# Synthesis of a 1,2-Dithienylethene-Containing Donor-Acceptor Polymer via Palladium-Catalyzed Direct Arylation Polymerization (DArP)

Masayuki Wakioka <sup>1,\*</sup>, Natsumi Yamashita <sup>1</sup>, Hiroki Mori <sup>2</sup>, Yasushi Nishihara <sup>2</sup> and Fumiyuki Ozawa <sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> International Research Center for Elements Science (IRCELS), Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto 611-0011, Japan; yamashita.natsumi.22v@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp
- <sup>2</sup> Research Institute for Interdisciplinary Science, Okayama University, 3-1-1 Tsushimanaka, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8530, Japan; h-mor@okayama-u.ac.jp (H.M.); ynishiha@okayama-u.ac.jp (Y.N.)
- \* Correspondence: wakioka@scl.kyoto-u.ac.jp (M.W.); ozawa@scl.kyoto-u.ac.jp (F.O.)

Contents	page			
General Considerations	S2			
Migita–Kosugi–Stille Cross-coupling Polymerization of 1-Br and 2-SnMe <sub>3</sub>				
Fabrication of OFET Devices	S3			
Effects of the Solvent for Spin-coating on Transistor Properties (Table S1)	S3			
<sup>1</sup> H NMR Assignments of Polymers	S4			
Synthesis of Reference Compounds for <sup>1</sup> H NMR Assignments	S5			
1. Synthesis of <b>Br-1-2-1-Br</b>	S5			
2. Synthesis of H-1-2-1-H	S5			
3. Synthesis of <b>H-2-1-2-H</b>	S6			
4. Synthesis of 13-H	S6			
5. Synthesis of <b>2</b> <sub>2</sub> - <b>H</b> and Poly( <b>1</b> - <i>alt</i> - <b>2</b> <sub>2</sub> )	S7			
References	S9			
<b>Figure S1.</b> Cyclic voltammograms of poly(1- <i>alt</i> -2) in thin films.	S9			
Figure S2. Transfer characteristics of the OFETs based on poly(1-alt-2).				
Figure S3. Output characteristics of the OFETs based on poly(1-alt-2).				
Figure S4. GIWAXS images of the thin films of poly(1-alt-2).				
Figure S5. In-plane and out-of-plane line-cuts of GIWAXS measurement				
for the thin films of poly( <b>1</b> - <i>alt</i> - <b>2</b> ).	S11			
<b>Figure S6.</b> AFM images of the thin films of poly(1- <i>alt</i> -2).	S12			
<b>Figure S7.</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of poly(1- <i>alt</i> -2) prepared via DArP ( $M_n$ = 15,700).	S12			
<b>Figure S8.</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of poly(1- <i>alt</i> -2) prepared via DArP ( $M_n = 17,000$ ).	S13			
<b>Figure S9.</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of poly(1- <i>alt</i> -2) prepared via DArP ( $M_n$ = 22,700).	S13			
Figure S10. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of poly(1-alt-2) prepared via Migita–Kosugi–Stille				
cross-coupling polymerization ( $M_n = 17,700$ ).	S14			
Figure S11. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of poly(1-alt-2) prepared via Migita–Kosugi–Stille				
cross-coupling polymerization ( $M_n = 24,400$ ).	S14			
Figure S12. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of poly(1-alt-2 <sub>2</sub> ).	S15			

## **General Considerations**

NMR spectra were recorded on 400 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H NMR 400.13 MHz and <sup>13</sup>C NMR 150.62 MHz) and 600 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H NMR 600.13 MHz and <sup>13</sup>C NMR 150.92 MHz) (Bruker). Chemical shifts are reported in  $\delta$  (ppm), referenced to <sup>1</sup>H (residual) and <sup>13</sup>C signals of deuterated solvents as internal standards. Analytical GPC was performed on a HLC 8120 GPC system with a TSKgel GMHHR-H(S)HT column (mobile phase: o-Cl<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, flow rate: 1.0 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, temperature: 140 °C), a JASCO GPC assembly consisting of a model PU-980 precision pump, a model RI-1530 refractive index detector, and three polystyrene gel columns (Shodex KF-801, KF-803L, KF-805L, mobile phase: CHCl<sub>3</sub>, flow rate: 1.0 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, temperature: 40 °C), or PL-220 GPC system with HT-806M column (mobile phase: 1,2,4-Cl<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>, flow rate: 1.0 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, temperature: 150 °C). The columns were calibrated against 10 ( $M_n$  = 1,200–2,610,000 for TSKgel GMHHR-H(S)HT column), 9 ( $M_n$  = 1,220–2,700,000) for Shodex KF-801, KF-803L, KF-805L), or 14 standard polystyrene samples ( $M_{\rm T}$  = 182-8,440,000 for HT-806M column). Recycling preparative GPC was performed on a JAI LC918U instrument equipped with JAIGEL-1H-40 and -2H-40 columns. Chloroform was used as the mobile phase with a flow rate 15 mL min-1. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on a Bruker micrOTOF I spectrometer in the atmospheric-pressure chemical ionization (APCI) mode. UV-vis absorption was recorded on a JASCO V-560 spectrometer. Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) were recorded on electrochemical analyzer ALS600E in CH<sub>3</sub>CN containing Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> (0.1 M) as supporting electrolyte at a scan rate of 100 mV/s. A glassy carbon electrode ( $\phi$  = 3 mm), an Ag/Ag<sup>+</sup> (Ag wire in 0.01 M AgNO<sub>3</sub>/0.1 M Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>CN), and a Pt wire electrode were used as working, reference, and counter electrodes, respectively. Samples of the polymer films were prepared by dropcasting on a working electrode from their o-Cl2C6H4 solutions. All the potentials were calibrated with the half-wave potential of the ferrocene/ferrocenium redox couple measured under identical condition (Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup>: E<sub>1/2</sub> = +0.08 V measured under identical conditions). The AFM images of the SAMs were measured on a NanoNavi IIs Probe Station equipped with a probing microscope unit, Nanocute (Seiko Instruments), and the probe unit was put on an antivibration stage. The dynamic (tapping) mode was used for the AFM scanning. The cantilever was made of crystalline silicon tip, and its force constant was 40 N m<sup>-1</sup>. GIWAXS experiments were conducted at the SPring-8 on beamline BL46XU. The sample was irradiated at a fixed incident angle on the order of 0.12° through a Huber diffractometer with an X-ray energy of 12.39 keV ( $\lambda = 1$  Å), and the GIWAXS patterns were recorded with a 2-D image detector (Pilatus 300K). Samples for the X-ray measurements were prepared by spin-casting the polymer on the OTS-modified Si/SiO<sub>2</sub>. Elemental analysis was performed by ICR Analytical Laboratory, Kyoto University. 1-Bromo-2-decyltetradecane [1], 3 [2], 5 [3], [Ir(OMe)(cod)]<sup>2</sup> [4], and Pd(dba)<sup>2</sup> [5] were prepared according to the literature.

# Migita-Kosugi-Stille Cross-coupling Polymerization of 1-Br and 2-SnMe3

A mixture of **1-Br** (219 mg, 0.20 mmol), **2-SnMe**<sub>3</sub> (104 mg, 0.20 mmol), Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>·CHCl<sub>3</sub> (4.2 mg, 4.0 µmol) and P(2-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (9.7 mg, 32 µmol) in toluene (20 mL) was heated at 115 °C for 48 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, and poured into vigorously stirred MeOH (400 mL). A dark red precipitate formed was collected by filtration, washed with MeOH, and dried under vacuum overnight. The product was subjected to Soxhlet extraction with acetone and hexane, and then with *o*-Cl<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (100 mL) containing diethylammonium diethyldithiocarbamate (10 mg). No colored material remained in the extraction thimble. The *o*-Cl<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> extract was poured into vigorously stirred MeOH (400 mL), to give a dark red solid of poly(1-*alt*-2) in 95% yield (214 mg). Because a part of the polymer was insoluble at 140 °C in *o*-Cl<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, the analysis by high-temperature GPC using *o*-Cl<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> at 140 °C was infeasible. Instead, the *M*<sub>n</sub> could be estimated by GPC using 1,2,4-Cl<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub> at 145 °C (*M*<sub>n</sub> = 53,800, PDI = 2.8). A part of the product (50.0 mg) was subjected to Soxhlet extraction with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The extract was concentrated (ca. 30 mL), and poured into vigorously stirred MeOH (200 mL) to give poly(1-*alt*-2) (9.0 mg, 18% recovery, *M*<sub>n</sub> = 24,400, *M*<sub>w</sub>/*M*<sub>n</sub> = 2.5).

The reaction of **1-Br** and **2-SnMe**<sup>3</sup> (each 0.20 mmol) was similarly conducted using Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>·CHCl<sub>3</sub> (2.1 mg, 2.0 µmol), P(2-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (4.9 mg, 16 µmol), and toluene (25 mL) at 90 °C for 24 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, and poured into vigorously stirred MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (180/120 mL). A dark red precipitate formed was collected by filtration, washed with MeOH, and dried under vacuum overnight. The product was subjected to Soxhlet extraction with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (120 mL) containing diethylammonium diethyldithiocarbamate (22 mg). The extract was concentrated (ca. 20 mL), and poured into vigorously stirred MeOH (200 mL) to give poly(1-*alt*-2) in >99% yield (234 mg,  $M_n$  = 17,700, PDI = 1.7).

# **Fabrication of OFET Devices**

Typical bottom-gate top-contact OFET devices were fabricated as follows. All processes, except for substrate cleaning, were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere. A heavily doped *n*-Si wafer with 200 nm thick thermally grown SiO<sub>2</sub> ( $C_i = 17.3 \text{ nF cm}^{-2}$ ) as the dielectric layer was used as the substrate. The n+-Si/SiO2 substrates were carefully cleaned by ultrasonication with acetone and isopropanol for 10 min, respectively. After drying, the substrates were irradiated with UV-O3 for 20 min and then treated with a vapor of *n*-octyltriethoxysilane (OTS) to form an SAM. Polymer layers were then spin-coated from solution in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (4 g/L for CHCl<sub>3</sub> soluble polymers: entries 1 and 5 in Table 2) at 3000 rpm for 30 s or solution in o-Cl<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (4 g/L for CHCl<sub>3</sub> insoluble polymers: entries 3– 4, 6 in Table 2) at 1000 rpm for 10 s and then 2500 rpm for 35 s, and subsequently annealed at 240 °C for 30 min on the hotplate in the glovebox. On top of the polymer thin films, Au drain and source electrodes (thickness 80 nm) were deposited under reduced pressure ( $5 \times 10^{-5}$  Pa) through a shadow mask, where drain-source channel length (L) and width (W) are 100  $\mu$ m and 2000  $\mu$ m, respectively. The current-voltage characteristics of the OFET devices were measured at room temperature in air on a Keithley 6430 sub-femto ampere remote sourcemeter combined with a Keithley 2400 measure-source unit. Field-effect mobilities were calculated in the saturation regime of ID using the following equation:  $I_D = (WC_i/2L)\mu(V_G - V_{th})^2$ , where  $C_i$  is the capacitance of the SiO<sub>2</sub> insulator;  $I_D$  is the source–drain current; and  $V_D$ ,  $V_G$ , and  $V_{th}$  are the source–drain, gate, and threshold voltages, respectively. The current on/off ratio ( $I_{or}/I_{off}$ ) was determined from a minimum  $I_D$  at around  $V_G = -60$ V.

#### Effects of the Solvent for Spin-coating on Transistor Properties

In a preliminary experiments, we checked the effects of the solvent for spin-coating on transistor properties using poly(**1**-*alt*-**2**) prepared via DArP ( $M_n$  = 15,700; run 5 in Table 1, entry 1 in Table 2). The OFET devices were fabricated as shown above except for annealing conditions (at 230 °C for 10 min). Table S1 summarizes the OFET characteristics. The results showed that the choice of the solvent (CHCl<sub>3</sub> or *o*-Cl<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) for the spin-coating of poly(**1**-*alt*-**2**) little affected on the carrier mobility.

entry	solvent	μ <sub>h</sub> , max	μ <b>h</b> , average	$V_{ m th}{}^2$	Ion/Ioff <sup>3</sup>
		(cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	(cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	(V)	
1	CHCl <sub>3</sub>	0.27	0.19	-19	105-107
2	$0-Cl_2C_6H_4$	0.20	0.12	-14	$10^{3}-10^{5}$

Table S1. OF	ET charac	teristics of	poly(1-alt-2)
--------------	-----------	--------------	---------------

<sup>1</sup> Calculated in the saturation regimes. <sup>2</sup> Threshold voltage. <sup>3</sup> On-off current ratio.

# <sup>1</sup>H NMR Assignments of Polymers

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals of poly(1-*alt*-2) were assigned referring to the following compounds. The chemical shifts were observed in C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub> at 130 °C.



The chemical shifts of poly(1-alt-2) are as follows:



- Main chain:
   δ 9.26 (A<sup>1</sup>), 7.35 (A<sup>2</sup>), 7.32 (A<sup>3</sup>), 7.12 (A<sup>4</sup>), 7.11 (A<sup>5</sup>), 7.04 (A<sup>6</sup>), 3.77 (A<sup>7</sup>).

   δ 9.39 (B<sup>1</sup>) (B<sup>2</sup>-B<sup>4</sup> and C<sup>1</sup>-C<sup>2</sup> were not observed.)
- Terminal: $\delta$  9.14 (**a**<sup>1</sup>), 7.19 (**a**<sup>2</sup>), 6.96 (**a**<sup>3</sup>), 3.69 (**a**<sup>4</sup>). $\delta$  9.22 (**b**<sup>1</sup>), 6.80 (**b**<sup>4</sup>), 3.71 (**b**<sup>5</sup>) (**b**<sup>2</sup> and **b**<sup>3</sup> were overlapped with other signals). $\delta$  7.23 (**c**<sup>2</sup>), 7.12 (**c**<sup>3</sup>), 7.08 (**c**<sup>5</sup>), 7.04 (**c**<sup>6</sup>) (**c**<sup>1</sup>, **c**<sup>4</sup>, and **c**<sup>7</sup> were overlapped with other signals).

## Synthesis of Reference Compounds for <sup>1</sup>H NMR Assignments

The synthetic procedures for the other compounds are as follows.

1. Synthesis of Br-1-2-1-Br



The compounds Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (48.9 mg, 0.15 mmol) and pivalic acid (5.1 mg, 0.050 mmol) were placed in a 10 mL Schlenk tube in a glovebox, and **1-Br** (219 mg, 0.20 mmol), **2-H** (9.6 mg, 0.050 mmol), Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>·CHCl<sub>3</sub> (1.0 mg, 1.0 µmol), **L1** (1.4 mg, 4.0 µmol), and toluene (0.4 mL) were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 h, and then at 100 °C for 115 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (5 mL), and washed with water (3 × 2 mL). The organic phase was separated and concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, and then CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/AcOEt = 1/1). The crude product was purified by recycle GPC to afford the title compound as a black solid (85.5 mg, 77% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>, 130 °C, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  9.24 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 9.12 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 1.7 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 2H), 7.03 (s, 2H), 6.96 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 2H), 3.75 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 4H), 3.69 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 4H) 2.10–1.93 (br, 4H), 1.54–1.18 (m, 160H), 0.97–0.83 (m, 24H). HRMS: *m/z* calcd for C<sub>138</sub>H<sub>214</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub> 2214.4546 ([M + 1]<sup>+</sup>), found 2214.4733.

2. Synthesis of H-1-2-1-H



(i) A mixture of 1-bromo-2-decyltetradecane (3.76 g, 9.0 mmol) and **3** (1.02 g, 3.0 mmol) in DMF (20 mL) was stirred at 100 °C overnight. After cooling to room temperature, water (100 mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 100 mL). The combined extracts were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was passed through a short column (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> = 1/1). The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>) using MeOH as an eluent to remove a yellow oily material. Then, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was used as an eluent, affording the product contaminated with SiO<sub>2</sub>. Further purification by flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> = 5/1) gave **4** as a dark red solid

(719 mg, 24% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  9.16 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 9.09 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (td, *J* = 7.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (td, *J* = 7.8, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.67–3.62 (m, 4H), 1.94–1.86 (m, 2H), 1.43–1.20 (m, 80H), 0.91–0.84 (m, 12H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  168.3, 168.1, 146.2, 145.4, 134.0, 132.6, 132.1, 131.1, 130.1, 126.3, 126.3, 125.0, 122.3, 121.7, 120.6, 111.4, 108.2, 44.7, 44.7, 36.3, 36.2, 32.1, 32.1, 31.8, 31.6, 30.1, 29.8, 29.8, 29.8, 29.5, 29.5, 26.6, 26.5, 22.8, 14.2 (each s). HRMS: *m/z* calcd for C<sub>64</sub>H<sub>105</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 1013.7432 ([M + 1]<sup>+</sup>), found 1013.7465.

(ii) The compounds Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (196 mg, 0.60 mmol) and pivalic acid (20.4 mg, 0.20 mmol) were placed in a 10 mL Schlenk tube in a glovebox, and **4** (203 mg, 0.20 mmol), **2-H** (19.2 mg, 0.10 mmol), Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>·CHCl<sub>3</sub> (1.0 mg, 1.0 µmol), **L1** (1.4 mg, 4.0 µmol), and toluene (0.4 mL) were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 h, and then at 100 °C for 48 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (5 mL), and washed with water (3 × 2 mL). The organic phase was separated and concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, and then CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/AcOEt = 1/1). The crude product was purified by recycle GPC to afford the title compound as a black solid (100 mg, 49% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>, 130 °C, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  9.27 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 9.22 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 2H), 7.33–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.12–7.09 (m, 2H), 7.10 (s, 2H), 7.04 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.53–1.21 (m, 160H), 0.96–0.84 (m, 24H). HRMS: *m*/*z* calcd for C<sub>138</sub>H<sub>216</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O4S<sub>2</sub> 2058.6336 ([M + 1]<sup>+</sup>), found 2058.6374.

3. Synthesis of H-2-1-2-H



The compounds Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (48.9 mg, 0.15 mmol) and pivalic acid (5.1 mg, 0.050 mmol) were placed in a 10 mL Schlenk tube in a glovebox, and **1-Br** (54.7 mg, 0.050 mmol), **2-H** (38.5 mg, 0.20 mmol), Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>·CHCl<sub>3</sub> (1.0 mg, 1.0 µmol), **L1** (1.4 mg, 4.0 µmol), and toluene (0.4 mL) were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 h, and then at 100 °C for 115 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (5 mL), and washed with water (3 × 2 mL). The organic phase was separated and concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, and then CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/AcOEt = 1/1). The crude product was purified by recycle GPC to afford the title compound as a black solid (29.8 mg, 45% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>, 130 °C, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  9.25 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 2H), 7.03 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 2H), 7.03 (d, *J* = 5.1, 3.7 Hz, 2H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (d, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 2H), 7.03 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.03 (d, *J* = 5.1, 3.7 Hz, 2H), 3.76 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 2.10–2.00 (br, 2H), 1.55–1.22 (m, 80H), 0.96–0.84 (m, 12H). HRMS: *m/z* calcd for C<sub>84</sub>H<sub>118</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub> 1315.8149 ([M + 1]<sup>+</sup>), found 1315.8201.

## 4. Synthesis of 13-H

This compound was prepared by a two-step procedure as outlined below.



(i) A mixture of **1-Br** (3.28 g, 3.0 mmol), bis(pinacolato)diboron (1.83 g, 7.2 mmol), [PdCl<sub>2</sub>(dppf)] (132 mg, 0.18 mmol), potassium acetate (1.77 g, 18 mmol), and 1,4-dioxane (10 mL) was stirred at 80 °C overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was subjected to a short column (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt = 50/1, and then 20/1), and subsequently recrystallized from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH at -20 °C to afford **1-Bpin** as a dark red solid (1.04 g, 29% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  9.13 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.15 (s, 2H), 3.68 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 4H), 2.00–1.90 (br, 2H), 1.64–1.16 (m, 80H), 1.36 (s, 24H), 0.90–0.83 (m, 12H).

(ii) To a solution of **4** (101 mg, 0.10 mmol), **1-Bpin** (59.0 mg, 0.050 mmol), Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>·CHCl<sub>3</sub> (0.50 mg, 0.50 µmol), PtBu<sub>3</sub>·HBF<sub>4</sub> (0.60 mg, 2.0 µmol) in THF (0.5 mL) were added 3.0 M aqueous NaOH (50 µL, 0.15 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 1.5 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL), and washed with water (2 × 5 mL). The organic phase was passed through a short column (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), and concentrated to dryness. The residue was purified by recycle GPC, and the crude product was dissolved in a minimum amount of CHCl<sub>3</sub> (ca. 1 mL). The solution poured into vigorously stirred acetone (75 mL), and a black solid thus precipitated was collected by filtration, washed with MeOH, and dried under vacuum overnight (52.0 mg, 37% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  9.29 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 9.27 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H) 9.20 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 4H), 7.05 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.03–6.99 (br, 4H), 6.77 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 3.83–3.72 (br, 8H), 3.72–3.64 (br, 4H), 2.06–1.86 (br, 6H), 1.52–1.14 (m, 240H), 0.92–0.80 (m, 36H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>, 130 °C, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  9.37 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 9.35 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 9.24 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.38–7.32 (m, 6H), 7.11–7.03 (m, 6H), 6.81 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 3.82–3.75 (br, 8H), 3.74–3.68 (br, 4H), 2.12–1.96 (br, 6H), 1.55–1.20 (m, 240H), 0.97–0.83 (m, 36H). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>192</sub>H<sub>314</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>6</sub>: C, 82.28; H, 11.29; N, 3.00. Found: C, 82.22; H, 11.30; N, 2.96.

#### 5. Synthesis of 22-H and Poly(1-alt-22)

This compound was prepared by a four-step procedure as outlined below.



(i) A mixture of 2-(2,2-dibromoethenyl)thiophene (5; 4.46 g, 10 mmol), diethyl phosphite (15.0 g, 110 mmol), and Et<sub>3</sub>N (25.4 mL, 180 mmol) was stirred under reflux for 3 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, poured into 2 N aqueous HCl (200 mL), and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 100 mL). The combined extracts were washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was passed through a short column (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane), giving a mixture of geometric isomers of **6** (E/Z = 80/20, 6.47 g). Then, a mixture of the crude product (6.47 g) and NaOH (1.60g, 40 mmol) in 2-propanol (70 mL) was stirred at 90 °C for 1 h. After cooling to room temperature, water (200 mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 100 mL). The combined extracts were washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was passed through a short column (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane), giving geometrically pure **6** as yellow oily material (4.81 g, 70%). The NMR data were identical to those reported [6]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.23–7.17 (m, 2H), 7.00–6.95 (m, 2H), 6.63 (d, *J* = 13.9 Hz, 1H).

(ii) A mixture of 2,2'-bithiophene (1.66 g, 10 mmol), bis(pinacolato)diboron (2.79 g, 11 mmol), [Ir(OMe)(cod)]<sup>2</sup> (133 mg, 0.20 mmol), 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'-bipyridyl (107 mg, 0.40 mmol), and hexane (50 mL) was stirred at 50 °C for 15 min. After cooling to room temperature, the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was passed through a short column (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane), and the crude product was subsequently recrystallized from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH at –20 °C to afford 7 as a colorless crystals (3.41 g, 82%). The NMR data were identical to those reported [7]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.52 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 2H), 1.35 (s, 24H).

(iii) To a solution of **6** (189 mg, 1.0 mmol), **7** (209 mg, 0.50 mmol), Pd(dba)<sub>2</sub> (11.5 mg, 20 µmol), PtBu<sub>3</sub>·HBF<sub>4</sub> (14.5 mg, 50 µmol) in THF (5 mL) were added 3.0 M aqueous NaOH (1.0 mL, 3.0 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 30 °C for 20 h. The mixture was diluted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (20 mL), passed through a short column (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> = 10/1, and then CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), and subsequently recrystallized from CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH at -20 °C to afford **2**<sub>2</sub>-**H** as orange crystals (171 mg, 89%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.21 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 7.08–7.03 (m, 4H), 7.02–6.98 (m, 6H), 6.94 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 2H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>, 130 °C, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.21 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 4H), 7.05 (d, *J* = 16.2 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (dd, *J* = 4.7, 3.6 Hz, 2H), 6.99 (d, *J* = 16.2 Hz, 2H), 6.97 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR analysis was infeasible due to low solubility. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>14</sub>S<sub>4</sub>: C, 62.79; H, 3.69. Found: C, 62.75; H, 3.70.

(iv) The compounds Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (97.7 mg, 0.30 mmol) and pivalic acid (10.2 mg, 0.10 mmol) were placed in a 10 mL Schlenk tube in a glovebox, and **1-Br** (109 mg, 0.10 mmol), **2**<sub>2</sub>-**H** (38.3 mg, 0.10 mmol), Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>·CHCl<sub>3</sub> (1.0 mg, 1.0 µmol), **L1** (1.4 mg, 4.0 µmol), and THF (0.4 mL) were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 h, and then at 100 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (100 mL), and washed with water (3 × 10 mL). The organic phase was poured into vigorously stirred MeOH (400 mL), and a dark red precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with MeOH, and dried under vacuum overnight. The product was subjected to Soxhlet extraction with acetone and CHCl<sub>3</sub>, and then with PhCl. The PhCl extract was poured into vigorously stirred MeOH (400 mL), to give a dark red solid of poly(1*-alt-2*<sub>2</sub>) in 27% yield (35.8 mg,  $M_n = 10,500, M_w/M_n = 1.9$ ). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>, 130 °C, 600 MHz):  $\delta$  9.25 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 2H), 7.06–7.03 (m, 2H), 7.02–6.96 (m, 6H), 3.77 (d, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 4H), 2.01–1.95 (br, 2H), 1.58–1.22 (m, 80H), 0.97–0.85 (m, 12H).

# References

- 1. Fu, B.; Baltazar, J.; Sankar, A. R.; Chu, P.-H.; Zhang, S.; Collard, D. M.; Reichmanis, E. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2014, 24, 3734.
- 2. Mei, J.; Graham, K, R.; Stalder, R.; Reynolds, J. R. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 660.
- 3. Rosiak, A.; Frey, W.; Christoffers, J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 4044.
- 4. Hurst, T. E.; Macklin, T. K.; Becker, M.; Hartmann, E.; Kuegel, W.; Parisienne-La S., J.-C.; Batsanov, A. S.; Marder, T. B.; Snieckus, V. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 8155.
- 5. Ukai, T.; Kawamura, H.; Ishii, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 65, 253.
- 6. Rossi, R.; Carpita, A.; Lippolis, V. Synth. Commun. 1991, 21, 333.
- 7. Chen, K.; Gallaher, J. K.; Barker, A. J.; Hodgkiss, J. M. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2014, 5, 1732.



**Figure S1.** Cyclic voltammograms of poly(**1**-*alt*-**2**) of entries 1–6 in thin film. The entry number follows Table 2.



**Figure S2.** Transfer characteristics of the OFETs based on poly(1-*alt*-2) of entries 1–6 at  $V_D = -60$  V. The entry number follows Table 3.



**Figure S3.** Output characteristics of the OFETs based on poly(**1**-*alt*-**2**) of entries 1–6. The entry number follows Table 3.



**Figure S4.** GIWAXS images of the thin films of poly(**1**-*alt*-**2**) of entries 1–6. The entry number follows Table 3.



**Figure S5.** In-plane (a) and out-of-plane (b) line-cuts of GIWAXS measurement for the thin films of poly(1-*alt*-2) of entries 1–6. The entry number follows Table 3.



**Figure S6.** AFM images (6 × 6  $\mu$ m) of the thin films of poly(**1**-*alt*-**2**) of entries 1–6. The entry number follows Table 3.



**Figure S7.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of poly(**1**-*alt*-**2**) prepared via DArP ( $M_n = 15,700$ ; entry 5 in Table 1, entry 1 in Table 3) in C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub> at 130 °C (600 MHz).



**Figure S8.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of poly(1-*alt*-2) prepared via DArP ( $M_n = 17,000$ ; entry 1 in Table 1, entry 2 in Table 3) in C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub> at 130 °C (600 MHz).



**Figure S9.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of poly(1-*alt*-2) prepared via DArP ( $M_n = 22,700$ ; entry 3 in Table 1 and Table 3) in C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub> at 130 °C (600 MHz).



**Figure S10.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of poly(1-*alt*-2) prepared via Migita–Kosugi–Stille cross-coupling polymerization ( $M_n = 17,700$ ; entry 5 in Table 3) in C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub> at 130 °C (600 MHz).



**Figure S11.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of poly(**1**-*alt*-**2**) prepared via Migita–Kosugi–Stille cross-coupling polymerization ( $M_n = 24,400$ ) in C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub> at 130 °C (600 MHz).



Figure S12. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of poly(1-alt-22) in C2D2Cl4 at 130 °C (600 MHz).