

1 *Supplementary Materials*

2 **Effect of Hydrophobic Polypeptide Length on**
3 **Performances of Thermo-Sensitive Hydrogels**

4 **Jiandong Han**^{1,2}, **Xingyu Zhao**², **Weiguo Xu**², **Wei Wang**^{1,*}, **Yuping Han**^{2,3,*} and **Xiangru Feng**^{2,*}

5 ¹ Department of Chemistry, Changchun University of Science and Technology, Changchun 130022, P. R.
6 China; jdhan@ciac.ac.cn (H.J.)

7 ² Key Laboratory of Polymer Ecomaterials, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of
8 Sciences, Changchun 130022, P. R. China; star20012002@163.com (Z.X.); wgxu@ciac.ac.cn (X.W.)

9 ³ Department of Urology, China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130033, P. R. China

10 * Correspondence: weiwanglg@163.com (W.W.); hyp181818@126.com (H.Y.); xrfeng@ciac.ac.cn (F.X.)

11 Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

12

13 Materials and Methods

14 Characterization

15 ^1H NMR spectra of mPEG₄₅-PLAla in deuterated trifluoroacetic acid (CF_3COOD) were
16 conducted on a Bruker AV 300 NMR spectrometer. ^{13}C NMR spectral changes of mPEG₄₅-PLAla₃₀ (5.0
17 wt.% in D_2O) were investigated as a function of temperature between 20 and 60 °C on a Bruker AV
18 400 NMR spectrometer. The solution temperature was equilibrated for 20 min before measurement.
19 The ellipticity of polymer aqueous solution (0.05 mg mL^{-1}) was obtained on a JASCO J-810
20 spectrometer as a function of temperature between 10 and 50 °C. DLS measurements were
21 determined on a WyattQELS instrument with a vertically polarized He-Ne laser (DAWN EOS,
22 Wyatt Technology) and 90° collected optics. The sample was prepared in aqueous solution at the
23 concentration of $5.0 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. The solution was filtered through a $0.45 \mu\text{m}$ Millipore filter before
24 measurements. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Bio-Rad Win-IR instrument using potassium
25 bromide method.

26 Synthesis of L-Ala NCA

27 L-alanine (20.0 g, 0.224 mol) and triphosgene (53.4 g, 0.180 mol) were suspended in 400.0 mL of
28 dry THF bubbled with nitrogen flux in a flame-dried three-neck flask. The mixture was stirred at 60
29 °C for 2 h before further bubbling with nitrogen flux for 30 min. After that, the solution was
30 precipitated in 1000.0 mL of *n*-hexane and stored at $-20 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. The supernatant was removed, and the
31 residues were collected and dissolved in 200.0 mL of ethyl acetate, prior to two washings with 100.0
32 mL of ice-cold water and one washing with 100.0 mL of 0.5% NaHCO_3 ice-cold aqueous solution.
33 The organic phase was then dried over anhydrous MgSO_4 and evaporated to obtain 15.5 g of L-Ala
34 NCA. The yield of L-Ala NCA was 77.5%.

35 Synthesis of mPEG₄₅-PLAla

36 The mPEG₄₅-polypeptide copolymers were synthesized through the ROP of L-Ala NCA using
37 mPEG₄₅-NH₂ as macroinitiator. The following was a typical procedure for the preparation of
38 mPEG₄₅-PLAla₃₀: mPEG₄₅-NH₂ (2.0 g, 0.001 mol) was dissolved in toluene (150.0 mL) and residual
39 water in the solution was removed by azeotropic distillation. Anhydrous DMF (100.0 mL) and L-Ala
40 NCA (3.2 g, 0.028 mol) were then added to the flask. The reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for
41 three days under a dry nitrogen atmosphere. Then the copolymer was purified by precipitation in
42 glacial diethyl ether, followed by filtration. The resulting product was dissolved in DMF and
43 dialyzed in a dialysis bag (molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) = 3500 Da) for three days. The water
44 was changed every six hours to remove the DMF. Then the final product was obtained by
45 lyophilization. The yield of mPEG₄₅-PLAla₃₀ was 73.4%. Similarly, mPEG₄₅-PLAla₂₂ and
46 mPEG₄₅-PLAla₁₄ were synthesized according to the abovementioned protocol by changing the feed
47 amounts of L-Ala NCA, which were 2.4 g (0.021 mol) and 1.6 g (0.014 mol), respectively. The yields
48 of mPEG₄₅-PLAla₂₂ and mPEG₄₅-PLAla₁₄ were 61.1% and 57.7%, respectively.

49 Hydrogel Internal Morphology

50 The internal morphology of the hydrogel was observed using a field emission scanning electron
51 microscope (ESEM, Micrion FEI PHILIPS). After the hydrogel was formed, it was rapidly frozen
52 with liquid nitrogen and lyophilized to acquire a lyophilized gel sample. The lyophilized samples
53 were evenly sprayed with gold after brittle fracture, and the gel internal morphology and pore size
54 were observed under ESEM.

55 Histological Analyses

56 SD rats were sacrificed after the *in vivo* degradation experiment. The hydrogels near the skin
57 were removed and fixed in 4.0% (w/v) PBS-buffered paraformaldehyde overnight and then
58 embedded in paraffin. Paraffin-embedded tissues were sectioned into approximately $5.0 \mu\text{m}$ slices

59 and stained with H&E. The histological changes were detected by a microscope (Nikon Eclipse *Ti*,
60 Optical Apparatus Co., Ardmore, PA).

61 *Hemolysis Tests*

62 The hemocompatibility level of mPEG₄₅-PLA₁₀ was determined according to established
63 criteria, ISO 10993-4. Briefly, the fresh rabbit blood was purchased from the Laboratory Animal
64 Center of Jilin University, which was obtained from the heart of a live rabbit. Subsequently, it was
65 diluted by normal saline (NS), and then the red blood cells (RBCs) were isolated from plasma by
66 centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 15 min. After careful washing, the suspension of RBCs at a final
67 concentration of 2.0% (v/v) was added to mPEG₄₅-PLA₁₀ solution with varied concentrations,
68 mixed by vortex, and then incubated at 37 °C in a thermostatic water bath for 2 h. NS and Triton
69 X-100 (1 × 10⁴ µg mL⁻¹, a surfactant known to lyse RBCs) were used as negative and positive controls,
70 respectively. Then, RBCs were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min, and then 100.0 µL of the
71 supernatant of each sample was transferred to a 96-well plate. The free hemoglobin in the
72 supernatant was measured with a Bio-Rad 680 microplate reader at 540 nm. The hemolysis ratio of
73 RBCs was calculated using Equation (2).

$$\text{Hemolytic ratio (\%)} = (A_{\text{sample}} - A_{\text{negative control}}) / (A_{\text{positive control}} - A_{\text{negative control}}) \times 100 \quad (1)$$

74 Where, A_{sample} , $A_{\text{negative control}}$, and $A_{\text{positive control}}$ were denoted as the absorbencies of sample, and
75 negative and positive controls, respectively.

76