

## **Supplementary Information**

**Figure S1.** Optimized structures of pure  $H_2O$  on Al(111), Mg<sub>2</sub>Si(111)–Si, Mg<sub>2</sub>Si(111)–Mg and Al<sub>2</sub>Cu(110)–Cu surfaces with increasing  $H_2O$  coverage. The structures are presented as side views. Colors: Al magenta, O red, H white, Si yellow, Mg green, Cu orange.



**Figure S2.** The dissociation energies ( $E_d$ , solid points) of one H<sub>2</sub>O corresponding to different dissociation paths on (**a**) Al(111), (**b**) Mg<sub>2</sub>Si(111)–Si, (**c**) Mg<sub>2</sub>Si(111)–Mg, (**d**) Al<sub>2</sub>Cu(110)–Cu surfaces plotted against H<sub>2</sub>O coverage. Average  $\overline{E_d}$  is displayed with hollow points in each figure.

$H_2O$ coverage	E <sub>d min</sub> /eV			E <sub>s</sub> /eV		
Surfaces	0.25ML	0.5ML	1ML	0.25ML	0.5ML	1ML
Al	-0.12	0.51	-0.004	0.56	-0.19	0.98
Mg <sub>2</sub> Si(111)–Si	-3.16	-2.26	-1.34	-0.05	-0.11	0.29
Mg <sub>2</sub> Si(111)–Mg	~0	-0.31	-0.79	0.50	0.33	0.37
Al <sub>2</sub> Cu(110)–Cu	~0	-0.21	-0.03	1.21	0.21	0.10

**Table S1.** Minimum H<sub>2</sub>O dissociation energy ( $E_{d min}$ ) and substitution energy ( $E_s$ ) corresponding to the substitution of OH with Cl at increasing H<sub>2</sub>O coverage.



**Figure S3.** Work function change on Mg<sub>2</sub>Si(111)–Mg (above) and Al<sub>2</sub>Cu(110)–Cu surfaces (bottom), with INT, DIS, SUB aqueous ad-layer. Data for bare surfaces and INT aqueous ad-layer systems are from our earlier work<sup>10,33</sup>.



**Figure S4**. Optimized structure of Cl-containing aqueous ad-layer on Mg<sub>2</sub>Si–Si (left) and Al<sub>2</sub>Cu–Cu (right). Refer to Figure S1 for atomic colors.