## Supplementary Material S1 Examples of Translation Strategies

Category Included in TEA-CIFunciona	Tool Translation into ICF Qualifier		er Con	Considerations			
Functions							
<b>b134</b> (sleep functions)	VAS  The score was directly category was used as a guide. To translated into the ICF qualifier parents were asked to choose a from the VAS.						
0	1	2	3	4			
None Problem	Slight problem	Moderate Problem	Serious Problem	Complete Problem			
0–4%	5–24%	25–49%	50-95%	96–100%			
		> <					

<b>b1671</b> (expression of language)	VABS (Subdomain receptive)	We translated the adaptive level using v-Scale into ICF Qualifiers	When the scoring was >12 the qualifier 0 was assigned; with a score 10–12, the qualifier assigned was 1; a score 6–9 received a qualifier 2; if a score 3–5 was given, the qualifier assigned was 3; and with score <3 a qualifier 4.		
<b>b7653</b> (functions related to involuntary movements. Stereotypies and motor perseveration)	ADI-R (item 78)	Following Translational strategies, the professional rated item 78 and translated it into the ICF qualifier	When the scoring was 0, the qualifier 0 was assigned; with a score 1, the qualifier assigned was 1; with a score 2 a qualifier 2. If a score of 3 was given on the scale, the researcher decided by clinical judgment if the category represented a severe or complete problem.		
(a)					
		Activities and Participation			
d155 (acquiring skills)	VABS (Domain We translated using Stand.  Daily Living Skills)  Quadrature		The scoring was as follows: >86, the qualifier 0 was assigned; score 71–85, received a qualifier 1; with a score 51–70 a qualifier 2 was assigned; when the score was 35–50, the qualifier 3 was assigned; and a score <35 a qualifier 4.		
<b>d250</b> (managing one's own behavior)	CARS (item 6)	The professional rated item 6 and translated it to the ICF qualifier following the Translational strategies	When the scoring was 1, the qualifier 0 was assigned; when the score was 2, the qualifier assigned was 1; score 3 received qualifier 2; and when score was 4, the researcher decided by clinical judgment if the category represented a severe or complete problem.		
d330 (speaking)	Observation/Interv iew	The professional rated the category following ADOS guideline	Non echoed phrases speech: 0 Echoed phrases, stereotyped utterances with other flexible spontaneous language: 1 Single words mostly: 2 No spoken language OR only speech without communicative intent: Up to 5 years, 11 months: 3–>6 years old: 4		
d815 (preschool education)	VAS	The score was directly translated into the ICF qualifier	A questionnaire related to the category was used as a guide. Then parents were asked to choose a value from the VAS.		

0	1	2	3	4	
No Difficulty	Mild Difficulty Moderate Difficulty		Severe Difficulty	Complete Difficulty	
0–4%	5–24% 25–49%		50-95%	96–100%	
		> <			

(b)

## **Environmental Factors** e125 (products and The professional defined a facilitator Levels of severity were expressed in % as defined in the ICF technology for Interview or a barrier according to his or her communication) clinical judgement generic scale A questionnaire related to the e355 (Individual attitudes of category was used as a guide. The score was directly translated into people in positions of VAS the ICF qualifier Then parents were asked to authority) choose a value from the VAS.

-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
Total Barrier	Severe Barrier	Moderate Barrier	Mild Barrier	No Facilitator/ no Barrier	Mild Facilitator	Moderate Facilitator	Substantial Facilitator	Complete Facilitator
		> <		••				

(c)