

Supplementary Materials: Polymorphisms of *HLA-DM* on Treatment Response to Interferon/Ribavirin in Patients with Chronic Hepatitis C Virus Type 1 Infection

Hongbo Chen, Yinan Yao, Yifan Wang, Hua Zhou, Tianxiang Xu, Jing Liu, Guocheng Wang, Yongfeng Zhang, Xiang Chen, Qingwei Liu, Peng Huang and Rongbin Yu

Table S1. Primers and probes for TaqMan allelic discrimination.

| Polymorphism | | Sequence(5'-3') | |
|------------------|--------|--|--|
| rs23544 | Primer | F: CCACTGTATTAGAGAGGACCTGGAA | |
| | Probe | R: ACCACCCACTCCAAGAAAAAT FAM-AGATGGATTCCCC-MGB HEX-AGATGGGTTCCCC-MGB | |
| rs3135029 | Primer | F: TCGCTTGGGTGTTCTGTATAA | |
| | Probe | R: CAGGGCCCACGTGATCTG FAM-TAACGCTACAAATTCTGGCA-MGB HEX-ATAAGCTACAAATTGGGCAA-MGB | |
| rs1050391 | Primer | F: AGGTCTTCITCCAGGCAAGGA | |
| | Probe | R: GAGCTATAGACAGGAAGTGCTGAA FAM-CTAGACGTAGAACAGCAG-MGB HEX-AGCTAGACATAGAACAGCAGA-MGB | |
| rs1063478 | Primer | F: CCACCCATGCTGACAGTGA | |
| | Probe | R: AGTCATCGACAGCTGAGACA FAM-ATCATTCCGTCCTGTG-MGB HEX-CATCATCCATCCCTGT-MGB | |

Table S2. Association of SNPs in *HLA-DM* with EVR and cEVR.

| Genotype | N-RVR | RVR | OR (95% CI) | p-Value | N-cEVR | cEVR | OR (95% CI) | p-Value |
|------------------|------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|------------|------------------|---------|
| | n = 166 | n = 149 | | | n = 98 | n = 223 | | |
| rs23544 | | | | | | | | |
| AA | 73 (44.0) | 61 (40.9) | 1.00 | - | 43 (43.9) | 92 (41.2) | 1.00 | - |
| AG | 71 (42.8) | 61 (40.9) | 0.99 (0.59–1.67) | 0.976 | 46 (46.9) | 88 (39.5) | 0.86 (0.49–1.48) | 0.584 |
| GG | 22 (13.2) | 27 (18.1) | 1.30 (0.65–2.63) | 0.455 | 9 (9.2) | 43 (19.3) | 2.11 (0.91–4.89) | 0.081 |
| Dominant | | | 1.07 (0.66–1.73) | 0.771 | | | 1.08 (0.65–1.79) | 0.777 |
| Recessive | | | 1.31 (0.68–02.52) | 0.420 | | | 2.27 (1.02–5.04) | 0.044 |
| Additive | | | 1.11 (0.79–1.54) | 0.544 | | | 1.25 (0.87–1.79) | 0.221 |
| rs3135029 | | | | | | | | |
| AA | 116 (69.9) | 96 (64.4) | 1.00 | - | 69 (70.4) | 145 (65.0) | 1.00 | - |
| AC | 45 (27.1) | 45 (30.2) | 1.27 (0.74–2.18) | 0.393 | 25 (25.5) | 67 (30.0) | 1.59 (0.89–2.87) | 0.118 |
| CC | 5 (3.0) | 8 (5.4) | 1.83 (0.54–6.22) | 0.328 | 4 (4.1) | 11 (5.0) | 1.45 (0.41–5.08) | 0.566 |
| Dominant | | | 1.33 (0.79–2.23) | 0.277 | | | 1.57 (0.90–2.75) | 0.109 |
| Recessive | | | 1.72 (0.51–5.74) | 0.379 | | | 1.26 (0.36–4.35) | 0.713 |
| Additive | | | 1.30 (0.85–2.00) | 0.224 | | | 1.41 (0.88–2.25) | 0.147 |
| rs1050391 | | | | | | | | |
| CC | 116 (69.9) | 97 (65.1) | 1.00 | - | 68 (69.4) | 148 (66.4) | 1.00 | - |
| CT | 46 (27.7) | 45 (30.2) | 1.24 (0.72–2.14) | 0.426 | 27 (27.5) | 65 (29.1) | 1.32 (0.74–2.34) | 0.342 |
| TT | 4 (2.4) | 7 (4.7) | 1.73 (0.46–6.54) | 0.416 | 3 (3.1) | 10 (4.5) | 1.53 (0.37–6.24) | 0.553 |
| Dominant | | | 1.29 (0.77–2.17) | 0.327 | | | 1.34 (0.78–2.33) | 0.291 |
| Recessive | | | 1.63 (0.44–6.10) | 0.463 | | | 1.42 (0.35–5.73) | 0.092 |
| Additive | | | 1.27 (0.82–1.97) | 0.282 | | | 1.29 (0.80–2.06) | 0.053 |
| rs1063478 | | | | | | | | |
| CC | 70 (42.2) | 65 (43.6) | 1.00 | - | 52 (53.1) | 88 (39.5) | 1.00 | - |
| CT | 86 (51.8) | 60 (40.3) | 0.78 (0.47–1.29) | 0.339 | 41 (41.8) | 108 (48.4) | 1.57 (0.93–2.64) | 0.092 |
| TT | 10 (6.0) | 24 (16.1) | 2.31 (0.96–5.53) | 0.059 | 5 (5.1) | 27 (12.1) | 2.85 (0.99–8.23) | 0.053 |

| | | | | |
|-----------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| Dominant | 0.94 (0.58–1.52) | 0.793 | 1.70 (1.02–2.82) | 0.040 |
| Recessive | 2.65 (1.16–6.06) | 0.021 | 2.25 (0.80–6.30) | 0.122 |
| Additive | 1.19 (0.82–1.72) | 0.357 | 1.63 (1.07–2.46) | 0.021 |

Abbreviation: SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; RVR, rapid virological response; N-RVR, non rapid virological response. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; EVR, early virological response; cEVR, complete early virological response; N-cEVR, non-complete early virological response; -, reference; Logistic regression analyses adjusted for age, gender, gamma-glutamyltranspeptidase, glucose, α -fetal protein, platelets, baseline RNA, T3, T4. Dominant model stands for (homozygous type + hybrid type) vs. wild type; recessive model stands for homozygous type vs. (hybrid type + wild type) and additive model stands for hybrid type vs. homozygous type vs. wild type.



© 2016 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons by Attribution (CC-BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).