

Hydrothermal carbonization of Peat Moss and Herbaceous Biomass (*Miscanthus*): A potential route for bioenergy

Poritosh Roy^{1*}, Animesh Dutta^{1*} and Jim Gallant²

¹ School of Engineering, University of Guelph, Ontario, N1G 2W1, Canada

² REMASCO, 3811 Middle Side Road, Amherstburg, Ontario, N9V 2Y9, Canada

* Corresponding authors: poritosh@uoguelph.ca (P.Roy); adutta@uoguelph.ca (A. Dutta);

Tel.: +1-519-824-4120 Ext. 52441

SI-1 Feedstock, processing temperature, products of HTC process.

Feedstock	HTC Temp (⁰ C)	Solid yield (%)	Liquid yield (%)	Gas yield (%)	Reference
Sugarcane Bagasse	215	64.0	8.0	4.0	(1)
Rice hulls	235	64.33	25.37	5.23	(1)
Corn stove	235	56.41	32.41	7.79	(1)
Jeffery pine and White fir	180-250	50-69	12-14	5-12	(2)
Loblolly Pine	-	63-83	8-17	9-20	(3)
Tahoe mix	235	63.68	17.66	7.86	(1)
Pinyon/juniper	235	62.73	30.37	6.13	(1)

Note: The main components in gas and volatile acids were reported to be CO₂ and acetic acid (4). Therefore, authors assumed that the gas and volatile acids are all CO₂ and acetic acids, respectively due to their high abundance in the gas and liquid streams.

Reference

1. Hoekman SK, Broch A, Robbins C, Zielinska B, Felix L. Hydrothermal carbonization (HTC) of selected woody and herbaceous biomass feedstocks. *Biomass Convers Biorefinery*. 2013;3(2):113–26.
2. Coronella CJ, Lynam JG, Reza MT, Uddin MH. Hydrothermal carbonization of lignocellulosic biomass. In: *Application of hydrothermal reactions to biomass conversion*. Springer; 2014. p. 275–311.
3. Zhang Z, Wang K, Atkinson JD, Yan X, Li X, Rood MJ, et al. Sustainable and hierarchical porous *Enteromorpha prolifera* based carbon for CO₂ capture. *J Hazard Mater*. 2012;229:183–91.
4. Yan W, Hastings JT, Acharjee TC, Coronella CJ, Vásquez VR. Mass and energy balances of wet torrefaction of lignocellulosic biomass. *Energy & Fuels*. 2010;24(9):4738–42.