

Supplementary Materials

Similar Response of a Range Expanding Dragonfly to Low- and High-Elevation Predators

Rabah Zebsa^{1,2}, Hayat Mahdjoub² and Rassim Khelifa^{2,3,4,*}

Rabah Zebsa^{1,2}, Hayat Mahdjoub², Rassim Khelifa^{2,3,4}

¹ Laboratoire Biologie, Eau et Environnement (LBEE), Université 8 Mai 1945, Guelma 24000, Algeria; zebsarabah@gmail.com

² Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland; hayatmehdjoub@gmail.com

³ Institute for Resources, Environment and Sustainability, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, 429-2202 Main Mall, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada

⁴ Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC V5A 1S6, Canada
* Correspondence: rassimkhelifa@gmail.com

Supplementary tables

Table S1. Summary results of the linear mixed effects model regressing head width of *Sympetrum striolatum* against time, temperature and predation treatments.

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	-7.838e+00	4.167e-01	1.046e+03	-18.810	< 2e-16
time	2.537e-02	1.220e-03	1.031e+03	20.805	< 2e-16
TreatmentHigh	-1.900e+00	5.807e-01	1.037e+03	-3.272	0.001105
TreatmentLow	-1.821e+00	6.013e-01	1.037e+03	-3.028	0.002522
Temp24	-5.555e+00	6.504e-01	1.057e+03	-8.542	< 2e-16
time:TreatmentHigh	5.774e-03	1.697e-03	1.021e+03	3.402	0.000695
time:TreatmentLow	5.586e-03	1.762e-03	1.023e+03	3.170	0.001569
time:Temp24	1.751e-02	1.917e-03	1.045e+03	9.134	< 2e-16
TreatmentHigh:Temp24	-1.079e+00	8.988e-01	1.040e+03	-1.200	0.230352
TreatmentLow:Temp24	3.590e-02	9.036e-01	1.040e+03	0.040	0.968316
time:TreatmentHigh:Temp24	3.282e-03	2.643e-03	1.026e+03	1.241	0.214755
time:TreatmentLow:Temp24	-1.912e-04	2.660e-03	1.027e+03	-0.072	0.942720

* removing the non-significant interaction terms did not change the significance of the remaining terms.

Table S2. Summary results of the linear mixed effects model regressing growth efficiency of *Sympetrum striolatum* against temperature and predation treatments. Growth efficiency was log-transformed ($\log[y+0.01]$).

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	-3.0420	0.5501	-5.530	1.64e-07
TreatmentLow	2.2019	0.6943	3.172	0.00188
TreatmentHigh	2.7307	0.6704	4.073	7.91e-05
Temp24	2.9430	0.7665	3.840	0.00019
TreatmentLow:Temp24	-1.8282	0.9935	-1.840	0.06796
TreatmentHigh:Temp24	-1.9908	0.9675	-2.058	0.04157

* removing the non-significant interaction term did not change the significance of the remaining terms.

Table S3. Summary results of the linear mixed effects model regressing food intake of *Sympetrum striolatum* against temperature and predation treatments. Food intake was log-transformed ($\log[y]$).

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	-2.12691	0.07210	-29.498	< 2e-16
TreatmentLow	-0.14433	0.09039	-1.597	0.11101
TreatmentHigh	-0.27431	0.08949	-3.065	0.00231
Temp24	-0.07370	0.10528	-0.700	0.48426
TreatmentLow:Temp24	-0.35725	0.13974	-2.556	0.01090
TreatmentHigh:Temp24	-0.24544	0.13523	-1.815	0.07019

Table S4. Summary results of the linear mixed effects model regressing the length of the 8th (a) and 9th (b) lateral spine corrected for body size of *Sympetrum striolatum* against temperature and predation treatments. The length of lateral spines was log-transformed ($\log[y]$).

(a) 8th spine	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	-3.05566	0.07890	-38.727	<2e-16
TreatmentHigh	0.20988	0.11158	1.881	0.0649
TreatmentLow	0.27013	0.10240	2.638	0.0106
Temp24	0.05994	0.21608	0.277	0.7825
TreatmentHigh:Temp24	-0.24259	0.24700	-0.982	0.3301
TreatmentLow:Temp24	-0.43935	0.24712	-1.778	0.0806
(b) 9th spine	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	-2.37962	0.06678	-35.632	< 2e-16
TreatmentHigh	0.12034	0.09445	1.274	0.20760
TreatmentLow	0.28254	0.08667	3.260	0.00185
Temp24	0.08445	0.18289	0.462	0.64597
TreatmentHigh:Temp24	-0.22818	0.20906	-1.091	0.27952
TreatmentLow:Temp24	-0.37349	0.20916	-1.786	0.07930