3 , suggesting the lack of consistent correlation.

To further explore structural features of PNA/RNA heteroduplexes, was also analysed the correlation between the pseudo torsion $v_{i}$ and the torsion angle $\alpha$ of the subsequent base. The torsion angle $v$, defined as the angle between $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{N} 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{O} 1^{\prime}$ (Main text, Figure 8 , red circles), has been proposed as a pointer of the orientation of the backbone carbonyl with respect to the strand terminus [ S 2]. The high Pearson correlation coefficients calculated revealed that there is a strong anti-correlation between the pseudo torsion angle $v_{i}$ and $\alpha_{(i+1)}$ of the subsequent base (Main text, Table 3).

The correlation found between negative values of $\alpha_{(i+1)}$ and positive values of $v_{i}$ in both $1 /$ RNA and $\mathbf{2} /$ RNA duplexes determines the orientation of the backbone carbonyl towards the N -terminus. Accordingly, the measure of the distance between the two carbonyl oxygens in each PNA base resulted in the range $3.4 \AA-4.1 \AA$, in good agreement with previously reported data [S-2].
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Table S1. Heteroduplexes systems modelled and analysed by MD simulations. The tetrapeptide tail at PNA C-end is reported in italics characters.

| PNA $1 / \mathrm{RNA}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{1}-\mathrm{A}_{2}-\mathrm{A}_{3}-\mathrm{G}_{4}-\mathrm{A}_{5}-\mathrm{A}_{6}-\mathrm{G}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{A}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{C}_{11}-\mathrm{A}_{12}-\mathrm{A}_{13}-\mathrm{U}_{14}-\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{A}_{16}-\mathrm{U}_{17}-\mathrm{G}_{18}-\mathrm{A}_{19}$ <br> $G_{36}-S(P)_{35}-S(P)_{34}-G_{33}-\mathbf{C}_{32}-\mathbf{t}_{31}-\mathbf{t}_{30}-\mathbf{C}_{29}-\mathbf{g}_{28}-\mathbf{t}_{27}-\mathbf{g}_{26}-\mathbf{g}_{25}-\mathbf{t}_{24}-\mathbf{t}_{23}-\mathbf{a}_{22}-\mathbf{g}_{21}-\mathbf{t}_{20}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| PNA $2 / R N A$ |  |

Table S2. Output of the hierarchical clusterization performed with Ambertools 15 using a RMS metric comparing the heavy atoms in the central duplex base-pairs. Only clusters with population higher than $0.1 \%$ were reported.

| \#Run1 PNA 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#Cluster | Frames | Frac | AvgDist | Stdev | Centroid | AvgCDist |
| 0 | 2315 | 0.772 | 1.941 | 0.518 | 1285 | 3.029 |
| 1 | 481 | 0.160 | 1.947 | 0.551 | 2693 | 3.085 |
| 2 | 127 | 0.042 | 1.862 | 0.485 | 1748 | 2.916 |
| 3 | 74 | 0.025 | 1.788 | 0.388 | 1473 | 3.783 |
| 4 | 2 | 0.001 | 2.385 | 0.000 | 2355 | 3.798 |
| \#Run2 PNA 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#Cluster | Frames | Frac | AvgDist | Stdev | Centroid | AvgCDist |
| 0 | 6263 | 0.973 | 1.881 | 0.557 | 3612 | 2.836 |
| 1 | 171 | 0.027 | 1.871 | 0.557 | 5365 | 3.676 |
| \#Run3 PNA 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#Cluster | Frames | Frac | AvgDist | Stdev | Centroid | AvgCDist |
| 0 | 408 | 0.816 | 3.498 | 0.828 | 207 | 5.008 |
| 1 | 49 | 0.098 | 3.407 | 0.740 | 161 | 4.975 |
| 2 | 37 | 0.074 | 3.555 | 0.773 | 143 | 5.312 |
| 3 | 5 | 0.010 | 3.327 | 0.808 | 167 | 5.616 |
| 4 | 1 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 444 | 6.192 |
| \#Run1 PNA 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#Cluster | Frames | Frac | AvgDist | Stdev | Centroid | AvgCDist |
| 0 | 3063 | 0.974 | 1.099 | 0.237 | 96 | 1.538 |
| 1 | 31 | 0.010 | 1.062 | 0.207 | 1431 | 1.796 |
| 2 | 29 | 0.009 | 1.246 | 0.226 | 2317 | 1.937 |
| 3 | 20 | 0.006 | 1.211 | 0.251 | 246 | 1.676 |
| \#Run2 PNA 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#Cluster | Frames | Frac | AvgDist | Stdev | Centroid | AvgCDist |
| 0 | 2937 | 0.987 | 1.144 | 0.243 | 1030 | 1.739 |
| 1 | 29 | 0.010 | 1.221 | 0.256 | 884 | 1.889 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.002 | 1.205 | 0.157 | 1409 | 1.906 |
| 3 | 3 | 0.001 | 1.385 | 0.284 | 1044 | 1.996 |
| 4 | 3 | 0.001 | 1.283 | 0.328 | 1248 | 1.898 |
| \#Run3 PNA 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#Cluster | Frames | Frac | AvgDist | Stdev | Centroid | AvgCDist |
| 0 | 2991 | 0.997 | 1.198 | 0.263 | 1163 | 1.802 |
| 1 | 5 | 0.002 | 1.543 | 0.271 | 2172 | 1.967 |
| 2 | 2 | 0.001 | 0.933 | 0.000 | 2143 | 1.996 |

Table S3. RMSD in $\AA$ i between the average structures of clusters with population higher than $5 \%$ obtained from the MD simulation of $\mathbf{1}$ /RNA heteroduplex.

| \#Cluster | run2_0 | run3_0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| run1_0 | 0.118 | 0.234 |
| run2_0 | - | 0.242 |

Table S4: RMSD in $\AA$ between the average structures of clusters with population higher than $5 \%$ obtained from the MD simulation of $\mathbf{2}$ /RNA heteroduplex.

| \#Cluster | run1_1 | run2_0 | run3_0 | run3_1 | run3_2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| run1_0 | 2.207 | 0.305 | 0.216 | 1.902 | 1.793 |
| run1_1 | - | 2.268 | 2.240 | 1.504 | 2.880 |
| run2_0 | - | - | 0.196 | 1.806 | 1.703 |
| run3_0 | - | - | - | 1.893 | 1.797 |
| run3_1 | - | - | - | - | 2.013 |

Table S5: Pearson correlation coefficients between torsion angles $\varepsilon_{i}$ and $\alpha_{(i+1)}$ and between the pseudo torsion angle $v_{i}$ and the torsion angle $\alpha_{(i+1)}$. Indexes were calculated on the central bases of $1 /$ RNA or $2 /$ RNA heteroduplexes, on the basis of 0.1 ns sampling of torsion angles. Definition of torsion angles is given in Main text, Figure 8.

|  |  | Pearson |  | $\varepsilon_{i}: \alpha_{(i+1)}$ | Pearson |  | $v_{i:} \alpha_{(i+1)}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | Run1 | Run2 | Run3 | Run1 | Run2 | Run3 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{g}_{21}$ | -0.01 | -0.17 | -0.24 | -0.48 | -0.68 | -0.76 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{a}_{22}$ | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.04 | -0.42 | -0.45 | -0.43 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{t}_{23}$ | -0.30 | -0.31 | -0.28 | -0.79 | -0.80 | -0.79 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{t}_{24}$ | -0.27 | -0.20 | -0.30 | -0.78 | -0.79 | -0.82 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{~g}_{25}$ | -0.26 | -0.18 | -0.18 | -0.81 | -0.76 | -0.75 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{~g}_{26}$ | -0.24 | -0.17 | -0.15 | -0.74 | -0.68 | -0.69 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{t}_{27}$ | -0.27 | -0.28 | -0.30 | -0.81 | -0.79 | -0.83 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{~g}_{28}$ | -0.29 | -0.22 | -0.23 | -0.79 | -0.79 | -0.78 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{c}_{29}$ | -0.16 | -0.10 | -0.10 | -0.71 | -0.68 | -0.61 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{t}_{30}$ | -0.24 | -0.26 | -0.19 | -0.81 | -0.81 | -0.78 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{~g}_{15}$ | -0.21 | -0.32 | -0.16 | -0.69 | -0.85 | -0.78 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{a}_{16}$ | 0.03 | 0.01 | -0.02 | -0.56 | -0.49 | -0.52 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{t}_{17}$ | -0.37 | -0.35 | -0.37 | -0.84 | -0.82 | -0.85 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{t}_{18}$ | -0.17 | -0.23 | -0.20 | -0.68 | -0.76 | -0.69 |  |



Figure S1. Up: Root-mean-square deviation versus time in the three MD runs on 2/RNA (A) and 1/RNA (B). Superpositions were made on the central base-pairs using thermalized structures as reference. Down: Root-meansquare fluctuations in the three MD runs on $2 / \mathrm{RNA}(\mathrm{C})$ and $1 / \mathrm{RNA}(\mathrm{D})$ where the X -axis represents the residue numbering in the sequence (see Table S 1 for residue numbering). Colour codes: PNA strand run 1: yellow; RNA strand run 1: red; PNA strand run 2: green; RNA strand run 2: blue; PNA strand run 3: black; RNA strand run 3: orange.


Figure S2. Distribution of the torsion angles $\alpha$ (blue) and $\varepsilon$ (red) and the pseudo torsion angle $v$ (green) of PNA strand during the MD run of $\mathbf{2}(\mathrm{A}$ and C ) and $\mathbf{1}(\mathrm{B}$ and D$)$ heteroduplexes. Angles were sampled every 0.01 ns .

