

Supplementary Materials: Background and Properties of Selected Commercially Available, Low-cost Carbon Dioxide and Methane Gas Concentration Sensors

Wesley T. Honeycutt ^{1,†}, M. Tyler Ley ², and Nicholas F. Materer ^{3,*}

1 Selection Rational

2 The selection rational, sensing method, and selected properties obtained from the manufacturer
3 documentation is tabulated below. Sensors can be generally categorized by detection method,
4 which as optical absorption, chemiresistive (based on the resistance changes of a material due to
5 chemical reaction with an analyte [1]), and electrochemical. Since studies have cited concerns with
6 electrochemical gas concentration sensors, such as a short lifetime and lack of robustness [2], only
7 optical and chemiresistive sensors were selected. The potential application of the selected sensors is also
8 impotent in the selection. For this study, the application includes, but is not limited to, environmental
9 monitoring of local gas concentration and detection of leaks around industrial locations. For such
10 applications, the collection of multiple samples at several locations in a given area (possibility including
11 places without power availability) is critical to obtain reliable results. Thus, with only two exceptions,
12 sensors selected were all commercially available in large volumes (at least 1000 units) at low-cost
13 (defined here as less than \$100 per unit in bulk) The sensors were further selected based on the
14 reported sensitivity at environmental concentrations of CO₂ (around 400 ppm [3,4]) and CH₄ (under 2
15 ppm [5–7]), and at concentrations of several thousand ppm, which simulate a potential leak. In the
16 selection process, the cost, limit of detection, precision, accuracy, reliability, and power consumption
17 are all important parameters, many of which are not reported the manufacture or cannot be directly
18 compared to other similar sensors.

19 Sensing Technology

20 Lower cost optical sensors typically utilize nondispersive infrared (NDIR) sensing. This method
21 utilizes a broad spectrum light source which is restricted by a narrow band pass filter across the
22 absorbance maximum before reaching the detector. Since these sensors utilize the Beer-Lambert law to
23 relate absorption to concentration, the calibration is only dependent on the geometry of the sensor and
24 physical properties of the gas [8]. In general, NDIR detection is utilized for CO₂ due to its relatively
25 large molar absorption coefficient, allowing for short path lengths to be used in devices. For CH₄,
26 NDIR detection is limited due to its lower absorption coefficient and overlapping symmetric C-H
27 stretches. The overlapping stretches makes CH₄ difficult to distinguish from other common aliphatic
28 gases such as ethane and propane [9]. The selected lower cost chemiresistive sensors typically detect
29 CH₄ using a thin oxide film [2] and work by measuring resistance changes due to differences in the
30 electron transport through the metal oxide film, in the presence of oxygen and target gases [10]. The
31 resistance change is typically non-linear with the analyte concentration. The chemiresistive sensors are
32 known to respond to a range of hydrocarbon gases [11], which should be considered when integrating
33 these sensors into a sensor platform.

34 Selected Sensors

35 Table S1 lists the selected CO₂ sensors with important properties obtained from the manufacturer.
36 Table S2 lists the CH₄ or hydrocarbon sensors and respective properties. The K-30, COZIR, Dynament,
37 and Telaire sensors are all NDIR sensors. These sensors were chosen as low-cost, lightweight sensors
38 with satisfactory detection parameters of CO₂. Dynament also provides a dual gas NDIR sensor
39 (MSH-DP/HC/CO₂/) designed to measure both CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations. This ability was
40 attractive given low-cost and portability requirements. The CO₂ and CH₄ Gascard sensors sold by
41 GHG Analytical were an order of magnitude more expensive than the other chosen NDIR sensors,

42 which have a cost between that of the lowest cost sensors on our list and that of the bench-top analyzers.
 43 Their specifications combined with the included pressure and temperatures compensation make them
 44 attractive enough to make up for the expense. In addition to the Gascard sensor, the Dynament
 45 hydrocarbon sensors (MSH-P/HC and MSH-DP/HC/CO₂/) were chosen as inexpensive candidates
 46 for CH₄ detection. Chemoresistive sensors include the MQ-4 from Hanwei Electronics and TGS-2600,
 47 TGS-2610, and TGS-2611 manufactured by Figaro Engineering Inc. sensors. The TGS sensors are used
 48 in commercial CH₄ and air quality detectors. There are several different MQ versions optimized for
 49 hydrocarbon sensing. The MQ-4 sensor was chosen as this variant was specifically tuned for CH₄.

Table S1. Manufacturer listed properties of evaluated CO₂ sensors

Sensor	Supplier	Type	Sampling Method	Cal. Range	Op. Range
K-30 SE-0018	CO ₂ Meter	NDIR	flow or diffusion	0-5000 ppm	0-10000 ppm
COZIR AMB GC-020	CO ₂ Meter	NDIR	flow or diffusion	0-5000 ppm	0-10000 ppm
Gascard CO ₂	GHG Analytical	NDIR	flow	0-50000 ppm	0-50000 ppm
MSH-P/CO ₂ /NC/5/V/P/F	Dynament	NDIR	diffusion	0-2491 ppm	0-5000 ppm
MSH-DP/HC/CO ₂ /NC/P/F	Dynament	NDIR	diffusion	100-2500 ppm	0-5000 ppm
Telaire T6615	General Electric	NDIR	flow or diffusion	0-2000 ppm	0-2000 ppm

Sensor	Warm Up	T	Humidity	Auto-cal	V Input	Avg. I
K-30 SE-0018	<1 min	0-50°C	0-95%	Yes	4.5-14 VDC	40 mA
COZIR AMB GC-020	<3 s	0-50°C	0-95%	Yes	3.25-5.5 VDC	1.5 mA
Gascard CO ₂	30 s	0-45°C	0-95%	Yes	7-30 VDC	250 mA
MSH-P/CO ₂ /NC/5/V/P/F	45 s	-20-50°C	0-95%	No	3.0-5.0 VDC	75-85 mA
MSH-DP/HC/CO ₂ /NC/P/F	45 s	-20-50°C	0-95%	No	3.0-5.0 VDC	75-85 mA
Telaire T6615	10 min	0-50°C	0-95%	Yes	0-5 VDC	33 mA

Table S2. Manufacturer listed properties of evaluated CH₄ sensors

Sensor	Supplier	Type	Sampling Method	Cal. Range	Op. Range
MQ-4	Futurelec	chemiresistive	diffusion		200-10000 ppm
Gascard CH ₄	GHG Analytical	NDIR	flow	0-50000 ppm	0-50000 ppm
MSH-P/HC/NC/5/V/P/F	Dynament	NDIR	diffusion	0-5000 ppm	0-10000 ppm
MSH-DP/HC/CO ₂ /NC/P/F	Dynament	NDIR	diffusion	5000-11000 ppm	0-10000 ppm
TGS-2600	Figaro Engineering	chemiresistive	diffusion		1-30 ppm
TGS-2610	Figaro Engineering	chemiresistive	diffusion		1000-25000 ppm
TGS-2611	Figaro Engineering	chemiresistive	diffusion		500-10000 ppm

Sensor	Warm Up	T	Humidity	Auto-cal	V Input	Avg. I
MQ-4				No	5 VDC	<150 mA
Gascard CH ₄	30 s	0-45°C	0-95%	Yes	7-30 VDC	250 mA
MSH-P/HC/NC/5/V/P/F	30 s	-20-50°C	0-95%	No	3.0-5.0 VDC	75-85 mA
MSH-DP/HC/CO ₂ /NC/P/F	30 s	-20-50°C	0-95%	No	3.0-5.0 VDC	75-85 mA
TGS-2600				No	5.0±0.2 VDC	4.2±4 mA
TGS-2610				No	5.0±0.2 VDC	5.6±5 mA
TGS-2611				No	5.0±0.2 VDC	5.6±5 mA

Sensors with no listed warm-up time required 7-day burn-in time

50 References

51

- 52 1. Wetchakun, K.; Samerjai, T.; Tamaekong, N.; Liewhiran, C.; Siriwong, C.; Kruefu, V.; Wisitsoraat, A.;
 53 Tuantranont, A.; Phanichphant, S. Semiconducting Metal Oxides as Sensors for Environmentally Hazardous
 54 Gases. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* **2011**, *160*, 580–591. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2011.08.032.
 55 2. Neri, G. First Fifty Years of Chemoresistive Gas Sensors. *Chemosensors* **2015**, *3*, 1–20.
 56 doi:10.3390/chemosensors3010001.

- 57 3. Blasing, T. Recent Greenhouse Gas Concentrations. Technical report, U. S. Department of Energy, CDIAC,
58 2016.
- 59 4. Dlugokencky, E. Trends in Atmospheric Methane. Technical report, NOAA/ESRL, 2016.
- 60 5. Turner, A.J.; Jacob, D.J.; Benmergui, J.; Wofsy, S.C.; Maasakkers, J.D.; Butz, A.; Hasekamp, O.; Biraud, S.C.
61 A Large Increase in U.S. Methane Emissions over the Past Decade Inferred from Satellite Data and Surface
62 Observations. *Geophysical Research Letters* **2016**, *43*, 2218–2224. doi:10.1002/2016GL067987.
- 63 6. Bamberger, I.; Stieger, J.; Buchmann, N.; Eugster, W. Spatial Variability of Methane:
64 Attributing Atmospheric Concentrations to Emissions. *Environmental Pollution* **2014**, *190*, 65–74.
65 doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2014.03.028.
- 66 7. Dlugokencky, E.; Tans, P. Trends in Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide. Technical report, NOAA/ESRL, 2016.
- 67 8. Bacsik, Z.; Mink, J.; Keresztury, G. FTIR Spectroscopy of the Atmosphere. I. Principles and Methods.
68 *Applied Spectroscopy Reviews* **2004**, *39*, 295–363. doi:10.1081/ASR-200030192.
- 69 9. Coblenz Society, Inc.. Evaluated Infrared Reference Spectra. In *NIST Chemistry WebBook*; Lindstrom, P.J.;
70 Mallard, W.G., Eds.; Number 69 in NIST Standard Reference Database, National Institute of Standards and
71 Technology: Gaithersburg MD, 20899.
- 72 10. Albert, K.J.; Lewis, N.S.; Schauer, C.L.; Sotzing, G.A.; Stitzel, S.E.; Vaid, T.P.; Walt, D.R. Cross-Reactive
73 Chemical Sensor Arrays. *Chemical Reviews* **2000**, *100*, 2595–2626. doi:10.1021/cr980102w.
- 74 11. Sekhar, P.K.; Kysar, J.; Brosha, E.L.; Kreller, C.R. Development and Testing of an
75 Electrochemical Methane Sensor. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* **2016**, *228*, 162 – 167.
76 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2015.12.100.