



Neural Circuitry–Neurogenesis Coupling Model of Depression

Il Bin Kim 1,2 and Seon-Cheol Park 1,*

- ¹ Department of Psychiatry, Hanyang University Guri Hospital, Guri 11923, Korea; jonecaby49@gmail.com
- ² Graduate School of Medical Science and Engineering, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon 34141, Korea
- * Correspondence: cogito-ergo-sum@hanmail.net; Tel.: +82-31-560-2276; Fax: +82-31-554-2599

Abstract: Depression is characterized by the disruption of both neural circuitry and neurogenesis. Defects in hippocampal activity and volume, indicative of reduced neurogenesis, are associated with depression-related behaviors in both humans and animals. Neurogenesis in adulthood is considered an activity-dependent process; therefore, hippocampal neurogenesis defects in depression can be a result of defective neural circuitry activity. However, the mechanistic understanding of how defective neural circuitry can induce neurogenesis defects in depression remains unclear. This review highlights the current findings supporting the neural circuitry-regulated neurogenesis, especially focusing on hippocampal neurogenesis regulated by the entorhinal cortex, with regard to memory, pattern separation, and mood. Taken together, these findings may pave the way for future progress in neural circuitry–neurogenesis coupling studies of depression.

Keywords: depression; entorhinal cortex; hippocampus; memory; pattern separation; mood; neural circuitry; neurogenesis

1. Introduction

Depression is the leading cause, only second to heart diseases, of disability worldwide [1]. The global prevalence of depression is 4.4%, and the total estimated number of patients with depression increased by 18.4% between 2005 and 2015 [2,3]. Although effective treatments have been available for some patients, the prevalence of depression has remained remarkably stable for decades, with low remission and high relapse rates [4–7]. The therapeutic challenge in current psychiatry has spurred a concept of next-generation treatment that emphasizes more refined and individualized clinical treatments based on biological markers and endophenotypes, rather than just categorical diagnoses [8]. Correspondingly, the current therapeutic outcomes require further understanding of depression pathophysiology to facilitate theoretical paradigms that apply to refractory or partially remitted depression. For decades, there have been leading paradigms, including monoamine chemistry, neural plasticity, and neural circuitry, each of which paves the way for not just theoretical but also clinically applicable targets, such as neurotransmitters, neural stem cell niches, and specific brain regions and networks, all crucial to depression-related behaviors in both humans and animals. The contemporary paradigms can progress via an effort to understand depression pathophysiology as a joint model between neurotransmitters, stem cell niches, and brain networks. Therefore, the neural circuitryregulated neurogenesis via synaptic neurotransmission can be a pioneering theme to enhance our understanding of depression pathophysiology.

Hippocampal neurogenesis defects are a hallmark of depression. Mounting evidence points to hippocampal deficits in activity and volume and reductions in activity-dependent gene expression, which collectively reflect neurogenesis defects in the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus [9–11]. Hippocampal neurogenesis conceives neural circuitry activity-mediated regulation [12–14]; therefore, there have been exploratory efforts to stimu-

Citation: Kim, I.B.; Park, S.-C. Neural Circuitry–Neurogenesis Coupling Model of Depression. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, *22*, 2468. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22052468

Academic Editor: Lieve Moons

Received: 24 December 2020 Accepted: 22 February 2021 Published: 28 February 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). late specific upstream hippocampal circuitries in order to enhance neurogenesis in patients with depression as well as stressed animals. Intriguingly, in animal models, approaches such as deep brain stimulation demonstrate that stimulation of the entorhinal cortex enhances hippocampal-dependent cognitive performance through hippocampal neurogenesis, resulting in improvements in memory and pattern separation [15–17]. These findings in animal models corroborated the entorhinal cortex-regulated hippocampal neurogenesis and memory enhancement in human subjects [18]. Furthermore, pioneering studies using advanced techniques, including optogenetics, chemogenetics, and molecular-based approaches, have highlighted the entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry in the regulation of neurogenesis and hippocampal-dependent cognitive and emotional functions. For a representative example, precise stimulation of entorhinal glutamatergic afferents leads to improvements in depression-related behaviors in stress-inoculated animal models, which is accompanied by increased hippocampal neurogenesis [19]. Taken together, entorhinal cortex-hippocampal circuitry implicates neurogenesis in memory, pattern separation, and mood, all of which can be hampered in depression. Thus, the entorhinal–hippocampal circuitry may be a plausible model of neural circuitry–neurogenesis in depression. This review highlights findings from animal and human studies, to support the causal relationship between entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry and neurogenesis in the regulation of memory, pattern separation, and mood. Then, suggest future directions of the neural circuitry-neurogenesis coupling model of depression.

2. Activity-Dependent Adult Neurogenesis and Framework of Neural Circuitry–Neurogenesis Coupling Model of Depression

Our formulation of the neural circuitry–neurogenesis coupling model of depression is based on both long-lasting neurophysiological knowledge of adult hippocampal neurogenesis and pioneering works not just recapitulating neurogenesis but also demonstrating the impact of neural circuitry modification on neurogenesis and depression-related behaviors. Here, we review the neurophysiological findings supporting that the entorhinal cortex and hippocampus collectively contribute to adult neurogenesis. The entorhinal cortex and hippocampus are synaptically involved in adult neurogenesis [20-23], implicating a long-range network in the regulation of neural stem cell niches. Adult neurogenesis is characterized by a dynamic capacity to modify the synaptic strength and number, which is regulated by diverse mechanisms that render synaptic inputs in an activity-dependent manner to the hippocampus [12,14,24]. The entorhinal cortex provides the major excitatory input to the dentate gyrus, the only hippocampal subregion, where granule cells are newly generated, become mature, and are finally incorporated into existing hippocampal circuitry. Glutamatergic stimulation of the hippocampus has long been implicated in the regulation of adult neurogenesis at multiple stages [25]. Early work using a patch-clamp recording demonstrated robust glutamatergic synaptic connectivity between granule cells and entorhinal projections, which occurs at 2-3 weeks of neuron age after the stimulation of the entorhinal projection to the hippocampal granule cells [26]. This is in line with another finding from a trans-synaptic tracing study [27]. Intriguingly, the entorhinal cortex only begins to develop synaptic input to adult-born neurons when the adult-born neurons reach 21 to 28 days of cell age, during which maturation of the neurons occurs [27]. This critical period suggests that the entorhinal cortex is implicated in the regulation of adult neurogenesis during the maturation phase. This is also supported by a study that identified this critical period during which glutamatergic stimulation of perforant paths linking the entorhinal cortex to the hippocampal dentate gyrus, which leads to enhanced long-term potentiation of adult-born neurons [28,29]. During the 4-6 weeks after birth, neurons exhibit both a lower threshold and a higher long-term potentiation amplitude by physiological levels of stimulation. Even though there might be indirect regulations through a non-cell-autonomous mechanism that modulates existing neural circuitry, these are beyond the scope of this review. Altogether, the entorhinal cortex has a regulatory role in hippocampal adult neurogenesis in an activity-dependent manner

(Figure 1). Thus, the concept of entorhinal–hippocampal circuitry and subsequent neurogenesis is tenable. Based on this concept, we address the question of whether the neural circuitry–neurogenesis coupling model can apply to depression pathophysiology, which might be in part supported by antecedent works pointing to hippocampal neurogenesis defects as a hallmark of depression [30–32]. To support this idea, we will review current works with a focus on entorhinal–hippocampal circuitry, neurogenesis, and depressionrelated phenotypes, including memory, pattern separation, and mood.



Figure 1. Concept framework of entorhinal cortex-regulated hippocampal neurogenesis in the regulation of depressionrelated phenotypes. The glutamatergic stimulation from the entorhinal cortex through the perforant paths to the subgranular zone of the hippocampal dentate gyrus is deciphered. The glutamatergic stimulation prompts the maturation of the dentate granule cells during hippocampal neurogenesis, which can regulate memory, pattern separation, and mood.

3. Entorhinal-Hippocampal Circuitry and Neurogenesis in Memory

Episodic memory damage is a major cognitive symptom of depression [33–40]. Episodic memory deficits relate to volume reductions not just in the hippocampus [41–44], but in the entorhinal cortex [45], indicating that cognitive symptoms of depression might be partially derived from combined pathophysiology encompassing the entorhinal cortex and hippocampus. In particular, numerous studies have suggested that hippocampal neurogenesis defects lead to impaired episodic memory in depression [46–49]. Nonetheless, the mechanism by which upstream hippocampal circuitry regulates episodic memory through hippocampal neurogenesis remains unclear.

3.1. Supportive Findings from Human Studies

Entorhinal–hippocampal circuitry is known as a memory hub of human and primate brains, mainly in processing episodic memories of objective, spatial, and temporal information, such as what, where, and when [50–55]. In humans, it has been demonstrated that stimulation of the entorhinal cortex prompts favorable physiological changes, including memory- and learning-related processes. Specifically, deep brain stimulation of the hu-

man entorhinal cortex induces enhancements in spatial memory [18]. In a spatial navigation task, human subjects with entorhinal stimulation reached a destination within a virtual environment in a shorter time compared with controls without entorhinal stimulation (Figure 2a). Notably, entorhinal stimulation is accompanied by the resetting of the hippocampal theta rhythm which allows optimal conditions for the induction of long-term potentiation, giving rise to fine hippocampal encoding of spatial information [56]. In contrast, direct deep brain stimulation in the hippocampus does not affect or impair hippocampus-dependent memory processing [18,57], thus emphasizing the efficacy of targeting upstream hippocampal circuitry rather than the hippocampus per se.



Figure 2. Supportive findings for entorhinal cortex-regulated hippocampal neurogenesis in the regulation of memory. (**a**) Entorhinal–hippocampal circuitry and hippocampal theta rhythm resetting in the regulation of human spatial memory. Deep brain stimulation on entorhinal cortex results in hippocampal theta rhythm resetting, which is accompanied with a shortened time to escape from a maze in the spatial navigation task in humans. (**b**) Entorhinal–hippocampal circuitry and neurogenesis in the regulation of animal spatial memory. Deep brain stimulation on entorhinal cortex results in enhanced neurogenesis, which is accompanied with a shortened time to escape in the Morris water navigation task in mice. (**c**) Entorhinal–hippocampal circuitry and hippocampal CA1 coding in the regulation of animal temporal memory. Optogenetic inactivation of the medial entorhinal cortex results in disruption in hippocampal CA1 coding activity, which is accompanied by the diminished temporal memory in the sequential object–treadmill–maze task in rats.

3.2. Supportive Findings from Animal Studies

In addition to this finding in humans, mouse studies also demonstrated that deep brain stimulation of the entorhinal cortex leads to improvements in spatial learning and memory that are accompanied by enhanced neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus (Figure 2b). Specifically, Stone and colleagues reported that transient deep brain stimulation of the entorhinal cortex with high frequencies activates the neural stem cell niche to yield sequential neurogenesis processes, including proliferation of the dentate gyrus, progeny cell differentiation into neurons, survival of the neurons for at least several (>5) weeks, and the maturation of neurons into dentate granule cells [15]. Importantly, the dentate granule cells are finally, but in a delayed manner, integrated into the hippocampal circuitry after stimulation of the entorhinal cortex. Correspondingly, in the Morris water navigation task, spatial memory implicated in the hippocampal circuitry is established six weeks rather than one week after the entorhinal stimulation. This delayed effect of the entorhinal stimulation matches the maturation-dependent integration of adult-born granule cells into the hippocampal circuitry, thereby supporting spatial memory [58,59]. Researchers finally emphasized a causal relationship between entorhinal stimulation-dependent hippocampal neurogenesis and enhanced spatial memory by attempting to block neurogenesis prior to assessing whether spatial memory is enhanced or not. The impact of the entorhinal stimulation on adult hippocampal neurogenesis and spatial memory is also supported by studies that used a similar approach [17,18].

In addition to the deep brain stimulation approach, preclinical research has employed an optogenetic approach to gain further insight into the detailed physiology of memory implicated in entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry. Robinson and colleagues questioned whether entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry regulates temporal memory that is encoded in the principal cells, also known as time cells, of the hippocampal CA1 region [60]. They investigated whether optogenetic inactivation of the medial entorhinal cortex results in the disruption of hippocampal CA1 temporal encoding and memory across time (Figure 2c). The medial entorhinal cortex provides a major cortical input to the hippocampus for processing not only space but also time information, in parallel with the lateral entorhinal cortex for object information [61–65]. They implemented bilateral optic fiber arrays for light-delivered silencing of the medial entorhinal cortex while simultaneously recording hippocampal CA1 regions in rats treated with bilaterally targeted adeno-associated viral vector to the medial entorhinal cortex. In a complex behavioral task of sequential object-treadmill-maze phases, they evaluated the impact of medial entorhinal inactivation on hippocampal CA1 coding activity for object, time, and space information in order. Rats were exposed to a specific object for a short period and then sent onto a treadmill to run for an intended time delay prior to the second exposure to the object. Accordingly, temporal memory was evaluated during the treadmill phase in a fixed-space environment. Strikingly, medial entorhinal cortex inactivation provoked disruption only in CA1 time coding activity but not in object and space coding activity. This finding indicates a distinct mechanism of entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry by which temporally structured experiences are organized to be a part of episodic memory. Taken together, current preclinical studies support the idea that entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry is crucial for hippocampal-dependent episodic memory. Nonetheless, our understanding of the circuitry mechanism contributing to memory pathophysiology in particular relation to depression is still in its infancy, thus requiring more research using stressed animal models and optogenetic or chemogenetic approaches to address memory deficits in depression models based on entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry and neurogenesis.

Further research is required to address these questions: which specific cell types, or neurotransmitters, of the entorhinal cortex and hippocampus are implicated in spatial and temporal memory encoding defects in stress animal models, respectively; whether temporal memory encoding in the hippocampus still relies on neurogenesis; how the entorhinal cortex drives specific electrophysiological changes, such as hippocampal theta rhythm oscillations, in association with long-term potentiation of spatiotemporal memory during neurogenesis in stressed animals and how the rhythm changes are associated with memory deficits in the depression models; and ultimately, whether therapeutic targeting of the entorhinal–hippocampal circuitry using brain stimulation or pharmacological approaches recovers the spatiotemporal memory deficits with substantial validity and reliability, specifically in humans with depression.

4. Entorhinal–Hippocampal Circuitry and Neurogenesis in Pattern Separation

Pattern separation is the ability to distinguish between similar contextual representations and is dependent on hippocampal dentate gyrus neurogenesis [66–70]. Impaired pattern separation is a potential marker for hippocampal neurogenesis defects in depression [71,72]. Neurogenesis ablation studies and contemporary chemogenetic approaches consistently point to the relationship between neurogenesis defects and deficits in pattern separation. On another level, functional imaging studies in association with behavioral tasks additionally support the idea that the entorhinal cortex may be implicated in pattern separation; this is also supported by neurophysiological knowledge that the entorhinal cortex serves as a key region mediating communication between the hippocampus and neocortex to receive and store multimodal cortical sensory and spatial representations before transmitting it to the hippocampal dentate gyrus, where previous and new incoming representations of similar subjects are distinguished through a sparse, flexible coding for diverse activity patterns of different representations [69,73]. Nonetheless, very few studies have directly investigated the relationship between entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry and pattern separation. In this section, we review representative studies that highlight the imaginal correlates of the entorhinal cortex for pattern separation, the impact of neurogenesis defects on pattern separation, and, lastly, a chemogenetic approach to elucidate a causal relationship between entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry, neurogenesis, and pattern separation.

4.1. Supportive Findings from Human Studies

Functional imaging studies raise the possibility that the entorhinal cortex may be implicated in the upper hippocampal circuitry to operate pattern separation. Earlier studies used elderly human subjects to examine the association between the entorhinal corteximplicated circuit dysfunction and cognitive declines, because volume reductions in medial temporal lobes including entorhinal cortex have been found to relate to cognitive deficits in both depression [74] and aging [75,76]. One study using high-resolution functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) explored the possibility of entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry dysfunction leading to pattern separation defects by examining the functional activities of the anterolateral entorhinal cortex and hippocampal dentate gyrus and CA3 (Figure 3a) [77]. In a discrimination task, the subjects with functional entorhinal-hippocampal dissociation were unable to distinguish between similar object representations, although their spatial distinction was intact. Specifically, the subjects showed hypoactivity in the anterolateral entorhinal cortex and, inversely, hyperactivity in the hippocampal dentate gyrus and CA3, suggesting that regional activity imbalances may be related to object discrimination defects. This is corroborated by lateral and medial entorhinal cortex physiologies implicated in object and spatial discrimination, respectively. This is also consistent with a study that used rodents to demonstrate that lateral entorhinal neuronal activity is directly related to hippocampal CA3 hyperactivity, although the human fMRI study rendered only indirect measures of neuronal activity from blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) values [78]. Another fMRI study also showed that the entorhinal cortex relates to pattern separation in elderly human subjects with or without a diagnosis of depression, with a focus on the basolateral amygdala, hippocampal dentate gyrus/CA3, and lateral entorhinal cortex (Figure 3a) [79]. Subjects with depression showed hypoactivity in the amygdala and, inversely, hyperactivity in the entorhinal cortex as well as the hippocampus during false discrimination of positive similar representations. The authors suggested that the entorhinal cortex may be involved in the emotional processing of pattern separation, and that there may be upstream circuitry encompassing the amygdala and entorhinal cortex for controlling the hippocampal dentate gyrus/CA3. Together, even though these fMRI experiments using elderly humans only noted functional correlates of pattern separation, the results indirectly provide evidence that the entorhinal cortex may be involved in hippocampal circuitry related to pattern separation. Accordingly, a further approach is required to directly elucidate a causal relationship between the entorhinal– hippocampal circuitry and pattern separation and to comprehensively address whether neurogenesis still mediates between the neural circuit and the cognitive manifestation.



Figure 3. Supportive findings for entorhinal cortex-regulated hippocampal neurogenesis in the regulation of pattern separation. (**a**) Functional activities of upper hippocampal circuit regions including the entorhinal cortex in the regulation of human pattern separation. Imbalances in functional activities of the entorhinal cortex, hippocampus, and amygdala are accompanied with the diminished ability for object discrimination in humans. (**b**) Hippocampal neurogenesis in the reg-

ulation of animal pattern separation. X-ray irradiation on hippocampus results in ablated neurogenesis in mice, which demonstrate the diminished discrimination between the safe and unsafe representations in the contextual fear conditioning task. (c) Entorhinal–hippocampal circuitry and hippocampal neurogenesis in the regulation of animal pattern separation. Chemogenetic stimulation of entorhinal cortex results in enhanced neurogenesis in *Trip8b*-knockdown mouse, which is accompanied by the enhanced discrimination between safe and unsafe contexts in the contextual fear conditioning task.

4.2. Supportive Findings from Animal Studies

Among the earliest approaches in the study of the impact of neurogenesis defects on pattern separation, hippocampal X-ray irradiation or the disruption of synaptic plasticity in dentate granule cells was mostly adopted to recapitulate neural stem cell niche dysfunction to scrutinize impairment in the discrimination of a safe, similar context from the foot-shock context [72,80–83]. Specifically, Clelland and colleagues applied hippocampaldirected X-ray irradiation to a neurogenesis-ablated mouse model and demonstrated pattern separation defects using spatial discrimination and maze tasks (Figure 3b) [70]. The neurogenesis-ablated mice demonstrated an impaired ability to detect subtle differences between two similar contexts in both tasks. This is in line with independent studies in which hippocampal X-ray-irradiated mice demonstrated an impaired ability of pattern separation in contextual fear conditioning tasks [72,83]. The neurogenesis-ablated mice showed similar freezing behavior between a shock-associated context and a similar noshock context compared with controls that were able to discriminate between the two contexts. These consistent findings spurred the question that enhancing neurogenesis may increase pattern separation. Accordingly, Sahay and colleagues developed transgenic mice to selectively enhance adult neurogenesis [72]. In a contextual fear conditioning task, the transgenic mice with functionally integrated adult-born dentate neurons showed significantly enhanced performance in discriminating between similar contexts. Taken together, the neurogenesis ablation and genetic modification of neurogenesis function approaches support the idea that pattern separation is dependent on hippocampal neurogenesis. Nevertheless, the understanding of upper hippocampal circuitry to achieve pattern separation remains unclear.

Yun and colleagues adopted a chemogenetic approach to develop a transgenic mouse model with entorhinal cortex-specific knockdown of a psychosocial stress-induced protein using adeno-associated virus-mediated gene transfer (Figure 3c) [19]. Among various stress-induced proteins, they strategically employed *Trip8b*, a knockdown of which is known to increase the excitability of hippocampal neurons, enabling dentate gyrus neurogenesis. In the knockdown mice, stimulation of entorhinal glutamatergic afferents led to activity-dependent hippocampal neurogenesis, including both the generation and dendritic maturation of adult-born dentate gyrus neurons through enhanced intrinsic excitability of stellate cells in the entorhinal cortex. Then, a behavioral task using contextual fear conditioning was adopted to examine the knockdown mouse's ability (pattern separation) to discriminate the foot shock-associated context from a safe, similar context. The knockdown mice (Trip8b-shRNA) exhibited approximately 50% more freezing in the foot shockpaired context compared with the control mice (SCR-shRNA), thus revealing enhanced pattern separation from glutamatergic entorhinal stimulation. Furthermore, dentate gyrus-directed image-guided X-ray irradiation, reflecting neurogenesis ablation, significantly blunted the effect induced by entorhinal-specific *Trip8b* knockdown. Together, this pioneering work using a chemogenetic approach supports the idea that entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry regulates neurogenesis, thereby enabling pattern separation. Nonetheless, our understanding of the circuitry-regulated neurogenesis mechanism underlying pattern separation remains rudimentary; thus, this field of preclinical research requires more efforts to exploit brain stimulation and optogenetic and chemogenetic approaches to delineate the mechanistic comprehension of entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry giving rise to pattern separation.

5. Entorhinal–Hippocampal Circuitry and Neurogenesis in Mood Regulation

Hippocampal neurogenesis is closely linked to the pathophysiology of depression as well as the response to antidepressant treatments [84,85]. Hippocampal neurogenesis defects are accompanied by depression-related behaviors, such as helplessness and hopelessness [86,87]. Studies indicate that neurogenesis defects mediate alterations of physiology including inflammation [88,89], the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis [90], and neurotrophic factors [91,92], which are all associated with depression or stress resilience. Additionally, neurogenesis defects are known to lower the therapeutic effects of antidepressants, delaying recovery from depression [93]. There are, accordingly, efforts to enhance hippocampal neurogenesis, for which stimulation approaches are adopted to induce behavioral effects in stressed animal models. In such trials, the hippocampus is subjected to deep brain stimulation to enhance neurogenesis, which, however, does not result in alterations in hippocampal-dependent functions such as memory [18,57]. Rather, upper hippocampal circuitry such as the entorhinal cortex emerged as a more appropriate target of brain stimulation approaches in ameliorating depression-related behaviors; studies indicate that deep brain stimulation of the entorhinal cortex leads to improved hippocampal-dependent memory [18,57]. Therefore, the mechanism by which the upper hippocampal circuitry including the entorhinal cortex regulates dentate gyrus neurogenesis and relates to depressive symptoms needs to be delineated. A pioneering study has shed light on some clues regarding the mechanistic understanding of the causal relationship between entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry, neurogenesis, and depression-related behaviors in animal models [19].

To date, there are no available studies that exploit deep or superficial brain stimulations targeting the entorhinal cortex to conceptually link hippocampal neurogenesis and anti-depressive behaviors. Most studies have focused on other brain regions, including the prefrontal cortex [94–107], cingulate cortex [108–115], nucleus accumbens [116–121], thalamus [122–126], and striatum [127–131], in efforts to search for neural circuitry dysfunctions, which greatly contribute to the current understanding of depression as circuitopathy [132]. Considering the concept of circuitopathy, furthering a perspective of hippocampal neurogenesis can at least complement the understanding of depression circuitopathy to elucidate the impact of the neural circuitry on neurogenesis as well as the relationship between neural circuitry–neurogenesis coupling and anti-depressive effects.

Supportive Findings from Animal Studies

Chemogenetic or optogenetic stimulation with behavioral tasks can be an optimal option to untangle the causal relationship between entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry and neurogenesis and anti-depressive behaviors. Yun and colleagues showed that chemogenetic and molecular-based stimulation of the entorhinal cortex combats depression-related behaviors in animals under acute and chronic stressful conditions (Figure 4) [19]. They designed an experiment considering that modulation of hippocampal neuronal activity may increase dentate gyrus neurogenesis and mature dendritic morphology, and these neural changes may lead to anti-depressive behaviors. The authors employed *Trip8b*, a specific stress-induced protein that affects hippocampal neuron activity. Indeed, Trip8b germline knockout mice demonstrated increased hippocampal neuron firing frequency and more neurogenesis and new neuron maturation than controls, particularly in the temporal dentate gyrus, which is a hippocampal subregion associated with emotion processing and response to stress valences [133,134]. These findings indicate that entorhinal cortex-specific Trip8b knockdown enhances dentate gyrus neurogenesis in an activitydependent manner that is modulated by entorhinal cortex afferents to the dentate gyrus. To address the behavioral effects of *Trip8b* knockdown mice, a forced swimming test and novelty-suppressed feeding test were adopted in different stress inoculations, including basal state, acute restraint stress, and chronic stress with long-term exposure to corticosterone [135,136]. Under all stress states, entorhinal cortex-specific Trip8b knockdown promoted anti-depressive behaviors, which are presented both by lower immobility in the forced swimming test and shorter latency to feed in the novelty-suppressed feeding test. The entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry was further scrutinized based on a chemogenetic approach to delineate the glutamatergic or non-glutamatergic neurons responsible for the anti-depressive behaviors. They produced Gq-coupled modified human M3 muscarinic receptor-infused mice that engaged in glutamatergic neurotransmission and mCherry-infused mice that served as controls. The authors demonstrated CamKIIa-iCre-driven mCherry expression [137] exclusively in the entorhinal cortex and hippocampal dentate gyrus, indicating the appropriateness of targeting the entorhinal-dentate gyrus circuit in both mice, and also a higher abundance of c-Fos⁺ cells in the entorhinal cortex and dentate gyrus in the modified M3 muscarinic receptor-infused mice relative to the mCherry-infused control mice, indicating an enhancement in glutamatergic neuronal activity that is followed by the designer ligand administration of clozapine-N-oxide. Intriguingly, chronic chemogenetic stimulation of glutamatergic entorhinal afferents to the dentate gyrus promotes anti-depressive-like behaviors under basal and stress conditions. In a novelty-suppressed feeding test, the modified M3 muscarinic receptor-infused mice exhibited an approximately 50% shorter latency to feed compared with mCherry-infused mice after five weeks, rather than three weeks of clozapine-N-oxide treatment. Additionally, the modified M3 muscarinic receptor-infused mice showed more interaction time with a social target compared with the mCherry-infused mice, after both mice were subjected to a situation mimicking the chronic social defeat model of depression [138]. These findings suggest that glutamatergic entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry regulates hippocampal neurogenesis leading to anti-depressive behaviors, even in stress-inoculated animals ethologically equivalent to depression. Taken together, although still in its infancy, the preclinical work exploiting a chemogenetics-based stimulation approach would establish the distinguished field of depression circuitry, specifically involving the entorhinal cortex and hippocampal dentate gyrus that converges into neural circuitry-regulated neurogenesis, which might be a new key to anti-depressive behaviors.



Figure 4. Supportive findings for entorhinal cortex-regulated hippocampal neurogenesis in the regulation of mood. Entorhinal–hippocampal circuitry and hippocampal neurogenesis in the regulation of animal mood. Chemogenetic stimulation of entorhinal cortex results in enhanced neurogenesis in *Trip8b*-knockdown mouse, which is accompanied by both shorter latency to access food in the novelty-suppressed feeding task and lowered immobility in the forced swimming task.

6. Suggestions for Advancing the Neural Circuitry–Neurogenesis Coupling Model of Depression

Pioneering preclinical studies linking entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry, adult neurogenesis, and emotional and cognitive symptoms of depression proposed a first step for the neural circuitry-neurogenesis coupling model in depression. Nonetheless, more efforts should be made to elucidate the mechanism of the depression model in two parallel directions. Firstly, future research is required to delineate the entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry in detail, considering the complex neurochemical physiology between the entorhinal cortex and hippocampal dentate gyrus. During adult neurogenesis, the entorhinal cortex provides major glutamatergic afferents to the hippocampal dentate gyrus, through which the progeny cells of the hippocampus mature to become granule cells that can be integrated into hippocampal circuits [20,27,139-141]. Meanwhile, some evidence indicates that the entorhinal cortex also provides GABAergic afferents to the hippocampus, which constitutes entorhinal-hippocampal inhibitory circuitry that controls rhythmic theta activity of post-synaptic neurons in the hippocampal dentate gyrus [142,143]. How the excitatory and inhibitory entorhinal afferents can corroborate neurogenesis and hippocampal-dependent anti-depressive behaviors remains elusive, thus demanding further research. Electrophysiologically, entorhinal electric changes, including gamma rhythm oscillations provoked by hippocampal theta rhythm alterations, modulate long-term potentiation for hippocampal-dependent cognitive functions, specifically, memory and learning [144]. How the electrical rhythm changes across the entorhinal cortex and hippocampus modulate neurogenesis and hippocampal-dependent emotional behaviors remains unresolved. Anatomically, the entorhinal cortex has lateral and medial subdivisions that are known to be implicated in the recognition of object and spatial representations, respectively [145]. This is in line with work demonstrating distinct synaptic responses of the hippocampal dentate gyrus to entorhinal subdivision inputs [146]. In response to lateral entorhinal afferents, adult-born granule cells inhibit mature granule cells through group II metabotropic glutamate receptors to shape contextual representations. In response to medial entorhinal afferents, adult-born granule cells excite mature granule cells through N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors to shape spatial representations. Accordingly, questions remain on how the distinct entorhinal subdivisions relate to hippocampal-dependent emotional regulation as well as cognitive performance. Additionally, the hippocampal substructures related to emotional valences remain unresolved. For example, the temporal hippocampal region responsible for emotional processing [134] can also be further investigated in terms of the causal relationship between entorhinal stimulation and hippocampal neurogenesis that results in anti-depressive behaviors. Thus, the mechanism by which the entorhinal cortex is implicated in the regulation of hippocampal neurogenesis and hippocampal-dependent emotional and cognitive functions can be further scrutinized regarding the multifarious aspects of structural, neurophysiological, and electrophysiological interrelationships between the entorhinal cortex and hippocampus.

Secondly, future research is also required to elucidate the complex upper hippocampal circuitries that encompass diverse brain regions implicated in depression pathophysiology while maintaining the perspective of hippocampal neurogenesis. Novel evidence suggests that brain regions beside the entorhinal cortex are also related to dentate gyrus neurogenesis, suggesting that complex neural circuitries are implicated in hippocampaldependent functions as well [147]. For example, deep brain stimulation of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex with high frequency leads to both upregulated neurogenesis-associated genes and enhanced hippocampal neuron proliferation. The prefrontal–hippocampal circuitry is linked to improvement in hippocampal-dependent object recognition [148]. Likewise, emotional memory circuitry is also proposed; basolateral amygdala activity controls hippocampal neurogenesis, fear context-specific proliferation, and recruitment of newborn neurons [149]. Anteromedial thalamic stimulation induces a 76% increase in the proliferation of progenitor neural stem cells in the hippocampal dentate gyrus [150]. Nonetheless, conflicting findings have shown that the prefrontal cortex and nucleus accumbens do not promote hippocampal neurogenesis [151]. On another level, complex hippocampal circuitry regulation is also marked by multiple signaling neurotransmitters, including serotonin from the dorsal and median raphe nuclei, acetylcholine from the septal nucleus and diagonal band of Broca, and dopamine from the ventral tegmental area [14]. The diverse neurotransmission signaling mechanisms also remain to be explored in terms of depression-related behaviors. Altogether, more efforts are required to elucidate how distinct brain regions individually and collectively contribute to neural circuitry-regulated neurogenesis that affects hippocampal-dependent cognitive and emotional functions.

7. Conclusions

As shown in Table 1, the causal relationship between entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry and neurogenesis and depression-related phenotype has been elucidated. The preclinical and clinical studies support the idea that the upper hippocampal circuitry may engage the entorhinal cortex in adult neurogenesis, which in turn drives a neural circuitry-neurogenesis model that can be a plausible concept to combat defects in memory, pattern separation, and mood, all of which are implicated in depression. In combating depression, the hippocampal neural stem cell niche is still regarded as a key functional target of anti-depressants and brain stimulation approaches to promote proliferation and maturation of dentate gyrus neurons. Regarding the linking of hippocampal neurogenesis to anti-depressive effects, entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry can be acquainted knowledge but also a novel area of depression pathophysiology, with a particular focus on the potential of the entorhinal cortex for regulating hippocampal neurogenesis, resulting in the enhancement of memory, pattern separation, and anti-depressive behaviors. Thus, entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry-regulated neurogenesis can be a plausible example of the neural circuitry-neurogenesis coupling model that enables further understanding of depression pathophysiology implicated in hippocampal-dependent cognitive and emotional symptoms in people with depression, and ultimately aids in the development of a more advanced therapeutic approach.

Table 1. Representative works elucidating the casual relationship between entorhinal-hippocampal circuitry and neuro-genesis and depression-related phenotypes.

| Stimulation | Subject | Depression-Related | Target of Stimula- | Consequence in Hippocam- | Behavioral Task | Work * |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--------|
| Approach | | Phenotype | tion | pus | | |
| DBS | Human | Spatial memory | Entorhinal cortex | Hippocampal theta rhythm, resetted | Spatial navigation test | 13 |
| DBS | Mouse | Spatial memory | Entorhinal cortex | Dentate gyrus neurogenesis, enhanced | Morris water maze test | 12 |
| DBS | Rat | Spatial memory | Entorhinal cortex | Dentate gyrus neurogenesis, enhanced | Morris water maze test | 15 |
| Optogenetics | Transgenic rat | Temporal memory | Medial entorhinal cortex | Hippocampal CA1 temporal coding activity, enhanced | Object-treadmill-maze test | 48 |
| Chemogenet- ics | Transgenic mouse | Pattern separation | Entorhinal glutama- tergic afferents | Dentate gyrus neurogenesis, enhanced | Fear-context condition- ing test | 16 |
| Chemogenet- ics | Transgenic mouse | Depressive-like be- haviors | Entorhinal glutama- tergic afferents | Dentate gyrus neurogenesis, enhanced | Forced swimming test Novelty-suppressed feeding test | 16 |
| *Reference number in this article | | | | | | |

Author Contributions: I.B.K. and S.-C.P. designed the study and wrote the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant no. 2019R1A2C1090146

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

References

- 1. Lopez, A.D.; Mathers, C.D.; Ezzati, M.; Jamison, D.T.; Murray, C.J. *Global Burden of Disease and Risk Factors*; The World Bank: Washington, DC, USA, 2006.
- Hay, S.I.; Jayaraman, S.P.; Truelsen, T.; Sorensen, R.J.; Millear, A.; Giussani, G.; Beghi, E. GBD 2015 disease and injury incidence and prevalence collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 310 diseases and injuries, 1990–2015: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2015 (vol 388, pg 1545, 2016). *Lancet* 2017, 389, E1.
- 3. Organization, W.H. Depression and Other Common Mental Disorders: Global Health Estimates; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2017.
- 4. Kupfer, D.J.; Frank, E.; Phillips, M.L. Major depressive disorder: New clinical, neurobiological, and treatment perspectives. *Focus* **2016**, *14*, 266–276.
- 5. Trivedi, M.H. Modeling predictors, moderators and mediators of treatment outcome and resistance in depression. *Biol. Psychiatry* **2013**, *74*, 2–4.
- McGrath, P.J.; Khan, A.Y.; Trivedi, M.H.; Stewart, J.W.; Morris, D.W.; Wisniewski, S.R.; Miyahara, S.; Nierenberg, A.A.; Fava, M.; Rush, A.J. Response to a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (citalopram) in major depressive disorder with melancholic features: A STAR* D report. J. Clin. Psychiatry 2008, 69, 1847–1855.
- Park, S.-C.; Shinfuku, N.; Maramis, M.M.; Lee, M.-S.; Park, Y.C. Adjunctive antipsychotic prescriptions for outpatients with depressive disorders in Asia: The research on Asian psychotropic prescription patterns for antidepressants (REAP-AD) study. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 2015, *172*, 684–685.
- 8. Insel, T.R. Next-generation treatments for mental disorders. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2012, 4, ps119–ps155.
- 9. Kronmüller, K.-T.; Pantel, J.; Köhler, S.; Victor, D.; Giesel, F.; Magnotta, V.A.; Mundt, C.; Essig, M.; Schröder, J. Hippocampal volume and 2-year outcome in depression. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **2008**, *192*, 472–473.
- 10. Yun, S.; Reynolds, R.P.; Masiulis, I.; Eisch, A.J. Re-evaluating the link between neuropsychiatric disorders and dysregulated adult neurogenesis. *Nat. Med.* 2016, *22*, 1239–1247.
- 11. Sahay, A.; Hen, R. Adult hippocampal neurogenesis in depression. Nat. Neurosci. 2007, 10, 1110–1115.
- 12. Ma, D.K.; Kim, W.R.; Ming, G.-l.; Song, H. Activity-dependent extrinsic regulation of adult olfactory bulb and hippocampal neurogenesis. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 2009, 1170, 664.
- 13. Kempermann, G. Regulation of adult hippocampal neurogenesis–implications for novel theories of major depression 1. *Bipolar Disord.* **2002**, *4*, 17–33.
- 14. Song, J.; Olsen, R.H.; Sun, J.; Ming, G.-l.; Song, H. Neuronal circuitry mechanisms regulating adult mammalian neurogenesis. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **2016**, *8*, a018937.
- 15. Stone, S.S.; Teixeira, C.M.; DeVito, L.M.; Zaslavsky, K.; Josselyn, S.A.; Lozano, A.M.; Frankland, P.W. Stimulation of entorhinal cortex promotes adult neurogenesis and facilitates spatial memory. *J. Neurosci.* **2011**, *31*, 13469–13484.
- 16. Zhang, C.; Hu, W.-H.; Wu, D.-L.; Zhang, K.; Zhang, J.-G. Behavioral effects of deep brain stimulation of the anterior nucleus of thalamus, entorhinal cortex and fornix in a rat model of Alzheimer's disease. *Chin. Med. J.* **2015**, *128*, 1190.
- 17. Ronaghi, A.; Zibaii, M.I.; Pandamooz, S.; Nourzei, N.; Motamedi, F.; Ahmadiani, A.; Dargahi, L. Entorhinal cortex stimulation induces dentate gyrus neurogenesis through insulin receptor signaling. *Brain Res. Bull.* **2019**, *144*, 75–84.
- 18. Suthana, N.; Haneef, Z.; Stern, J.; Mukamel, R.; Behnke, E.; Knowlton, B.; Fried, I. Memory enhancement and deep-brain stimulation of the entorhinal area. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2012**, *366*, 502–510.
- 19. Yun, S.; Reynolds, R.P.; Petrof, I.; White, A.; Rivera, P.D.; Segev, A.; Gibson, A.D.; Suarez, M.; DeSalle, M.J.; Ito, N. Stimulation of entorhinal cortex–dentate gyrus circuitry is antidepressive. *Nat. Med.* **2018**, *24*, 658–666.
- 20. Witter, M.P. The perforant path: Projections from the entorhinal cortex to the dentate gyrus. Prog. Brain Res. 2007, 163, 43–61.
- 21. Li, Y.; Mu, Y.; Gage, F.H. Development of neural circuits in the adult hippocampus. Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. 2009, 87, 149–174.
- 22. Claiborne, B.J.; Amaral, D.G.; Cowan, W.M. A light and electron microscopic analysis of the mossy fibers of the rat dentate gyrus. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **1986**, 246, 435–458.
- 23. Köhler, C. Intrinsic connections of the retrohippocampal region in the rat brain. II. The medial entorhinal area. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **1986**, 246, 149–169.
- 24. Kempermann, G.; Jessberger, S.; Steiner, B.; Kronenberg, G. Milestones of neuronal development in the adult hippocampus. *Trends Neurosci.* **2004**, *27*, 447–452.
- 25. Ge, S.; Sailor, K.A.; Ming, G.I.; Song, H. Synaptic integration and plasticity of new neurons in the adult hippocampus. *J. Physiol.* **2008**, *586*, 3759–3765.
- 26. Ge, S.; Goh, E.L.; Sailor, K.A.; Kitabatake, Y.; Ming, G.-l.; Song, H. GABA regulates synaptic integration of newly generated neurons in the adult brain. *Nature* **2006**, *439*, 589–593.
- 27. Vivar, C.; Potter, M.C.; Choi, J.; Lee, J.-y.; Stringer, T.P.; Callaway, E.M.; Gage, F.H.; Suh, H.; Van Praag, H. Monosynaptic inputs to new neurons in the dentate gyrus. *Nat. Commun.* **2012**, *3*, 1–11.

- Schmidt-Hieber, C.; Jonas, P.; Bischofberger, J. Enhanced synaptic plasticity in newly generated granule cells of the adult hippocampus. *Nature* 2004, 429, 184–187.
- 29. Ge, S.; Yang, C.-h.; Hsu, K.-s.; Ming, G.-l.; Song, H. A critical period for enhanced synaptic plasticity in newly generated neurons of the adult brain. *Neuron* 2007, 54, 559–566.
- Lee, M.M.; Reif, A.; Schmitt, A.G. Major depression: A role for hippocampal neurogenesis? In *Behavioral Neurobiology of Depression and Its Treatment*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2012; pp. 153–179.
- Wang, H.; Warner-Schmidt, J.; Varela, S.; Enikolopov, G.; Greengard, P.; Flajolet, M. Norbin ablation results in defective adult hippocampal neurogenesis and depressive-like behavior in mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2015, 112, 9745–9750.
- 32. Zhang, J.; He, H.; Qiao, Y.; Zhou, T.; He, H.; Yi, S.; Zhang, L.; Mo, L.; Li, Y.; Jiang, W. Priming of microglia with IFN-γ impairs adult hippocampal neurogenesis and leads to depression-like behaviors and cognitive defects. *Glia* **2020**, *68*, 2674–2692.
- 33. Zakzanis, K.K.; Leach, L.; Kaplan, E. On the nature and pattern of neurocognitive function in major depressive disorder. *Neuropsychiatry Neuropsychol. Behav. Neurol.* **1998**, *11*, 111–119.
- Elderkin-Thompson, V.; Mintz, J.; Haroon, E.; Lavretsky, H.; Kumar, A. Executive dysfunction and memory in older patients with major and minor depression. *Arch. Clin. Neuropsychol.* 2007, 22, 261–270.
- Austin, M.-P.; Mitchell, P.; Goodwin, G.M. Cognitive deficits in depression: Possible implications for functional neuropathology. Br. J. Psychiatry 2001, 178, 200–206.
- 36. Burt, D.B.; Zembar, M.J.; Niederehe, G. Depression and memory impairment: A meta-analysis of the association, its pattern, and specificity. *Psychol. Bull.* **1995**, *117*, 285.
- Söderlund, H.; Moscovitch, M.; Kumar, N.; Daskalakis, Z.J.; Flint, A.; Herrmann, N.; Levine, B. Autobiographical episodic memory in major depressive disorder. J. Abnorm. Psychol. 2014, 123, 51.
- Bäckman, L.; Forsell, Y. Episodic memory functioning in a community-based sample of old adults with major depression: Utilization of cognitive support. J. Abnorm. Psychol. 1994, 103, 361.
- Lemogne, C.; Piolino, P.; Friszer, S.; Claret, A.; Girault, N.; Jouvent, R.; Allilaire, J.-F.; Fossati, P. Episodic autobiographical memory in depression: Specificity, autonoetic consciousness, and self-perspective. *Conscious. Cogn.* 2006, *15*, 258–268.
 Murray, B.D.; Holland, A.C.; Kensinger, E.A. *Episodic Memory and Emotion*; The Guilford Press: 2013.
- 41. Steffens, D.C.; Byrum, C.E.; McQuoid, D.R.; Greenberg, D.L.; Payne, M.E.; Blitchington, T.F.; MacFall, J.R.; Krishnan, K.R.R. Hippocampal volume in geriatric depression. *Biol. Psychiatry* **2000**, *48*, 301–309.
- 42. Lloyd, A.J.; Ferrier, I.N.; Barber, R.; Gholkar, A.; Young, A.H.; O'Brien, J.T. Hippocampal volume change in depression: Lateand early-onset illness compared. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **2004**, *184*, 488–495.
- 43. O'Brien, J.T.; Lloyd, A.; McKeith, I.; Gholkar, A.; Ferrier, N. A longitudinal study of hippocampal volume, cortisol levels, and cognition in older depressed subjects. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **2004**, *161*, 2081–2090.
- 44. Hickie, I.; Naismith, S.; Ward, P.B.; Turner, K.; Scott, E.; Mitchell, P.; Wilhelm, K.; Parker, G. Reduced hippocampal volumes and memory loss in patients with early-and late-onset depression. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **2005**, *186*, 197–202.
- 45. Bell-McGinty, S.; Butters, M.A.; Meltzer, C.C.; Greer, P.J.; Reynolds, C.F., III; Becker, J.T. Brain morphometric abnormalities in geriatric depression: Long-term neurobiological effects of illness duration. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **2002**, *159*, 1424–1427.
- 46. Fang, J.; Demic, S.; Cheng, S. The reduction of adult neurogenesis in depression impairs the retrieval of new as well as remote episodic memory. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0198406.
- 47. Becker, S.; Wojtowicz, J.M. A model of hippocampal neurogenesis in memory and mood disorders. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 2007, 11, 70–76.
- Déry, N.; Pilgrim, M.; Gibala, M.; Gillen, J.; Wojtowicz, J.M.; MacQueen, G.; Becker, S. Adult hippocampal neurogenesis reduces memory interference in humans: Opposing effects of aerobic exercise and depression. *Front. Neurosci.* 2013, 7, 66.
- 49. Deng, W.; Aimone, J.B.; Gage, F.H. New neurons and new memories: How does adult hippocampal neurogenesis affect learning and memory? *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2010, *11*, 339–350.
- 50. Tsao, A.; Sugar, J.; Lu, L.; Wang, C.; Knierim, J.J.; Moser, M.-B.; Moser, E.I. Integrating time from experience in the lateral entorhinal cortex. *Nature* **2018**, *561*, 57–62.
- 51. Kropff, E.; Carmichael, J.E.; Moser, M.-B.; Moser, E.I. Speed cells in the medial entorhinal cortex. Nature 2015, 523, 419-424.
- 52. Suzuki, W.A.; Miller, E.K.; Desimone, R. Object and place memory in the macaque entorhinal cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* **1997**, *78*, 1062–1081.
- 53. Lipton, P.; Eichenbaum, H. Complementary roles of hippocampus and medial entorhinal cortex in episodic memory. *Neural Plast.* **2008**, 2008, doi:10.1155/2008/258467.
- 54. Sugar, J.; Moser, M.B. Episodic memory: Neuronal codes for what, where, and when. *Hippocampus* 2019, 29, 1190–1205.
- 55. Sasaki, T.; Leutgeb, S.; Leutgeb, J.K. Spatial and memory circuits in the medial entorhinal cortex. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **2015**, *32*, 16–23.
- 56. Buzsáki, G.; Moser, E.I. Memory, navigation and theta rhythm in the hippocampal-entorhinal system. *Nat. Neurosci.* **2013**, *16*, 130–138.
- 57. Jacobs, J.; Miller, J.; Lee, S.A.; Coffey, T.; Watrous, A.J.; Sperling, M.R.; Sharan, A.; Worrell, G.; Berry, B.; Lega, B. Direct electrical stimulation of the human entorhinal region and hippocampus impairs memory. *Neuron* **2016**, *92*, 983–990.
- Kee, N.; Teixeira, C.M.; Wang, A.H.; Frankland, P.W. Preferential incorporation of adult-generated granule cells into spatial memory networks in the dentate gyrus. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2007, 10, 355–362.

- Stone, S.S.; Teixeira, C.M.; Zaslavsky, K.; Wheeler, A.L.; Martinez-Canabal, A.; Wang, A.H.; Sakaguchi, M.; Lozano, A.M.; Frankland, P.W. Functional convergence of developmentally and adult-generated granule cells in dentate gyrus circuits supporting hippocampus-dependent memory. *Hippocampus* 2011, 21, 1348–1362.
- Robinson, N.T.; Priestley, J.B.; Rueckemann, J.W.; Garcia, A.D.; Smeglin, V.A.; Marino, F.A.; Eichenbaum, H. Medial entorhinal cortex selectively supports temporal coding by hippocampal neurons. *Neuron* 2017, 94, 677–688.e676.
- 61. Eichenbaum, H.; Sauvage, M.; Fortin, N.; Komorowski, R.; Lipton, P. Towards a functional organization of episodic memory in the medial temporal lobe. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 2012, *36*, 1597–1608.
- 62. Neunuebel, J.P.; Yoganarasimha, D.; Rao, G.; Knierim, J.J. Conflicts between local and global spatial frameworks dissociate neural representations of the lateral and medial entorhinal cortex. *J. Neurosci.* **2013**, *33*, 9246–9258.
- Nilssen, E.S.; Doan, T.P.; Nigro, M.J.; Ohara, S.; Witter, M.P. Neurons and networks in the entorhinal cortex: A reappraisal of the lateral and medial entorhinal subdivisions mediating parallel cortical pathways. *Hippocampus* 2019, 29, 1238–1254.
- 64. Kerr, K.M.; Agster, K.L.; Furtak, S.C.; Burwell, R.D. Functional neuroanatomy of the parahippocampal region: The lateral and medial entorhinal areas. *Hippocampus* **2007**, *17*, 697–708.
- 65. Fyhn, M.; Molden, S.; Witter, M.P.; Moser, E.I.; Moser, M.-B. Spatial representation in the entorhinal cortex. *Science* **2004**, *305*, 1258–1264.
- 66. Leutgeb, J.K.; Leutgeb, S.; Moser, M.-B.; Moser, E.I. Pattern separation in the dentate gyrus and CA3 of the hippocampus. *Science* **2007**, *315*, 961–966.
- 67. Bakker, A.; Kirwan, C.B.; Miller, M.; Stark, C.E. Pattern separation in the human hippocampal CA3 and dentate gyrus. *Science* **2008**, *319*, 1640–1642.
- 68. Rolls, E. The mechanisms for pattern completion and pattern separation in the hippocampus. Front. Syst. Neurosci. 2013, 7, 74.
- 69. Yassa, M.A.; Stark, C.E. Pattern separation in the hippocampus. *Trends Neurosci.* 2011, 34, 515–525.
- Clelland, C.; Choi, M.; Romberg, C.; Clemenson, G.D.; Fragniere, A.; Tyers, P.; Jessberger, S.; Saksida, L.; Barker, R.; Gage, F. A functional role for adult hippocampal neurogenesis in spatial pattern separation. *Science* 2009, 325, 210–213.
- 71. Gandy, K.; Kim, S.; Sharp, C.; Dindo, L.; Maletic-Savatic, M.; Calarge, C. Pattern separation: A potential marker of impaired hippocampal adult neurogenesis in major depressive disorder. *Front. Neurosci.* **2017**, *11*, 571.
- 72. Sahay, A.; Scobie, K.N.; Hill, A.S.; O'Carroll, C.M.; Kheirbek, M.A.; Burghardt, N.S.; Fenton, A.A.; Dranovsky, A.; Hen, R. Increasing adult hippocampal neurogenesis is sufficient to improve pattern separation. *Nature* **2011**, 472, 466–470.
- Berron, D.; Schütze, H.; Maass, A.; Cardenas-Blanco, A.; Kuijf, H.J.; Kumaran, D.; Düzel, E. Strong evidence for pattern separation in human dentate gyrus. J. Neurosci. 2016, 36, 7569–7579.
- 74. Gerritsen, L.; Comijs, H.C.; van der Graaf, Y.; Knoops, A.J.; Penninx, B.W.; Geerlings, M.I. Depression, hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis, and hippocampal and entorhinal cortex volumes—The SMART Medea study. *Biol. Psychiatry* **2011**, *70*, 373–380.
- 75. Dickerson, B.C.; Feczko, E.; Augustinack, J.C.; Pacheco, J.; Morris, J.C.; Fischl, B.; Buckner, R.L. Differential effects of aging and Alzheimer's disease on medial temporal lobe cortical thickness and surface area. *Neurobiol. Aging* **2009**, *30*, 432–440.
- Olsen, R.K.; Yeung, L.-K.; Noly-Gandon, A.; D'Angelo, M.C.; Kacollja, A.; Smith, V.M.; Ryan, J.D.; Barense, M.D. Human anterolateral entorhinal cortex volumes are associated with cognitive decline in aging prior to clinical diagnosis. *Neurobiol. Aging* 2017, 57, 195–205.
- Reagh, Z.M.; Noche, J.A.; Tustison, N.J.; Delisle, D.; Murray, E.A.; Yassa, M.A. Functional imbalance of anterolateral entorhinal cortex and hippocampal dentate/CA3 underlies age-related object pattern separation deficits. *Neuron* 2018, 97, 1187–1198.e1184.
- Maurer, A.P.; Johnson, S.A.; Hernandez, A.R.; Reasor, J.; Cossio, D.M.; Fertal, K.E.; Mizell, J.M.; Lubke, K.N.; Clark, B.J.; Burke, S.N. Age-related changes in lateral entorhinal and CA3 neuron allocation predict poor performance on object discrimination. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 2017, 11, 49.
- 79. Leal, S.L.; Noche, J.A.; Murray, E.A.; Yassa, M.A. Disruption of amygdala–entorhinal–hippocampal network in late-life depression. *Hippocampus* **2017**, *27*, 464–476.
- Kheirbek, M.A.; Tannenholz, L.; Hen, R. NR2B-dependent plasticity of adult-born granule cells is necessary for context discrimination. J. Neurosci. 2012, 32, 8696–8702.
- Niibori, Y.; Yu, T.-S.; Epp, J.R.; Akers, K.G.; Josselyn, S.A.; Frankland, P.W. Suppression of adult neurogenesis impairs population coding of similar contexts in hippocampal CA3 region. *Nat. Commun.* 2012, *3*, 1–7.
- 82. Wu, M.V.; Hen, R. Functional dissociation of adult-born neurons along the dorsoventral axis of the dentate gyrus. *Hippocampus* **2014**, 24, 751–761.
- Tronel, S.; Belnoue, L.; Grosjean, N.; Revest, J.M.; Piazza, P.V.; Koehl, M.; Abrous, D.N. Adult-born neurons are necessary for extended contextual discrimination. *Hippocampus* 2012, 22, 292–298.
- 84. Mahar, I.; Bambico, F.R.; Mechawar, N.; Nobrega, J.N. Stress, serotonin, and hippocampal neurogenesis in relation to depression and antidepressant effects. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 2014, *38*, 173–192.
- 85. Paizanis, E.; Hamon, M.; Lanfumey, L. Hippocampal neurogenesis, depressive disorders, and antidepressant therapy. *Neural Plast.* **2007**, 2007, doi:10.1155/2007/73754.
- Tang, M.-M.; Lin, W.-J.; Pan, Y.-Q.; Guan, X.-T.; Li, Y.-C. Hippocampal neurogenesis dysfunction linked to depressive-like behaviors in a neuroinflammation induced model of depression. *Physiol. Behav.* 2016, 161, 166–173.
- 87. Sahay, A.; Drew, M.R.; Hen, R. Dentate gyrus neurogenesis and depression. Prog. Brain Res. 2007, 163, 697–822.

- Kubera, M.; Obuchowicz, E.; Goehler, L.; Brzeszcz, J.; Maes, M. In animal models, psychosocial stress-induced (neuro) inflammation, apoptosis and reduced neurogenesis are associated to the onset of depression. *Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* 2011, 35, 744–759.
- Chesnokova, V.; Pechnick, R.N.; Wawrowsky, K. Chronic peripheral inflammation, hippocampal neurogenesis, and behavior. Brain Behav. Immun. 2016, 58, 1–8.
- Lehmann, M.L.; Brachman, R.A.; Martinowich, K.; Schloesser, R.J.; Herkenham, M. Glucocorticoids orchestrate divergent effects on mood through adult neurogenesis. J. Neurosci. 2013, 33, 2961–2972.
- Taliaz, D.; Stall, N.; Dar, D.; Zangen, A. Knockdown of brain-derived neurotrophic factor in specific brain sites precipitates behaviors associated with depression and reduces neurogenesis. *Mol. Psychiatry* 2010, 15, 80–92.
- 92. Lee, E.; Son, H. Adult hippocampal neurogenesis and related neurotrophic factors. BMB Rep. 2009, 42, 239–244.
- 93. Park, S.-C. Neurogenesis and antidepressant action. Cell Tissue Res. 2019, 1–12.
- 94. Pascual-Leone, A.; Rubio, B.; Pallardó, F.; Catalá, M.D. Rapid-rate transcranial magnetic stimulation of left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in drug-resistant depression. *Lancet* **1996**, *348*, 233–237.
- 95. Padberg, F.; George, M.S. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the prefrontal cortex in depression. *Exp. Neurol.* **2009**, 219, 2–13.
- George, M.S.; Nahas, Z.; Molloy, M.; Speer, A.M.; Oliver, N.C.; Li, X.-B.; Arana, G.W.; Risch, S.C.; Ballenger, J.C. A controlled trial of daily left prefrontal cortex TMS for treating depression. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2000, 48, 962–970.
- Boggio, P.S.; Bermpohl, F.; Vergara, A.O.; Muniz, A.L.; Nahas, F.H.; Leme, P.B.; Rigonatti, S.P.; Fregni, F. Go-no-go task performance improvement after anodal transcranial DC stimulation of the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in major depression. *J. Affect. Disord.* 2007, 101, 91–98.
- Nahas, Z.; Teneback, C.C.; Kozel, A.; Speer, A.M.; DeBrux, C.; Molloy, M.; Stallings, L.; Spicer, K.M.; Arana, G.; Bohning, D.E. Brain effects of TMS delivered over prefrontal cortex in depressed adults: Role of stimulation frequency and coil–cortex distance. J. Neuropsychiatry Clin. Neurosci. 2001, 13, 459–470.
- Li, X.; Nahas, Z.; Kozel, F.A.; Anderson, B.; Bohning, D.E.; George, M.S. Acute left prefrontal transcranial magnetic stimulation in depressed patients is associated with immediately increased activity in prefrontal cortical as well as subcortical regions. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2004, 55, 882–890.
- Levkovitz, Y.; Harel, E.V.; Roth, Y.; Braw, Y.; Most, D.; Katz, L.N.; Sheer, A.; Gersner, R.; Zangen, A. Deep transcranial magnetic stimulation over the prefrontal cortex: Evaluation of antidepressant and cognitive effects in depressive patients. *Brain Stimul.* 2009, *2*, 188–200.
- Hamani, C.; Diwan, M.; Macedo, C.E.; Brandão, M.L.; Shumake, J.; Gonzalez-Lima, F.; Raymond, R.; Lozano, A.M.; Fletcher, P.J.; Nobrega, J.N. Antidepressant-like effects of medial prefrontal cortex deep brain stimulation in rats. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2010, 67, 117–124.
 - 102. Fregni, F.; Boggio, P.S.; Nitsche, M.A.; Marcolin, M.A.; Rigonatti, S.P.; Pascual-Leone, A. Treatment of Major Depression with Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation. *Bipolar Disorders* 2006, *8*, 203-204
- Kito, S.; Fujita, K.; Koga, Y. Regional cerebral blood flow changes after low-frequency transcranial magnetic stimulation of the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in treatment-resistant depression. *Neuropsychobiology* 2008, 58, 29–36.
- 104. Voineskos, D.; Blumberger, D.M.; Zomorrodi, R.; Rogasch, N.C.; Farzan, F.; Foussias, G.; Rajji, T.K.; Daskalakis, Z.J. Altered transcranial magnetic stimulation–electroencephalographic markers of inhibition and excitation in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in major depressive disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2019, *85*, 477–486.
- 105. Brennan, S.; McLoughlin, D.M.; O'Connell, R.; Bogue, J.; O'Connor, S.; McHugh, C.; Glennon, M. Anodal transcranial direct current stimulation of the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex enhances emotion recognition in depressed patients and controls. *J. Clin. Exp. Neuropsychol.* 2017, 39, 384–395.
- 106. Randver, R. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex to alleviate depression and cognitive impairment associated with Parkinson's disease: A review and clinical implications. *J. Neurol. Sci.* **2018**, *393*, 88–99.
- Philip, N.S.; Barredo, J.; van't Wout-Frank, M.; Tyrka, A.R.; Price, L.H.; Carpenter, L.L. Network mechanisms of clinical response to transcranial magnetic stimulation in posttraumatic stress disorder and major depressive disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2018, *83*, 263–272.
- 108. Baeken, C.; Marinazzo, D.; Everaert, H.; Wu, G.-R.; Van Hove, C.; Audenaert, K.; Goethals, I.; De Vos, F.; Peremans, K.; De Raedt, R. The impact of accelerated HF-rTMS on the subgenual anterior cingulate cortex in refractory unipolar major depression: Insights from 18FDG PET brain imaging. *Brain Stimul.* 2015, *8*, 808–815.
- 109. Boes, A.D.; Uitermarkt, B.D.; Albazron, F.M.; Lan, M.J.; Liston, C.; Pascual-Leone, A.; Dubin, M.J.; Fox, M.D. Rostral anterior cingulate cortex is a structural correlate of repetitive TMS treatment response in depression. *Brain Stimul.* **2018**, *11*, 575–581.
- Berlim, M.T.; McGirr, A.; Van den Eynde, F.; Fleck, M.P.; Giacobbe, P. Effectiveness and acceptability of deep brain stimulation (DBS) of the subgenual cingulate cortex for treatment-resistant depression: A systematic review and exploratory meta-analysis. J. Affect. Disord. 2014, 159, 31–38.
- 111. Johansen-Berg, H.; Gutman, D.; Behrens, T.; Matthews, P.; Rushworth, M.; Katz, E.; Lozano, A.; Mayberg, H. Anatomical connectivity of the subgenual cingulate region targeted with deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Cereb. Cortex* 2008, *18*, 1374–1383.
- Lozano, A.M.; Mayberg, H.S.; Giacobbe, P.; Hamani, C.; Craddock, R.C.; Kennedy, S.H. Subcallosal cingulate gyrus deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2008, 64, 461–467.

- 113. Riva-Posse, P.; Choi, K.S.; Holtzheimer, P.E.; McIntyre, C.C.; Gross, R.E.; Chaturvedi, A.; Crowell, A.L.; Garlow, S.J.; Rajendra, J.K.; Mayberg, H.S. Defining critical white matter pathways mediating successful subcallosal cingulate deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2014, *76*, 963–969.
- 114. Choi, K.S.; Riva-Posse, P.; Gross, R.E.; Mayberg, H.S. Mapping the "depression switch" during intraoperative testing of subcallosal cingulate deep brain stimulation. *JAMA Neurol.* **2015**, *72*, 1252–1260.
- 115. Jing, Y.; Zhao, N.; Deng, X.P.; Feng, Z.J.; Huang, G.F.; Meng, M.; Zang, Y.F.; Wang, J. Pregenual or subgenual anterior cingulate cortex as potential effective region for brain stimulation of depression. *Brain Behav.* **2020**, *10*, e01591.
- Bewernick, B.H.; Hurlemann, R.; Matusch, A.; Kayser, S.; Grubert, C.; Hadrysiewicz, B.; Axmacher, N.; Lemke, M.; Cooper-Mahkorn, D.; Cohen, M.X. Nucleus accumbens deep brain stimulation decreases ratings of depression and anxiety in treatmentresistant depression. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2010, 67, 110–116.
- Bewernick, B.H.; Kayser, S.; Sturm, V.; Schlaepfer, T.E. Long-term effects of nucleus accumbens deep brain stimulation in treatment-resistant depression: Evidence for sustained efficacy. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2012, 37, 1975–1985.
- Grubert, C.; Hurlemann, R.; Bewernick, B.H.; Kayser, S.; Hadrysiewicz, B.; Axmacher, N.; Sturm, V.; Schlaepfer, T.E. Neuropsychological safety of nucleus accumbens deep brain stimulation for major depression: Effects of 12-month stimulation. *World J. Biol. Psychiatry* 2011, 12, 516–527.
- 119. Falowski, S.M.; Sharan, A.; Reyes, B.A.; Sikkema, C.; Szot, P.; Van Bockstaele, E.J. An evaluation of neuroplasticity and behavior after deep brain stimulation of the nucleus accumbens in an animal model of depression. *Neurosurgery* **2011**, *69*, 1281–1290.
- 120. Nauczyciel, C.; Robic, S.; Dondaine, T.; Verin, M.; Robert, G.; Drapier, D.; Naudet, F.; Millet, B. The nucleus accumbens: A target for deep brain stimulation in resistant major depressive disorder. *J. Mol. Psychiatry* **2013**, *1*, 17.
- Tronnier, V.M.; Rasche, D.; Thorns, V.; Alvarez-Fischer, D.; Münte, T.F.; Zurowski, B. Massive weight loss following deep brain stimulation of the nucleus accumbens in a depressed woman. *Neurocase* 2018, 24, 49–53.
- 122. Jiménez, F.; Velasco, F.; Salin-Pascual, R.; Hernández, J.A.; Velasco, M.; Criales, J.L.; Nicolini, H. A patient with a resistant major depression disorder treated with deep brain stimulation in the inferior thalamic peduncle. *Neurosurgery* 2005, *57*, 585–593.
- 123. Jiménez, F.; Nicolini, H.; Lozano, A.M.; Piedimonte, F.; Salín, R.; Velasco, F. Electrical stimulation of the inferior thalamic peduncle in the treatment of major depression and obsessive compulsive disorders. *World Neurosurg.* 2013, *80*, S30-e17.e25.
- 124. Velasco, M.; Velasco, F.; Jiménez, F.; Carrillo-Ruiz, J.D.; Velasco, A.L.; Salín-Pascual, R. Electrocortical and behavioral responses elicited by acute electrical stimulation of inferior thalamic peduncle and nucleus reticularis thalami in a patient with major depression disorder. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 2006, 117, 320–327.
- 125. Andy, O.J.; Jurko, F. Thalamic stimulation effects on reactive depression. Stereotact. Funct. Neurosurg. 1987, 50, 324–329.
- 126. Magdaleno-Madrigal, V.M.; Pantoja-Jiménez, C.R.; Bazaldúa, A.; Fernández-Mas, R.; Almazán-Alvarado, S.; Bolaños-Alejos, F.; Ortíz-López, L.; Ramírez-Rodriguez, G.B. Acute deep brain stimulation in the thalamic reticular nucleus protects against acute stress and modulates initial events of adult hippocampal neurogenesis. *Behav. Brain Res.* 2016, 314, 65–76.
- 127. Malone, D.A., Jr.; Dougherty, D.D.; Rezai, A.R.; Carpenter, L.L.; Friehs, G.M.; Eskandar, E.N.; Rauch, S.L.; Rasmussen, S.A.; Machado, A.G.; Kubu, C.S. Deep brain stimulation of the ventral capsule/ventral striatum for treatment-resistant depression. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2009, 65, 267–275.
- 128. Dougherty, D.D.; Rezai, A.R.; Carpenter, L.L.; Howland, R.H.; Bhati, M.T.; O'Reardon, J.P.; Eskandar, E.N.; Baltuch, G.H.; Machado, A.D.; Kondziolka, D. A randomized sham-controlled trial of deep brain stimulation of the ventral capsule/ventral striatum for chronic treatment-resistant depression. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2015, *78*, 240–248.
- Pogarell, O.; Koch, W.; Pöpperl, G.; Tatsch, K.; Jakob, F.; Zwanzger, P.; Mulert, C.; Rupprecht, R.; Möller, H.-J.; Hegerl, U. Striatal dopamine release after prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in major depression: Preliminary results of a dynamic [123I] IBZM SPECT study. J. Psychiatr. Res. 2006, 40, 307–314.
- Kubu, C.S.; Brelje, T.; Butters, M.A.; Deckersbach, T.; Malloy, P.; Moberg, P.; Tröster, A.I.; Williamson, E.; Baltuch, G.H.; Bhati, M.T. Cognitive outcome after ventral capsule/ventral striatum stimulation for treatment-resistant major depression. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 2017, *88*, 262–265.
- Kubu, C.S.; Malone, D.A.; Chelune, G.; Malloy, P.; Rezai, A.R.; Frazier, T.; Machado, A.; Rasmussen, S.; Friehs, G.; Greenberg, B.D. Neuropsychological outcome after deep brain stimulation in the ventral capsule/ventral striatum for highly refractory obsessive-compulsive disorder or major depression. *Stereotact. Funct. Neurosurg.* 2013, 91, 374–378.
- 132. Lozano, A.M.; Lipsman, N. Probing and regulating dysfunctional circuits using deep brain stimulation. *Neuron* **2013**, 77, 406–424.
- Kjelstrup, K.G.; Tuvnes, F.A.; Steffenach, H.-A.; Murison, R.; Moser, E.I.; Moser, M.-B. Reduced fear expression after lesions of the ventral hippocampus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2002, 99, 10825–10830.
- 134. Fanselow, M.S.; Dong, H.-W. Are the dorsal and ventral hippocampus functionally distinct structures? Neuron 2010, 65, 7–19.
- 135. Stone, E.A.; Lin, Y. An anti-immobility effect of exogenous corticosterone in mice. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2008, 580, 135–142.
- 136. Murray, F.; Smith, D.W.; Hutson, P.H. Chronic low dose corticosterone exposure decreased hippocampal cell proliferation, volume and induced anxiety and depression like behaviours in mice. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2008**, *583*, 115–127.
- 137. Casanova, E.; Fehsenfeld, S.; Mantamadiotis, T.; Lemberger, T.; Greiner, E.; Stewart, A.; Schütz, G. A CamKIIα iCre BAC allows brain-specific gene inactivation. *Genesis* **2001**, *31*, 37–42.
- Krishnan, V.; Han, M.-H.; Graham, D.L.; Berton, O.; Renthal, W.; Russo, S.J.; LaPlant, Q.; Graham, A.; Lutter, M.; Lagace, D.C. Molecular adaptations underlying susceptibility and resistance to social defeat in brain reward regions. *Cell* 2007, 131, 391–404.

- 139. Toni, N.; Schinder, A.F. Maturation and functional integration of new granule cells into the adult hippocampus. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **2016**, *8*, a018903.
- 140. Cameron, H.A.; McEwen, B.S.; Gould, E. Regulation of adult neurogenesis by excitatory input and NMDA receptor activation in the dentate gyrus. *J. Neurosci.* **1995**, *15*, 4687–4692.
- 141. Schlett, K. Glutamate as a modulator of embryonic and adult neurogenesis. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2006, 6, 949–960.
- Melzer, S.; Michael, M.; Caputi, A.; Eliava, M.; Fuchs, E.C.; Whittington, M.A.; Monyer, H. Long-range–projecting GABAergic neurons modulate inhibition in hippocampus and entorhinal cortex. *Science* 2012, 335, 1506–1510.
- Lee, C.-T.; Kao, M.-H.; Hou, W.-H.; Wei, Y.-T.; Chen, C.-L.; Lien, C.-C. Causal evidence for the role of specific GABAergic interneuron types in entorhinal recruitment of dentate granule cells. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 36885.
- 144. Colgin, L.L. Theta–gamma coupling in the entorhinal–hippocampal system. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 2015, 31, 45–50.
- 145. Reagh, Z.M.; Yassa, M.A. Object and spatial mnemonic interference differentially engage lateral and medial entorhinal cortex in humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2014**, *111*, E4264–E4273.
- 146. Luna, V.M.; Anacker, C.; Burghardt, N.S.; Khandaker, H.; Andreu, V.; Millette, A.; Leary, P.; Ravenelle, R.; Jimenez, J.C.; Mastrodonato, A. Adult-born hippocampal neurons bidirectionally modulate entorhinal inputs into the dentate gyrus. *Science* 2019, 364, 578–583.
- 147. Acsady, L.; Kali, S. Models, structure, function: The transformation of cortical signals in the dentate gyrus. *Prog. Brain Res.* 2007, *163*, 577–599.
- 148. Liu, A.; Jain, N.; Vyas, A.; Lim, L.W. Ventromedial prefrontal cortex stimulation enhances memory and hippocampal neurogenesis in the middle-aged rats. *Elife* **2015**, *4*, e04803.
- Kirby, E.D.; Friedman, A.R.; Covarrubias, D.; Ying, C.; Sun, W.G.; Goosens, K.A.; Sapolsky, R.M.; Kaufer, D. Basolateral amygdala regulation of adult hippocampal neurogenesis and fear-related activation of newborn neurons. *Mol. Psychiatry* 2012, 17, 527–536.
- 150. Chamaa, F.; Sweidan, W.; Nahas, Z.; Saade, N.; Abou-Kheir, W. Thalamic stimulation in awake rats induces neurogenesis in the hippocampal formation. *Brain Stimul.* **2016**, *9*, 101–108.
- 151. Winter, C.; Bregman, T.; Voget, M.; Raymond, R.; Hadar, R.; Nobrega, J.N.; Hamani, C. Acute high frequency stimulation of the prefrontal cortex or nucleus accumbens does not increase hippocampal neurogenesis in rats. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 2015, *68*, 27–29.