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#### Abstract

Time series data are widely found in finance, health, environmental, social, mobile and other fields. A large amount of time series data has been produced due to the general use of smartphones, various sensors, RFID and other internet devices. How a time series is represented is key to the efficient and effective storage and management of time series data, as well as being very important to time series classification. Two new time series representation methods, Hexadecimal Aggregate approXimation (HAX) and Point Aggregate approXimation (PAX), are proposed in this paper. The two methods represent each segment of a time series as a transformable interval object (TIO). Then, each TIO is mapped to a spatial point located on a two-dimensional plane. Finally, the HAX maps each point to a hexadecimal digit so that a time series is converted into a hex string. The experimental results show that HAX has higher classification accuracy than Symbolic Aggregate approXimation (SAX) but a lower one than some SAX variants (SAX-TD, SAX-BD). The HAX has the same space cost as SAX but is lower than these variants. The PAX has higher classification accuracy than HAX and is extremely close to the Euclidean distance (ED) measurement; however, the space cost of PAX is generally much lower than the space cost of ED. HAX and PAX are general representation methods that can also support geoscience time series clustering, indexing and query except for classification.
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## 1. Introduction

Time provides a basic cognitive variable for the continuity and sequential description of object movements and changes in the world [1-6]. Human society is facing many challenges, such as environmental pollution, population growth, urban expansion, the transmission of infectious diseases and various natural disaster monitoring and prevention issues, etc. These are all closely related to the concept of time and produce massive data containing information regarding time. Especially in recent years, a large amount of time series data has been produced due to the general use of smartphones, various sensors, RFID and other internet devices [2-6]. Time series data can help us understand history, master the present and predict the future, as well as improve our ability to gain insight, perception and prediction of the evolution of various existences and states in the real world.

Many applications in the fields of scientific research, industry and business produce large amounts of time-series data that need effective analysis, requiring rational representation and efficient similarity computing and search. These applications cover the domains of images, audio, finance, environmental monitoring and other scientific disciplines [7-9]. Many creative representation methods for time series data have been proposed for similarity computing, clustering [10], classification [11], indexing and query [3,8,9,12-35]. The taxonomy of time series representations includes four types [10]: data-adaptive, non-data
adaptive, model-based and data dictated. The main representation methods for time series are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Main representation methods for time series data (— no indicated by authors; $n$ is the length of time series; T1 non-data adaptive; T2 data-adaptive; T3 data dictated; T4 model-based).

| Representation Method | Year | Type | Complexity | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auto-regressive (AR) model | 1971 | T4 |  | [36,37] |
| Discrete Fourier Transform(DFT) | 1993 | T1 | $\mathrm{O}(n(\log (n))$ ) | [13,38] |
| Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) | 1999 | T1 | $\mathrm{O}(n)$ | [12,39] |
| Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) | 1997 | T2 |  | [40] |
| Discrete Cosine Transformation (DCT) | 1997 | T1 | - | [40] |
| Piecewise Linear Approximation (PLA) | 1998 | T2 | $\mathrm{O}(n(\log (n)))$ | [17] |
| Hidden Markov models (HMMs) | 1998 | T4 | - | [41] |
| Piecewise Aggregate Approximation (PAA) or Segmented Means | 2000 | T1 | $\mathrm{O}(n)$ | [42] |
| Piecewise Constant Approximation (PCA) | 2000 | T2 | - | [43] |
| Adaptive Piecewise Constant Approximation (APCA) | 2002 | T2 | $\mathrm{O}(n)$ | [16] |
| Perceptually important point (PIP) | 2001 | T1 | - | [44] |
| Chebyshev Polynomials (CHEB) | 2004 | T1 | - | [45] |
| Symbolic Aggregate Approximation (SAX) | 2003 | T2 | $\mathrm{O}(n)$ | [19,22] |
| HOT SAX | 2005 | T2 |  | [46] |
| Clipped Data | 2005 | T3 | - | [47] |
| Group SAX | 2006 | T2 |  | [48] |
| Extended SAX | 2006 | T2 |  | [49] |
| Combining SAX and Piecewise Linear Approximation | 2007 | T2 |  | [50] |
| Indexable Piecewise Linear Approximation (IPLA) | 2007 | T1 | - | [51] |
| 1d-SAX | 2013 | T2 |  | [52] |
| Move-Split-Merge (MSM) | 2013 |  |  | [53] |
| SAX-VSM | 2013 | T2 |  | [54] |
| SAX-EFG | 2014 | T2 |  | [55] |
| Tree-based Representations | 2015 |  |  | [56] |
| SC-DTW | 2015 | T1 |  | [57] |
| Representation based on Local Autopatterns | 2016 |  |  | [58] |
| Grid Representation | 2019 |  |  | [59] |
| SAX Navigator | 2019 | T2 |  | [60] |
| SAX-ARM | 2020 | T2 |  | [61] |
| SAX-BD | 2020 | T2 |  | [62] |
| Data-driven Kernel-based Probabilistic SAX | 2021 | T2 |  | [63] |

The most commonly used approximation representations are the PAA [42] and SAX $[19,22,64]$ methods. In the last decades, most of time series data indexing methods [23,25,31,32,65,66] have been based on SAX [19,22]. The SAX method reduces an $n$-length time series to a $w$-length $(w<n)$ string with an alphabet parameter named $\alpha$. Though highly simple and straightforward, it is a major limitation because it may lead to some important features being lost [67]. To avoid this problem, the ESAX [68] and SAXTD [67] methods improve the accuracy of SAX. The ESAX method adds two additional values, a maximum value and a minimum value, to each time series segment as the new
feature. The mapping method between the new feature to SAX words is the same as the SAX method. Therefore, the length of the ESAX string is three times that in SAX. The SAXTD method adds a trend distance for each segment; hence, the length of the symbol string is also two times the original string length. Therefore, both ESAX and SAX-TD methods require additional information to extend the original SAX string. The SAX-BD [62] method has a design integrating the SAX distance with a weighted boundary distance, resulting in it outperforming SAX-TD. Symbolic aggregate approximation methods have proven very effective in compacting the information content of time series. However, typical SAX-based techniques rely on a Gaussian assumption for the underlying data statistics, which often deteriorates their performance in practical scenarios [63].

To overcome this limitation, a novel method, the Hexadecimal Aggregate approXimation representation (HAX) of time series, is proposed in this paper. This method negates any assumption on the probability distribution of time series and represents each segment of a time series as a transformable interval object (TIO), using the transformation distance to measure the similarity between a pair of time series. Then, each TIO is mapped to a hexadecimal symbol by its location on a hexadecimal grid. Therefore, the HAX string is the same length as the SAX string with the same word size, the $w$ parameter. We compare SAX, SAX-TD and SAX-BD methods with HAX methods. Our reason for choosing the SAX-TD and SAX-BD is that the outputs of the SAX-TD and SAX-BD still include the original SAX string, despite including some attachments; hence, there is comparability with the hex string of HAX. The experimental results show that HAX has higher accuracy than the SAX method. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is the related work, Section 3 details the principle and method of HAX, Section 4 is the experimental evaluation and the last section is the conclusion.

## 2. Related Work

The most straightforward strategy for the representation of time series involves using a simple shape to reduce a segment, such as the piecewise linear representation (PLR) [17], the perceptually important point (PIP) [44] and the indexable piecewise linear approximation (IPLA) [51], among others. The simple shapes may be a point or a line. For example, the PLR and IPLA represent the original series as a set of straight lines fitting the important points of the series, and the PIP selects some important points of a segment to express the whole segment.

Another type involves choosing a simple value or symbol to express a segment of a time series. The PAA [42] method is the foundation of many time series representation methods, especially for SAX $[19,22]$. To reduce noise while preserving the trend of a time series, the PAA method takes the mean value over back-to-back points to decrease the number of points, as shown in Figure 1. At first, this method divides the original time series into $w$ fixed-size segments and then computes the average values for each one. The data sequence assembled from the average values is the PAA approximation value of the original time series.


Figure 1. The relationship between PAA and SAX ([5]).
For instance, an $n$-length time series $C$ is reduced to $w$ symbols. At first, the time series is divided into $w$ segments by the PAA method. The average value of each segment is
shown as $\bar{C}=\overline{C_{1}}, \overline{C_{2}}, \ldots, \overline{C_{w}}$, in which the $i$ th item of $\bar{C}$ is the average value of the $i$ th segment and is computed by the Equation (1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{C_{i}}=\frac{w}{n} \sum_{j=(n / w)(i+1)+1}^{(n / w) i} C_{j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

here, $C_{j}$ is a one-time point value of the time series $C$.
The SAX method is one of the most typical time-series representation methods based on symbolic expression and has the same dividing strategy as the PAA method. The difference between the two is the mapping rule that the SAX uses. The SAX method divides a time series into a certain number of fixed-length subsequences (called segments) and uses symbols to represent the mean of each subsequence. There is an assumption that the time series data conforms to the Gaussian distribution, and the average value of each segment has an equal probability in the SAX. These are the base principle of the breakpoint strategy used in the SAX. This strategy makes the SAX method different from the PAA, and it can map each segment into its specified range determined by the Gaussian distribution [69]. Indeed, there is a lookup table in the SAX method with breakpoints that divide a Gaussian distribution in an arbitrary number of equiprobable regions. SAX uses this table to divide the series and map it into a SAX string [19,22], while the parameter $w$ determines how many dimensions to reduce for the $n$-length time series. The smaller the parameter $w$ is, the larger $n / w$ is, resulting in a higher compression ratio. Finally, the SAX method can map each segment's average value to an alphabetic symbol. The symbol string after those mappings can roughly indicate the time series.

The SAX method is well known and has been recognized by many researchers; however, the limits are also obvious. Therefore, many extended and updated methods of the SAX have been proposed, with some of the typical ones being the ESAX [67] method and the SAX-TD [66] method, among others. The ESAX method can express the more detailed features of a time series by adding a maximum value and a minimum to a new feature compared to the SAX. In addition, the SAX-TD method improves the ESAX via a trend distance strategy. The SAX-BD [4] method, proposed by us in our previous work, develops the SAX-TD using boundary distance.

## 3. Hexadecimal Aggregate Approximation Representation

The HAX method lets an $n$-length time series be reduced to $w$ two-dimensional points in a hexadecimal plane ( $w<n$, typically $w \ll n$ ) where each point locates at a hexadecimal cell and may be represented by the cell order (a hexadecimal digit). Therefore, the HAX method will reduce an $n$-length time series to $w$ hexadecimal digits. Although storage space is cheap, we remain consistent in our thinking that space count is important. We intend to consider big data and aim to use SAX and HAX methods in an in-memory data index structure, so the space cost remains an important factor. Table 2 shows the major notations for the HAX method used in this paper.

Table 2. A summarization of the notations.

| T | A time series $T=v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| S | A piecewise aggregate approximation of a time series $S=s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{w}$ |
| $P$ | A point set aggregate approximation of a time series $P=p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{w}$ |
| H | A hexadecimal digit representation of a time series $H=h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{w}$ |
| $w$ | The number of PAA segments representing time series $T$ |
| $n$ | The arbitrary length of time series $T$ |
| $t(i)$ | $i$ th time point |
| Window(i) | A time window between ( $i-1$ )th and $i$ th time points |
| Subseries(i) | A subseries within Window(i) |
| Segment(i) | A fitting segment for Subseries( $i$ ) |
| TIO(i) or $\mathrm{TIO}_{A B}$ | A transformable interval object for Segment $(i)$; point $A$ is the starting point and $B$ is the endpoint for Segment $(i)$. |

### 3.1. Basic Principle of $H A X$

The main purpose of a time series representation method is to reduce the dimensionality of time series and then measure the similarity between two time series objects. The HAX method uses fitting segments to simplify a subseries. As shown in Figure 2, part (a) and part (b) respectively show two pieces of the time series 1 and 2 in the time ranges $[t(i-1), t(i)]$ and $[t(i), t(i+1)]$. We take the diagonal of the smallest bounding rectangle of each subseries as its summary. For example, in Figure 2a, the summary of subseries $(i)$ is the line segment $A B$ or segment $(i)$, and the summary of subseries $(i+1)$ is the line segment $E F$ or segment $(i+1)$. The rule for selecting a suitable diagonal is the degree of fitting the diagonal to the time series segment. However, the calculation cost of this rule is too high. In the actual computing process, the maximum and minimum values of the subseries may be used for fast diagonal direction computing.

(a)

Figure 2. Cont.


Figure 2. Summary of time series 1 and 2. (a) Summary of time series 1 (b) Summary of time series 2.
For the similarity of the subseries $(i)$ of TimeSeries1 and TimeSeries2 in Figure 2, we may use the number of transformation steps of the segment $A B$ and the segment $C D$ to measure it. We call the number of transformation steps the transformation distance (TD), as shown in Figure 3. From $C D$ to $A B$, this goes through vertical translation transformation (Figure 3a,b), rotation transformation (Figure 3b,c) and scale transformation (Figure 3c,d). The fewer transformation steps and the smaller the number of changes are, the higher the similarity is.


Figure 3. The transformations distance between $A B$ and $C D$. (a) translation transformation; (b) rotation transformation; (c) scale transformation; (d) $A B=C D$.

Since the $A B$ angle is arbitrary, it is not suitable for fast computing. The $A B$ and the $C D$ are transformed at the same time to make them parallel to the $V$ axis, and then other transformations are performed to make them coincide, as shown in Figure 4.


Figure 4. Change the order of the transformation distance calculation between $A B$ and $C D$. (a) rotation transformation; (b) translation transformation; (c) scale transformation; (d) $A B=C D$.

After this transformation, $A B$ and $C D$ can be rotated by angles $\alpha$ and $\beta$, respectively, so that the summary segment is always parallel to the axis $V$ and the value of point $B$ is always greater than the value of point $A$ (shown in Figure 5). We call the transformed segments $A B$ and $C D$ transformable interval objects (TIO). The two TIOs can be represented by the following Formula:

$$
\begin{align*}
T I O_{A B} & =\left(V_{A}, V_{B}, \alpha\right)  \tag{2}\\
T I O_{C D} & =\left(V_{C}, V_{D}, \beta\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Given that the distance between the center point of $T I O_{A B}$ and the center point of $T I O_{C D}$ is $D_{0}$, and $S_{0}$ is the scaling variable, the similarity distance between $T I O_{A B}$ and $T I O_{C D}$ is noted as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{DIST}\left(\text { TIO }_{A B}, T I O_{C D}\right)=a \times\left|D_{0}\right|+b \times|\alpha-\beta|+c \times\left|S_{0}-1\right| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{0}$ is calculated by the Formula (5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}=\left(\left(V_{A}+V_{B}\right)-\left(V_{C}+V_{D}\right)\right) / 2 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $S_{0}$ can be calculated by the Formula (6).

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0}=\left(V_{B}-V_{A}\right) \times \cos \beta /\left(V_{C}-V_{C}\right) \times \cos \alpha \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 5. Transformable interval objects $A B$ and $C D$.
The larger the DIST is, the smaller the similarity is, where $a$ is the translation transformation factor, $b$ is the angle transformation factor and $c$ is the expansion transformation factor. Generally, the translation transformation factor and the rotation transformation angle factor have a greater effect, and the scaling transformation factor has a smaller effect. Therefore, Formula (4) can discard $c \times\left|S_{0}-1\right|$ while calculating the approximate similarity distance, and $V_{M}$ can be the average value of the subseries. In Formula (5), $D_{0}$ can be approximated by the difference between the average value of the two subseries. In this way, each TIO can be expressed as Formula (7):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{TIO}=\left(V_{M}, A\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{M}$ is the median value of $V$ in Formulas (2) and (3), and $A$ is still the angle to the axis $V$ in the range $(-90,90)$.

Let $V$ be the vertical coordinate axis and let angle $A$ be the horizontal coordinate axis. A two-dimensional plane called the TIO plane has been constructed, and each TIO is a point on the plane, as illustrated in Figure 6, which shows the TIO points corresponding to the four subseries in Figure 2. On the two-dimensional TIO plane, the points with greater similarity are closer to each other in that space. Generally, the TIO plane can be divided into many areas, such as $64,128,256$ or 512 , etc. To save storage space, we can divide the plane into at least 16 and up to 256 areas. This allows each area to be represented by an 8 bits number. The higher the area count is, the more accurate the distance measure between two sequences is; however, the more the count of areas, the more difficult the computation as well. To map an area into a single digit, the TIO plane is divided into sixteen areas in this paper. Each area is represented by a hexadecimal number from 0 to F, as shown in Figure 7. Each TIO point must fall into one of the areas. The hexadecimal code of this area is used to represent the point. In that way, a subseries can be converted into a TIO point and finally to a hexadecimal digit. Therefore, a time series can be represented as a hexadecimal string. For example, Figure 2 a can be represented by a hexadecimal string as " 04 ", and Figure 2 b as " 35 ". This is also how we obtain the full name of HAX: the Hexadecimal Aggregate approXimation representation.


Figure 6. TIO points on the TIO plane.


Figure 7. A $4 \times 4$ HAX grid plane

### 3.2. HAX Distance Measures

The HAX transformation principle has been described in detail in the above section. Based on the principle, time-series objects can be easily converted into HAX strings, and the similarity between two time series objects can be measured by the distance between two corresponding HAX strings. This process can be described using the following Formula. Given a time series $T$ which contains $n$ values,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ is split into $w$ segments $S$ by PAA (paaMapper),

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\operatorname{paaMapper}(T)=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{w}\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{i}$ is $i$ th subseries. Via the TIO transformation, each subseries in $S$ can be converted into a TIO point in a set of TIO points $P$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\operatorname{tioMapper}(S)=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{w}\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{j}$ is a coordinate $\left(s_{j}, a_{j}\right)$ and $a_{j}$ is a rotation angle of segment $(j)$ corresponding to subseries $(j)$. Each point corresponds to a HAX character and $P$ can then be converted into a HAX string $H$ through the transformation haxMapper,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\operatorname{haxMapper}(P)=\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{w}\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the approximate distance between two time series can be estimated by the distance between two corresponding HAX strings. Next, we discuss how to calculate the distance between two HAX characters.

Given two time series objects $T^{q}$ and $T^{c}$, the similarity between these two can be estimated by many kinds of distances. The most commonly used is the Euclidean distance (ED), as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ED}\left(T^{q}, T^{c}\right)=\sqrt[2]{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(v_{i}^{q}-v_{i}^{c}\right)^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the real time computation of ED is very inefficient for long time series. Hence the PAA splits a long time series into $w$ short segments to reduce the dimension of the time series and adopt the segment distance (SD) to estimate the similarity as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SD}\left(S^{q}, S^{c}\right)=\sqrt[2]{\sum_{j=1}^{w}\left(s_{j}^{q}-s_{j}^{c}\right)^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{j}=\frac{w}{n} \sum_{j=(n / w)(i+1)+1}^{(n / w) i} v_{j} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although the SD decreases the computation of ED, it also discards the trend of a time series. Therefore, the PAX distance (PD) in this paper is expressed by TIO points and is designed to take into account the impact of the trend. The Formula is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{PD}\left(P^{q}, P^{c}\right)=\sqrt[2]{\sum_{j=1}^{w}\left(p_{j}^{q}-p_{j}^{c}\right)^{2}}=\sqrt[2]{\sum_{j=1}^{w}\left(\left(s_{j}^{q}-s_{j}^{c}\right)^{2}+f\left(a_{j}^{q}-a_{j}^{c}\right)^{2}\right)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is a real number between $(0,1)$, used to adjust the weight between the V axis distance and the $A$ axis (angle) distance so that the difference between PD and ED approaches 0 as much as possible. If there is no adjustment weight, $f=1$. We call this method Point Aggregate approXimation representation (PAX).

The PAX enhances the accuracy of the similarity measurement of time series, but there are two factors in this method, and it is not convenient for character variables. Then, we use the haxMapper to map it to a hexadecimal character. The distance between the HAX (HD) strings $H^{q}$ and $H^{c}$ is used to measure the similarity distance between the two time series, denoted as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{HD}\left(H^{q}, H^{c}\right)=\sqrt[2]{\sum_{j=1}^{w}(h a x M a p p e r}\left(p_{j}^{q}\right)-h a x M a p p e r\left(p_{j}^{c}\right)\right)^{2} \quad=\sqrt[2]{\sum_{j=1}^{w}\left(h_{j}^{q}-h_{j}^{c}\right)^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where haxMapper may have different mapping ways, using either sequential grid coding mapping or other mapping methods such as the Hilbert curve filling or Z-Ordering curve
filling methods. This paper focuses on the basic sequential grid coding method, shown in Figure 7.

## 4. Experimental Evaluation

To verify the representation method proposed in this paper, we conducted an experimental evaluation for the HAX method and compared it with the SAX. The SAX method was selected because the SAX and the HAX methods are both symbol-based representation methods based on the PAA division and have the same string length for a time series object. In addition, the PAX method is a middle process result of the HAX and the length of its representation string is 16 times that of the HAX. Therefore, our experimental evaluation included the PAX and ED methods.

Since the analysis of time series data, which is based on the calculation of the similarity distance regardless of whether it is classification, clustering or query, we selected the simplest and most representative: the one nearest neighbor (1-NN) classification method [68] for the experiments of comparison [55,68,69]. All algorithms were implemented in Java, and the source code can be found at https:/ / github.com/zhenwenhe/series.git, accessed on 29 September 2021. Next, we introduce the experimental data set and method parameter settings and then analyze the experimental results.

### 4.1. Experimental Data

This experiment used the latest time-series data set UCRArchive2018 [7]. The data set has been widely used in time series data analysis and mining algorithm experiments since 2002. After expansion in 2015 and 2018, UCRArchive2018 contains a total of 128 data sets. There are 14 data sets that are variable-length. Variable-length refers to the different lengths of sequences in the dataset and is not a very common time series. Since we did not consider the similarity measurement between variable-length time series data in the implementation of the algorithm, the experiment in this paper eliminated the 14 variable-length data sets. The data list used is shown in Table 3. The column ID is the order number of each data set in UCRArchive2018, which ranges from 1 to 144 . The column Type shows the time series data type of each data set. The column Name is the name of each data set. The column Train is the number of series for the train set, and the column Test is the number of series for the test set. The column Class presents the class number in each data set in the UCRArchive2018. The column Length presents the point number of the correspondent time series in the data set. Each dataset has two parts, Train and Test, one for training the parameters and the other for the testing test. The datasets contain classes ranging from 2 to 60 and have the lengths of time series varying from 15 to 2844 . The database was used in many recent papers [9,11]. We intend to cover time series in finance and economics in future works.

### 4.2. Experimental Parameter Setting

Since both the HAX and the SAX methods are based on PAA division, the parameter $w$ represents the dimension size in the PAA. In addition, the alpha parameter represents the alphabet size in the SAX. In our experiment, the two methods used the same $w$ parameter. For each data set, the range of $w$ was $[5,20]$. For the SAX method and each $w$, the range of the corresponding parameter alpha was $[3,16]$. According to the existing references about SAX, the nearest neighbor classification accuracy results for SAX are always the best for the UCRArchive2018 when the range of $w$ is $[5,20]$ and the range of the corresponding parameter alpha is $[3,16]$. The score is computed for each $w$ for HAX and each combination of $w$ and alpha for the SAX method. Therefore, we used the average score to measure the accuracy. The classification algorithm 1-NN was used to classify each different parameter setting of each data set, and then a calculation of the classification accuracy scores was carried out. For example, for each data set in the experimental database, the HAX method would calculate 16 scores and then get an average value of the scores; while the SAX
method would calculate $16 \times 14$ scores and then compute the average score. We used the average of this classification accuracy rate as a measured variable.

Table 3. A list of test data sets.

| ID | Type | Name | Train | Test | Class | Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Device | ACSF1 | 100 | 100 | 10 | 1460 |
| 2 | Image | Adiac | 390 | 391 | 37 | 176 |
| 3 | Image | ArrowHead | 36 | 175 | 3 | 251 |
| 4 | Spectro | Beef | 30 | 30 | 5 | 470 |
| 5 | Image | BeetleFly | 20 | 20 | 2 | 512 |
| 6 | Image | BirdChicken | 20 | 20 | 2 | 512 |
| 7 | Simulated | BME | 30 | 150 | 3 | 128 |
| 8 | Sensor | Car | 60 | 60 | 4 | 577 |
| 9 | Simulated | CBF | 30 | 900 | 3 | 128 |
| 10 | Traffic | Chinatown | 20 | 343 | 2 | 24 |
| 11 | Sensor | ChlorineConcentration | 467 | 3840 | 3 | 166 |
| 12 | Sensor | CinCECGTorso | 40 | 1380 | 4 | 1639 |
| 13 | Spectro | Coffee | 28 | 28 | 2 | 286 |
| 14 | Device | Computers | 250 | 250 | 2 | 720 |
| 15 | Motion | CricketX | 390 | 390 | 12 | 300 |
| 16 | Motion | CricketY | 390 | 390 | 12 | 300 |
| 17 | Motion | CricketZ | 390 | 390 | 12 | 300 |
| 18 | Image | Crop | 7200 | 16,800 | 24 | 46 |
| 19 | Image | DiatomSizeReduction | 16 | 306 | 4 | 345 |
| 20 | Image | DistalPhalanxOutlineAgeGroup | 400 | 139 | 3 | 80 |
| 21 | Image | DistalPhalanxOutlineCorrect | 600 | 276 | 2 | 80 |
| 22 | Image | DistalPhalanxTW | 400 | 139 | 6 | 80 |
| 23 | Sensor | Earthquakes | 322 | 139 | 2 | 512 |
| 24 | ECG | ECG200 | 100 | 100 | 2 | 96 |
| 25 | ECG | ECG5000 | 500 | 4500 | 5 | 140 |
| 26 | ECG | ECGFiveDays | 23 | 861 | 2 | 136 |
| 27 | Device | ElectricDevices | 8926 | 7711 | 7 | 96 |
| 28 | EOG | EOGHorizontalSignal | 362 | 362 | 12 | 1250 |
| 29 | EOG | EOGVerticalSignal | 362 | 362 | 12 | 1250 |
| 30 | Spectro | EthanolLevel | 504 | 500 | 4 | 1751 |
| 31 | Image | FaceAll | 560 | 1690 | 14 | 131 |
| 32 | Image | FaceFour | 24 | 88 | 4 | 350 |
| 33 | Image | FacesUCR | 200 | 2050 | 14 | 131 |
| 34 | Image | FiftyWords | 450 | 455 | 50 | 270 |
| 35 | Image | Fish | 175 | 175 | 7 | 463 |
| 36 | Sensor | FordA | 3601 | 1320 | 2 | 500 |
| 37 | Sensor | FordB | 3636 | 810 | 2 | 500 |
| 38 | Sensor | FreezerRegularTrain | 150 | 2850 | 2 | 301 |
| 39 | Sensor | FreezerSmallTrain | 28 | 2850 | 2 | 301 |
| 40 | HRM | Fungi | 18 | 186 | 18 | 201 |
| 41 | Motion | GunPoint | 50 | 150 | 2 | 150 |
| 42 | Motion | GunPointAgeSpan | 135 | 316 | 2 | 150 |
| 43 | Motion | GunPointMaleVersusFemale | 135 | 316 | 2 | 150 |
| 44 | Motion | GunPointOldVersusYoung | 136 | 315 | 2 | 150 |
| 45 | Spectro | Ham | 109 | 105 | 2 | 431 |
| 46 | Image | HandOutlines | 1000 | 370 | 2 | 2709 |
| 47 | Motion | Haptics | 155 | 308 | 5 | 1092 |
| 48 | Image | Herring | 64 | 64 | 2 | 512 |
| 49 | Device | HouseTwenty | 40 | 119 | 2 | 2000 |
| 50 | Motion | InlineSkate | 100 | 550 | 7 | 1882 |
| 51 | EPG | InsectEPGRegularTrain | 62 | 249 | 3 | 601 |
| 52 | EPG | InsectEPGSmallTrain | 17 | 249 | 3 | 601 |
| 53 | Sensor | InsectWingbeatSound | 220 | 1980 | 11 | 256 |
| 54 | Sensor | ItalyPowerDemand | 67 | 1029 | 2 | 24 |
| 55 | Device | LargeKitchenAppliances | 375 | 375 | 3 | 720 |
| 56 | Sensor | Lightning2 | 60 | 61 | 2 | 637 |

Table 3. Cont.

| ID | Type | Name | Train | Test | Class | Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57 | Sensor | Lightning7 | 70 | 73 | 7 | 319 |
| 58 | Simulated | Mallat | 55 | 2345 | 8 | 1024 |
| 59 | Spectro | Meat | 60 | 60 | 3 | 448 |
| 60 | Image | MedicalImages | 381 | 760 | 10 | 99 |
| 61 | Traffic | MelbournePedestrian | 1194 | 2439 | 10 | 24 |
| 62 | Image | MiddlePhalanxOutlineAgeGroup | 400 | 154 | 3 | 80 |
| 63 | Image | MiddlePhalanxOutlineCorrect | 600 | 291 | 2 | 80 |
| 64 | Image | MiddlePhalanxTW | 399 | 154 | 6 | 80 |
| 65 | Image | MixedShapesRegularTrain | 500 | 2425 | 5 | 1024 |
| 66 | Image | MixedShapesSmallTrain | 100 | 2425 | 5 | 1024 |
| 67 | Sensor | MoteStrain | 20 | 1252 | 2 | 84 |
| 68 | ECG | NonInvasiveFetalECGThorax1 | 1800 | 1965 | 42 | 750 |
| 69 | ECG | NonInvasiveFetalECGThorax2 | 1800 | 1965 | 42 | 750 |
| 70 | Spectro | OliveOil | 30 | 30 | 4 | 570 |
| 71 | Image | OSULeaf | 200 | 242 | 6 | 427 |
| 72 | Image | PhalangesOutlinesCorrect | 1800 | 858 | 2 | 80 |
| 73 | Sensor | Phoneme | 214 | 1896 | 39 | 1024 |
| 74 | Hemodynamics | PigAirwayPressure | 104 | 208 | 52 | 2000 |
| 75 | Hemodynamics | PigArtPressure | 104 | 208 | 52 | 2000 |
| 76 | Hemodynamics | PigCVP | 104 | 208 | 52 | 2000 |
| 77 | Sensor | Plane | 105 | 105 | 7 | 144 |
| 78 | Power | PowerCons | 180 | 180 | 2 | 144 |
| 79 | Image | ProximalPhalanxOutlineAgeGroup | 400 | 205 | 3 | 80 |
| 80 | Image | ProximalPhalanxOutlineCorrect | 600 | 291 | 2 | 80 |
| 81 | Image | ProximalPhalanxTW | 400 | 205 | 6 | 80 |
| 82 | Device | RefrigerationDevices | 375 | 375 | 3 | 720 |
| 83 | Spectrum | Rock | 20 | 50 | 4 | 2844 |
| 84 | Device | ScreenType | 375 | 375 | 3 | 720 |
| 85 | Spectrum | SemgHandGenderCh2 | 300 | 600 | 2 | 1500 |
| 86 | Spectrum | SemgHandMovementCh2 | 450 | 450 | 6 | 1500 |
| 87 | Spectrum | SemgHandSubjectCh2 | 450 | 450 | 5 | 1500 |
| 88 | Simulated | ShapeletSim | 20 | 180 | 2 | 500 |
| 89 | Image | ShapesAll | 600 | 600 | 60 | 512 |
| 90 | Device | SmallKitchenAppliances | 375 | 375 | 3 | 720 |
| 91 | Simulated | SmoothSubspace | 150 | 150 | 3 | 15 |
| 92 | Sensor | SonyAIBORobotSurface1 | 20 | 601 | 2 | 70 |
| 93 | Sensor | SonyAIBORobotSurface2 | 27 | 953 | 2 | 65 |
| 94 | Sensor | StarLightCurves | 1000 | 8236 | 3 | 1024 |
| 95 | Spectro | Strawberry | 613 | 370 | 2 | 235 |
| 96 | Image | SwedishLeaf | 500 | 625 | 15 | 128 |
| 97 | Image | Symbols | 25 | 995 | 6 | 398 |
| 98 | Simulated | SyntheticControl | 300 | 300 | 6 | 60 |
| 99 | Motion | ToeSegmentation1 | 40 | 228 | 2 | 277 |
| 100 | Motion | ToeSegmentation2 | 36 | 130 | 2 | 343 |
| 101 | Sensor | Trace | 100 | 100 | 4 | 275 |
| 102 | ECG | TwoLeadECG | 23 | 1139 | 2 | 82 |
| 103 | Simulated | TwoPatterns | 1000 | 4000 | 4 | 128 |
| 104 | Simulated | UMD | 36 | 144 | 3 | 150 |
| 105 | Motion | UWaveGestureLibraryAll | 896 | 3582 | 8 | 945 |
| 106 | Motion | UWaveGestureLibraryX | 896 | 3582 | 8 | 315 |
| 107 | Motion | UWaveGestureLibraryY | 896 | 3582 | 8 | 315 |
| 108 | Motion | UWaveGestureLibraryZ | 896 | 3582 | 8 | 315 |
| 109 | Sensor | Wafer | 1000 | 6164 | 2 | 152 |
| 110 | Spectro | Wine | 57 | 54 | 2 | 234 |
| 111 | Image | WordSynonyms | 267 | 638 | 25 | 270 |
| 112 | Motion | Worms | 181 | 77 | 5 | 900 |
| 113 | Motion | WormsTwoClass | 181 | 77 | 2 | 900 |
| 114 | Image | Yoga | 300 | 3000 | 2 | 426 |

### 4.3. Experimental Results and Analysis

Four methods, the SAX, SAX_TD, SAX-BD and ED, were the baseline methods, and the classification accuracy of each representation method was calculated based on the 1-NN. Table 4 shows the experimental results. The column ID is the identifier of the data set in Table 3. The columns, ED, PAX, HAX, SAX, SAX-TD and SAX-BD, are the representation methods' names. The values in each column of the methods are the classification accuracy values. Figure 8 shows the results in a plot. Our previous work [62] presented the comparison results among SAX, ESAX, SAX-TD and SAX-BD. Here we will focus on the comparison of HAX, SAX, PAX, SAX-BD and ED.

Table 4. Classification accuracy on UCRArchive2018.

| ID | ED | SAX | SAX-TD | SAX-BD | PAX | HAX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.54 | 0.13 | 0.63 | 0.60 | 0.38 | 0.23 |
| 2 | 0.61 | 0.08 | 0.59 | 0.74 | 0.47 | 0.15 |
| 3 | 0.80 | 0.52 | 0.75 | 0.84 | 0.73 | 0.56 |
| 4 | 0.83 | 0.70 | 0.81 | 0.80 | 0.84 | 0.88 |
| 5 | 0.67 | 0.40 | 0.58 | 0.90 | 0.60 | 0.51 |
| 6 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.80 | 0.74 | 0.75 |
| 7 | 0.55 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.94 | 0.63 | 0.58 |
| 8 | 0.85 | 0.84 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.96 | 0.71 |
| 9 | 0.73 | 0.49 | 0.70 | 0.97 | 0.67 | 0.53 |
| 10 | 0.95 | 0.76 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.81 | 0.70 |
| 11 | 0.65 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.94 | 0.58 | 0.46 |
| 12 | 0.90 | 0.66 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 0.79 | 0.71 |
| 13 | 1.00 | 0.51 | 0.95 | 0.62 | 0.90 | 0.62 |
| 14 | 0.58 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.67 | 0.52 | 0.57 |
| 15 | 0.58 | 0.43 | 0.55 | 0.63 | 0.59 | 0.27 |
| 16 | 0.57 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.68 | 0.61 | 0.26 |
| 17 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.56 | 0.97 | 0.62 | 0.33 |
| 18 | 0.71 | 0.28 | 0.68 | 0.73 | 0.70 | 0.34 |
| 19 | 0.93 | 0.24 | 0.95 | 0.75 | 0.91 | 0.67 |
| 20 | 0.63 | 0.53 | 0.66 | 0.63 | 0.68 | 0.62 |
| 21 | 0.72 | 0.57 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.63 |
| 22 | 0.63 | 0.42 | 0.58 | 0.91 | 0.60 | 0.54 |
| 23 | 0.88 | 0.80 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.80 |
| 24 | 0.92 | 0.87 | 0.92 | 0.40 | 0.92 | 0.89 |
| 25 | 0.80 | 0.68 | 0.82 | 0.40 | 0.80 | 0.68 |
| 26 | 0.42 | 0.29 | 0.36 | 0.30 | 0.41 | 0.21 |
| 27 | 0.44 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.79 | 0.34 | 0.23 |
| 28 | 0.71 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.88 | 0.65 | 0.66 |
| 29 | 0.55 | 0.43 | 0.57 | 0.83 | 0.58 | 0.48 |
| 30 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.68 | 0.28 | 0.27 |
| 31 | 0.71 | 0.35 | 0.72 | 0.83 | 0.69 | 0.35 |
| 32 | 0.78 | 0.53 | 0.72 | 0.69 | 0.80 | 0.69 |
| 33 | 0.77 | 0.40 | 0.65 | 0.61 | 0.74 | 0.39 |
| 34 | 0.63 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.86 | 0.66 | 0.49 |
| 35 | 0.78 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.96 | 0.68 | 0.24 |
| 36 | 0.67 | 0.51 | 0.57 | 0.94 | 0.57 | 0.53 |
| 37 | 0.61 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.99 | 0.52 | 0.51 |
| 38 | 0.80 | 0.66 | 0.88 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.63 |
| 39 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 0.68 | 0.70 | 0.67 |
| 40 | 0.82 | 0.54 | 0.80 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.46 |
| 41 | 0.91 | 0.72 | 0.87 | 0.43 | 0.92 | 0.75 |
| 42 | 0.90 | 0.65 | 0.91 | 0.63 | 0.98 | 0.83 |
| 43 | 0.97 | 0.65 | 0.99 | 0.80 | 0.99 | 0.87 |
| 44 | 0.95 | 0.64 | 1.00 | 0.35 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Table 4. Cont.

| ID | ED | SAX | SAX-TD | SAX-BD | PAX | HAX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | 0.60 | 0.54 | 0.59 | 0.78 | 0.58 | 0.58 |
| 46 | 0.86 | 0.62 | 0.85 | 0.68 | 0.82 | 0.75 |
| 47 | 0.37 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.58 | 0.35 | 0.31 |
| 48 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.53 | 0.95 | 0.54 | 0.53 |
| 49 | 0.66 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 0.58 | 0.64 | 0.61 |
| 50 | 0.34 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.85 | 0.33 | 0.25 |
| 51 | 0.68 | 0.41 | 0.67 | 0.73 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 52 | 0.66 | 0.20 | 0.59 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 53 | 0.56 | 0.43 | 0.53 | 0.68 | 0.54 | 0.45 |
| 54 | 0.96 | 0.82 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.89 |
| 55 | 0.49 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.38 |
| 56 | 0.75 | 0.69 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.78 | 0.60 |
| 57 | 0.58 | 0.50 | 0.56 | 0.52 | 0.66 | 0.37 |
| 58 | 0.91 | 0.39 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.54 |
| 59 | 0.93 | 0.33 | 0.91 | 0.82 | 0.91 | 0.46 |
| 60 | 0.68 | 0.51 | 0.67 | 0.88 | 0.69 | 0.48 |
| 61 | 0.85 | 0.43 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.82 | 0.41 |
| 62 | 0.52 | 0.36 | 0.49 | 0.56 | 0.50 | 0.42 |
| 63 | 0.77 | 0.53 | 0.72 | 0.77 | 0.73 | 0.61 |
| 64 | 0.51 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.12 | 0.53 | 0.41 |
| 65 | 0.90 | 0.79 | 0.86 | 0.18 | 0.87 | 0.76 |
| 66 | 0.84 | 0.74 | 0.80 | 0.35 | 0.81 | 0.71 |
| 67 | 0.88 | 0.75 | 0.82 | 0.14 | 0.84 | 0.75 |
| 68 | 0.83 | 0.13 | 0.72 | 1.00 | 0.73 | 0.17 |
| 69 | 0.88 | 0.15 | 0.80 | 0.97 | 0.77 | 0.20 |
| 70 | 0.52 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.82 | 0.50 | 0.40 |
| 71 | 0.87 | 0.30 | 0.85 | 0.87 | 0.81 | 0.31 |
| 72 | 0.76 | 0.56 | 0.72 | 0.76 | 0.72 | 0.62 |
| 73 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.48 | 0.09 | 0.06 |
| 74 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.86 | 0.12 | 0.06 |
| 75 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.45 | 0.22 | 0.11 |
| 76 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.95 | 0.14 | 0.06 |
| 77 | 0.96 | 0.73 | 0.96 | 0.79 | 0.96 | 0.87 |
| 78 | 0.93 | 0.81 | 0.91 | 0.88 | 0.97 | 0.87 |
| 79 | 0.79 | 0.48 | 0.78 | 0.64 | 0.77 | 0.64 |
| 80 | 0.81 | 0.57 | 0.76 | 0.77 | 0.74 | 0.64 |
| 81 | 0.71 | 0.36 | 0.70 | 0.64 | 0.70 | 0.58 |
| 82 | 0.39 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.94 | 0.39 | 0.35 |
| 83 | 0.84 | 0.46 | 0.72 | 0.76 | 0.54 | 0.68 |
| 84 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.86 | 0.39 | 0.37 |
| 85 | 0.76 | 0.55 | 0.63 | 0.96 | 0.80 | 0.56 |
| 86 | 0.37 | 0.25 | 0.33 | 0.88 | 0.60 | 0.22 |
| 87 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.91 | 0.70 | 0.31 |
| 88 | 0.54 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.95 | 0.49 | 0.50 |
| 89 | 0.75 | 0.53 | 0.71 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 0.53 |
| 90 | 0.34 | 0.44 | 0.58 | 0.88 | 0.58 | 0.53 |
| 91 | 0.91 | 0.52 | 0.84 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.85 |
| 92 | 0.70 | 0.64 | 0.66 | 0.94 | 0.74 | 0.64 |
| 93 | 0.86 | 0.78 | 0.84 | 0.95 | 0.84 | 0.79 |
| 94 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.87 | 0.99 | 0.88 | 0.84 |
| 95 | 0.95 | 0.57 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.76 |
| 96 | 0.79 | 0.38 | 0.74 | 0.57 | 0.76 | 0.37 |
| 97 | 0.90 | 0.76 | 0.88 | 0.62 | 0.89 | 0.81 |
| 98 | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.56 | 0.98 | 0.66 |
| 99 | 0.68 | 0.63 | 0.64 | 0.69 | 0.68 | 0.60 |
| 100 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.74 |

Table 4. Cont.

| ID | ED | SAX | SAX-TD | SAX-BD | PAX | HAX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | 0.76 | 0.49 | 0.66 | 0.86 | 0.76 | 0.59 |
| 102 | 0.75 | 0.59 | 0.77 | 0.73 | 0.70 | 0.65 |
| 103 | 0.91 | 0.78 | 0.88 | 0.83 | 0.91 | 0.51 |
| 104 | 0.76 | 0.64 | 0.77 | 0.79 | 0.78 | 0.68 |
| 105 | 0.95 | 0.81 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.72 |
| 106 | 0.74 | 0.66 | 0.72 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.61 |
| 107 | 0.66 | 0.58 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.51 |
| 108 | 0.65 | 0.59 | 0.64 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.55 |
| 109 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 |
| 110 | 0.61 | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.61 | 0.51 |
| 111 | 0.62 | 0.51 | 0.59 | 0.61 | 0.63 | 0.47 |
| 112 | 0.45 | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0.5 | 0.52 | 0.40 |
| 113 | 0.61 | 0.59 | 0.60 | 0.61 | 0.62 | 0.54 |
| 114 | 0.83 | 0.67 | 0.80 | 0.78 | 0.81 | 0.69 |



Figure 8. Accuracy comparison plot for Table 4.
The results in Table 4 show that the classification accuracy of the PAX is significantly higher than those of the HAX and SAX methods, and the HAX has some advantages over the SAX classification accuracy. Figure 9 makes the comparison between the HAX and SAX methods more obvious. The X -axis value is the accuracy of the SAX, the Y-axis value is the accuracy of the HAX and the scattered points are mostly in the upper triangle (71 points in the upper triangle and 43 points in the lower triangle). This shows that the accuracy of the HAX is larger than the SAX. Figure 10 makes the comparison between the PAX and SAX methods more obvious. Almost all the scattered points in Figure 10 are in the upper triangle, which shows that the accuracy of the PAX is significantly larger than the SAX.


Figure 9. Accuracy comparison between HAX and SAX ( 71 points in the upper triangle and 43 points in the lower triangle).


Figure 10. Accuracy comparison between PAX and SAX (111 points in the upper triangle and 3 points in the lower triangle).

The ED is still widely used in equal length time series measurements. In our work, we selected the ED and SAX methods as baselines. Figure 11 shows the accuracy comparison among the HAX, the ED and the SAX. The results show that ED still has higher accuracy when compared with the SAX and HAX methods. Figure 12 shows the accuracy comparison among the PAX, the ED and the SAX. It shows that the accuracy rates of the PAX and ED are very close. Figure 13 makes the comparison between the PAX and ED methods more obvious. About half of the scattered points in Figure 13 are in the upper triangle. Figure 14 makes the comparison between the PAX and SAX-BD methods more obvious. These figures show that the accuracy of PAX is lower than the ED and SAX-BD methods but very close to them.


Figure 11. Accuracy comparison among HAX, ED and SAX.


Figure 12. Accuracy comparison among PAX, ED and SAX.
In terms of space cost, the HAX realizes the dimensionality reduction of high-dimensional time series by representing a time series as a set of hex strings, reducing the amount of information required for time series storage and making it more convenient to be used in various fields. For a time series with the same parameter $w$, the length of the hex string is equal to that of the SAX string. While the space cost of SAX-TD is five times that of the SAX, the space cost of SAX-BD is nine times that of the SAX. Although the PAX has higher accuracy than the HAX, it only implements the reduction of the time series to a set two-dimensional data point, and the space cost of PAX is sixteen times greater than that of the HAX. Therefore, they have the following relationship,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SC}(\mathrm{HAX})=\mathrm{SC}(\mathrm{SAX})=\mathrm{SC}(\mathrm{SAX}-\mathrm{TD}) / 5=\mathrm{SC}(\mathrm{SAX}-\mathrm{BD}) / 9=\mathrm{SC}(\mathrm{PAX}) / 16=\frac{w}{n} \mathrm{SC}(\mathrm{ED}) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the SC is a space cost function, $n$ is the length of a time series and $w$ is the piece parameter.


Figure 13. Accuracy comparison between PAX and ED (48 points in the upper triangle and 63 points in the lower triangle).


Figure 14. Accuracy comparison between PAX and SAX-BD (50 points in the upper triangle and 54 points in the lower triangle).

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, two new time series representation methods, the Hexadecimal Aggregation approXimate (HAX) and the Point Aggregation approXimate (PAX), are proposed. These two methods negate any assumption on the probability distribution of time series and initially represent each segment of a time series as a Transformable Interval Object (TIO). Then, each TIO is mapped to a spatial point located on a two-dimensional plane. The

PAX represents each segment of a time series as a spatial point on the plane. Next, the HAX maps each point of the PAX to a hexadecimal digit by a hexagon grid. Finally, a hex string that can represent a time series is generated by the HAX. The experiment results show that the HAX has higher classification accuracy than the SAX, but one that is lower than most SAX variants, such as SAX-TD and SAX-BD. This is because these variants include some other information that may improve the distance measure of the SAX string. The HAX has the same space cost as the SAX and a lower space cost than the above-mentioned SAX variants. The PAX has higher classification accuracy than the HAX and is very close to the ED, but its space cost is 16 times that of the HAX. However, the space cost of the PAX is generally much less than the space cost of the ED. The HAX is a general time series representation method that can be extended similar to some SAX variants. Our future work will focus on the extension of HAX.
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