



# Article Analysis and Modeling of a Single-Power-Source T-Type 7-Level Single-Phase DC-AC Inverter with Voltage Gain of 3

Jenn-Jong Shieh <sup>1</sup>, Kuo-Ing Hwu <sup>2,\*</sup> and You-Yang Li <sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, Feng Chia University, No. 100, Wenhwa Road, Seatwen, Taichung 40724, Taiwan
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, National Taipei University of Technology, 1, Sec. 3, Zhongxiao E. Road, Taipei 10608, Taiwan
- <sup>3</sup> Delta Electronics (Taiwan), No. 16, Dongyuan Road, Zhongli Dist., Taoyuan City 32063, Taiwan
- \* Correspondence: eaglehwu@ntut.edu.tw; Tel.: +886-2-27712171 (ext. 2159)

**Abstract:** This paper proposes a novel T-type 7-level single-phase DC-AC inverter having a single input power source, self-balancing, and voltage gain of 3 along with low total harmonic distortion (THD). Since the structure of the proposed 7-level DC-AC inverter is symmetrical, the interchange-ability of mass production can be easily achieved. In addition, because only one switch works for all time, the switch has quite low switching loss and voltage stress as well as the control being very easy. Furthermore, not only the proposed 7-level DC-AC inverter is analyzed in detail by the operating principle, but also the mathematical model for the adopted level-shift sinusoidal pulse width modulation (LS-SPWM) for this multilevel DC-AC inverter is successfully developed by using the well-known state averaging technique widely employed in the DC-DC converter. As a result, the required proportional-integral (PI) controller, used in the closed loop, can be designed systematically and easily. Eventually, the feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed inverter are verified by simulated and experimental results.

Keywords: T-type; 7-level; single-phase; DC-AC inverter; modeling; voltage gain

# 1. Introduction

# 1.1. Motivations

Multilevel DC-AC inverters (MLIs) are a popular solution for AC power sources, including renewable energy conversion such as wind energy [1,2], automotive [3–6], and photovoltaic application [3,7,8]. Compared to two-level voltage source inverters, MLIs have the advantages of low voltage stress, high efficiency, low common-mode voltage, as well as providing high quality output waveforms and improving the total harmonic distortion (THD) [9].

The basic operating principle of the multilevel DC-AC inverter is to utilize the switch path to render the output voltage stratified, and to upgrade the number of filters or levels to cause the output voltage to be sinusoidal-like. As the output voltage of an MLI is hierarchical, the voltage stress across the switch can be clamped. As a result, the highvoltage AC output can be realized by low-voltage components.

MLIs can be used in high power or low power. Generally, high power converters have the advantage that all semiconductors are rated to the same voltage, despite the ratio of output levels over the number of power semiconductors being relatively low compared to low power converters. Low power multilevel inverters are also a wide research field in which a large number of output voltage levels can be achieved by sacrificing the voltage rating of semiconductors. For switching topologies, on the other hand, MLIs can be divided into two major categories, containing step switching multilevel and pulse-width-modulated (PWM) switching multilevel.



Citation: Shieh, J.-J.; Hwu, K.-I.; Li, Y.-Y. Analysis and Modeling of a Single-Power-Source T-Type 7-Level Single-Phase DC-AC Inverter with Voltage Gain of 3. *Energies* **2022**, *15*, 7894. https://doi.org/10.3390/ en15217894

Academic Editor: Salvatore Musumeci

Received: 13 September 2022 Accepted: 14 October 2022 Published: 24 October 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). The step switching multilevel DC-AC inverter employs DC power source, semiconductor elements and capacitors to synthesize the AC output voltage [10–12]. Although this inverter greatly reduces the number of switching cycles over one AC cycle, the DC-AC conversion current path is increased by too many semiconductor elements, thereby increasing turn-on loss. Furthermore, since multilevel DC-AC inverters operate at low frequencies, the switched capacitors require a large capacity to provide step voltages. In addition, the load dynamic response of such an inverter is rather slow.

Voltage source multilevel PWM switching DC-AC inverters can be mainly classified into four types, containing flying capacitor type, cascade type, diode clamp type, and T-Type. The diode clamp type can be furthermore classified into two types: one is neutral point clamped (NPC), the other is active neutral point clamped (ANPC). In general, the voltage-type multilevel DC-AC inverters can be classified by Figure 1.



Figure 1. Voltage source DC-AC inverter classification.

The flying-capacitor-based multilevel DC-AC inverter is designed to achieve the AC output voltage clamped by interconnecting multiple capacitors [13,14]. However, a large number of capacitors and complicated control strategies for capacitor voltage balancing are required to obtain a stratified output voltage.

The cascade-based multilevel DC-AC inverter is the only type having a modular design concept and adopts the fewest components among the three types [15,16]. However, the need for multiple independent power supplies to synthesize the required levels of the AC output voltage limits its applications.

The diode-clamp-based multilevel DC-AC inverter employs a diode and a switch to generate the potential of the neutral point for the output voltage, and a PWM (Pulse Width Modulation) method to create the appropriate gate signal to turn on the switch. This results in lower harmonic components compared to the traditional 2-level DC-AC inverter [17–19]. However, the diode clamp circuit has the problem of not achieving self-balancing at high levels [19].

The conventional T-type active clamp DC-AC inverter uses fewer components than the flying capacitor and the diode clamped DC-AC converters, and the voltage stress on the switch is lower than the input voltage. In addition, complex control signals are not required to balance the capacitor voltage. However, this structure requires two capacitors to build up the neutral point voltage and a bidirectional switch to connect the neutral point to the load, leading to only a 3-level step-down output voltage.

#### 1.2. State of the Related Works

There are many other existing papers presented for the T-type single-phase MLIs [20–25] with a different number of levels, voltage gain and circuit structure considered. Those characteristics are summarized in Table 1. In study [20], two structures are presented by combining a T-type active clamp DC-AC inverter with multiple DC power sources. The first structure uses three DC voltage sources and ten switches to achieve a 15-level AC output with a voltage gain of 1, while the second structure uses four DC power sources and twelve switches to achieve a 25-level AC output with a voltage gain of 1. In studies [21–23], two T-type active clamp DC-AC inverters are used to form the circuit structure. In study [21], the input capacitance of the second T-type active clamp DC-AC inverter is changed to the power source voltage, and a 7-level step-up T-type DC-AC inverter having a voltage gain of 1.5 is implemented with ten switches and four capacitors. In study [22], only one capacitor is employed to boost the voltage, an inductor is utilized at the front end of the capacitor to form a buck-boost converter, and a duty cycle of 0.5 is adopted to convert the voltage on the capacitor to the power source voltage to achieve a 5-level AC output with a voltage gain of 2. The circuit structure shown in [23] takes four power inputs cross-connected to obtain two T-type actively clamped DC-AC inverters to achieve a 9-level AC output with a voltage gain of 1. However, compared with studies [21,22], the input power source is increased from a single power source to four power sources, but the 7- and 5-level DC-AC inverters in [21,22], respectively, are upgraded to the 9-level ones. In studies [24,25], 7-level DC-AC inverters are presented, formed by combining the T-type active clamp DC-AC inverter with the H-bridge. In study [24], eight switches and one floating capacitor are used to form a 7-level DC-AC inverter possessing a voltage gain of 0.75, and the space vector modulation is used to maintain the floating capacitor across the H-bridge at half of the DC input voltage. Compared with study [18], the literature [19] adds two switches to achieve a 7-level AC output with a voltage gain of 1.5.

Reference Number	Level Number	Voltage Gain	Circuit Structure
[20]	15	1.0	T-Type + Active Clamped
[21]	7	1.5	Asymmetric Two T-type Active Clamped
[22]	5	2.0	Two T-type Active Clamped+ Front-End Buck-Boost Converter
[23]	9	1.0	Two T-type Active Clamped+ Four Power Inputs
[24]	7	0.75	T-type + Diode Clamped+ Floating Capacitor
[25]	7	1.5	T-type + Diode Clamped+ H-bridge Switched-Capacitor

Table 1. T-type single-phase MLIs.

On the other hand, in order to control the power converter, modeling is very important, although there are, of course, numerous variations in analysis and measure from a theoretical and implement point of view, respectively [26]. There are many methods which were proposed for modeling the DC-DC converter, for example, the well-known state-space averaging method. However, very few examples of modeling the multilevel DC-AC inverter can be found in the literature.

# 1.3. Contributions

Contributions of this paper are as follows: (1) A novel T-type 7-level single-phase DC-AC inverter with a single input power source, self-balancing, voltage gain of 3 and low total THD is proposed, which is different from [27] based on class-D. (2) The mathematical model of the DC-AC inverter is successfully developed by using the well-known state-space averaging method widely used in the DC-DC converter. (3) A 300 W prototype circuit

is simulated to demonstrate the feasibility of the proposed circuit, and one-comparator voltage control [28], accordingly to the field programmable gate array (FPGA), which is utilized to verify the effectiveness of the proposed circuit. The proposed T-type 7-level DC-AC inverter not only has a rather fast load transient response due to the well-designed feedback controller, but also has the efficiency up to 97.42% and the THD down to 2.01%.

The contents of the paper may be outlined as follows. Section 2 illustrates the proposed MLI, including circuit description, switching patterns, and operating principle. Power component design is given in Section 3. Modeling and controller design is introduced for the level-shift sinusoidal pulse width modulation (LS-SPWM) in Section 4. Simulated and experimental results, along with discussions, are shown in Section 5. Finally, some conclusions are made in the last section.

# 2. Proposed Inverter

Figure 2a shows the proposed single-power-source T-type 7-level single-phase DC-AC inverter.



**Figure 2.** Proposed inverter and PWM control strategy: (**a**) Single-power-source T-type 7-level single-phase DC-AC inverter; (**b**) Gate signals of the switches.

# 2.1. Operating Principle

Prior to the operation analysis, we will briefly explain the definition of the symbols and the assumptions required.

- (1) the input voltage is signified by  $V_{in}$ , the output voltage is denoted by  $v_o$ , and the voltage across the output capacitor  $C_o$ ,  $V_{Co}$ , is identical to  $v_o$ .
- (2) the voltages on  $S_1$  to  $S_{10}$  are represented by  $v_{ds1}$  to  $v_{ds10}$ , respectively.
- (3) the voltages on  $D_1$  to  $D_6$  are expressed by  $V_{D1}$  to  $V_{D6}$ , respectively.
- (4) the currents in the energy-transferring capacitors  $C_1$  to  $C_4$  are denoted by  $i_{C1}$  to  $i_{C4}$ , respectively.
- (5) It is assumed that the energy-transferring capacitances are large enough such that the voltages on the capacitors can be viewed as constant, i.e.,  $V_{C1} = V_{C2} = V_{C3} = V_{C4}$ .
- (6) the output current is signified by  $i_0$ , and the currents flowing through inductors  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are expressed by  $i_L$ .
- (7) All elements, except the body diodes of the switches, are considered as ideal.

Figure 2b displays the gate signals for the switches with level-shift sinusoidal pulse width modulation (LS-SPWM). As the gate signals at the positive half-cycle which are identical to those at the negative half-cycle, only the positive half-cycle is taken into consideration. There are five stages in circuit operation, and only one switch in each stage over one PWM switching cycle, while the rest of the switches are always on or off. Since stage I is identical to stage V and stage II is identical to stage IV, only stages I, II, and III are analyzed.

As the AC output voltage is at the positive half-cycle, the switches  $S_1$  and  $S_8$  are always in the on-state and the switches  $S_2$ ,  $S_5$ ,  $S_6$ ,  $S_7$ , and  $S_9$  are always in the off-state. The output voltage will be obtained by switching  $S_4$ ,  $S_3$ , and  $S_{10}$  to comply with the circuit operations of stages I, II, and III, respectively. To speak lucidly, all the three triangular waves are compared with the sinusoidal wave. If the latter is larger than the former, the turn-on times are generated. The red line is for  $v_{gs4}$ , the blue line is for  $v_{gs3}$ , and the green line is for  $v_{gs10}$ .

#### 2.1.1. Stage I

Only  $S_4$  is turned on/off as in Figure 3.



**Figure 3.** Gate signal of *S*<sub>4</sub>.

Time interval  $[t_0 \le t \le t_1]$ : As illustrated in Figures 2b and 3, the switch  $S_4$  is on, but the switches  $S_3$  and  $S_{10}$  are off. As displayed in Figure 4, the power flow via the inductors  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  will generate a loop from the input voltage  $V_{in}$  to the switches  $S_1$ ,  $S_4$ ,  $S_8$  and the diodes  $D_2$ ,  $D_6$ . Hence, the voltage across the terminals A and B, called  $v_{AB}$ , is:

v

$$_{AB} = V_{in} \tag{1}$$



**Figure 4.** Power flow as *S*<sup>4</sup> is conducted.

Time interval  $[t_1 \le t \le t_2]$ : As illustrated in Figures 2b and 3, the switches  $S_3$ ,  $S_4$ , and  $S_{10}$  are all off. As displayed in Figure 5, the power flow via the inductors  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  will generate a loop from the switches  $S_1$ ,  $S_8$ , the diode  $D_6$ , and the body diode of the switch  $S_5$ . Hence, the voltage on the terminals A and B, named  $v_{AB}$ , is:



$$v_{AB} = V_{in} - V_{C1} = 0 (2)$$

Figure 5. Power flow as *S*<sup>4</sup> is cut off.

# 2.1.2. Stage II

Only  $S_3$  is turned on/off as in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Gate signal of *S*<sub>3</sub>.

Time interval  $[t_3 \le t \le t_4]$ : As illustrated in Figures 2b and 6, the switch  $S_3$  is on, but the switches  $S_4$  and  $S_{10}$  are off. Although the switch  $S_4$  is always in the on-state during this interval, the diode  $D_2$  is reverse biased so that no current passes through  $S_4$ , which can be considered as equal to being in the off-state. As displayed in Figure 7, the power flow via the inductors  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  will generate a loop from the input voltage  $V_{in}$  to the switches  $S_1$ ,  $S_3$ ,  $S_8$ , and the diode  $D_6$ . Hence, the voltage on the terminals of A and B, called  $v_{AB}$ , is:

$$v_{AB} = V_{in} + V_{C1} = 2V_{in}$$
(3)



**Figure 7.** Power flow as *S*<sup>3</sup> is conducted.

Time interval  $[t_4 \le t \le t_5]$ : As illustrated in Figures 2b and 6, the switches  $S_3$  and  $S_{10}$  are off, but the switch  $S_4$  is on. The power flow in this interval is the same as Figure 4. The power flow via the inductors  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  will generate a loop from the input voltage  $V_{in}$  to the switches  $S_1$ ,  $S_4$ ,  $S_8$ , and the diodes  $D_2$  and  $D_6$ . Hence, the voltage on the terminals A and B, named  $v_{AB}$ , is  $V_{in}$  as shown in Equation (1).

2.1.3. Stage III

Only  $S_{10}$  is turned on/off as in Figure 8.



**Figure 8.** Gate signal of *S*<sub>10</sub>.

Time interval  $[t_6 \le t \le t_7]$ : As illustrated in Figures 2b and 8, the switches  $S_3$  and  $S_{10}$  are on, but the switch  $S_4$  is off. As displayed in Figure 9, the current flow via the inductors  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  will generate a loop from the input voltage  $V_{in}$  to the switches  $S_1$ ,  $S_3$ ,  $S_8$ , and  $S_{10}$ . Hence, the voltage on the terminals of A and B, called  $v_{AB}$ , is:

$$v_{AB} = V_{in} + V_{C1} + V_{C4} = 3V_{in} \tag{4}$$



**Figure 9.** Power flow as  $S_{10}$  is conducted.

Time interval  $[t_7 \le t \le t_8]$ : As illustrated in Figures 2b and 8, the switches  $S_4$  and  $S_{10}$  are cut off, but the switch  $S_3$  is on. The power flow in this interval is the same as Figure 7. The power flow via the inductors  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  will generate a loop from the input voltage  $V_{in}$  to the switches  $S_1$ ,  $S_3$ ,  $S_8$ , and the diode  $D_6$ . Hence, the voltage on the terminals A and B, named  $v_{AB}$ , is  $2V_{in}$  as shown in Equation (3).

According to the analysis mentioned above, the proposed 7-level DC-AC inverter possesses the voltage gain of 3, to be calculated based on (5):

$$Voltage gain = \frac{Amplitude of AC output voltage}{Sum of input voltages}$$
(5)

## 2.2. Switch Behavior and Maximum Voltage Stress

Table 2 displays the switch behavior of the proposed circuit and the corresponding maximum voltage stresses of switches, where  $f_{line}$  is the mains frequency and  $f_{PWM}$  is the switching frequency. Since the positive half-cycle is considered, the switches  $S_2$ ,  $S_5$ ,  $S_6$ ,  $S_7$ , and  $S_9$  are kept off. The maximum voltage stress is  $V_{in}$  for all switches except for the switches  $S_3$  and  $S_5$ , whose voltage stresses both are  $2V_{in}$ .

**Table 2.** Switch behavior of the proposed circuit and the corresponding maximum voltage stresses of switches at the positive half-cycle.

		$S_1$	$S_3$	$S_4$	<i>S</i> <sub>8</sub>	S <sub>10</sub>
Switch Frequency		fline	fpwm	fpwm	f <sub>line</sub>	fpwm
Stage I	Switch status	1	0	1,0	1	0
(S <sub>4</sub> PWM)	Maximum voltage stress	0	$2V_{in}$	V <sub>in</sub>	0	V <sub>in</sub>
Stage II	Switch status	1	1,0	1 (no power flow)	1	0
( <i>S</i> <sub>3</sub> PWM)	Maximum voltage stress	0	V <sub>in</sub>	0	0	V <sub>in</sub>
Stage III	Switch status	1	1	1	1	1,0
$(S_{10} \text{ PWM})$	Maximum voltage stress	0	0	0	0	V <sub>in</sub>

Note: '1' implies 'on' and '0' implies 'off'.

#### 3. Power Component Design

The specifications for the proposed DC-AC inverter are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Specifications for the proposed DC-AC inverter.

Specification	Value			
Input Voltage V <sub>in</sub>	56 V			
Output Voltage (Mains) Frequency <i>f</i> <sub>line</sub>	60 Hz			
Output Voltage $v_o$	110 V <sub>rms</sub>			
Rated Output Power P <sub>o,rated</sub> /Rated Outout Current I <sub>o,rated</sub>	300 W/2.72 A <sub>rms</sub>			
Minimum Output Power P <sub>o,min</sub>	75 W			
Switching Frequency $f_s$	60 kHz			

## 3.1. Energy-Transferring Capacitor Design

The PSIM simulation results can be used to get the charge of two energy-transferring capacitors discharged at the positive half-cycle of the mains. By setting the voltage ripple rate at 10%, the capacitance can be obtained as below:

$$\Delta V = 56 \times 10\% = 5.6 \text{ V}$$
(6)

$$C_1 = C_3 = \frac{\Delta Q_1}{\Delta V} = \frac{17.48 \text{ m}}{5.6} = 3.12 \text{ mF}$$
 (7)

$$C_4 = C_2 = \frac{\Delta Q_4}{\Delta V} = \frac{8.26 \text{ m}}{5.6} = 1.47 \text{ mF}$$
 (8)

Consequently, for design convenience, a 3.3 mF electrolytic capacitor is used for each of the four energy-transferring capacitors, and the voltage across each capacitor is identical to the input voltage according to Section 2.1. Since the derating should be considered in

use of the electrolytic capacitor, the voltage rating of the selected capacitor, based on thumb theorem, should be at least 1.25 times of the input voltage  $V_{in}$ , namely:

$$V_{\rm C} \ge 56 \times 1.25 = 70 \, {\rm V}$$
 (9)

Eventually, the specifications of the selected capacitors are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Specifications for four energy-transferring capacitors.

Product Name	Rubycon			
Rted Voltage	100 V			
Capacitance	3300 µF			

# 3.2. Filter Design

The maximum total harmonic distortion is 5% under 69 kV or less [29], according to the harmonic voltage limit proposed by IEEE 519–1992. Since a multilevel DC-AC converter is operated at high frequencies, the output voltage contains high frequency components, leading to a total harmonic distortion greater than 5%. Consequently, a filter should be adopted to reduce the total harmonic distortion of the output voltage.

Since the proposed circuit has a double-ended symmetrical output, the inductance L of LC filter as shown in Figure 10a is divided into  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  as shown in Figure 10b, not only rendering the differential-mode (DM) noise to not exist at the output terminals, but also keeping the common-mode noise (CM) from influencing the operation of the circuit. Accordingly,  $L_1$  is connected with  $L_2$  in series as displayed in Figure 10b so let L be equal to the sum of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  to do analysis and calculation, i.e.,  $L = L_1 + L_2$ , where  $L_1 = L_2 = 0.5L$ .

High frequency switching is used to reduce the size of the filter, and the cut-off frequency  $f_c$  of the filter is designed at 0.1 times the switching frequency  $f_s$  to ensure that the fundamental and harmonics of the switching frequency can be filtered out by this filter. Since the switching frequency is prescribed at 60 kHz, the value of  $f_c$  is prescribed at 6 kHz.

The transfer function of the filter, called F(s), is indicated in Equation (10) as follows:

$$F(s) = \frac{\frac{1}{C_o L}}{s^2 + s \frac{1}{R_o C_o} + \frac{1}{C_o L}}$$
(10)

In Equation (10), we can find the expressions of the cut-off frequency  $f_c$  and the quality factor Q as below:

$$f_c = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{1}{C_o L}} \tag{11}$$

and:

$$Q = \sqrt{\frac{C_o}{L}} R_o \tag{12}$$

Substituting the value of  $f_c$  into Equation (11) yields:

$$C_o L = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi \times f_c}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi \times 6 \,\mathrm{k}}\right)^2 = 0.7 \,\mathrm{n} \,(\mathrm{s}^2/\mathrm{rad}^2) \tag{13}$$

At the same time, rearranging Equation (12) yields:

$$\frac{C_o}{L} = \left(\frac{Q}{R_o}\right)^2 \tag{14}$$



**Figure 10.** Filter circuit diagram from the point of view of the output load with the inductance of (a) L; (b)  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

The value of *Q* at full load is set to 3 dB, that is  $\sqrt{2}$ , and substituting this value into Equation (14) yields:

$$\frac{C_o}{L} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{39.24}\right)^2 = 1.3 \text{ m}^2 \Rightarrow C_o = L \times 1.3 \text{ m}$$
(15)

Substituting Equation (15) into Equation (13) yields:

$$L = \sqrt{\frac{0.7 \,\mathrm{n}}{1.3 \,\mathrm{m}}} = 734 \,\mathrm{\mu H} \tag{16}$$

Substituting Equation (16) into Equation (15) yields:

$$C_o = 954 \,\mathrm{nF} \tag{17}$$

One 1  $\mu$ F/275 V metal film capacitor, manufactured by HJC Co., is selected as  $C_0$ . Therefore, the value of the individual values of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  can be chosen to be:

$$L_1 = L_2 = 0.5L = 367 \,\mu\text{H} \tag{18}$$

Eventually, the individual values of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are selected as 367  $\mu$ H. Two low permeability cores are selected for cores of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  along with the following parameters shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Specifications for the used cores.

Ring Core	T106–26
Outside Diameter (OD)	26.9 mm
Inner Diameter (ID)	14.5 mm
Thickness (HT)	14.6 mm
Relative Permeability $(\mu_r)$	75
Inductance Coefficient $(A_L)$	$124\pm10\%$ (nH/N <sup>2</sup> )
Effective Area $(A_e)$	$0.858 \text{ cm}^2$
Effective Magnetic Length $(l_e)$	6.49 cm
Effective Volume $(V_e)$	5.57 cm <sup>3</sup>

Since the inductors  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are the same, whose values are 367  $\mu$ H, the required number of turns *N*, wound on the T106-26 core, is:

$$L = N^{2} \times A_{L}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow N = \sqrt{\frac{L_{1}}{A_{L}}} = \sqrt{\frac{L_{2}}{A_{L}}} = \sqrt{\frac{367}{124 \times 10^{-3}}} = 54.4$$
Turns (19)

Eventually, each inductance is  $375 \mu$ H with 55 turns.

On the other hand, the current density  $J_f$  is designed to be 400 A/cm<sup>2</sup>, and by considering the skin effect and using a wire diameter  $\Phi_f$  of 0.5 mm, the required number of strands is:

$$N_{L,turn} = \frac{I_{o,rated}}{\pi (\frac{\Phi_f}{2})^2 \times J_f(A/mm^2)} = \frac{2.72}{\pi \times (\frac{0.5}{2})^2 \times 400 \times 0.01} = 3.46$$
(20)

According to the result of Equation (20), 4 wires of 0.5 mm diameter enameled wire are wound on the used cores to obtain the filter inductors  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

In addition, the product name for  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_4$ ,  $S_7$ ,  $S_9$ , and  $S_{10}$  is AP75T45GP-HF, while the product name for  $S_3$ ,  $S_5$ ,  $S_6$ , and  $S_8$  is IPP076N15N5. The product name for  $D_1$  to  $D_6$  is SBR20A100CTFP.

#### 4. Modeling and Controller Design

4.1. Modeling

4.1.1. Small-Signal Analysis of Stage I

The state-space equations of the circuit are shown in Equation (21) and Equation (22) as the switch *S*<sup>4</sup> is turned on and off, respectively, during stage I:

$$\begin{cases}
L\frac{di_L}{dt} = v_{in} - v_o \\
C_o \frac{dv_o}{dt} = i_L - \frac{v_o}{R_o}
\end{cases}$$
(21)
$$\begin{cases}
L\frac{di_L}{dt} = 0 - v_o \\
\vdots
\end{cases}$$
(22)

Based on the state-space averaging method [26], it is assumed that the switch  $S_4$  is on during the period of  $dT_s$  and the switch  $S_4$  is off during the period of  $(1-d)T_s$ , where *d* is duty cycle and  $T_s$  is the switching period. After this, by multiplying Equation (21) by  $dT_s$ 

and Equation (22) by  $(1-d)T_s$ , the state-space averaging equations of stage I, via summing these two corresponding results, can be obtained to be:

$$\begin{cases} L\frac{di_L}{dt} = d \times v_{in} - v_o \\ C_o \frac{dv_o}{dt} = i_L - \frac{v_o}{R_o} \end{cases}$$
(23)

Since each parameter of the converter contains a DC quiescent operating point and an AC small signal, we can express the corresponding parameters as shown in Equation (24):

$$\begin{cases} d = D + \hat{d} & D >> \hat{d} \\ v_{in} = V_{in} + \hat{v}_{in} & V_{in} >> \hat{v}_{in} \\ i_L = I_L + \hat{i}_L & I_L >> \hat{i}_L \\ v_o = V_o + \hat{v}_o & V_o >> \hat{v}_o \end{cases}$$
(24)

Substituting Equation (24) into Equation (23) yields the small-signal AC model equation:

$$\begin{cases} L\frac{di_L}{dt} = (\hat{d}V_{in} + D\hat{v}_{in}) - \hat{v}_o \\ C_o \frac{d\hat{v}_o}{dt} = \hat{i}_L - \frac{\hat{v}_o}{R_o} \end{cases}$$
(25)

4.1.2. Small-Signal Analysis of Stage II

The state-space equations of the circuit are shown in Equation (26) and Equation (21) as the switch  $S_3$  is turned on and off, respectively, during stage II:

$$\begin{cases} L\frac{di_{L}}{dt} = v_{in} + v_{C1} - v_{o} = 2v_{in} - v_{o} \\ C_{o}\frac{dv_{o}}{dt} = i_{L} - \frac{v_{o}}{R_{o}} \end{cases}$$
(26)

where according to the analysis in Section 2, the voltage across the energy-transferring capacitor  $C_1$  is treated as the input voltage, i.e.,  $v_{C1} = v_{in}$ .

Sequentially, it is assumed that the switch  $S_3$  is on during the period of  $dT_s$  and the switch  $S_3$  is off during the period of  $(1 - d)T_s$ . Afterwards, by multiplying Equation (26) by  $dT_s$  and (21) by  $(1 - d)T_s$ , the state-space averaging equations of stage II, via summing these two corresponding results, can be obtained to be:

$$\begin{cases} L\frac{di_L}{dt} = v_{in} + d \cdot v_{in} - v_o \\ C_o \frac{dv_o}{dt} = i_L - \frac{v_o}{R_o} \end{cases}$$
(27)

Substituting Equation (24) into Equation (27) yields the small-signal AC model equation, which is the same as Equation (25).

#### 4.1.3. Small-Signal Analysis of Stage III

The state-space equations of the circuit are shown in Equation (28) and Equation (26) as the switch  $S_{10}$  is turned on and off, respectively, during stage III:

$$\begin{cases} L\frac{di_{L}}{dt} = v_{in} + v_{C1} + v_{C4} - v_{o} = 3v_{in} - v_{o} \\ C_{o}\frac{dv_{o}}{dt} = i_{L} - \frac{v_{o}}{R_{o}} \end{cases}$$
(28)

where according to the analysis in Section 2, the voltage across the energy-transferring capacitors  $C_1$  and  $C_4$  are treated as the input voltage, i.e.,  $v_{C1} = v_{C4} = v_{in}$ .

Sequentially, it is assumed that the switch  $S_{10}$  is on during the period of  $dT_s$  and the switch  $S_{10}$  is off during the period of  $(1 - d)T_s$ . After this, by multiplying Equation (28)

by  $dT_s$  and Equation (26) by  $(1 - d)T_s$ , the state-space averaging equations of stage III, via summing these two corresponding results, can be obtained to be:

$$\begin{cases} L\frac{di_L}{dt} = 2v_{in} + dv_{in} - v_o \\ C_o \frac{dv_o}{dt} = i_L - \frac{v_o}{R_o} \end{cases}$$
(29)

Substituting Equation (24) into Equation (29) yields the small-signal AC equation, which is the same as Equation (25).

From above analysis, it can be seen that the small-signal ac model of the proposed inverter is the same for any stage, and it is shown in Figure 11. From this figure, it can be seen that the small-signal AC model of the proposed inverter is the same as that of the conventional DC-DC buck converter. As a result, the control design can be carried out easily and systematically.



Figure 11. Small-signal AC model of the proposed inverter.

# 4.2. Controller Design

First, taking the Laplace transform of the circuit shown in Figure 11 yields the control-force-to-output-voltage transfer function  $G_{vd}(s)$ :

$$G_{vd}(s) = \frac{\hat{v}_o(s)}{\hat{d}(s)} = \frac{V_{in}}{s^2 L C_o + s \frac{L}{R_o} + 1}$$
(30)

Accordingly, the system block diagram for voltage feedback control of the proposed circuit can be obtained as shown in Figure 12, where  $G_c(s)$  represents the controller transfer function,  $V_M$  denotes the peak-to-peak triangular wave transfer function, and H(s) signifies the voltage divider transfer function. The loop gain T(s) is equal to  $G_c(s) \cdot G_{vd}(s) \cdot H(s)/V_M$ .



Figure 12. System block diagram for voltage feedback control of the proposed circuit.

First, let  $G_c(s) = 1$  and  $s = j\omega$ , the phase angle at the crossover radian frequency  $\omega_{cr}$  is calculated by MATLAB to be approximately  $-151^\circ$ , and based on the radian frequency compensation parameter table as described in [30] and the designed values of components as shown in Section 3,  $T(j\omega_{cr})$  can be obtained to be:

$$T(j\omega_{cr}) = T(j0.8) = 0.9064 \angle -151.6^{\circ}$$
(31)

In general, the general system stability condition is set at the compensation phase between 45° and 60°, so the compensation phase is set at 55° to calculate the required angle  $\theta$  after compensation as follows:

$$\theta = -180^{\circ} + 55^{\circ} - (-151.6^{\circ}) = 26.6^{\circ} \tag{32}$$

Substituting the phase calculated by Equation (32) into the formula of the proportional gain  $k_p$  shown in Equation (33) and the integral gain  $k_i$  shown in Equation (34) to find their corresponding values as below:

$$k_p = \frac{\cos\theta}{|T(j\omega_{cr})|} = \frac{\cos(26.6^\circ)}{0.9064} = 0.986$$
(33)

$$k_i = \frac{\sin\theta}{|T(j\omega_{cr})|} = \frac{\sin(26.6^\circ)}{0.9064} = 0.493$$
(34)

Figure 13 shows the control flow chart. The signal  $v_{sense}$  is after no analog-to-digital converter (ADC) sampling so it is a digital value. This value is positive and is subtracted from the reference value  $v_{ref}$  to get *VFB*, which is a positive/negative number. Afterwards, set the variable *Error* to store the current error value and multiply it by the coefficient  $k_p$  to get the proportional control force *P*. At the same time, set the variable *accum* to store the cumulative value, and each operation will add the previous error value to this operation, then multiply this variable by the coefficient  $k_i$  to get the integral control force *I*. Finally, add *P*, *I*, and the digital sine wave signal, called *sinewave\_data*, to get the control force, called *Force*, which is restricted by a limiter, called *Duty Limiter*, between -1023 and 1023.



Figure 13. Control flow chart.

## 5. Results and Discussion

# 5.1. System Configuration

Figure 14 shows the system configuration for the proposed 7-level single-phase DC-AC inverter. This system is built up by the main circuit and the feedback circuit. The field-programmable gate array (FPGA) and digital-to-analog converter (DAC) are used to create sinusoidal voltage reference signal  $v_{ref}$ , and no ADC sampling circuit is used to transmit the feedback signal  $v_{FB}$  to the FPGA to get the resulting control effort after calculation. This control effort is then sent to the isolated gate driver to make the switch on/off to achieve a desired output voltage.



Figure 14. System configuration for the 7-level single-phase DC-AC inverter.

# 5.2. Simulation and Experiment with Discussion

Under closed loop at rated load, Figure 15 shows the output voltage  $v_o$  and current  $i_o$ . Figure 16 displays the output voltage  $v_o$  and the unfiltered output voltage  $v_{PWM}$ . Figures 17 and 18 show the voltages on the energy-transferring capacitors  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$ , and  $C_4$ . Figure 19 only shows the voltage stresses on the switches  $S_1$  to  $S_5$  due to the circuit symmetry. Figures 20 and 21 display the upload and download transient responses based on load variations from 25% to 100% load and from 100% to 25% load, respectively.

From Figure 15, it can be seen that the output voltage  $v_o$  and output current  $i_o$  are both AC outputs. From Figure 16, it can be seen that the unfiltered output voltage  $v_{PWM}$ possesses 7 levels generated by the gate signal with high switching frequency to conduct and cut off the switch and is filtered to get 60 Hz AC voltage with voltage gain of 3. As can be seen from Figures 17 and 18, the energy-transferring capacitors possess self-balancing. From Figure 19, it can be seen that all switches have voltage stresses of the input voltage except the switches  $S_3$  and  $S_5$ , whose voltage stresses are double the input voltage. From Figures 20 and 21, it can be seen that the former has an undershoot voltage of about 50 V and a recovery time of about 0.6 ms, and the latter has an overshoot voltage of about 45 V and a recovery time of about 1.5 ms. From the above simulated and measured results, it is obvious that good agreement between the simulated and experimental results validates the



feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed single-power-source T-type 7-level single-phase DC-AC inverter.

**Figure 15.** Waveforms at rated load with (1)  $v_0$  and (2)  $i_0$ : (**a**) simulated; (**b**) measured.



**Figure 16.** Waveforms at rated load with (1)  $v_o$  and (2)  $v_{PWM}$ : (a) simulated; (b) measured.



**Figure 17.** Waveforms at rated load with (1)  $v_{C1}$  and (2)  $v_{C2}$ : (a) simulated; (b) measured.



**Figure 18.** Waveforms at rated load with (1)  $v_{C3}$  and (2)  $v_{C4}$ : (a) simulated; (b) measured.



**Figure 19.** Waveforms measured at rated load with (**a**) (1)  $v_{ds1}$ , (2)  $v_{ds2}$ , and (3)  $v_{ds3}$ ; (**b**) (1)  $v_{ds4}$  and (2)  $v_{ds5}$ .



**Figure 20.** Measured waveforms of uploading from 25% load to 100% load: (1)  $v_0$ ; (2)  $i_0$ .



**Figure 21.** Measured waveform of downloading from 100% load to 25% load: (1)  $v_0$ ; (2)  $i_0$ .

# 5.3. Voltage Harmonic Distribution

Figures 22 and 23 show the voltage harmonic distribution at 100% and 25% load, respectively. The total harmonic distortion (THD) of the output voltage of the single source T-type 7-phase DC-AC inverter at 100% load and 25% load are 2.01% and 3.36%, respectively, which are small enough to remove or downsize the output filter, leading to less volume and cost. Furthermore, the proposed DC-AC inverter can indeed meet the IEEE 519–1992 requirement of less than 5% THD of voltage harmonics.



Figure 22. Voltage harmonic distribution at 100% load.



Figure 23. Voltage harmonic distribution at 25% load.

## 5.4. Efficiency Measurement

The efficiency of the proposed inverter circuit at various loads is measured as shown in Figure 24. First of all, a digital meter (Fluke 179) is used to measure the input voltage and the voltage on a current-sensing resistor (Shunt) to obtain the input current. Sequentially, the output voltage and current, total harmonic distortion, and harmonics of each number are measured by utilizing a power analyzer (PM1000+). Afterwards, the input and output powers can be obtained. Moreover, an AC electronic load (Prodigit 3255) is adopted at the load side. Eventually, the resulting input and output powers are employed to calculate the overall efficiency as illustrated in Figure 25. From this figure, it can be seen that the proposed inverter is based on the T-type circuit, thereby making the efficiency reduced as load is increased. The efficiency all over the load range is above 94% and the maximum efficiency is 97.42%.



Figure 24. Efficiency measurement block diagram.



Figure 25. Curves of Efficiency against load.

#### 5.5. Comparison between Proposed Inverter and Other Topologies

Comparisons of the proposed inverter with topologies [10–12,21,25,31–33] in various criteria and feature emphases are tabulated in Table 6. From this table, only the proposed inverter has the feedback control design and the highest voltage gain although it has the largest number of diodes.

Table 6.	Comparisons	between †	the	proposed	single-phas	e multilevel	DC-AC	inverter	and
existing to	opologies.								

	[10]	[11]	[12]	[21]	[25]	[31]	[32]	[33]	Proposed
Number of Levels	7	9	9	7	7	7	7	9	7
Number of Switches	10	11	11	10	10	10	16	10	10
Number of Diodes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Number of Capacitors	4	2	3	4	3	0	2	2	4
Number of Transformers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Voltage Gain	1.5	2	2	1.5	1.5	2	3	1	3
Number of DC Power Sources	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	1
Rated-load Power (W)	270	300	500	150	1000	100	45	500	300
Input Voltage (V)	100	160	70	100	200	100	30	100	56
Peak Output Voltage (V)	150	150	320	150	300	200	90	100	168
Rated-load THD (%)	—	13.10	14.1	—	—	19.05	—	12.25	3.36
Peak Efficiency (%)	96.52	—	97.80	98.20	96.13	99.11	96.25	93.50	97.42
Control Strategy	SVPWM	LS-PWM	PD-PWM	SHE-PWM	SVM	SHE-PWM	SPWM	SPWM	LS-SPWM
Modeling	х	х	х	х	x	х	х	х	v
Feedback Controller Design	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	v

# 6. Conclusions

The proposed inverter has the following features as described below:

- 1. This circuit has a symmetrical structure possessing voltage gain of 3 and self-balancing along with low THD. As a result, the mass production interchangeability can be obtained easily.
- 2. Only one switch operates at any time, and not only is the corresponding control quite easy, but also any switch has very low switching losses and voltage stress.
- 3. Since the mathematical model of the multilevel DC-AC inverter is successfully developed using the state-space averaging method, the voltage controller for the multilevel DC-AC inverter can be designed systematically and easily.

Furthermore, since the proposed DC-AC inverter possesses low THD, the possible applications of the proposed inverter in the industry are mentioned below:

- 1. It can be applied to a single AC motor to reduce the torque ripple.
- 2. It can be also applied to the low-frequency transformer to reduce the core loss.
- 3. It can be applied to utility parallel of green power with relatively low electric pollution.

However, two switches have the maximum voltage stress of double input voltage. Consequently, this should be considered in practical perspective.

In addition, the possible developments of the proposed inverter in this research activity are mentioned below:

- Many researchers have focused on the structure and output of the multilevel inverter, but comparatively few researchers on the THD and product usefulness. Accordingly, THD reduction and circuit symmetry should be investigated.
- 2. In general, only open-loop steady-state waveforms are shown in many papers. That is, dynamic responses are not considered. Accordingly, modeling of the multilevel inverter and closed-loop controller design should be developed.
- 3. Up to now, there is no standard of the input voltage. Accordingly, by taking 12 V as a base, a multiple of this base to get 24 V, 48 V, and 96 V makes the user commonality achieved.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.-J.S., K.-I.H. and Y.-Y.L.; methodology, J.-J.S.; software, Y.-Y.L.; validation, Y.-Y.L., K.-I.H. and J.-J.S.; formal analysis, Y.-Y.L.; investigation, J.-J.S.; resources, Y.-Y.L.; data curation, J.-J.S.; writing—original draft preparation, K.-I.H.; writing—review and editing, K.-I.H.; visualization, J.-J.S.; supervision, K.-I.H.; project administration, K.-I.H.; funding acquisition, K.-I.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan, under the Grant Number: MOST 110-2221-E-027-045-MY2.

**Data Availability Statement:** No new data were generated or analyzed in this study. Sharing of the data in this article is not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Wang, Y.; Shi, W.W.; Xie, N.; Wang, C.M. Diode-free T-type three-level neutral-point-clamped inverter for low-voltage renewable energy system. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2014**, *61*, 6168–6174. [CrossRef]
- 2. Wu, F.; Li, X.; Feng, F.; Gooi, H.B. Modified cascaded multilevel grid-connected inverter to enhance European efficiency and several extended topologies. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Inform.* **2015**, *11*, 1358–1365. [CrossRef]
- Benedetti, D.; Agnelli, J.; Gagliardi, A.; Dini, P.; Saponara, S. Design of an off-grid photovoltaic carport for a full electric vehicle recharging. In Proceedings of the IEEE EEEIC/I&CPS Europe'20, Madrid, Spain, 9–12 June 2020; pp. 1–6.
- 4. Dini, P.; Saponara, S. Electro-thermal model-based design of bidirectional on-board chargers in hybrid and full electric vehicles. *Electronics* **2022**, *11*, 112. [CrossRef]
- 5. Rasool, H.; Verbrugge, B.; Zhaksylyk, A.; Tran, T.M.; El Baghdadi, M.; Geury, T.; Hegazy, O. Design optimization and electrothermal modeling of an off-board charging system for electric bus applications. *IEEE Access* **2021**, *9*, 84501–84519. [CrossRef]
- Dhanamjayulu, C.; Khasim, S.R.; Padmanaban, S.; Arunkumar, G.; Holm-Nielsen, J.B.; Blaabjerg, F. Design and implementation of multilevel inverters for fuel cell energy conversion system. *IEEE Access* 2020, *8*, 183690–183707. [CrossRef]
- Ardashir, J.F.; Sabahi, M.; Hosseini, S.H.; Blaabjerg, F.; Babaei, E.; Gharehpetian, G.B. A single-phase transformerless inverter with charge pump circuit concept for grid-tied PV applications. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 2017, 64, 5403–5415. [CrossRef]
- Sen, P.; Jha, V.; Sahoo, A.K. Inrush current minimization in reduced device count multilevel inverter interfacing PV system. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Energy, Power and Environment: Towards Clean Energy Technologies, Bucharest, Romania, 13–14 October 2021; pp. 1–6.
- 9. Malinowski, M.; Gopakumar, K.; Rodriguez, J.; Perez, M.A. A survey on cascaded multilevel inverters. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2010**, *57*, 2197–2206. [CrossRef]
- Lee, S.S.; Bak, Y.; Kim, S.-M.; Joseph, A.; Lee, K.-B. New family of boost switched-capacitor seven-level inverters (BSC7LI). *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 2019, 34, 10471–10479. [CrossRef]
- 11. Ali, J.S.M.; Krishnasamy, V. Compact switched capacitor multilevel inverter (CSCMLI) with self-voltage balancing and boosting ability. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **2019**, *34*, 4009–4013.
- Siddique, M.D.; Mekhilef, S.; Shah, N.M.; Mohamed Ali, J.S.; Meraj, M.; Iqbal, A.; Al-Hitmi, M.A. A new single phase single switched-capacitor based nine-level boost inverter topology with reduced switch count and voltage stress. *IEEE Access* 2013, 7, 174178–174188. [CrossRef]

- Fard, M.T.; Khan, W.A.; He, J.; Weise, N.; Abarzadeh, M. Fast online diagnosis of open-circuit switching faults in flying capacitor multilevel inverters. *Chin. J. Electr. Eng.* 2020, *6*, 53–62. [CrossRef]
- Naderi, R.; Sadigh, A.K.; Smedley, K.M. Dual flying capacitor active-neutral-point-clamped multilevel converter. *IEEE Trans.* Power Electron. 2016, 31, 6476–6484. [CrossRef]
- Dhanamjayulu, C.; Meikandasivam, S. Implementation and comparison of symmetric and asymmetric multilevel inverters for dynamic loads. *IEEE Access* 2017, 6, 738–746. [CrossRef]
- 16. Babaei, E.; Laali, S.; Alilu, S. Cascaded multilevel inverter with series connection of novel H-bridge basic units. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2014**, *61*, 6664–6671. [CrossRef]
- 17. Nabae, A.; Takahashi, I.; Akagi, H. A new neutral-point-clamped PWM inverter. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* **1981**, *17*, 518–523. [CrossRef]
- 18. Carrara, G.; Gardella, S.; Marchesoni, M.; Salutari, R.; Sciutto, G. A new multilevel PWM method: A theoretical analysis. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **1992**, *7*, 497–505. [CrossRef]
- 19. Zhao, J.; Han, Y.; He, X.; Tan, C.; Cheng, J.; Zhao, R. Multilevel circuit topologies based on the switched-capacitor converter and diode-clamped converter. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **2011**, *26*, 2127–2136. [CrossRef]
- Omer, P.; Kumar, J.; Surjan, B.S. A new multilevel inverter topology with reduced switch count and device stress. In Proceedings
  of the IEEE UPCON'18, Gorakhpur, India, 2–4 November 2018; pp. 1–6.
- Lee, S.S.; Lee, K.-B. Dual-T-type seven-level boost active-neutral-point-clamped inverter. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 2019, 34, 6031–6035. [CrossRef]
- Lee, S.S.; Lim, C.S.; Siwakoti, Y.P.; Lee, K.-B. Dual-T-type five-level cascaded multilevel inverter with double voltage boosting gain. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 2020, 35, 9522–9529. [CrossRef]
- Meraj, S.T.; Hasan, K.; Masaoud, A. A novel configuration of cross-switched T-type (CT-type) multilevel inverter. *IEEE Trans.* Power Electron. 2020, 35, 3688–3696. [CrossRef]
- 24. Lee, S.S.; Lim, C.S.; Siwakoti, Y.P.; Lee, K.-B. Hybrid seven-level converter based on T-type converter and H-bridge cascaded under SPWM and SVM. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **2018**, *33*, 689–702.
- 25. Sathik, M.J.; Sandeep, N.; Blaabjerg, F. High gain active neutral point clamped seven-level self-voltage balancing inverter. *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II Express Briefs* **2020**, *67*, 2567–2571. [CrossRef]
- 26. Erickson, R.W.; Maksimovic, D. Fundamental Power Electronics, 2nd ed.; Electronic Services: Boulder, CO, USA, 2001.
- Shieh, J.-J.; Hwu, K.-I.; Li, Y.-Y. A single-voltage-source class-D boost multi-level inverter with self-balanced capacitors. *Energies* 2022, 15, 4082. [CrossRef]
- 28. Hwu, K.I.; Yau, Y.T. Applying one-comparator counter-based PWM control strategy to DC-AC converter with voltage reference feedforward control considered. In Proceedings of the IEEE APEC'11, Fort Worth, TX, USA, 6–11 March 2021; pp. 1811–1815.
- 29. Alvarez, W. IEEE Recommended Practices and Requirements for Harmonic Control in Electrical Power Systems; Institute of Electrical and Electronics, Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 1993.
- 30. Phillips, C.L.; Nagle, H.T. Digital Control System Analysis and Design, 3rd ed.; Prentice Hall: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1994.
- Lee, S.S.; Sidorov, M.; Idris, N.R.N.; Heng, Y.E. A symmetrical cascaded compact-module multilevel inverter (CCM-MLI) with pulsewidth modulation. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 2018, 65, 4631–4639. [CrossRef]
- 32. Lee, S.S. A single-phase single-source 7-level inverter with triple voltage boosting gain. *IEEE Access* **2018**, *6*, 30005–30011. [CrossRef]
- Rao, B.N.; Suresh, Y.; Naik, B.S.; Venkataramanaiah, J.; Aditya, K.; Panda, A.K. A novel single source multilevel inverter with hybrid switching technique. *Int. J. Circuit Theory Appl.* 2021, 50, 794–811.