

Original Research Article

Impact of left ventricular function on health-related quality of life in coronary artery disease patients

Margarita Staniūtė^a, Jolanta Vaškelytė^b, Eglė Rumbinaitė^b, Birutė Kaminskaitė^b, Sigita Samsanavičienė^b, Sigita Plungienė^b, Julija Brožaitienė^{a,*}, Robertas Bunevičius^a

^a Behavioral Medicine Institute, Medical Academy, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Palanga, Lithuania ^b Department of Cardiology, Medical Academy, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Kaunas, Lithuania

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 July 2014 Accepted 13 July 2015 Available online 1 August 2015

Keywords:

Left ventricular function Health-related quality of life Coronary artery disease

ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of the study was to investigate the relation between health-related quality of life (HRQoL) and left ventricular systolic and diastolic function parameters in stable coronary artery disease (CAD) patients with mild and moderate heart failure.

Materials and methods: This study included 758 CAD patients. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and ratio of peak velocities of early (E) and late (A) diastolic mitral inflow, ratio E/A, deceleration time, isovolumic relaxation time were assessed. Patients completed the SF-36 questionnaire.

Results: There were no strong and significant associations between echocardiographic measures and HRQoL in NYHA I-II class patients. In NYHA III class in univariate linear regression analyses significant associations were found between LVEF and physical functioning ($\beta = 0.230$, P = 0.009) and role limitations due to physical problems ($\beta = 0.230$, P = 0.009) and these associations remain significant after adjustment for age, gender, hypertension, angina pectoris class, nitrate, ACE inhibitors and diuretics use. E/A ratio was significantly associated only with mental health domain ($\beta = 0.188$, P = 0.048), and this association remains significant after all adjustments.

Conclusions: In stable CAD patients with NYHA I-II functional class HRQoL was not strongly associated with left ventricular function; in NYHA III functional class patients' greater systolic function mainly was associated with better physical health and better diastolic function, with better mental health.

© 2015 Lithuanian University of Health Sciences. Production and hosting by Elsevier Sp. z o.o. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

* Corresponding author at: Behavioral Medicine Institute, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Vydūno 4, 00135 Palanga, Lithuania. E-mail address: jbro@ktl.mii.lt (J. Brožaitienė).

Peer review under the responsibility of the Lithuanian University of Health Sciences.



Production and hosting by Elsevier

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.medici.2015.07.005

1010-660X/ © 2015 Lithuanian University of Health Sciences. Production and hosting by Elsevier Sp. z o.o. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a major cause of mortality and disability in developed countries and causes a negative impact on the physical, psychological, social, and occupational functioning of patients [1]. CAD is a major contributor to the progression of heart failure [2] and the most useful method for confirmation of the diagnosis of heart failure is echocardiography [3]. The most common echocardiographic abnormalities seen in patients with heart failure related to systolic function is reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and in cases with preserved LVEF related to diastolic dysfunction – abnormalities of the mitral inflow pattern. Left ventricular systolic and diastolic dysfunctions are important clinical prognostic factors for outcomes in stable CAD and heart failure and related with poor prognosis [4,5].

The primary outcome interest has traditionally been mortality, but now researchers are increasingly interested in health-related quality of life. Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) is a subjective and multidimensional concept composed from a range of domains, including physical, social, emotional, mental, and functional health [6]. HRQoL is an important outcome in the management of patients with chronic diseases, such as CAD, when the main treatment aim is not only to reduce mortality rates, but also to improve symptoms and ability to perform daily activities [7]. In extensive research, HRQoL in stable and unstable CAD patients was shown to be associated with clinical CAD severity [8], social support [9] and psychological factors, including symptoms of mental distress such as depression or anxiety [10]. However, to the best of our knowledge, limited data exist on the impact of left ventricular function on HRQoL in CAD patients and findings of these studies are controversial. Gorkin and colleagues in the SAVE study (184 acute myocardial infarction patients) found that HRQoL parameters were uncorrelated with LVEF [11]. Another study of 256 acute myocardial infarction patients showed, that LVEF was a determinant for HRQoL 2.5 years later [12]. It has been shown that in patients with systolic and diastolic heart failure, the impairment of HRQoL was similar in both its mental and physical components [13]. However, another study showed that impaired physical quality of life in patients with diastolic dysfunction associates more strongly with neurohumoral activation than with echocardiographic parameters [14]. Data regarding the association of left ventricular function with HRQoL remain mixed and warrants additional research.

Therefore, the main aim of this study was to investigate the relation between HRQoL and left ventricular systolic and diastolic function parameters in a large sample of stable CAD patients with mild and moderate heart failure.

2. Materials and methods

The study was a cross-sectional investigation of consecutive stable CAD patients attending the Clinic of Cardiovascular Rehabilitation, Behavioral Medicine Institute of the Lithuanian University of Health Sciences in Palanga, Lithuania, from January 2010 until September 2012 for routine cardiac examination. Patients were not included in the study if they had cognitive disorientation or communicative disabilities, had other severe diseases or were unable to understand and read Lithuanian. All patients were receiving standard treatment for secondary prevention of CAD and heart failure according to existing guidelines [3,15]. A total of 768 CAD patients were invited to participate in the study. However, 10 (1.3%) patients did not consent to participate in the study and our final study sample consisted of 758 CAD patients (64.6% men; mean age 60 ± 10 years). The study and its consent procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee for Biomedical Research at Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Kaunas, Lithuania. Written informed consent was obtained from each study patient.

All patients were evaluated for demographic characteristics (age and gender), clinical characteristics, including New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class [16], angina pectoris class [17], heart failure stages [18], hypertension grades [19], previous coronary interventions, history of diabetes mellitus and use of medications. During consultation of cardiologists patients were asked if they had symptoms of dyspnea, orthopnea or dependent edema. They were also examined for the presence of a tachycardia, lung crepitations, peripheral edema and response to treatment directed toward heart failure symptoms. Heart failure clinical status was ascertained according to clinical scores based on the NYHA classification of functional status [16] and criteria for the clinical diagnosis of CHF [18]. The mean exercise capacity, evaluated using a standardized computer-driven bicycle ergometer, was 73.6 W (SD, 36.0).

Health-related quality of life was evaluated using the 36item Short Form Medical Outcome Questionnaire (SF-36) [20]. It is a 36-item questionnaire that measures 8 multi-item dimensions of health: physical functioning, social functioning, role limitations due to physical problems, role limitations due to emotional problems, mental health, energy/vitality, pain, and general health perception. For each dimension item scores are coded, summed, and transformed on to a scale from 0 (worst possible health state) to 100 (best possible health state).

Echocardiography characteristics were evaluated using 2D and M-mode and Doppler echocardiographic examinations by means of an ultrasound scanner, Mylab 50 Xvision LA 523 (Esaote Biomedica, Genoa, Italy). LVEF was quantified by the biplane disk summation method (using the modified biplane Simpson method) on the two-dimensional echocardiographic images from the apical four- and two-chamber views according to the recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography [21]. We include patients with systolic and diastolic HF. Patients with diastolic HF were with symptoms and signs of HF and with normal or only mildly reduced LVEF. The mean of LVEF was 48.4% \pm 8.7%. LV systolic function was categorized according to EF (<40%, 40%-50%, >50%). LV diastolic function was measured by ratio of peak velocities of early (E) and late (A) diastolic mitral inflow (E/A), and E-wave deceleration time (DT), isovolumic relaxation time (IVRT) using Doppler echocardiography [21]. E/A ratio <1 and DT >240 ms, IVRT >90 ms indicated impaired relaxation; E/A >2, DT <160 ms, IVRT <70 ms, restrictive diastolic dysfunction; E/A

ratio of 1–1.5 and DT >240 ms, IVRT <90 ms, as pseudonormal diastolic dysfunction.

2.1. Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistic was presented as means \pm standard deviation (M \pm SD) or numbers of cases (percentage). Univariate linear regression analyses were performed to assess the associations between scores of SF-36 domains and left ventricular function echocardiographic parameters in NYHA I-II and in NYHA III patients. Next, we employed multivariate linear regression analyses (enter method) to evaluate same associations adjusting for gender and age (Model 1) and adjusting for gender, age, hypertension, angina pectoris class, nitrate, ACE inhibitors and diuretics use (Model 2). A probability of 0.05 or less was used as a criterion to include an independent variable into the multivariate model. A probability level of P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Data were analyzed with the SPSS 17.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics of all patients and stratified by the NYHA class are summarized in Table 1. Patients (490 men and 268 women) had a mean age of 60 years (SD, 10), the majority of them had heart failure stage B (57.4%) and C (28.9%), angina pectoris (51.1%), previous myocardial infarction (48.9%), coronary artery bypass graft surgery (4.2%), more than 6 months after acute interventions, and had grade 2 arterial hypertension (44.1%), ACE inhibitors, diuretics use. The mean LVEF was 48.4% (SD, 8.73). More than half of the patients (58.4%) had left ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVEF < 50%) and 15% of the patients, LVEF below 40%. The mean left ventricular diastolic function parameter E/A ratio was 0.95 (SD 0.28), E/A <1 was in 512 (67.5%) patients, E/A ≥1 but <2 was in 241 (31.8%) patients and E/A ≥2 was in 5 (0.7%) patients. NYHA III class patients were significantly older, more female; had more severe hypertension, lower LVEF and angina pectoris; used more nitrates, ACE inhibitors, diuretics (48%) and had worse health-related quality of life. Therefore, we conducted a separate analysis in patients with heart failure mild (NYHA class I-II) and moderate symptoms (NYHA class III).

Table 2 shows significant differences in associations between HRQoL and left ventricular systolic and diastolic function parameters. After adjusting for possible confounders there were no strong and significant associations in NYHA I–II class patients and several strong and significant associations were found in NYHA III class patients. In NYHA III functional class in univariate linear regression analyses significant associations were found between LVEF and physical functioning (β = 0.230, *P* = 0.009) and role limitations due to physical problems (β = 0.230, *P* = 0.009), and these associations remained significant after adjustment for age, gender, hypertension, angina pectoris class, nitrate and ACE inhibitors, diuretics use. E/A ratio was significantly associated only with mental health domain (β = 0.188, *P* = 0.048), and this association remains significant after all adjustments.

4. Discussion

Our study revealed significant differences in the associations between HRQoL and left ventricular systolic and diastolic function parameters in patients with stable CAD in different NYHA functional classes. In NYHA I-II functional classes HRQoL was not strongly associated with left ventricular function; in NYHA III functional class greater systolic function mainly was associated with better physical health and better diastolic function, with better mental health.

Our results indicate that objective parameters, such as LV systolic and diastolic function, are important determinants of HRQoL in stable CAD patients with more expressed heart failure symptoms and these associations are independent from demographic and clinical characteristics.

There may be several explanations how the LVEF affects HRQoL. Patients with reduced LVEF may experience symptoms of fatigue, dyspnea and reduced activity. These symptoms can affect the patients' quality of life. In previous our study, we found that fatigue strongly correlated with HRQoL [22]. On the other hand, a reduced EF may not have any symptoms; this condition frequently is referred to as asymptomatic LV dysfunction. The association between LVEF and HRQoL increased with decline in functional class, suggesting that, in early CAD phase, other factors might play a major role in HRQoL and, with progression of functional decline, cardiovascular factors may be more important in HRQoL. Our findings that LVEF is associated with HRQoL correspond to the study by Coyne et al. [23]. In a large sample of post myocardial infarction patients (1848 patients) they found that LVEF was significantly related to physical and social function, psychological wellbeing and perceived health status after adjustment for demographic and clinical characteristics. Ecochard et al. [24] in 671 patients analyzed correlations between myocardial dysfunction assessed within the first month after myocardial infarction and HRQoL perceived one year later. The main result of this study indicates that low LVEF, high number of abnormally contracting segments, as well as high number of diseased vessels during the first month after myocardial infarction are independent predictors of discomfort perception in one year later.

Previous studies that failed to show relationships between LVEF and HRQoL in cardiac patients were performed mostly on patients without significant deterioration of LVEF. For example, Sjoland et al. [25] analyzed patients who underwent coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) without concomitant valvular surgery. In their sample HRQoL scores did not differ significantly between patients with normal and depressed LVEF in any of the dimensions, neither before nor 2 years after the operation. The authors explained the absence of differences regarding HRQoL by the lower proportion of patients with significant depressed LVEF less than 40% (9%). One of the biggest study was performed by Mattera et al. [26]. The authors conclude that in CAD patients resting LVEF was not associated with HRQoL in their population. However it also must be mentioned that only a small percentage of patients had an abnormal LVEF. Ruo et al. [27] performed the cross-sectional Heart and Soul Study of 1024 adults with stable CAD. They found that depressive symptoms were strongly associated

with stable coronary artery disease.								
Variable	All patients (n = 758)	NYHA I-II (n = 630)	NYHA III (n = 128)	P*				
Age, years, mean \pm SD	60 ± 10	59 ± 10	66 ± 10	< 0.001				
Men, n (%)	490 (64.6)	419 (66.5)	71 (55.5)	0.017				
History of diabetes mellitus, n (%)	78 (10.3)	61 (9.7)	17 (13.3)	0.222				
Diagnosis, n (%)				0.218				
Angina pectoris	387 (51.1)	328 (52.1)	59 (46.1)					
Previous myocardial infarction	371 (48.9)	302 (47.9)	69 (53.9)					
Previous interventions, n (%)								
Percutaneous coronary intervention	595 (78.5)	486 (77.1)	109 (85.1)	0.066				
Coronary artery bypass graft surgery	32 (4.2)	27 (4.3)	5 (3.9)	0.968				
Hypertension grades, n (%)				< 0.001				
1	44 (5.8)	41 (9.4)	3 (3.1)					
2	334 (44.1)	285 (65.4)	49 (51.0)					
3	154 (20.3)	110 (25.2)	44 (45.8)					
Heart failure stages, n (%)				< 0.001				
Α	104 (13.7)	98 (15.6)	6 (4.7)					
В	435 (57.4)	398 (63.2)	37 (28.9)					
C	219 (28.9)	134 (21.3)	85 (66.4)					
Angina pectoris class, n (%)				< 0.001				
1	190 (25.1)	183 (29)	7 (5.5)					
2	364 (48.0)	291 (46.2)	73 (57.0)					
3	31 (4.1)	9 (1.4)	22 (17.2)					
Medications use, n (%)								
Nitrate	432 (57.0)	336 (53.3)	96 (75.0)	< 0.001				
Beta-blockers	381 (50.3)	317 (50.3)	64 (50.0)	0.965				
ACE inhibitors	577 (76.1)	464 (73.7)	113 (88.3)	< 0.001				
Diuretics	167 (22.0)	106 (16.8)	61 (47.7)	< 0.001				
SF-36 domains, score, mean \pm SD								
Physical functioning	63 ± 23	66 ± 22	46 ± 22	< 0.001				
Role limitation due to physical problems	36 ± 40	39 ± 39	20 ± 31	< 0.001				
Role limitation due to emotional problems	50 ± 42	54 ± 41	33 ± 39	< 0.001				
Social functioning	63 ± 25	65 ± 25	54 ± 23	< 0.001				
Mental health	63 ± 18	65 ± 18	58 ± 19	< 0.001				
Energy/vitality	56 ± 25	58 ± 18	47 ± 20	< 0.001				
Pain	58 ± 25	60 ± 25	47 ± 26	< 0.001				
General health perception	43 ± 16	44 ± 16	35 ± 15	< 0.001				
Echocardiography, mean \pm SD								
LVEF, %	48.4 ± 8.7	49.2 ± 8.3	44.5 ± 9.7	< 0.001				
E/A	$\textbf{0.95} \pm \textbf{0.28}$	$\textbf{0.95} \pm \textbf{0.27}$	$\textbf{0.98} \pm \textbf{0.33}$	0.299				
Deceleration time, ms	$\textbf{214.3} \pm \textbf{44.0}$	$\textbf{212.4} \pm \textbf{41.8}$	$\textbf{224.4} \pm \textbf{53.4}$	0.008				
Isovolumic relaxation time, ms	96.4 ± 10.7	95.9 ± 10.4	$\textbf{98.8} \pm \textbf{12.1}$	0.015				
LVEF, n (%)								
>50%	315 (41.6)	279 (44.3)	36 (28.1)	< 0.001				
40%–50%	333 (43.9)	280 (44.4)	53 (41.4)	0.528				
<40%	110 (14.5)	71 (11.3)	39 (30.5)	< 0.001				
E/A ratio, n (%)		, ,		0.212				
<1	512 (67.5)	410 (68.3)	70 (63.1)					
≥1 but <2	241 (31.8)	187 (31.2)	39 (35.1)					
≥2	5 (0.7)	3 (0.2)	2 (1.8)					
NVIIA New York Heart Association: CF 2C 2C it	Chart Farma Madian Louta			- 4 1 141-).				

- Demographic, clinical characteristics and health-related quality of life in NYHA I-II and NYHA III class patients Table 1

i neaitn); LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; E, peak velocity of early diastolic mitral inflow; A, peak velocity of late diastolic mitral inflow. Differences between NYHA I-II class and NYHA III class were tested using independent-sample t tests and the Pearson χ^2 test.

with health status outcomes; in contrast, two physiological measures of disease severity - LVEF and ischemia - were not. Authors concluded that mood disturbance is a primary variable influencing HRQL and that the two traditional measures of cardiac function, LVEF and ischemia, had a minimal influence on patient reported health status. Despite a large sample of this study, the prevalence of systolic dysfunction was very low (12%). In our sample more than 58.4% of the patients had left ventricular systolic dysfunction that is why we think our sample is more informative in this case.

Diastolic dysfunction is often unaccompanied by overt congestive heart failure. Despite the lack of symptoms, advanced diastolic dysfunction with normal EF is associated with reduced quality of life and structural abnormalities that reflect increased cardiovascular risk [28]. It was shown that subjects with LV diastolic dysfunction had a tendency to be female and older [29]; therefore, in our analysis, we adjusted for these factors. Also it was shown that abnormalities of left ventricular diastolic function were independently associated with the impairment of exercise capacity [30]. So, this may

SF-36 domains	Model	Significant β (P)			
	NYHA I-II (n = 630)		I-II (n = 630)	NYHA III (n = 128)	
		LVEF	E/A	LVEF	E/A
Physical functioning	Univariate Model 1 Model 2		0.090 (0.028)	0.230 (0.009) 0.240 (0.006) 0.225 (0.011)	
Role limitation due to physical problems	Univariate Model 1 Model 2		0.147 (0.000) 0.108 (0.010) 0.090 (0.032)	0.230 (0.009) 0.220 (0.014) 0.217 (0.018)	
Role limitation due to emotional problems	Univariate Model 1 Model 2		0.101 (0.013)		
Social functioning	Univariate Model 1 Model 2				
Mental health	Univariate Model 1 Model 2		0.092 (0.024) 0.099 (0.020)		0.188 (0.048) 0.214 (0.029) 0.205 (0.049)
Energy/vitality	Univariate Model 1 Model 2		0.116 (0.004) 0.103 (0.015)		
Pain	Univariate Model 1 Model 2				
General health perception	Univariate Model 1 Model 2		0.105 (0.010) 0.091 (0.031)		

Model 1, adjusted for gender and age; Model 2, adjusted for gender, age, hypertension, angina pectoris class, nitrate and diuretics, ACE inhibitors use.

NYHA, New York Heart Association; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; E, peak velocity of early diastolic mitral inflow; A, peak velocity of late diastolic mitral inflow.

affect lower physical functioning, but in our study we found that in NYHA III class diastolic function parameter was mainly associated with mental health. On the other hand, it is not improbable, as has been recently published, that LV diastolic function parameters, such as the E/A ratio, were progressively altered across the levels of depression (P = 0.007) [31]. It should be noted that this study was conducted on participants without known cardiovascular disease. Do these findings fit for CAD patients, additional studies are needed. Many prior prospective studies with the aim of investigating the association between depression and LV function have reported controversial results. Some of them have found the associations between depression and lower LVEF and/or higher Killip class [32,33], but many others [34-36] found no relationship between depression and echocardiographic measures. This may be due to several reasons. Barth et al. in a recent metaanalysis [37] have noted that studies in this area varied considerably. Moreover, prior studies that found a relationship between depression and cardiac disease severity parameters have sampled patients after acute coronary events such as acute myocardial infarction [38] or coronary artery bypass surgery [39]. It must be mentioned that rates of elevated depressive symptoms are particularly high at the time of hospitalization and tend to decrease within the subsequent

months [40], so this could be transient distress rather than true clinical depression. In the Heart and Soul study in a sample of 1020 patients with stable CAD, the investigators found no evidence that major depression was associated with systolic dysfunction, diastolic dysfunction, inducible ischemia, or cardiac wall motion abnormalities [34].

We highlight several limitations of this study. Left ventricular diastolic function was measured by E/A ratio and deceleration time. There is, therefore, a risk that E/A ratio, deceleration time underestimates LV diastolic dysfunction and for precisely evaluation there is a need for more comprehensive echocardiographic evaluation. There are no data on natriuretic peptide BNP or NT pro-BNP for confirming the heart failure level. On the other hand, the major strengths of our study include a large sample size of stable CAD patients and evaluation associations between patient-reported outcomes and objective measures of disease severity.

5. Conclusions

In stable CAD patients with NYHA I-II functional class HRQoL was not strongly associated with left ventricular function parameters; in NYHA III functional class greater systolic

function mainly was associated with better physical health and better diastolic function, with better mental health.

Conflict of interest

The authors state no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement

This research was funded by the European Social Fund under the Global Grant measure (contract number: VP1-3.1-SMM-07-K-02-060).

REFERENCES

- [1] Roger VL, Go AS, Lloyd-Jones DM, Benjamin EJ, Berry JD, Borden WB, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics – 2012 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2012;125(1):e2–20.
- [2] Klein L, Gheorghiade M. Coronary artery disease and prevention of heart failure. Med Clin N Am 2004;88(5):1209–35.
- [3] Dickstein K, Cohen-Solal A, Filippatos G, McMurray JJV, Ponikowski P, Poole-Wilson PA, et al. ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2008. The Task force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2008 of the European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association of the ESC (HFA) and endorsed by the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM). Eur Heart J 2008;29:2388–442.
- [4] Dogdu O, Yarlioglues M, Gungor M, Ardic I, Akpek M, Senarslan O, et al. Relationship between psychosocial status diabetes mellitus, and left ventricular systolic function in patients with stable multivessel coronary artery disease. Cardiol J 2012;19:249–55.
- [5] McMurray JJV, Adamopoulos S, Anker SD, Auricchio A, Bohm M, Dickstein K, et al. ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2012. The Task force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2012 of the European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur Heart J 2012;33:1787–847.
- [6] Spilker B, editor. Quality of life and pharmacoeconomics in clinical trials. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven; 1996.
- [7] McGee HM, Oldridge N, Hellemans IM. Quality of life evaluation in cardiovascular disease: a role for the European Society of Cardiology. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2005;12(3):191–2.
- [8] Peric VM, Borzanovic MD, Stolic RV, Jovanovic AN, Sovtic SR. Severity of angina as a predictor of quality of life changes six months after coronary artery bypass surgery. Ann Thorac Surg 2006;81(6):2115–20.
- [9] Staniute M, Brozaitiene J, Bunevicius R. Effects of social support and stressful life events on health-related quality of life in coronary artery disease patients. J Cardiovasc Nurs 2013;28(1):83–9.
- [10] Buneviciute J, Staniute M, Brozaitiene J, Girdler SS, Bunevicius R. Mood symptoms and personality dimensions as determinants of health related quality of life in patients

with coronary artery disease. J Health Psychol 2013;18 (11):1493–504.

- [11] Gorkin L, Follick MJ, Geltman E, Hamm P, Sollano J, Sylvia S, et al. Quality of life among patients post-myocardial infarction at baseline in the Survival and ventricular enlargement (SAVE) trial. Qual Life Res 1994;3(2):111–9.
- [12] Pettersen KI, Kvan E, Rollaq A, Stavem K, Reikvam A. Health-related quality of life after myocardial infarction is associated with level of left ventricular ejection fraction. BMC Cardiovasc Disord 2008;8:28.
- [13] Ohno Y, Okura Y, Ramadan MM, Taneda K, Suzuki K, Tomita M, et al. Health-related quality of life of outpatients with systolic and isolated diastolic dysfunction. Sado heart failure study. Circ J 2008;72:1436–42.
- [14] Edelmann F, Stahrenberg R, Polzin F, Kockskamper A, Dungen H-D, Duvinage A, et al. Impaired physical quality of life in patients with diastolic dysfunction associates more strongly with neurohumoral activation than with echocardiographic parameters: quality of life in diastolic dysfunction. Am Heart J 2011;161:797–804.
- [15] Smith SC, Allen J, Blair SN, Bonow RO, Brass LM, Fonarow GC, et al. AHA/ACC guidelines for secondary prevention for patients with coronary and other atherosclerotic vascular disease: 2006 update: endorsed by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Circulation 2006;133(22):2363–72.
- [16] The Criteria Committee of the New York Heart Association. Nomenclature and criteria for diagnosis of diseases of the heart and great vessels. 9th ed. Boston, MA: Little, Brown & Co.; 1994. p. 253–6.
- [17] Campeau L. Grading of angina pectoris [letter]. Circulation 1976;54(3):522–3.
- [18] Hunt SA, Abraham WT, Chin MH, Feldman AM, Francis GS, Ganiats TG, et al. ACC/AHA 2005 guideline update for the diagnosis and management of chronic heart failure in the adult: summary article: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to update the 2001 Guidelines for the Evaluation and Management of Heart Failure). Circulation 2005;112:1825–52.
- [19] Mancia G, De Backer G, Dominiczak A, Cifkova R, Fagard R, Germano G, et al. 2007 guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. The task force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J 2007;28:1462–536.
- [20] Ware JE, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Med Care 1992;30(6):473–83.
- [21] Picard MH, Adams D, Bierig SM, Dent JM, Douglas PS, Gillam LD, et al. American Society of Echocardiography recommendations for quality echocardiography laboratory operations. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2011;24(1):1–10.
- [22] Staniute M, Bunevicius A, Brozaitiene J, Bunevicius R. Relationship of health-related quality of life with fatigue and exercise capacity in patients with coronary artery disease. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs 2014;13(4):338–44.
- [23] Coyne KS, Lundergan CF, Boyle D, Greenhouse SW, Draoui YC, Walker P, et al. Relationship of infarct artery patency and left ventricular ejection fraction to health related quality of life after myocardial infarction: the GUSTO-I Angiographic Study experience. Circulation 2000;102 (11):1245–51.
- [24] Ecochard R, Colin C, Rabilloud M, de Gevigney G, Cao D, Ducreux C, et al. Indicators of myocardial dysfunction and quality of life, one year after acute infarction. Eur J Heart Fail 2001;3(5):561–8.
- [25] Sjoland H, Caidahl K, Wiklund I, Haglid M, Hartford M, Karlson BW, et al. Impact of coronary artery bypass grafting

on various aspects of quality of life. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 1997;12:612–9.

- [26] Mattera JA, De Leon CM, Wackers FJ, Williams CS, Wang Y, Krumholz HM. Association of patients' perception of health status and exercise electrocardiogram, myocardial perfusion imaging, and ventricular function measures. Am Heart J 2000;140:409–18.
- [27] Ruo B, Rumsfeld JS, Hlatky MA, Liu H, Browner WS, Whooley MA. Depressive symptoms and health-related quality of life: the Heart and Soul Study. JAMA 2003;290 (2):215–21.
- [28] Abhayaratna WP, Marwick TH, Smith WT, Becker NG. Characteristics of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in the community: an echocardiographic survey. Heart 2006;92:1259–64.
- [29] Okura H, Takada Y, Kubo T, Asawa K, Ozaki T, Yamagishi H, et al. Age- and gender-specific changes in the left ventricular relaxation: a Doppler echocardiographic study in healthy individuals. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 2009;2:41–6.
- [30] Grewal J, McCully RB, Kane GC, Lam C, Pellikka PA. Left ventricualar function and exercise capacity. JAMA 2009;301 (3):286–94.
- [31] Kim Y-H, Kim SH, Lim SY, Cho G-Y, Baik I-K, Lim H-E, et al. Relationship between depression and subclinical left ventricular changes in the general population. Heart 2012;98:1378–83.
- [32] Lesperance F, Frasure-Smith N, Juneau M, Theroux P. Depression and 1-year prognosis in unstable angina. Arch Intern Med 2000;160:1354–6.

- [33] Frasure-Smith N, Lesperance F, Juneau M, Talajic M, Bourassa MG. Gender, depression, and one-year prognosis after myocardial infarction. Psychosom Med 1999;61:26–37.
- [34] Lett H, Ali S, Whooley M. Depression and cardiac function in patients with stable coronary heart disease: findings from the Heart and Soul Study. Psychosom Med 2008;70 (4):444–9.
- [35] Strik JJ, Lousberg R, Cheriex EC, Honig A. One year cumulative incidence of depression following myocardial infarction and impact on cardiac outcome. J Psychosom Res 2004;56:59–66.
- [36] Lane D, Ring C, Lip GYH, Carrol D. Depression, indirect clinical markers of cardiac disease severity, and mortality following myocardial infarction. Heart 2005;91:531–2.
- [37] Barth J, Schumacher M, Herrmann-Linger C. Depression as a risk factor for mortality in patients with coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis. Psychosom Med 2004;66:802–13.
- [38] Bush DE, Ziegelstein RC, Tayback M, Richter D, Stevens S, Zahalsky H, et al. Even minimal symptoms of depression increase mortality risk after acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 2001;88:337–41.
- [39] Blumenthal JA, Lett H, Babyak M, White W, Smith P, Mark D, et al. Depression as a risk factor for mortality after coronary artery bypass surgery. Lancet 2003;362:604–9.
- [40] Parashar S, Rumsfeld JS, Spertus JA, Reid KJ, Wenger NK, Krumholz HM, et al. Time course of depression and outcome of myocardial infarction. Arch Intern Med 2006;166:2035–43.