

Article



Genome-Wide SNP Analysis of Male and Female Rice Field Frogs, *Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*, Supports a Non-Genetic Sex Determination System

Thitipong Panthum ^{1,2,3,4}, Worapong Singchat ^{1,3,4}, Nararat Laopichienpong ^{1,3,4}, Syed Farhan Ahmad ^{1,4}, Ekaphan Kraichak ⁵, Prateep Duengkae ^{1,4}, Narongrit Muangmai ^{1,6}, Noppadon Kitana ⁷ and Kornsorn Srikulnath ^{1,2,3,4,8,*}

- ¹ Animal Genomics and Bioresource Research Center (AGB Research Center), Faculty of Science, Kasetsart University, 50 Ngamwongwan, Chatuchak, Bangkok 10900, Thailand; thitipong.pa@ku.th (T.P.); worapong.si@ku.th (W.S.); nararat.l@ku.th (N.L.); syedfarhan.a@ku.th (S.F.A.); prateep.du@ku.ac.th (P.D.); ffisnrm@ku.ac.th (N.M.)
- ² Interdisciplinary Graduate Program in Bioscience, Faculty of Science, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900, Thailand
- ³ Laboratory of Animal Cytogenetics and Comparative Genomics (ACCG), Department of Genetics, Faculty of Science, Kasetsart University, 50 Ngamwongwan, Chatuchak, Bangkok 10900, Thailand
- ⁴ Special Research Unit for Wildlife Genomics (SRUWG), Department of Forest Biology, Faculty of Forestry, Kasetsart University, 50 Ngamwongwan, Chatuchak, Bangkok 10900, Thailand
- ⁵ Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Kasetsart University, 50 Ngamwongwan, Chatuchak, Bangkok 10900, Thailand; ekaphan.k@ku.th
- ⁶ Department of Fishery Biology, Faculty of Fisheries, Kasetsart University, 50 Ngamwongwan, Chatuchak, Bangkok 10900, Thailand
 - Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University Phayathai Rd., Bangkok 10330, Thailand; noppadon.k@chula.ac.th
- ⁸ Amphibian Research Center, Hiroshima University 1-3-1, Kagamiyama, Higashihiroshima 739-8526, Japan
- * Correspondence: kornsorn.s@ku.ac.th

Abstract: Sex determination systems (SDSs) in anurans are diverse and have undergone independent evolutionary transitions among species. The mode of sexual reproduction of the rice field frog (*Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*)—an economically viable, edible amphibian species—is not well known. Previous studies have proposed that threshold temperature conditions may determine sex in these frogs. To elucidate the SDS in *H. rugulosus*, we karyotyped 10 male and 12 female frogs, and performed fluorescence in situ hybridization combined with sequencing analyses using DArTseqTM. Our results revealed a highly conserved karyotype with no sex chromosome heteromorphism, and the sequencing analyses did not identify any consistent sex-linked loci, supporting the hypothesis of temperature-dependent sex determination. The results of this study, and others, on SDSs in the rice field frog and related species also provide support for the theory that heteromorphic sex chromosomes may lead to an evolutionary trap that prevents variable SDSs. These findings add important information to the body of knowledge on *H. rugulosus* and are likely to have a significant impact on the productivity and economic success of rice field frog farming.

Keywords: amphibian; temperature; SNP; sex determination

1. Introduction

Sex determination systems (SDSs) in anurans involve both genotypic sex determination (GSD) and environmental sex determination (ESD) systems [1–6]. The investigation of GSD is difficult because many anurans have homomorphic sex chromosomes that cannot be easily identified using cytogenetic approaches [7,8]. Advanced high-throughput molecular methods have, therefore, been applied to determine genotype by sequencing,

Citation: Panthum, T.; Singchat, W.; Laopichienpong, N.; Ahmad, S.F.; Duengkae, P.; Kraichak, E.; Muangmai, N.; Kitana, N.; Srikulnath, K. Genome-Wide SNP Analysis of Male and Female Rice Field Frogs, *Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*, Supports a Non-Genetic Sex Determination System. *Diversity* **2021**, *13*, 501. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/d13100501

Academic Editor: Michael Wink

Received: 17 September 2021 Accepted: 12 October 2021 Published: 16 October 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). including restriction site-associated DNA sequencing (RAD-seq), double-digest RAD-seq (ddRADseq), and even diversity arrays technology sequencing (DArTseq) [8,9]. Most of these are effective methods for identifying sex-linked markers in non-model species using single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) loci [7,8,10–13]. Remarkably, markers generated by DArTseq[™] have been shown to reveal loci associated with a particular sex, thereby accurately identifying loci tightly linked to the sex-determining region of sex chromosomes and providing a useful molecular tool for deciphering SDSs in non-model species with cryptic sex chromosomes or sex reversal events [7,10].

The Dicroglossidae family of frogs, with 188 recognized species, represents one of the most ecologically diverse and species-rich families of the order Anura [14]. This family is closely related to the Ranidae, Mantellidae, and Rhacophoridae families [15–18]. The rice field frog (Hoplobatrachus rugulosus, Wiegmann, 1834) [19] is a large, robust dicroglossid frog that is widely distributed throughout wetlands and paddy fields from south-central China to the Thai-Malay Peninsula [20,21]. It is also present in a variety of lowland habitats, including intermittent freshwater marshes and seasonally flooded agricultural land [22]. This frog has potential as an experimental species in many scientific research fields [23–30]. The rice field frog is also considered to be an edible frog species owing to its nutritious meat and it has considerable economic value [31,32], as it has been widely adopted for breeding programs throughout Thailand [33]. Farming of the rice field frog is rapidly expanding owing to its superior growth and disease resistance [34]. However, it is difficult to develop sustainable breeding management programs because sex distribution within populations is variable or biased in different geographic regions [35]. Although there are many rice field frog farms across Thailand, there is no information about the SDS of this species. A proper understanding of the sex determination system of the rice field frog is, therefore, a prerequisite for the success and expansion of breeding programs.

Many anurans are known to exhibit GSD, although the mode of sex determination has repeatedly switched throughout their evolutionary history [5,6,36–38]. Most Dicroglossidae, Ranidae, Mantellidae, and Rhacophoridae members have highly conserved karyotypes with diploid chromosome numbers (2n) ranging from 22 to 26, with GSD. These phylogenetic findings suggest that the rice field frog might also exhibit GSD, although it is worth noting that significant variation in anuran SDSs has been recorded among closely related species, as well as between different populations of the same species [39]. Several anurans show substantial geographic variation in SDSs [2,7,40-42]. Higher temperatures have been shown to result in the production of more males than females [43] indicating that the rice field frog might undergo ESD subject to temperature (temperature-dependent sex determination: TSD). In these frogs, only an ovary is initially observed, while testicular differentiation begins later, during the first week after metamorphosis, and occurs via an intersex condition. The pattern of gonadal sex differentiation in the rice field frogs is undifferentiated. Only female gonads are observed during metamorphosis, while intersex and male gonads are observed later [44]. The gonads of rice field frog tadpoles are also likely biased toward males at high temperatures [45,46]. In light of this scenario, we hypothesized that the rice field frog might exhibit TSD, since a sex bias in genome-wide SNP patterns was not observed. In this study, we addressed the SDS knowledge gap regarding H. rugulosus using both cytogenetic and genome-wide SNP approaches, using DArTseq[™] of DNA from captive-bred individuals which were sexed based on phenotype. Our findings provide novel insights into the evolutionary history of sex determination in dicroglossid frogs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Specimens and DNA Extraction

Ten male and twelve female rice field frogs (*H. rugulosus*) from several clutches were collected from the Amphibian and Reptile Research Unit at Chulalongkorn University.

These frogs were originally obtained from a Northern Thai population that represents a single clade [27,47]. Adults were sampled with a standard weight of 200–250 g and length of 12.7–15.2 cm. The sex of each individual was determined based on morphological characteristics of which male and female are sexually distinct around 1 year and mature for mating [48–50] (Figure S1). The animals were euthanized in 0.25% (w/v) MS-222 (tricaine methanesulfonate). Blood samples were collected for DNA extraction, bone marrow for mitotic chromosome preparation. The sex of each individual was also confirmed by internal examination of gonadal morphology. All animal care and experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Experiment Committees of Chulalongkorn University (Protocol Review No. 1623002) and Kasetsart University (approval no. ACKU63-SCI-011), Thailand, and were conducted in accordance with the Regulations on Animal Experiments at Chulalongkorn University and Kasetsart University. Whole genomic DNA was extracted following the standard salting-out protocol as described previously, with slight modifications for extraction from different tissues [51]. The high-molecular-weight DNA samples were stored at -20 °C until required for DArTseq library construction, as described previously [10–13].

2.2. DArT Sequencing, Genotyping and Analysis

The DArTseq methodology for sequencing and genotyping by SNP loci was applied according to the protocol described by Jaccoud et al. (2001) [52]. Variability among SNP loci generates presence/absence polymorphisms in restriction sites, which are called presence-absence (PA) markers. Genotyping of multiple loci was performed using DArTseq[™] (Diversity Arrays Technology Pty Ltd., Canberra, ACT, Australia) for SNP loci and in silico DArT to determine candidate sex-specific loci between male and female individuals. Approximately 100 ng of DNA from each sample was used for the development of DArTseq arrays. The DNA samples were subjected to digestion and ligation reactions, as described previously [10–13,53]. Sequences were processed using proprietary DArTseq analytical pipelines [54]. The outputs generated by DArTsoft14 were filtered based on reproducibility values (>3.5), average count for each sequence (sequencing depth > 5), balance of average counts for each SNP allele (>0.9), and call rate (>0.8) (proportion of samples for which the marker was scored as described previously [10–13]. Sex-linked or specific loci were obtained from the analysis of SNPs and PA markers. For an XX/XY sex determination system, the SNP and PA loci sequenced for 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, and 100% of males were included in a separate data set. Loci that passed the 100% filtering criterion were designated as perfectly sex-linked, whereas those passing the 60–90% thresholds were considered moderately sex-linked loci, as described previously [10–13]. An opposite but similar approach was used to target loci based on a ZZ/ZW system. The Hamming distance was calculated to determine the number of combined loci between male and female individuals for pairwise differences in SNP and PA loci using the "rdist" function in R version 3.5.1. The Hamming distance represents the number of pairwise differences between all individuals across all loci. The Cochran–Armitage trend test (CATT) was performed to examine the genetic association between each locus and phenotypic sex from SNP and PA loci using the "catt" function of R version 3.5.1 with the HapEstXXR package. The CATT results were similar to those of a chi-square test that assessed whether the proportion of different genotypes followed the null expectation. Polymorphic information content (PIC), which is an index for evaluation of the informativeness of SNP and PA loci, was calculated for each locus and ranged from 0 (fixation of one allele) to 0.5 (frequencies of both alleles are equal) [10–13,55,56]. The probability of candidate sex-linked loci showing random associations with sex in a small sample size was estimated using the formula $P_i = 0.5^n$, where P is the probability for a given locus, i is sex-linked, 0.5 is the probability that either a female is homozygous or a male is heterozygous at a given locus, and n is the number of individuals sequenced at the locus, as described previously [10–13]. The full dataset and metadata from this publication are available from the Dryad Digital Repository. Dataset, https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.7pvmcvdsv.(accessed on 29 June 2021)

2.3. Chromosome Preparation

Mitotic chromosomes were obtained from bone marrow cells using an air-drying method. Briefly, after an abdominal cavity injection of 0.01% colchicine (Sigma, St. Louis, MI, USA) (0.7 mL per 100 g of frog weight) for 2 h, frogs were anesthetized by surgical anesthesia, and femurs and tibias were collected from each individual. The bone heads were cut just enough to insert a 23-gauge needle into the marrow cavity, and cells were flushed out into a conical centrifuge tube using a 1 cc syringe filled with 0.075 M KCl. After hypotonic treatment of bone marrow in 0.075 M KCl for 30 min at room temperature, the cells were collected by filtration using gauze and then fixed with 3:1 methanol/acetic acid. A drop of cell suspension was placed onto a clean glass slide and air-dried. The slides were stored at -80 °C until use. For karyotyping with conventional Giemsa staining, the chromosome slides were stained with 4% Giemsa solution (pH 7.2) for 10 min.

2.4. Microsatellite Repeat Motifs, Telomeric (TTAGGG)_n FISH Mapping

An amplification of microsatellite repeat motifs is often observed with Y or W sex chromosomes in vertebrates [57–62]. To extensively identify sex chromosomes in jade perch, we performed telomeric repeats and 19 microsatellite repeat motifs using FISH mapping. Chromosomal locations of telomeric (TTAGGG)ⁿ sequences and 19 microsatellite repeat motifs were determined using FISH as previously described [59,63,64]. The microsatellite repeat motifs were: (CA)¹⁵, (GC)¹⁵, (GA)¹⁵, (AT)¹⁵, (CAA)¹⁰, (CAG)¹⁰, (CAG)¹⁰, (CAG)¹⁰, (AAG)¹⁰, (AAT)¹⁰, (AAGG)⁸, (AATC)⁸, (ACGC)⁸, (AAAT)⁸, (AAAC)⁸, (AATG)⁸, (AAATC)⁶, and (AAAAT)⁶. Fluorescence hybridization signals were captured using a cooled charge-coupled device camera mounted on a Nikon Eclipse 80 microscope and processed using NIS-Elements BR 3.2, software (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

3. Results

3.1. Determination of Sex System and Identification of Sex-Linked Loci in Rice Field Frog

We sequenced 70,269 SNP loci and an additional 96,789 PA loci. PIC values ranged from 0.00 to 0.50 with an average of 0.33 for SNPs, and 0.01 to 0.50 with an average of 0.34 for PA markers. To determine whether GSD (XX/XY or ZZ/ZW) or ESD was the SDS in the rice field frog, we compared a number of SNP and PA loci by filtering using a gradually changing set of criteria. After filtering using male: female ratios of 40:60, 30:70, 20:80, 10:90, and 0:100, no sex-linked or sex-specific significant loci were associated with phenotypic sex in either the ZZ/ZW or the XX/XY GSD system (Figure 1 and Tables 1 and 2).



Figure 1. Hamming distance between male and female rice field frogs (*Hoplobatrachus rugulosus* Wiegmann, 1834). Analysis in consideration of a XX/XY genetic sex determination system: (**a**) SNP loci filtered with the criterion of 60:40 (males:females), (**b**) PA loci filtered with the criterion of 60:40 (males:females), (**c**) SNP loci filtered with the criterion of 70:30 (males:females), and (**d**) PA loci filtered with the criterion of 70:30 (males:females). Analysis in consideration of a ZZ/ZW genetic sex determination system: (**e**) SNP loci filtered with the criterion of 60:40 (females:males), (**f**) PA loci filtered with the criterion of 70:30 (females:males), (**f**) PA loci filtered with the criterion of 70:30 (females:males).

Table 1. DArT analysis of 10 male and 12 female rice field frogs (*Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*, Wiegmann, 1834) (XX/XY sex determination type).

	60.40 Mala Famala				70.20 Male Formale				80:20		90:10		100:0	
		40 IVIA	le.rema	le	70.50 mare:remare				Male:Female		Male:Female		Male:Female	
	SNP 1		PA ²		SNP		PA		SNP	PA	SNP	PA	SNP	PA
	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
Total number of	70.200		96,789	-	70,269	-	96,789	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
DArT analyses	70,269	-												
Sex-linked loci	142	-	7326	-	2	-	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Overall mean														
distance between	$0.712 \pm$		$0.582 \pm$		$0.712 \pm$		$0.673 \pm$							
males and fe-	0.020	-	0.019	-	0.031	-	0.019	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
males														
Overall mean	0 727 .		0.665		0 692 1		0.022 +							
distance within	$0.737 \pm$	-	$0.000 \pm$	-	0.062 ±	-	$0.035 \pm$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
females	0.023		0.021		0.032		0.055							
Overall mean	0.600 +		0 507 1		0 500 1		0.462							
distance within	0.009 ±	-	$0.307 \pm$	-	$0.000 \pm$	-	$0.402 \pm$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
males	0.050		0.036		0.062		0.038							

¹ SNP, single nucleotide polymorphic loci. ² PA, Restriction fragment presence/absence loci.

	40:60 Male:Female				30:70 Male:Female				20:80 Male:Female		10:90 Male:Female		0:100 Male:Female	
	SNP 1		PA ²		SNP		PA		SNP	PA	SNP	PA	SNP	PA
	Before	After	Be- fore	After	Be- fore	After	Be- fore	After	Be- fore	After	Be- fore	After	Be- fore	After
Total number of DArT analyses	70,269	-	96,789	-	70,269) _	96,78 9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sex-linked loci	33]	-	883	-	-	-	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Overall mean distance between males and fe- males	0.712±0. 020	-	0.582± 0.019	-	-	-	0.582 ±0.01 9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Overall mean distance within females	0.737±0. 023	-	0.665± 0.021	-	-	-	0.665 ±0.02 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Overall mean distance within males	0.609±0. 036	-	0.507± 0.036	-	-	-	0.507 ±0.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 2. DArT analysis of 10 male and 12 female rice field frogs (*Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*, Wiegmann, 1834) (ZZ/ZW sex determination type).

¹ SNP, single nucleotide polymorphic loci. ² PA, Restriction fragment presence/absence loci.

3.2. *Karyotype*

More than 20 Giemsa-stained metaphase spreads were examined for each rice field frog. Metaphase analysis revealed chromosome numbers to be 2n = 26, comprising one pair of large metacentrics (first), one pair of large submetacentrics (second), two pairs of medium-sized submetacentrics (third and fourth), one pair of medium-sized metacentrics (fifth), four pairs of small-sized metacentrics (sixth, seventh, eighth, and ninth), and four pairs of small-sized submetacentrics (tenth, eleventh, twelfth, and thirteenth) (Figure 2).

3.3. Chromosomal Locations of the Telomeric (TTAGGG)ⁿ Sequences and Microsatellite Repeat Motifs

The results from FISH analysis revealed hybridization signals indicating the presence of TTAGGG repeats at the telomeric ends of all chromosomes, and interstitial signals were observed in seven chromosome pairs (Figure S2). Hybridization signals for microsatellite repeat motifs of (AGAT)⁸ were detected in the subterminal region of the short arm of chromosome 1 in all males and females (Figure 2). However, no signals were observed for the following 18 microsatellite repeat motifs: (CA)₁₅, (GC)₁₅, (GA)₁₅, (AT)₁₅, (CAA)₁₀, (CAG)₁₀, (CGG)₁₀, (CAT)₁₀, (GAG)₁₀, (AAT)₁₀, (ACGC)₈, (AAGG)₈, (AATC)₈, (AAAC)₈, (AATG)₈, (AAAT)₈, (AAATC)₆, and (AAAAT)₆.



Figure 2. Karyotype and chromosomal locations of microsatellite repeat motifs of the rice field frog (*Hoplobatrachus rugulosus* Wiegmann, 1834). Giemsa-stained karyotype of the rice field frog (*H. rugulosus*) (**a**) males and (**b**) females. Hybridization patterns of FITC-labeled (AGAT)⁸ on DAPI-stained chromosomes in (**c**) males and (**d**) females. Arrows indicate the hybridization signals. The scale bar represents 10 µm.

4. Discussion

Many changes in the sex determination mode of anurans have been reported, making them a compelling focus for studies of dynamic SDSs [65,66]. Most Dicroglossidae, Ranidae, Mantellidae, and Rhacophoridae family members have highly conserved karyotypes with diploid chromosome numbers (2n) ranging from 22 to 26, with GSD. Our chromosome analyses of the rice field frog revealed that it has a highly conserved karyotype with 2n = 26, in line with previous reports [67–72], although slight karyotypic variation with different numbers of metacentric and submetacentric chromosomes was observed among different origins of specimens. This type of variation was also reported in the bullfrog (*H. tigerinus*, 2n = 26), which is a closely related species [73], possibly resulting from the presence of small inversions, translocations, or heterochromatin propagation in the lineages of the rice field frog and the bullfrog. Comparative chromosome mapping with FISH and functional cDNA or bacterial artificial chromosome probes is required to deduce the process of chromosomal rearrangements in these lineages [60,61,74]. No heteromorphism between male and female karyotypes was found in the rice field frog. These results suggested that rice field frogs may contain cryptic sex chromosomes. We, therefore, applied DArTseq[™] technology to a large number of SNP and PA loci to enable the prediction of SDSs and sex-linked loci for the rice field frog using a sample size of 22 individuals (10 males and 12 females). No SNP or PA markers were identified for male- or female-linked loci across all specimens examined, indicating that the sex determination of the rice field frog is likely to be non-GSD. However, it should be noted that false-positive signals might be expected in such specimens because of their diverse genetic backgrounds [75]. The DArTseq[™] technique has a few inherent deficiencies, such as low genome coverage and lack of prior information regarding the association of markers with targeted genes [76]. The phenotypic sex of anurans is easily affected by environmental factors such as temperature, endocrine-disrupting chemical pollutants, and genetic disturbances, such as hybridization through breeding between genetically different populations [77]. Previous studies have reported that the hatching success rate and survival rate of rice field frogs are significantly affected by temperature [30]. The proportion of males in a group rose to over 80% at 29 °C to 34 °C, suggesting that the gonads of rice field frog tadpoles are biased toward males at high temperatures [46]. The results from our genome-wide SNP analyses support the hypothesis of a TSD in rice field frogs. A similar pattern was also observed in Rana chensinensis, David, 1875 [78], Hong Kong rice-paddy frogs (Fejervarya multistriata, Hallowell, 1861) [79], and giant spiny frogs (Quasipaa spinose, David, 1875) [80], suggesting that high temperature promotes a bias toward males in most ESD anurans. Studies have shown that gonadal differentiation, locomotion, and growth in the rice field frog are not

completely controlled by genes, while environmental factors such as temperature and hormones also affect gonadal differentiation to determine phenotypic sex [81]. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying TSD are difficult to identify. Genetic variants that alter the function of TSD genes are associated with gonadal phenotypes and allelic polymorphisms can affect biochemical pathways in response to temperature. Regulatory variants may also change the level of gene expression influenced by temperature [82]. Alternatively, if a single gene on a recombining chromosome determines sex, this gene is likely to be missed in a genome SNP analysis using reduced-representation approaches such as DArTseq[™]. Moreover, sex hormone dependence such as steroid hormones or androgens can also induce sex reversal in many frog species. In the rice field frog, the sex ratios treated with letrozole at 29 °C and 34 °C were significantly biased toward males, and male ratio increased as letrozole concentration increased [46]. It will be interesting to discover which of the three alternatives is most applicable to determine SDS in the rice field frog by conducting actual investigations.

Phylogenetic comparison of published SDSs in Dicroglossidae, Ranidae, Mantellidae, and Rhacophoridae, as well as our current data, raise questions about how and why temperature (a stochastic environmental factor), rather than GSD, influences the fate decision toward female or male differentiation in the rice field frog. Together, these findings support the hypothesis that sex chromosomes may form an evolutionary trap with respect to SDSs. Sex chromosomes undergo cycles of turnover by default unless the tipping point of differentiation is crossed. This establishes a heteromorphic sex chromosome trap, whereas homomorphic sex chromosomes retain the ability to turn over [83]. Anurans possess homomorphic sex chromosomes that appear to be evolutionarily young owing to their frequent turnover [84]. The transition from GSD to TSD or turnover to different GSD systems requires traversing a group of fitness-related genes, where individuals are produced carrying suboptimal or lethal WW or YY genotypes. However, several species appear to have escaped the sex chromosome evolutionary trap and evolved independently, such as pleurodonts and their sister group, corytophanids, which harbor different, partial-linkage sex chromosomal groups within their internal lineage [85,86]. The tendency for recurrence among sex chromosomal groups often results in homoplasy, and nearly homomorphic sex chromosomes are difficult to identify as X/Z and Y/W counterparts when using the Cbanding approach during chromosome analysis. Here, no C-positive heterochromatin was found in rice field frog chromosomes (data not shown). By contrast, large C-positive heterochromatins of the entire W sex chromosome in the bullfrog (*H. tigerinus*) were observed, while no heteromorphic sex chromosomes were found by conventional Giemsa staining [73]. Applications of advanced omics technology and molecular cytogenetics are necessary to further elucidate the status of sex chromosomes in many anurans. Highthroughput transcriptome sequencing of male and female gonad tissues may be another powerful approach to determine any key genes/differential expression patterns of genes that can be targeted by either sex in the rice field frog to elucidate the sex determination system in this frog species. Studying doses compensation events in rice field frogs (H. rugulosus) and other anurans may shed light on the pattern of sexual differentiation in this frog species. While there is a solid understanding of the evolutionary significance of TSD, the mechanistic basis of this SDS is still an enigma.

5. Conclusions

The results from our study support a TSD SDS in rice field frogs, as this species is considered economically viable and edible in several countries [5,7]. The many frog farms could consider improving production efficiency by manipulating frog sexes via temperature. A thorough examination of SDSs across Dicroglossidae, Ranidae, Mantellidae, and Rhacophoridae family members using the same approach is required to elucidate the tempo and mechanism of evolutionary transitions between modes of sex determination in anurans. **Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/d13100501/s1, Figure S1: Morphological characteristics between (a) male and (b) female. Arrows indicate vocal sacs in male, Figure S2: FISH patterns of the telomeric (TTAGGG)n sequence on DAPI-stained metaphase chromosome spreads of male and female rice field frog (*Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*, Wiegmann, 1834).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, T.P. and K.S.; Formal analysis, T.P., W.S., E.K. and K.S.; Funding acquisition, K.S.; Investigation, T.P.; Methodology, T.P. and K.S.; Project administration, K.S.; Resources, N.K.; Visualization, N.M. and K.S.; Writing—original draft, T.P. and K.S.; Writing—review and editing, T.P., W.S., N.L., S.F.A., E.K., P.D., N.M., N.K., and K.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was financially supported in part by the Graduate Scholarship Program of the Graduate School, Kasetsart University, Thailand awarded to T.P. and K.S. and by a Postdoctoral Researcher Grant award at Kasetsart University awarded to S.F.A. and K.S., the Office of the Ministry of Higher Education, Science, Research and Innovation; and the Thailand Science Research and Innovation through The Kasetsart University Reinventing University Program 2021 awarded to T.P. and K.S., and the e-ASIA Joint Research Program (e-ASIA JRP) (no. P1851311) awarded to K.S. and W.S. No funding source was involved in the study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of the data, writing the report and the decision to submit the article for publication.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Animal care and all experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Experiment Committee, Chulalongkorn University (Protocol Review No. 1623002) and Kasetsart University (approval no. ACKU63-SCI-011), Thailand, and conducted in accordance with the Regulations on Animal Experiments at Chulalongkorn University and Kasetsart University.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The full dataset and metadata from this publication are available from the Dryad Digital Repository. Dataset, https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.7pvmcvdsv. (accessed on 29 June 2021)

Acknowledgments: We thank Thrissawan Traijitt (Thammasart University) for providing the rice field frog specimens from Chulalongkorn University.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. King, H.D. Studies on sex-determination in amphibians. II. Biol. Bull. 1909, 16, 27–43.
- Witschi, E. Studies on sex differentiation and sex determination in amphibians. IV. The geographical distribution of the sex races of the European grass frog (*Rana temporaria*, L.). A contribution to the problem of the evolution of sex. *J. Exp. Zool.* 1930, 56, 149–165, doi:10.1002/jez.1400560202.
- Tamschick, S.; Rozenblut-Koscisty, B.; Ogielska, M.; Lehmann, A.; Lymberakis, P.; Hoffmann, F.; Lutz, I.; Kloas, W.; Stock, M.; Sex reversal assessments reveal different vulnerability to endocrine disruption between deeply diverged anuran lineages. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 1–8, doi:10.1038/srep23825.
- Ezaz, T.; Srikulnath, K.; Graves, J.A.M. Origin of amniote sex chromosomes: An ancestral super-sex chromosome, or common requirements. J. Hered. 2017, 108, 94–105, doi:10.1093/jhered/esw053.
- 5. Miura, I. Sex determination and sex chromosomes in amphibia. Sex Dev. 2017, 11, 298–306, doi:10.1159/000485270.
- Miura, Y.; Qureshi, H.; Ryoo, C.; Dinenis, C.P.; Li, J.; Mandli, K.T.; Deodatis, G.; Bienstock, D.; Lazrus, H.; Morss, R. A methodological framework for determining an optimal coastal protection strategy against storm surges and sea level rise. *Nat. Hazards* 2021, 107, 1821–1843, doi:10.1007/s11069-021-04661-5.
- 7. Lambert, M.; Skelly, D.K.; Ezaz, T. Sex-linked markers in the North American green frog (*Rana clamitans*) developed using DArTseq provide early insight into sex chromosome evolution. *BMC Genom.* **2016**, *17*, 844, doi:10.1186/s12864-016-3209-x.
- 8. Sopniewski, J.; Shams, F.; Scheele, B.C.; Kefford, B.J.; Ezaz, T. Identifying sex-linked markers in *Litoria aurea*: A novel approach to understanding sex chromosome evolution in an amphibian. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 1–10, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-52970-4.
- Wessels, S.; Krause, I.; Floren, C.; Schütz, E.; Beck, J.; Knorr, C. ddRADseq reveals determinants for temperature-dependent sex reversal in Nile tilapia on LG23. BMC Genom. 2017, 18, 531, doi:10.1186/s12864-017-3930-0.
- Koomgun, T.; Laopichienpong, N.; Singchat, W.; Panthum, T.; Phatcharakullawarawat, R.; Kraichak, E.; Sillapaprayoon, S.; Ahmad, S.F.; Muangmai, N.; Peyachoknagul, S.; et al. Genome complexity reduction high-throughput genome sequencing of green iguana (*Iguana iguana*) reveal a paradigm shift in understanding sex-chromosomal linkages on homomorphic X and Y sex chromosomes. *Front. Genet.* 2020, *11*, 1217, doi:10.3389/fgene.2020.556267.

- 11. Laopichienpong, N.; Kraichak, E.; Singchat, W.; Sillapaprayoon, S.; Muangmai, N.; Suntrarachun, S.; Baicharoen, S.; Peyachoknagul, S.; Chanhome, L.; Ezaz, T.; et al. Genome-wide SNP analysis of Siamese cobra (*Naja kaouthia*) reveals the molecular basis of transitions between Z and W sex chromosomes and supports the presence of an ancestral super-sex chromosome in amniotes. *Genomics* **2021**, *113*, 624–636, doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2020.09.058.
- Nguyen, D.H.M.; Panthum, T.; Ponjarat, J.; Laopichiengpong, N.; Kraichak, E.; Singchat, W.; Muangmai, N.; Peyachoknagul, S.; Na-Nakorn, U.; Srikulnath, K. An investigation of ZZ/ZW and XX/XY sex determination systems in North African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*, Burchell 1822). *Front. Genet.* 2021, *11*, 1719, doi:10.3389/fgene.2020.562856.
- Nguyen, D.H.M.; Ponjarat, J.; Laopichienpong, N.; Kraichak, E.; Panthum, T.; Singchat, W.; Ahmad, S.F.; Muangmai, N.; Duengkae, P.; Peyachoknagul, S.; et al. Genome-wide SNP analysis suggests male heterogamety in bighead catfish (*Clarias macrocephalus*). Aquaculture 2021, 545, 737005, doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737005.
- 14. Frost, D.R. Amphibian Species of the World: An Online Reference. American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA. Version 6.0 (Date of Access). Electronic Database. 2016. Available online: http://research.amnh.org/herpetology/amphibia/in-dex.html (accessed on 9 June 2021).
- Alam, M.S.; Igawa, T.; Khan, M.M.R.; Islam, M.M.; Kuramoto, M.; Matsui, M.; Kurabayashi, A.; Sumida, M. Genetic divergence and evolutionary relationships in six species of genera *Hoplobatrachus* and *Euphlyctis* (Amphibia: Anura) from Bangladesh and other Asian countries revealed by mitochondrial gene sequences. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 2008, 48, 515–527, doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2008.04.020.
- 16. Zhou, Y.; Zhang, J.Y.; Zheng, R.Q.; Yu, B.G.; Yang, G. Complete nucleotide sequence and gene organization of the mitochondrial genome of *Paa spinosa* (Anura: Ranoidae). *Gene* **2009**, 447, 86–96, doi:10.1016/j.gene.2009.07.009.
- 17. Chen, G.; Wang, B.; Liu, J.; Xie, F.; Jiang, J. Complete mitochondrial genome of *Nanorana pleskei* (Amphibia: Anura: Dicroglossidae) and evolutionary characteristics. *Curr. Zool.* **2011**, *57*, 785–805, doi:10.1093/czoolo/57.6.785.
- 18. Pyron, R.A.; Wiens, J.J. A large-scale phylogeny of amphibia including over 2800 species, and a revised classification of extant frogs, salamanders, and caecilians. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **2011**, *61*, 543–583, doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2011.06.012.
- 19. Wiegmann, A.F.A. *Amphibian*. 1834; pp. 433–522. Available online: http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=1458139 (accessed on 9 June 2021).
- Diesmos, A.; Van-Dijk, P.P.; Inger, R.; Iskandar, D.; Lau, M.W.N.; Ermi, Z.; Shunqing, L.; Baorong, G.; Kuangyang, L.; Zhigang, Y.; et al. *Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*. In *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species*. *Version* 2014.3. Internet References. 2004. Available online: http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/full/58300/0 (accessed on 9 June 2021).
- 21. Meesawat, S.; Kitana, N.; Kitana, J. Hematology of wild caught *Hoplobatrachus rugulosus* in Northern Thailand. *Asian Herpetol. Res.* **2016**, *7*, 131–138, doi:10.16373/j.cnki.ahr.150037.
- 22. Fei, L.; Ye, C.Y.; Jiang, J.P. Colored Atlas of Chinese Amphibians and Their Distributions; Sichuan Science and Technology Publishing House: Chengdu, China, 2012; pp. 572–573.
- 23. Schmalz, T.D.; Zug, G.R. Observations on geographic variation in the Asian frog, *Hoplobatrachus rugulosus* (Anura: Ranidae). *Hamadryad* 2002, 27, 90–98.
- 24. Bain, R.H.; Truong, N.Q. Herpetofaunal diversity of Ha Giang province in northeastern Vietnam, with descriptions of two new species. *Am. Mus. Novit.* 2004, 3453, 1–42.
- 25. Tokur, B.; Gürbüz, R.D.; Özyurt, G. Nutritional composition of frog (*Rana esculanta*) waste meal. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2008, 99, 1332–1338, doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2007.02.032.
- 26. Ruamthum, W.; Visetson, S.; Milne, J.R.; Bullangpoti, V. Effect of glyphosate-based herbicide on acetylcholinesterase activity in tadpoles, *Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*. *Commun. Agric. Appl. Biol. Sci.* **2011**, *76*, 923–930.
- 27. Hasan, M.; Kuramoto, M.; Islam, M.M.; Alam, M.S.; Khan, M.M.R.; Sumida, M. A new species of genus *Hoplobatrachus* (Anura, Dicroglossidae) from the coastal belt of Bangladesh. *Zootaxa* **2012**, *3312*, 45–48.
- 28. Pansook, A.; Khonsue, W.; Piyapattanakorn, S.; Pariyanonth, P. Phylogenetic relationships among *Hoplobatrachus rugulosus* in Thailand as inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences of the cytochrome-b gene (Amphibia, Anura, Dicroglossidae). *Zool. Sci.* **2012**, *29*, 54–59, doi:10.2108/zsj.29.54.
- 29. Trachantong, W.; Promya, J.; Saenphet, S.; Saenphet, K. Effects of atrazine herbicide on metamorphosis and gonadal development of *Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*. *Maejo Int. J. Sci.* 2013, 7, 60–71.
- 30. Yu, T.; Yang, G.; Busam, M.; Deng, Y. Plasticity in metamorphic traits of rice field frog (*Rana limnocharis*) tadpoles: The interactive effects of rearing temperature and food level. *Asian Herpetol. Res.* **2016**, *7*, 265–270, doi:10.16373/j.cnki.ahr.150062.
- 31. Kusrini, M.D. Edible Frog Harvesting in Indonesia: Evaluating its Impact and Ecological Context. Ph.D. Thesis, School of Tropical Biology, James Cook University, Douglas, Australia, 2005.
- 32. Ding, G.H.; Lin, Z.H.; Fan, X.L.; Ji, X. The combined effects of food supply and larval density on survival, growth and metamorphosis of Chinese tiger frog (*Hoplobatrachus rugulosa*) tadpoles. *Aquaculture* **2015**, *435*, 398–402, doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.10.025.
- 33. Sheridan, J.A. Ecology and behavior of *Polypedates leucomystax* (Anura: Rhacophoridae) in Northeast Thailand. *Herpetol. Rev.* **2008**, *39*, 165–169.
- 34. Moreira, C.R.; Henriques, M.B.; Ferreira, C.M. Frog farms as proposed in agribusiness aquaculture: Economic viability based in feed conversion. *Bol. Inst. Pesca.* **2013**, *39*, 389–399.
- 35. Graham, K.M.; Kouba, A.J.; Langhorne, C.J.; Marcec, R.M.; Willard, S.T. Biological sex identification in the endangered dusky gopher frog (*Lithobates sevosa*): A comparison of body size measurements, secondary sex characteristics, ultrasound imaging, and urinary hormone analysis methods. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* **2016**, *14*, 41.

- 36. Hillis, D.M.; Green, D.M. Evolutionary changes of heterogametic sex in the phylogenetic history of amphibians. *J. Evol. Biol.* **1990**, *3*, 49–64, doi:10.1046/j.1420-9101.1990.3010049.x.
- 37. Sarre, S.D.; Ezaz, T.; Georges, A. Transitions between sex-determining systems in reptiles and amphibians. *Annu. Rev. Genom. Hum. Genet.* **2011**, *12*, 391–406, doi:10.1146/annurev-genom-082410-101518.
- Abbott, J.K.; Nordén, A.K.; Hansson, B. Sex chromosome evolution: Historical insights and future perspectives. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 2017, 284, 20162806, doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.2806.
- Conte, M.; Zucchi, M.I.; Andrade, G.V.; Souza, A.P.; Recco-Pimentel, S.M. Study of closely related species within the Physalaemus cuvieri group (Anura): Contribution of microsatellite markers. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 2011, 10, 1434–1444.
- Miura, I.; Ohtani, H.; Nakamura, M.; Ichikawa, Y.; Saitoh, K. The origin and differentiation of the heteromorphic sex chromosomes Z, W, X, and Y in the frog *Rana rugosa*, inferred from the sequences of a sex-linked gene, ADP/ATP translocase. *Molec. Biol. Evol.* **1998**, *15*, 1612–1619, doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a025889.
- 41. Rodrigues, N.; Vuille, Y.; Loman, J.; Perrin, N. Sex-chromosome differentiation and 'sex races' in the common frog (*Rana tempo-raria*). *Proc. R. Soc. B.* **2015**, *282*, 20142726, doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.2726.
- 42. Rodrigues, N.; Vuille, Y.; Brelsford, A.; Merila, J.; Perrin, N. The genetic contribution to sex determination and number of sex chromosomes vary among populations of common frogs (*Rana temporaria*). *Heredity* **2016**, *117*, 25–32.
- 43. Phuge, S.K. High temperatures influence sexual development differentially in male and female tadpoles of the Indian skipper frog, *Euphlyctis cyanophlyctis*. J. Biosci. **2017**, 42, 449–457, doi:10.1007/s12038-017-9689-2.
- 44. Traijitt, T.; Kitana, N.; Kitana, J. Pattern of gonadal sex differentiation in the rice field frog *Hoplobatrachus rugulosus* (Anura: Dicroglossidae). *Zool. Stud.* 2020, *59*, e51, doi:10.6620/ZS.2020.59-51.
- Chaichorfa, E.; Duangjai, A. Effects of temperature on sex determination on Thai native frog, *Rana Rugulosa* (Wiegmann) culture in northern part of Thailand. In Proceedings of the Agricultural Conference, Khon Kaen University, Thailand, 26–27 January 2009; pp. 182–184.
- Tang, Y.; Chen, Z.Q.; Lin, Y.F.; Chen, J.Y.; Ding, G.H.; Ji, X. The combined effects of temperature and aromatase inhibitor on metamorphosis, growth, locomotion, and sex ratio of tiger frog (*Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*) tadpoles. *PeerJ* 2020, *8*, e8834, doi:10.7717/peerj.8834.
- 47. Yu, D.; Zhang, J.; Li, P.; Zheng, R.; Shao, C. Do cryptic species exist in *Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*? An examination using four nuclear genes, the *Cyt b* gene and the complete MT genome. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0124825, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0124825.
- 48. Kitano, J.; Mori, S.; Peichel, C.L. Sexual dimorphism in the external morphology of the threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). *Copeia* **2007**, 2007, 336–349, doi:10.1643/0045-8511.
- 49. Wyneken, J.; Epperly, S.P.; Crowder, L.B.; Vaughan, J.; Blair Esper, K. Determining sex in posthatchling loggerhead sea turtles using multiple gonadal and accessory duct characteristics. *Herpetologica* **2007**, *63*, 19–30, doi:10.1655/0018-0831.
- 50. Haczkiewicz, K.; Ogielska, M. Gonadal sex differentiation in frogs: How testes become shorter than ovaries. *Zool. Sci.* 2013, *30*, 125–134, doi:10.2108/zsj.30.125.
- Supikamolseni, A.; Ngaoburanawit, N.; Sumontha, M.; Chanhome, L.; Suntrarachun, S.; Peyachoknagul, S.; Srikulnath, K. Molecular barcoding of venomous snakes and species-specific multiplex PCR assay to identify snake groups for which antivenom is available in Thailand. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 2015, 14, 13981–13997, doi:10.4238/2015.October.29.18.
- 52. Jaccoud, D.; Peng, K.; Feinstein, D.; Kilian, A. Diversity arrays: A solid state technology for sequence information independent genotyping. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2001, 29, e25, doi:10.1093/nar/29.4.e25.
- 53. Kilian, A.; Wenzl, P.; Huttner, E.; Carling, J.; Xia, L.; Blois, H.; Caig, V.; Heller-Uszynska, K.; Jaccoud, D.; Hopper, C.; et al. Diversity arrays technology: A generic genome profiling technology on open platforms. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2012, *888*, 67–89, doi:10.1007/978-1-61779-870-2_5.
- 54. Ren, R.; Ray, R.; Li, P.; Xu, J.; Zhang, M.; Liu, G.; Yao, X.; Kilian, A.; Yang, X. Construction of a high-density DArTseq SNPbased genetic map and identification of genomic regions with segregation distortion in a genetic population derived from a cross between feral and cultivated-type watermelon. *Mol. Genet. Genom.* **2015**, *290*, 1457–1470, doi:10.1007/s00438-015-0997-7.
- 55. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing; Vienna, Austria, 2019.
- Sven, K.; Klaus, R. HapEstXXR: Multi-Locus Stepwise Regression. 2019. Available online: https://rdrr.io/cran/HapEstXXR/man/ coding.baseline.allele.html (accessed on 9 June 2021).
- Chew, J.S.; Oliveira, C.; Wright, J.M.; Dobson, M.J. Molecular and cytogenetic analysis of the telomeric (TTAGGG)ⁿ repetitive sequences in the Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (Teleostei: Cichlidae). *Chromosoma* 2002, 111, 45–52, doi:10.1007/s00412-002-0187-3.
- 58. Cioffi, M.B.; Bertollo, L.A.C. Initial steps in XY chromosome differentiation in *Hoplias malabaricus* and the origin of an X₁ X₂ Y sex chromosome system in this fish group. *Heredity* **2010**, *105*, 554–561, doi:10.1038/hdy.2010.18.
- Matsubara, K.; O'Meally, D.; Azad, B.; Georges, A.; Sarre, S.D.; Graves, J.A.M.; Matsuda, Y.; Ezaz, T. Amplification of microsatellite repeat motifs is associated with the evolutionary differentiation and heterochromatinization of sex chromosomes in Sauropsida. *Chromosoma* 2016, 125, 111–123, doi:10.1007/s00412-015-0531-z.
- 60. Singchat, W.; O'Connor, R.E.; Tawichasri, P.; Suntronpong, A.; Sillapaprayoon, S.; Suntrarachun, S.; Muangmai, N.; Baicharoen, S.; Peyachoknagul, S.; Srikulnath, K.; et al. Chromosome map of the Siamese cobra: Did partial synteny of sex chromosomes in the amniote represent "a hypothetical ancestral super-sex chromosome" or random distribution? *BMC Genom.* 2018, 19, 939, doi:10.1186/s12864-018-5293-6.

- 61. Singchat, W.; Ahmad, S.F.; Laopichienpong, N.; Suntronpong, A.; Panthum, T.; Griffin, D.K.; Srikulnath, K. Snake W sex chromosome: The shadow of ancestral amniote super-sex chromosome. *Cells* **2020**, *9*, 2386, doi:10.3390/cells9112386.
- 62. Srikulnath, K.; Azad, B.; Singchat, W.; Ezaz, T. Distribution and amplification of interstitial telomeric sequences (ITSs) in Australian dragon lizards support frequent chromosome fusions in Iguania. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0212683, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0212683.
- 63. Matsuda, Y.; Chapman, Vs.M. Application of fluorescence *in situ* hybridization in genome analysis of the mouse. *Electrophoresis* **1995**, *16*, 261–272, doi:10.1002/elps.1150160142.
- 64. Srikulnath, K.; Nishida, C.; Matsubara, K.; Uno, Y.; Thongpan, A.; Suputtitada, S.; Apisitwanich, S.; Matsuda, Y. Karyotypic evolution in squamate reptiles: Comparative gene mapping revealed highly conserved linkage homology between the butterfly lizard (*Leiolepis reevesii rubritaeniata*, Agamidae, Lacertilia) and the Japanese four-striped rat snake (*Elaphe quadrivirgata*, Colubridae, Serpentes). *Chromosome Res.* 2009, *17*, 975, doi:10.1007/s10577-009-9101-7.
- 65. Furman, B.L.S.; Evans, B.J. Sequential turnovers of sex chromosomes in African clawed frogs (*Xenopus*) suggest some genomic regions are good at sex determination. *G3*. **2016**, *6*, 3625–3633, doi:10.1534/g3.116.033423.
- Lambert, M.R.; Smylie, M.S.; Roman, A.J.; Freidenburg, L.K.; Skelly, D.K. Sexual and somatic development of wood frog tadpoles along a thermal gradient. J. Exp. Zool. A Ecol. Integr. Physiol. 2018, 329, 72–79, doi:10.1002/jez.2172.
- 67. Chang, C.; Wang, A.; Guo, P. The karyotype of the frog (Rana tigrina rugulosa). Zool. Res. 1984, 5, 33-38.
- 68. Supaprom, T.; Baimai, A. Characterization of C-banded mitotic chromosomes in four species of anuran amphibians from Thailand. *Amphib. Reptil.* **2005**, *26*, 367–371, doi:10.1163/156853805774408612.
- 69. Donsakul, T. Karyotype from liver cells of seven amphibians (amphibian, Anura) from Thailand. Scimsu 2009, 28, 162–170.
- Alam, M.S.; Islam, M.M.; Khan, M.M.R.; Hasan, M.; Wanichanon, R.; Sumida, M. Postmating isolation in six species of three genera (*Hoplobatrachus, Euphlyctis* and *Fejervarya*) from family Dicroglossidae (Anura) with special reference to spontaneous production of allotriploids. *Zool. Sci.* 2012, 29, 743–752, doi:10.2108/zsj.29.743.
- Suttichaiya, A.; Khammanichanh, A.; Patawang, I.; Sriuttha, M.; Tanamtong, A.; Neeratanaphan, L. Chromosome aberrations of East Asian bullfrog (*Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*) around a gold mine area with arsenic contamination. *Environmentasia* 2016, 9, 67–76, doi:10.14456/ea.1473.7.
- Tengjaroenkul, B.; Intamat, S.; Sriuttha, M.; Tanomtong, A.; Neeratanaphan, L. Cytotoxicity evaluation of the East Asian bullfrog (*Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*) in an agricultural area affected by chlorpyrifos. *Cytologia* 2017, *82*, 175–181, doi:10.1508/cytologia.82.175.
- Saba, N.; Tripathi, N.K. Preliminary cytogenetic study and report of ZZ/ZW sex chromosomes in the bullfrog, *Hoplobatrachus tigerinus* (Anura, Amphibia) from high altitude area of Jammu and Kashmir, India. *Nucleus* 2014, 57, 55–59, doi:10.1007/s13237-014-0101-4.
- 74. Srikulnath, K.; Uno, Y.; Nishida, C.; Ota, H.; Matsuda, Y. Karyotype reorganization in the Hokou gecko (*Gekko hokouensis*, Gekkonidae): The process of microchromosome disappearance in Gekkota. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0134829, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134829.
- Gamble, T.; Coryell, J.; Ezaz, T.; Lynch, J.; Scantlebury, D.P.; Zarkower, D. Restriction site-associated DNA sequencing (RAD-seq) reveals an extraordinary number of transitions among gecko sex-determining systems. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2015, 32, 1296–1309, doi:10.1093/molbev/msv023.
- 76. Shams, F.; Dyer, F.; Thompson, R.; Duncan, R.P.; Thiem, J.D.; Kilian, A.; Ezaz, T. Application of DArT seq derived SNP tags for comparative genome analysis in fishes; An alternative pipeline using sequence data from a non-traditional model species, *Macquaria ambigua*. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0226365, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0226365.
- Diamanti-Kandarakis, E.; Bourguignon, J.P.; Giudice, L.C.; Hauser, R.; Prins, G.S.; Soto, A.M.; Zoeller, R.T.; Gore, A.C. Endocrine-disrupting chemicals: An endocrine society scientific statement. *Endocr. Rev.* 2009, 30, 293–342, doi:10.1210/er.2009-0002.
- Hu, J.; Liu, J.; Lv, X.; Yu, L.; Li, J.; Lan, S.; Yang, Y. In situ assessment of genetic and epigenetic alterations in frog *Rana plancyi* and *Rana limnocharis* inhabiting aquatic ecosystems associated with Pb/Zn/Cu mining. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2021, 779, 146139. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.1461
- Hallowell, E. Report upon the Reptilia of the North Pacific exploring expedition, under command of Capt. John Roger. In Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, United States, April 1860; Volume 12, pp. 1–9.
- 80. Yu, B.G.; Zheng, R.Q.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, C.T. Geographic variation in body size and sexual size dimorphism in the giant spiny frog Paa spinosa (David, 1875) (Anura: Ranoidae). J. Nat. Hist. 2010, 44, 1729–1741, doi:10.1080/00222931003632682.
- Nakamura, M. Sex determination in amphibians. In Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology; Elsevier, Netherlands, 2009; Volume 20, pp. 271–282, doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2008.10.003.
- 82. Rhen, T.; Schroeder, A.L. The genetics of thermosensitive sex determination. *Temp*erature **2016**, *4*, 109–111, doi:10.1080/23328940.2016.1259723.
- 83. Ma, W.J.; Veltsos, P. The diversity and evolution of sex chromosomes in frogs. *Genes* **2021**, *12*, 483, doi:10.3390/genes12040483.
- Rovatsos, M.; Pokorná, M.; Altmanová, M.; Kratochvíl, L. Cretaceous park of sex determination: Sex chromosomes are conserved across iguanas. *Biol. Lett.* 2014, 10, 20131093, doi:10.1098/rsbl.2013.1093.
- 85. Acosta, A.; Martínez-Pacheco, M.L.; Díaz-Barba, K.; Porras, N.; Gutiérrez-Mariscal, M.; Cortez, D. Deciphering ancestral sex chromosome turnovers based on analysis of male mutation bias. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **2019**, *11*, 3054–3067, doi:10.1093/gbe/evz221.
- Nielsen, S.V.; Guzmán-Méndez, I.A.; Gamble, T.; Blumer, M.; Pinto, B.J.; Kratochvíl, L.; Rovatsos, M. Escaping the evolutionary trap? Sex chromosome turnover in basilisks and related lizards (Corytophanidae: Squamata). *Biol. Lett.* 2019, 15, 20190498, doi:10.1098/rsbl.2019.0498.