# Characterization of tachyplesin peptides and their cyclized analogues to improve antimicrobial and anticancer properties 
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Figure S1. Purity and mass of tachyplesin analogues. (a) Chromatogram of the parent peptides (TI, TII, TIII) and their cyclic analogues (cTI, cTII, cTIII) on an analytical RP-HPLC obtained with a $2 \% / \mathrm{min}$ gradient of $0-40 \%$ solvent B ( $90 \%$ acetonitrile (ACN); $0.05 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (v/v)) in solvent A ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.05 \%$ TFA (v/v)) at a flow rate of $0.3 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. (b) Mass spectra of TI-TIII and cTI-cTIII determined by ESI-MS.


Figure S2. Serum stability of TI an cTI. Overlay of chromatograms obtained with $50 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TI}$, or cTI, before ( 0 h ) and after incubation in $25 \%$ (v/v) human serum (HS) for 24 h with analytical RP-HPLC ( 10 to $45 \%$ solvent $\mathrm{B}, 1 \% /$ min gradient)

Table S1. Statistical analysis of linear and cyclic tachyplesin analogue structures. a

| Experimental restraints | tachyplesin II | tachyplesin III | cyclic <br> tachyplesin I | cyclic <br> tachyplesin II |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tachyplesin III |  |  |  |  |


| Rms deviation from mean structure, $\AA$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| backbone atoms | $0.75 \pm 0.21$ | $0.73 \pm 0.24$ | $0.47 \pm 0.15$ | $0.52 \pm 0.16$ | $0.43 \pm 0.17$ |
| all heavy atoms | $2.17 \pm 0.41$ | $2.23 \pm 0.39$ | $1.33 \pm 0.26$ | $1.71 \pm 0.31$ | $1.47 \pm 0.25$ |
| Stereochemical quality ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residues in most favoured Ramachandran region, \% | $100.0 \pm 0.0$ | $100 \pm 0.0$ | $99.7 \pm 1.4$ | $100.0 \pm 0.0$ | $100.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| Ramachandran outliers, \% | $0 \pm 0$ | $0 \pm 0$ | $0 \pm 0$ | $0 \pm 0$ | $0 \pm 0$ |
| Unfavourable sidechain rotamers, \% | $0 \pm 0$ | $0 \pm 0$ | $0 \pm 0$ | $0 \pm 0$ | $0 \pm 0$ |
| Clashscore, all atoms | $4.8 \pm 1.9$ | $5.8 \pm 2.2$ | $9.0 \pm 2.7$ | $6.2 \pm 2.1$ | $5.7 \pm 2.8$ |
| Overall MolProbity score | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ | $1.3 \pm 0.1$ | $1.5 \pm 0.1$ | $1.3 \pm 0.1$ | $1.3 \pm 0.3$ |

${ }^{a}$ All statistics are given as mean $\pm$ SD. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ According to MolProbity [1]

Table S2. Origin of cell lines used in the study.

| Cell line | Cancer type | Description | Cellosaurus ID ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MM96L | melanoma | Human, female, derived from metastatic <br> site (lymph node), BRAF V600E mutant | CVCL_D853 |
| HT144 | melanoma | Human, male, 29 yr, Caucasian, derived <br> from metastatic site (subcutaneous tissue), | CVCL_0318 |
| WM164 | melanoma | BRAF V600E mutant <br> Human, male, 22 yr, Caucasian, derived <br> from metastatic site, BRAF V600E mutant | CVCL_7928 |
| HeLa | cervical <br> cancer <br> keratinocyte | Human, female, 31 yr, papillomavirus- <br> related endocervical adenocarcinoma <br> Human, male, 62 yr, spontaneously <br> immortalized cell line | CVCL_0030 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cellosaurus is a knowledge resource for cell lines used in biomedical research
(https://web.expasy.org/cellosaurus/).

Table S3. Verification of cell line identity from Short Tandem Repeat (STR) profiles. a

| Marker | Short Tandem Repeat Allele/s |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | MM96L | HT144 | WM164 | HeLa | HaCaT |
| D5S818 | 11,13 | 11,13 | 12 | 11,12 | 12 |
| D13S317 | 11,14 | 11,12 | 11 | $12,13.3$ | 10,12 |
| D7S820 | 8 | 11 | 9,10 | 8,12 | 9,11 |
| D16S539 | 11,12 | 12,13 | 12,13 | 9,10 | 9,12 |
| vWA | 17,18 | 16,18 | 14,15 | $16,17,18$ | 16,17 |
| TH01 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 9.3 |
| Amel | $X$ | $X, Y$ | $X$ | $X$ | X |
| TPOX | 8,10 | 8,11 | 8,10 | 8,12 | 11,12 |
| CSF1PO | 12 | 12 | 12 | 9,10 | 9,11 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ STR profiles of the cell lines were verified against previously described database entries as follows: MM96L, QIMR, Brisbane Australia; WM164, Wistar; HT144, DSMZ-German collection of microorganisms and cell cultures GmBH; HeLa, ATCC; HaCaT, [2].


Figure S3. Binding of cTI to model membranes composed of POPC, POPC/POPS (4:1), POPC/POPG (4:1) and E. coli extract. Left panel: SPR sensorgrams obtained with $32 \mu \mathrm{McTI}$ injected over lipid bilayers deposited on an L1 chip surface for 180 s (association); dissociation was monitored for 600 s . Response units (RU) were converted into peptide-to-lipid ratio ( $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{mol})$ ) to take in consideration differences in lipid packing resulting in different amounts being deposited to cover the chip surface. Right panel: the dose-response curves show $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{L}$ obtained at the end of the association phase ( $\mathrm{t}=170 \mathrm{~s}$ ) and plotted as a function of peptide concentration injected. Dose-response curves were fitted with one-site specific binding with Hill slope equation in GraphPad Prism.

Table S4. Kinetic and affinity parameters from SPR analysis of $32 \mu \mathrm{McTI}$ with POPC, POPC/POPS (4:1), POPC/POPG (4:1) and E. coli extract ${ }^{a}$.

| Peptide | Lipid system | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{L}_{\max } \\ (\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{mol})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\mathrm{d}} \\ (\mu \mathrm{M})^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{\text {off }} \\ \left(\times 10^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{L}_{\text {off }} \\ (\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{mol})^{2} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cTI | POPC | $0.33 \pm 0.07$ | $16.7 \pm 8.4$ | $0.91 \pm 0.03$ | $0.065 \pm 0.001$ |
|  | POPC/POPS (4:1) | $0.48 \pm 0.08$ | $9.2 \pm 3.4$ | $0.70 \pm 0.03$ | $0.137 \pm 0.002$ |
|  | POPC/POPG (4:1) | $0.37 \pm 0.05$ | $9.6 \pm 3.1$ | $1.09 \pm 0.02$ | $0.122 \pm 0.001$ |
|  | E. coli extract | $0.29 \pm 0.01$ | $11.3 \pm 0.5$ | $1.31 \pm 0.01$ | $0.193 \pm 0.001$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ E.coli polar lipid extract composed of zwitterionic phosphatidylethanolamine (PE)-phospholipids, negativelycharged phosphatidylglycerol (PG)-phospholipids, and cardiolipin (CA) in the proportion 67:23.2:9.8 ( $\mathrm{wt} / \mathrm{wt} \%$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{Lmax}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}$ were calculated from the dose-response curves (one-site specific binding with Hill slope equation, GraphPad Prism) in Figure S3. The P/Lmax value represents the peptide-to-lipid ratio ( $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) when peptide-lipid binding reaches saturation, $K_{D}$ is the peptide concentration necessary to reach the half-maximal binding response. ${ }^{2}$ koff is dissociation constant and P/Loff is the peptide-to-lipid ratio that remains associated to the membrane at the end of association phase calculated from the sensorgrams obtained with $32 \mu \mathrm{M}$ peptide in Figure S3 fitted in GraphPad Prism assuming a Langmuir kinetic.
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