

Article



# **Towards an Impact Evaluation Framework to Measure Urban Resilience in Food Practices**

Catherine Dezio <sup>1,\*</sup> and Davide Marino <sup>2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Architettura e Studi Urbani, Politecnico di Milano, 20133 Milano, Italy
- <sup>2</sup> Dipartimento di Bioscienze e Territorio, Università del Molise, 86039 Termoli, Italy
- \* Correspondence: catherine.dezio@gmail.com (C.D.); dmarino@unimol.it (D.M.); Tel.: +39-346-499-4091 (C.D.)

Received: 15 February 2018; Accepted: 12 June 2018; Published: 15 June 2018



**Abstract:** The relationship among agriculture, food and cities is experiencing profound transformations that led us to reflect on causes and processes. Our research questions regarded the role of agriculture and food in territorial resilience, the relationship between global problems and local solutions (i.e., urban scale), the relationship between the action scales and the results of a practice, and the means to measure the effectiveness of a practice. The following paper adopts the coevolutive approach, which recognizes territorial dynamics as products of biunivocal relations between social and environmental components. We also outline an impact evaluation framework for assessing territorial resilience of urban food systems. The paper includes an analysis conducted on 50 local practices regarding the relationship between food and city. This analysis was collected within the Observatory of Resilience Practices, a project funded by the Cariplo Foundation and conducted by the Polytechnic University of Milan. The paper concludes by suggesting implementation of the methodology for assessing the impact of practices, and includes broader reasoning regarding the role of local bottom-up practices in territorial governance.

Keywords: resilience; urban food systems; agriculture; food practices

# 1. Introduction

Currently, the relationship among agriculture, food and cities is experiencing profound transformations that led us to reflect on the causes, effects, factors and processes [1–3]. It is clear that patterns of food production, consumption and wellbeing develop not only from economic and social relations of urban systems, but also depend on their capacity to sustain them (i.e., their resilience) [4,5]. We investigated the physical dimension of urban systems, their role in relation to the use of natural resources, the opportunity that they offer to the innovation [6], and particularly to their reaction capacity to pressures and fragilities (e.g., climate change, globalization of markets, the generation change of farmers, changes in dietary habits, changes in the relationship between places of production and consumption, etc.) [7].

Bauman sees cities as burdened with problems born at a global scale [8]. Since citizens have to find solutions at an urban scale, could agriculture and food be local instruments for urban resilience in the face of global problems [9]? Could agriculture and food production be a connecting link between management of ecosystem services, landscape protection, preservation of biodiversity, social inclusion and other issues of complex territorial systems [10,11]? To answer these questions, it is necessary to recognize agro-ecosystems not as antithetical entities to urban areas, but as integrated phenomena, able to play a key role in the development of territorial systems [3,12].

Using these questions as a starting point, we investigated economic, social, and environmental aspects of urban food practices, as well as their capacity and effectiveness to improve urban

resilience [13]. For this investigation, we adopted the co-evolutionary paradigm as a holistic approach towards a theoretical framework to understand the transformations in social-ecological systems such as cities and urban food systems [14–17].

In addition, the following paper describes a replicable holistic framework that can assess and measure the impact and the effectiveness of practices [18] on urban resilience with a co-evolutionary optics, i.e., with social and ecological components and implications.

To achieve this, we decided to use 50 local practices related to food–city relationships. The examples were collected with the public call for "Resilient Communities", as part of the Italian Observatory of Resilience Practices (ORP) project born in April 2015. This project was funded by the Italian bank foundation Fondazione Cariplo, and conducted by Polytechnic University of Milan, with a scientific committee of people from various organizations and locations in Italy [19].

The Observatory of Resilience Practices consists of several axes. The Mapping Path, which is the mapping of collected practices and is a strategic axis of the ORP, requires a tight integration between the construction of a conceptual framework, the direct involvement of the promoters of initiatives, and an innovation in the identification of methodological and design tools. The Mapping Path provided the opportunity to the whole local community to propose and subsequently validate practices that fall within Holling's definition of ecosystem resilience [20]. "Ecosystem resilience" assumes a dynamic and evolutionary vision of possible response mechanisms of complex territorial systems [20]. Strengthening resilience properties of complex systems (such as territorial ones) allows the same systems to activate responses, adaptation, and change in face of exogenous or endogenous disturbances. With strengthened resilience properties, systems may reconfigure towards new equilibrium conditions, as well as acquire new capacities to face the future.

With that theoretical reference point, the Observatory collected 100 practices: 24 at Lombardy scale, more than 40 from within national context (i.e., Italian scale) and 30 scientific applied research studies. The practices are concerned with varying themes, dealing with both social and environmental dynamics, but they have the same objective of strengthening resilience against fragilities recognized in the system as follows; the issue of social inclusion, the support for disadvantaged groups, the prevention of hydrogeological instability, the support for the local economy, the redevelopment of degraded public spaces, the protection of endangered species, and much more.

We decided to use 50 out of the 100 practices collected concerning food and agro-ecosystems because, with a starting point of Holling's definition of ecosystem resilience, agro-ecosystems turn out to be integrated socio-ecological systems suitable to be considered as bearers of a key role for the whole territorial system. Therefore, this sample can become an investigative opportunity on the evaluation method of the effectiveness of bottom-up practices.

# 2. Materials and Methods

We emphasize that, within this context, the concept of mapping does not attempt to question the degree of resilience of practices. Rather, starting from the assumption that the practices are all recognized in Holling's definition, mapping primarily attempts to describe geographies, endogenous and exogenous factors, and characteristics of the practices purview.

With this assumption, we chose to adopt the co-evolutionary paradigm of Norgaard [14,15], which states that economic history is a process of adaptation to changes in the environment, and that such transformations are bijective. For this dynamic relationship between environmental and social systems, the co-evolutionary paradigm is the key to better understanding the transformations of socio-ecological systems, such as agro-food systems.

By adopting this theoretical approach as the main reading key, we analyzed these 50 initiatives in a large and detailed database. The 50 practices we investigated use food and agriculture to strengthen resilience of different fragilities. The practices include strategies for the inclusion of urban agriculture for the reappropriation of degraded territories and/or for the support of disadvantaged groups, the launching of alternative quality practices to traditional production–distribution systems, establishment of support systems for local quality agriculture and networks, strategies to restore traditional crops, and many others.

Every practice was analyzed by examining the individual actions (which may be similar in different practices), the contingent geographical and socio-economic contexts, the fragility being addressed, the objectives that were set, and the expected results.

Accordingly, the analysis of the database described in detail the following: the geographical location, the problems being addressed (described here as "pressures"), the objectives that were proposed, the individual actions planned and implemented (which, as previously mentioned, can be similar for different practices), the expected results, and the planned and/or performed monitoring.

The descriptive database that was obtained is the main source material for this investigation, and represents a potential foundation and starting point for further research. Starting from the same definition of resilience, the database represents a homogeneous sample to experiment with new evaluation methodologies.

The investigation of the database took place at three different times: (i) at the completion of the database both from an analytical–descriptive point of view and from a general interpretative re-elaboration; (ii) at the analysis of the origin of the destabilization of the system, from where these project initiatives are derived; and (iii) upon the interpretation and re-elaboration of practices, with the main goal being implementation of an impact assessment framework.

Here, we focus on Points (ii) and (iii), which are closely related to each other on the interpretative level, given that, as a problem arises, a specific solution inherent to the problem is described. These two areas are also related in terms of expected results, as well as spatial and temporal action scales.

With regard to Point (ii), we have already said that the practices we analyzed are born as solutions to an identified problem. For this reason, the analysis of the practices should start from the analysis of the problem from which they derive, as well as if and how they solve it, especially if oriented to the implementation of a framework that investigates the impact. Additionally, analysis included monitoring the effectiveness of the practice(s) over time.

To do this, we identified two classes of categories that define the nature of the problem: (a) endogenous/exogenous, which serves to understand if the cause is internal or external to the territorial system, and therefore help us discern if it is a local and/or global scale problem (this identification is useful for further investigations on the relationship between the scale of the problem, the scale of the practice and the scale of the reverberation of the practice); or (b) social/ecological, which tries to understand whether the problem derives from anthropic activities or from causes more directly related to the natural system.

The application of this double pair of categories led to the definition of the sample in terms of the origin of destabilization. This characterization led to a fundamental structuring of the evaluation framework. In fact, the individual actions may be the same in different practices (e.g., urban gardens), but the origin of pressures or fragilities on which they are implemented (e.g., economic support for disadvantaged categories or degradation of public space) define them differently.

Following a detailed analysis of the individual actions conceived for each practice, four macro-categories were then identified, representing areas of resilience on which the practices are oriented.

The four categories also coincide with different reference systems:

- Food system, i.e., the practices that aim to support local short supply chains;
- Territorial system, i.e., the practices aimed at redevelopment, enhancement and protection of urban space, territory and landscape;
- Social system, which includes practices that aim to raise awareness and strengthen the resilience
  of the local community; and
- Risk management, a category that focuses on the broad concept of "risk" (e.g., hydrogeological risk, risk of loss of animal species, consumption of soil, risk of economic crisis).

Having already said that resilience embraces very different dynamics and objectives, these macro-categories allowed us to characterize the evaluation framework by describing the individual actions of the practices on different systems (i.e., food, landscape, social, risk).

Having defined the material, how we evaluated it and what we wanted to derive from it, the main reference used for the method adopted to develop the investigation was the EKLIPSE Expert Working Group report "An impact evaluation framework to support planning and evaluation of nature-based solutions (NBS) projects" [21], prepared by the EKLIPSE Expert Working Group on Nature-based Solutions to Promote Climate Resilience in Urban Area (EWG). Nature-based Solutions (NBS) are solutions to societal challenges that are inspired and supported by nature. The European Commission requested the EKLIPSE project to help build evidence and knowledge base on the benefits and challenges of applying NBS. In response to the request, the EKLIPSE document is not intended to define NBS, but rather provide examples of indicators and methods for assessing impacts of NBS that may be applied in a range of different ways across urban areas in Europe. EWG specifies that the type of NBS impacts may vary according to the context in which they are applied, and the same applies to our practices.

The choice to have this document as main reference for our investigation was born both for the nature of analyzed content, which is congruous with our database, and for the shared objectives that this document shares with our work.

Regarding the processing of indicators, since literature analysis is not the main goal of this paper, we have referred to previous research activities on the bibliography in the field of food policy and food systems assessment and evaluation [10,22].

Whilst Prosperi et al. [22] proposed a review of eight initiatives focusing on the assessment of the sustainability of the food system implemented at different scales, Mazzocchi and Marino [10] integrated such list to gather the 14 main experiences of assessment of the sustainability of food systems, internationally and at different scales, reaching a list of 10 goals and 54 objectives, possibly exhaustive of all the possible objectives that can be pursued in an urban food policy. The two works, together, represent an exhaustive picture of the state of the art of indicators on food policies, useful to a conscious starting point for new reasoning on the topic.

For example, regarding the indicators we have developed to measure the increase of local community awareness and of local community involvement, we have based them on the indicators of the City Region Food System Indicator Framework [23,24]; among them, we focused on those oriented towards "Health and well-being and increasing access to food and nutrition" with the specific impact area on education and awareness, such as "increase in number of community residents involved in community-based food activities", "change in consumer knowledge on healthy diets for different age and income groups" and "change in consumer awareness on healthy diets food/environmental impacts of their food consumption among different groups".

For our environmental indicators, for example those regarding the water saving, the reduction of waste, the requalification of territory, and the increase in the use of renewable energy, we referred to the Sustainability Assessment of Food and Agriculture Systems indicators [25], in particular to the Environmental Integrity framework for indicators on Water, Land, Biodiversity and Energy.

It has to be specified that the developed of some indicators need to be adapted to the local situation and, being many of them of the composite type, require more detail in terms of definition (for example: "Growth rate of consumption of local products" definition of spatial scales) or flows of information and data for their calculation (for example: "Growth rate of local community perception" or "Growth rate of flows of people").

As regards data collection, we can think of both quantitative (through the monitoring of practices over time) and qualitative methods (through interviews and questionnaires). Where data will be difficult to collect, existing case studies and research may be useful.

The collective process is configured as a research process in itself and even identifying where data are missing would be an important finding [24].

# 3. Results

Since the data of the database analysis: (i) have already been partly described previously within the description of the practices, this section focuses on the results concerning the analyses (ii) and (iii), which are closely related to each other on the interpretative level: if a problem (ii) arises, a specific solution (iii) inherent to the problem is relayed. These two analyses, (ii) and (iii), led to the definition of assessment frameworks for their impact.

# 3.1. Analysis of the Pressures Categories

Figure 1 below describes the results of analysis (i), representing the overview of the destabilization of the socio-ecological systems we are referring to.



**Figure 1.** The graph shows the origin of the pressures which led to local initiatives. The *x*-axis describes the origin as either Endogenous or Exogenous; the *y*-axis describes the social or ecological origin. The two crossed axes form four quadrants (First Quadrant: +X Endogenous, +Y Social; Second Quadrant: +X Endogenous, -Y Ecological; Third Quadrant: -X Exogenous, -Y Ecological; Fourth Quadrant: -X Exogenous, +Y Social) in which the 50 practices are distributed, indicating the origin that the practices derive from.

The criteria for the attribution of the two pairs of categories are based on two principles: (i) the pressures deriving from an anthropic origin are only those problems directly connected to human activities; and (ii) the pressures of environmental origin are those that directly affect the natural components (even if indirectly the origin is anthropic).

For example:

 Pressures assessed as being of anthropic origin were: infrastructural pressure, lack of care of public spaces, abandonment and depopulation of agricultural areas, and economic fragility of local farms.  Pressures assessed as being of environmental origin were: presence of invasive species, risk of hydrogeological instability, loss of biodiversity and ecosystem functionalities, and environmental pollution.

Regarding the second couple (internal/external origin), the phenomena of global origin were evaluated as "exogenous" pressures, i.e., external to the system. The causes of local origin, strictly connected to the local characteristics, situations or behaviors, were evaluated as "endogenous" pressures, that is internal to the system.

Figure 1 shows a homogeneous distribution with respect to the two categories crossed in the four quadrants, as a result of a weighting carried out by the authors following an expert assessment. This means that the origin of the fragility has been identified with a qualitative evaluation by the authors that compared and verified the problems declared by the designers of the practices (to which the practices tend to provide a solution) with the expected results, i.e., the expected impact.

For example, in a village of the suburbs of Mantua (Italy), a cultural association warns of a growing deterioration in an area, with the abandonment and neglect of public spaces. The practices consisted of the activation of urban gardens and the launching of training activities aimed at the local community of the area. These practices led to an impact on the recovery of the territory and the involvement of the local community. From the description of the reference system, the action, and the expected impact, it was deduced that the origin of the problem was the negligence of public spaces, as well as the loss of the sense of belonging by the local community. Therefore, a qualitative evaluation led to identifying a social and endogenous origin of the problem.

#### 3.2. Analysis of the Resilience Categories

For each category, a framework has been set up that analyzes the actions of each practice with respect to the origin of the problem, as well as the expected impact and the indicator that would verify its effectiveness.

In this way, four different frameworks are obtained, with the practices distributed homogeneously: in the first framework there are 12 practices (Figure 2), in the second framework there are 13 practices (Figure 3), in the third framework there are 15 practices (Figure 4), and in the fourth framework there are 10 practices (Figure 5); for each practice two main actions are analyzed. Sometimes the expected actions or impacts can be repeated in different practices and/or frameworks. This is because the type of action can be the same but the context (evident from the categories of pressures, endogenous/ecological/social) or the objectives and purposes (i.e., frameworks) are different.

For example, "activation of urban gardens" is an action present in the framework of territorial requalification (Figure 3) and that of strengthening the social system (Figure 4), as well as in the framework regarding risk management (Figure 5). In the first case (Figure 3, territorial requalification), the expected impact is the recovery of the territory and the impact indicator is qualitative, based on the perception of the local community. In the second case (Figure 4, strengthening of the social system), the action is present several times but with different expected impacts; the involvement of the local community, the support to poor families, the increase of territorial fruition, and the dissemination of good practices. At the same time, the indicators are both quantitative, as in the case to support poor families or in the case of practice replicability, and qualitative, as in the case of community involvement. In the third case (Figure 5, risk management), the activation of urban gardens is oriented to prepare a new local economy and therefore the indicator are sales and consumption linked to that new production.

	Deece	sures	1. FOOD SYSTEM - Support for local quality chains		
n	Pres		Action	Expected impact	Indicator
1	Exo	Soc	Analysis of local domand and symply	Increase of cultivated land and expansion of the producers'	Growth rate of local producers; Growth rate of agricultural
			Analysis of local demand and supply	network	land cultivated within the province of reference
			Activities of consumer involvement and organization of local markets	Local consumer increase	Growth rate of consumption of local products
2	Endo	Eco	Establishment of a Participatory Guarantee System	Recognizability of the brand	Growth rate of brand recognition
			Network consolidation activities	Expansion of the local production network	Growth rate of adherents to the Participatory Guarantee System for each territory involved
3	Endo	Soc	Reactivation of a supply chain, census of uncultivated meadows and restoration of activities in abandoned areas	Risk mitigation	Reduction rate of risk with environmental monitoring; Growth rate of restored areas
			Creation of a network of producers and buyers and promotion of the project	Increase of local community awareness	Growth rate of local community perception
4	Endo	Soc	Consolidation and expansion of the producers and markets network	Strengthening of local supply chains	Growth rate of relationships between production and local sales
			Promotion and information activities	Increase of local community awareness	Growth rate of consumption of local products
5	F 1	Soc	Actions to enhance and consolidate the local network of "Ethical Purchasing Groups"	Consolidation and expansion of the network of "Ethical Purchasing Groups"	Growth rate of the network of "Ethical Purchasing Groups"
	Endo		Training of actors on the consumptions analysis	Realization of a platform for consumptions analysis and actions for local community involvement	Growth rate of consumption of local products
6	Exo	Eco	Mapping of demand and local producers and systemisation of them	Strengthening of the local economic system	Growth rate of consumption of local products
			Training and awareness activites	Strengthening of social system	Growth rate of the positive perception of local community
7	Endo	Eco	Actions to strengthen the network of the Economic Solidarity District	Strengthening of the the network of the Economic Solidarity District	Growth rate of transactions
	Endo		Actions to enlarge the network of the District of Local Solidarity	Enlargement of the the network of the Economic Solidarity District	Growth rate of enlargement of the network
8	Exo	Soc	Mapping of stakeholders and making agreements with public canteens	Officialization of agreements between producers and institutional consumers	Growth rate of pacts with institutional consumers
			Study of a local waste chain and awareness activities	Rebalancing between biomass production and food production	Reduction rate of waste
9	Endo	Ean	Participatory mapping and consolidation of markets	Networks realization	Growth rate of local products distribution in local markets
	Endo	ECO	Involvement and training of producers and consumers	Diffusion of conscious consumption	Growth rate of consumption of local products
10	Endo	Sac	Promotion and information activities	Increase of local community awareness	Growth rate of consumption of local products
-	Endo	500	Involvement, training and support to farmers	Involvement of farmers and creation of a unitary brand	Growth rate of the people involved
11		Soc	Realization of collection and distribution platform for local	Involvement and awareness activities for producers and local	Growth rate of consumption of local products
			food and activities of raising awareness	community	ster at the of consumption of focu products
	Exo		Pact for a District of Local Economy between producers and markets	Expansion of the "Ethical Purchasing Groups" and signing of agreements between producers and "Ethical Purchasing Groups"	Growth rate of "Ethical Purchasing Groups" members and growth rate of agreements between "Ethical Purchasing Groups" and producers
12		Eco	Access facility to local products	Approaching between consumers and producers	Growth rate of consumption of local products
	Endo		Awareness activities	Changes in lifestyles of local community	Growth rate of the positive perception of local community

Figure 2. Framework 1: Food system—support for local quality chains.

-	Drock	sures	2. TERRITORIAL SYSTEM - Requalification, valorization or protection of the territory		
n	Tres		Action	Expected impact	Indicator
1	Endo	Soc	Mapping of uncultivated areas, activation of urban gardens and organization of a network for management	Realization of urban gardens and of the management network of them	Continuity rate in time of the urban gardens activity
			Training and awareness activites	Increasing of the knowledge level of horticultural practices at low environmental impact	Growth rate of local community awareness
	Exa	Eao	Assignment of agricultural land and start of cultivation	Restoration of the ecosystem functions	Growth rate of ecosystem services
4	EXO	ECO	Launch of a quality agricultural local system	Increasing of the territorial fruition	Growth rate of flows of people
3	Endo	Soc	Recovery of a local supply chain and organization of a farmers network	Increased farmer training and decreased land abandonment rate	Reduction rate of land abandonment
			Didactic and promotional activities	Increased local products consumption and increased territorial fruition	Growth rate of consumption of local products; Growth rate of flows of people
	Endo	Soc	Inclusion of urban agriculture and training of urban garden farmers	Starting of the urban gardens activity and establishment of a network	Growth rate of agricultural production
4			Didactic and promotional activities	Involvement of young people and establishment of a local sales network	Growth rate of the network of resale circuits of local products; Growth rate of youngs involved
			Reactivation of a traditional terraced agricultural activity and	Recovery and restoration of landscape and starting of the	Growth rate of environmental quality and growth rate of
5	Endo	Eco	establishment of a local supply chain	supply chain for the products sale	retailers involved in the supply chain
			Educational laboratories	Local community involvement	Growth rate of local community involvement
6	Endo	Eco	Preparation and start-up of agricultural activities	Recovery of territory and starting of an agricultural activity	Growth rate of agricultural production
	Lindo		Training and awareness activites	Local community involvement	Growth rate of local community involvement
7	Endo	Eco	Meetings between producers - consumers - sellers	Strengthening of the skills and of the network relationships	Growth rate of enlargement of the network
<i>'</i>	Lindo		Educational laboratories	Local consumer increase	Growth rate of consumption of local products
8	Endo	Eco	Meetings between different actors of the local agri-food system	Involvement of producers and sellers	Growth rate of relations between production - resale - catering
			Strategy for more sales of local products	Increasing of organic products sales	Growth rate of organic products sales
9	Endo	Soc	Activation of urban gardens	Recovery of territory	Growth rate of the positive perception of local community about place quality
			Training activities	Local community involvement	Growth rate of local community awareness
		_	Mapping of degradation areas and starting of care activities	Recovery of territory	Growth rate of monitored environmental quality
10	Endo	Soc	Call of volunteers and training activities	Increase of local community awareness	Growth rate of the positive perception of local community
	Endo	Eco	Mapping of degradation areas and starting of care activities	Recovery of territory and database enrichment	Growth rate of monitored environmental quality
II			Training and awareness activites	Increase of awareness and improvement in management	Growth rate of the positive perception of local community
12	Endo	Eco	Preparation of areas, identification of disappearing crops to be restored and setting of a mill	Activation of a new local production	Growth rate of agricultural production
			Preparation activities to the product sale	Sale of the product	Growth rate of product sales
13	Exo	Soc	Restored of an old farm and activation of vegetable gardens	Recovery of territory	Growth rate of the positive perception of local community
			Local community involvement activities	Increasing of the territorial fruition	Growth rate of flows of people

Figure 3. Framework 2: Territorial system—requalification, valorization, or protection of the territory.

	Duog	ssures	3. SOCIAL SYSTEM - Sensitization and resilience of local community		
n	rres		Action	Expected impact	Indicator
1	Endo	Eco	Inclusion of local products in canteens and laboratory activities with schools	Increase of local community awareness	Growth rate of consumption of local products
			Networking of actors and launching alternative food distribution circuits	Constitution of an "Ethical Purchasing Group" and realization of agreements between actors involved	Growth rate of pacts between production and sale
			Activation of urban gardens	Local community involvement	Growth rate of local community involvement
2	Exo	Eco	Didactic and promotional activities	Change of lifestyle on the territory care	Reduction rate of waste abandonment
2	Eva	Eco	Starting of the urban gardens and realization of a hub for the bread processing	Production start-up, sufficient to support some families	Growth rate of savings per family
3	EXO	Eco	Meetings and training activities for "Ethical Purchasing Groups"	Training activities for the actors involved	Growth rate of the positive perception of local community
	Endo	Eco	Activation of urban gardens	Agricultural production for food integration	Growth rate of agricultural production
4			Training and awareness activites	Strengthening the social cohesion and changing in the lifestyles	Growth rate of local community involvement
5	Evo		Development of a resilient and autonomous economic	Increasing of the self-production and reduction of the mass	Reduction rate of consumption of large production and
5	EXO	ECO	Creation of a reference contex on environmental issues		Growth rate of the positive perception of local community
-			Activation of urban gardens	Resource of torritory	Growth rate of the positive perception of local community
6	Endo	Soc	Training and awareness activities	Local community involvement	Growth rate of local community involvement
_			Didactic and promotional activities	Local community involvement	Growth rate of local community involvement
7	Endo	Eco	Connection activities between producers and local markets	A pproaching between producers and concurrent	Growth rate of onlargement of the network
-			Analysis of the actual state about operar consumption	Awareness of the actual state on operate consumption	Crowth rate of the positive perception of local community
9	Evo	Eco	Information and awareness actions on new energy	Awareness of the actual state of energy consumption	chow in rate of the positive perception of local community
0	EXO		production models	Spread of renewable energy plants	Growth rate of renewable energy production
9	Endo	Soc	Activation of urban gardens	Starting of production and involvement of local community	Growth rate of agricultural production; Growth rate of local community involvement
			Activation of a micro-entrepreneurship project for the products resale	Continuity of the entrepreneurial project	Growth rate of product sales
			Activation of urban gardens	Activation and continuity of activity over time	Continuity rate of production over time
10	Endo	Soc	Training and involvement activities for disadvantaged people	Support for disadvantaged people	Growth rate of savings per family
11	Exo	Eco	Activation of urban gardens	Self-production and Recovery of territory	Growth rate of production and of positive perception on territorial quality
			Training and awareness activites	Local community involvement	Growth rate of local community involvement
12	Exo	Soc	Networking of urban gardens	Dissemination of practices	Growth rate of new urban gardens
			Consolidation activities of relationships	Enlargement of the network	Growth rate of enlargement of the network
10	E . I.	C	Activation of urban gardens	Increasing of the territorial fruition	Growth rate of flows of people
13	Endo	500	Educational laboratories	Cohesion of the social system	Growth rate of the positive perception of local community
14	Endo	Soc	Creation of a multifunctional center as reference point for territory	Increasing of the territorial fruition	Growth rate of flows of people
			Educational laboratories	Local community involvement	Growth rate of local community involvement
15	Ende	Sec	Activation of urban gardens	Dissemination of practices	Growth rate of practices replicability
15	Enuo	300	Educational laboratories	Local community involvement	Growth rate of local community involvement

Figure 4. Framework 3: Social system—sensitization and resilience of local community.

n	Droc		4. Risk Management		
	Tress	sures	Action	Expected impact	Indicator
1	Endo	Eco	Training activities	Reduction of invasive species	Reduction rate of invasive species
	Endo		Establishment of a multifunctional economic model	Establishment of a new quality local product	Growth rate of product sales
2	Exo	Soc	Actions to enhance existing experiences	Increase of local consumers	Growth rate of local consumers
			Establishment of an agreement	Expansion of the agreement and of the companies involved	Growth rate of companies involved
3	Exo	Soc	Census of available lands, assignment of them and setting up	Start-up of the distribution food system	Growth rate of local production and consumption
			Training and awareness activites	Improvement of skills and awareness of actors involved	Growth rate of the skills of actors involved
4	-	Soc	Actors mapping and networking	Consolidation and enlargement of the actors network	Growth rate of enlargement of the network
	Exo		Training activities of local actors on quality food	Training of the actors network	Growth rate of the skills of actors involved
_	E	Eco	Realization of a local producers group and preparation of a web platform for producers and consumers	Increase in production and consumption linked to quality agriculture	Growth rate of local producers and consumers of quality production
5	Exo		Activation of educational workshops and awareness events on the relationship between risk and agriculture	Increase of awareness of the local community on the relationship between risk and agriculture	Growth rate of local community awareness
6	Exo	Soc	Activation of urban gardens and realization of local markets; realization of a database that maps abandoned land	Spread of self-production practices and realization of new local markets	Growth rate of local production and consumption
			Information activities and installation of an office against food waste	Increase of local community awareness	Growth rate of local community awareness
7	Endo	Eco	Training and support activities for volunteers and pastors	Involvement of volunteers and pastors	Growth rate of volunteers and pastors
<i>'</i>	Endo	Eco	Communication strategy and territorial marketing	Dissemination of knowledge about the project	Growth rate of the positive perception of local community
8	Exo	Eco	Actions of hydraulic reclamation and definition of a partnership model for the hydraulic management	Land reclamation and management planning	Reduction rate of events related to hydrogeological instability
			Training and awareness activities on sustainable farming practices	Involvement of stakeholders and adoption of sustainable agricultural practices	Growth rate of local community awareness
9	Endo	Eco	Care of green spaces and optimization of water and waste management	Start up of a new model for the management of spaces and resources	Growth rate of water saving; growth rate of waste reuse
			Training activities for urban gardeners and involvement activities for local community awareness	Local community involvement	Growth rate of product sales
10	Exc	Soc	Activation of urban gardens	Recovery of abandoned areas and starting of cultivation	Continuity rate of production over time
	EXO		Promotion and information activities	Sale of local products and support for disadvantaged people	Growth rate of savings per family

Figure 5. Framework 4: Risk management.

### 4. Discussion

The survey revealed many topics and points of reference worthy of further investigation.

The first point of reference describes the relationship between the first part of the results concerning the origin of the problem (internal or external to the system, ecological or social) and the second part of the individual actions of the practices. What is clear from a cross-sector analysis is that, with the problems of exogenous origin (external to the system, primarily "global"), the actions are mainly macro actions on dilated temporal and spatial scales, with long impact terms and potentially involving local institutions, namely the establishment of agreements or networks between different actors, improvement in management plans, etc.

For example, Practice 11, Framework 1 (food system—support for local quality chains), describes a weakness in the local economy due to external pressure, and one of the relative actions was the establishment of a pact between producers and markets.

When the problems are of endogenous origin (therefore internal to the system and "local"), the actions are mainly micro small-scale actions and with imminently expected impacts from a temporal point of view; for example, the reactivation of agricultural supply chains, the reactivation of agricultural activity, public space care activities, etc.

In Practice 9 of Framework 4 (risk management), green space care and training, as well as involvement activities for the local community, were implemented remedially following the excessive consumption of local soil.

The second point of reference concerns the fact that, with problems of ecological-environmental origin, actions have been proposed that act on the social system, such as training or awareness activities. This indicates the close biunivocal relationship between the social system and the ecological system [14].

In Practice 7 of Framework 2 (requalification, valorization or protection of the territory) with an impoverishment of soil fertility and agro-biodiversity of landscapes due to intensive agriculture, meetings were organized between producers, consumers, and sellers, as well as laboratory activities to increase the production and consumption of quality local products.

The third point of reference concerns the nature of the individual actions with respect to the practice; that is, between two actions of a practice, often one action concerns the information, promotion, training, and involvement of the local community inside of the practice itself. This underlines the participatory, bottom-up and procedural nature of the food practices.

In Framework 3 (social system—sensitization and resilience of local community), in 11 out of 15 practices, one of the two actions undertaken always concerns the realization of educational, educational, and involvement activities of the local community.

From these results, we can deduce how effectively the relationship between food and the city (city being defined here as a complex system of physical and social relations) can be the reference framework of many transformations at both a local and global scale. Moreover, it is precisely from the subdivision of the frameworks that focuses on very different problems (e.g., social system, risk management, etc.) that we can deduce how food can actually be declined as a flexible and transversal tool oriented towards territorial resilience. Food represents a possibility for specific solutions to specific problems but also, given the holistic, complex, and transversal nature of the concept of food itself (linked to the environmental, social and economic system), it represents an adequate and congenial tool for interscalar relationships such as those of resilience in urban systems.

### 5. Conclusions

The survey provides the opportunity to reflect on several fields of innovation of the scientific research. The first point is related to the initial objective, in that we obtained a first implementation of a replicable holist framework for assessing and measuring the impact and the effectiveness of practices on urban resilience with a co-evolutionary optics, i.e., with social and ecological components and implications. The second point of reflection is the theme of agriculture not as an antithetical entity

to the urban system but as an innovation tool for strengthening urban resilience. Then, from this analysis, we further evaluated the relationship between the scale of the problem, the scale of the practice, and the scale of material and immaterial reverberation. The next step could be to integrate the framework proposed here with spatial indicators, and to compare the measure of the origin problem with the measure of the final impact of the practice, as well as its reverberation over time [22]. Lastly, the following document raises the issue of territorial governance in relation to the role of local bottom-up practices, such as in solving problems that are beyond the institutional regulatory instruments. Therefore, the possibility exists to see an inductive model in this framework; namely, starting from the territorial practices and through the evaluation and monitoring of the impact of these over time, we can begin to extrapolate and suggest new orientations for adaptive and resilient local governance systems.

These fields of innovation are closely linked to the open scenarios proposed by this survey: in the short term on the implementation of frameworks with indicators that evaluate the relationship between different spatial and temporal scales (between practice and impact); in the long term on the role of the effectiveness of bottom-up practice with a greater spatial reverberation than its activation, in a territorial governance that crosses the administrative boundaries and returns to the natural geography of places and settlements.

**Author Contributions:** C.D. and D.M. conceived and designed the experiments; C.D. performed the experiments; C.D. and D.M. analyzed the data; C.D. wrote the paper; and D.M. coordinated the whole work and paper.

**Funding:** The following paper is related to the research "Observatory of Resilience Practices", funded by the Fondazione Cariplo and carried out by the Department of Architecture and Urban Studies of the Politecnico di Milano (IT). This research received no external funding.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank Giampiero Mazzocchi for help on the state of the art on food policies and Deborah Nedde for the revision of English language.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. MEA. Millennium Ecosystem Assessment: Ecosystems and Human Well-Being: Synthesis; Island Press: Washington, DC, USA, 2005.
- 2. European Commission. *The Future of Food and Farming*; Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions; European Commission: Brussels, Belgium, 2017.
- Marino, D.; Cavallo, A. Agricoltura, cibo e città. Verso Sistemi Socioecologici Resilienti; CURSA (pas) SAGGI—Ricerche e Progetti: Roma, Italy, 2014; ISSN 2284-4376.
- 4. Steffen, W.; Richardson, K.; Rockström, J.; Cornell, S.E.; Fetzer, I.; Bennett, E.M.; Biggs, R. Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet. *Science* **2015**, *347*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. FAO. The State of Food and Agriculture: Leveraging Food Systems for Inclusive Rural Transformation. 2017. Available online: http://www.fao.org/3/a-I7658e.pdf (accessed on 3 January 2018).
- 6. Marino, D.; Mastronardi, L.; Giannelli, A.; Giaccio, V.; Mazzocchi, G. Territorialisation dynamics for Italian farms adhering to Alternative Food Networks. *Bull. Geogr. Socio-Econ. Ser.* **2018**, 113–131. [CrossRef]
- 7. Ilieva, R.T. Urban Food Planning Seeds of Transition in the Global North; Routledge: London, UK, 2016.
- 8. Bauman, Z. Urban space wars: On destructive order and creative chaos. *Space Cult.* **1998**, *2*, 109–123. [CrossRef]
- 9. Steel, C. Hungry City. How Food Shapes Our Lives; Random House: London, UK, 2009; ISBN 978-0-099-53168-5.
- Mazzocchi, G.; Marino, D. Linking food policies and Sustainable Development Goals. In *Connections and Missing Links within Urban Agriculture, Food and Food Systems, Proceedings of the International Scientific Event, Lisbon, Portugal, 26–27 April 2018*; RUAF Foundation: Leusden, The Netherlands, 2018.
- Cartiaux, N.; Mazzocchi, G.; Marino, D.; Jijakli, H. Improving Urban Metabolism through Agriculture: An Approach to a Qualitative Assessment of Ecosystem Services in Rome. *VertigO-la revue électronique en Sciences de l'environnement* 2017. Available online: https://reseau-agriville.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/ cartiaux-ua-et-2017.pdf (accessed on 1 December 2017).

- 12. Donadieu, P. Campagne Urbane. Una Nuova Proposta di Paesaggio Della Città; Donzelli: Rome, Italy, 2006.
- 13. Morgan, K. Feeding the city: The challenge of urban food planning. *Int. Plan. Stud.* **2009**, *14*, 341–348. [CrossRef]
- 14. Norgaard, R. Coevolutionary Agricultural Development. Economic Development and Cultural Change; The University of Chicago Press: Chicago, IL, USA, 1984; pp. 525–546.
- 15. Kallis, G.; Norgaard, R.B. Coevolutionary ecological economics. Ecol. Econ. 2010, 69, 690–699. [CrossRef]
- 16. Costanza, R.; Cumberland, J.; Daly, H.; Goodland, R.; Norgaard, R.B. *Introduction to Ecological Economics*; St Lucie Press: St Lucie, FL, USA, 1997.
- 17. Folke, C.; Hahn, T.; Olsson, P.; Norberg, J. Adaptive governance of social-ecological systems. *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.* 2005, *30*, 441–473. [CrossRef]
- Waas, T.; Hugé, J.; Block, T.; Wright, T.; Benitez-Capistros, F.; Verbruggen, A. Sustainability assessment and indicators: Tools in a decision-making strategy for sustainable development. *Sustainability* 2014, 6, 5512–5534. [CrossRef]
- Dezio, C.; Colucci, A.; Magoni, M.; Pesaro, G.; Radaelli, R. Observatory of resilience practices: Strategies and perspectives. In *Sustainability of Territories in the Context of Global Changes, Proceedings of the 1st AMSR CONGRESS, 23rd APDR CONGRESS, Marrakech, Morocco, 30–31 May 2016*; RSAI: Ponta Delgada, Portugal, 2016; ISBN 978-989-8780-04-1.
- 20. Holling, C.S. Resilience and stability of ecological systems. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 1973, 4, 1–23. [CrossRef]
- 21. Raymond, C.M.; Berry, P.; Breil, M.; Nita, M.R.; Kabisch, N.; de Bel, M.; Enzi, V.; Frantzeskaki, N.; Geneletti, D.; Cardinaletti, M.; et al. EKLIPSE Expert Working Group Report, An Impact Evaluation Framework to Support Planning and Evaluation of Nature-Based Solutions (NBS) Projects; 2017. Available online: http://www.eklipse-mechanism.eu/apps/Eklipse\_data/website/EKLIPSE\_Report1-NBS\_FINAL\_Complete-08022017\_LowRes\_4Web.pdf (accessed on 1 December 2017).
- 22. Prosperi, P.; Moragues-Faus, A.; Sonnino, R.; Devereux, C. *Measuring Progress towards Sustainable Food Cities: Sustainability and Food Security Indicators*; Report of the ESRC Financed Project "Enhancing the Impact of Sustainable Urban Food Strategies"; ESRC: Swindon, UK, 2015.
- 23. Carey, J.; Dubbeling, M. For FAO and RUAF Foundation. City Region Food System Indicator Framework (CRFS). 2017. Available online: http://www.ruaf.org/sites/default/files/City%20Region%20Food% 20System%20Indicator%20Framework\_1.pdf (accessed on 12 January 2018).
- 24. Dubbeling, M.; Carey, J. A city Region Food System Indicator Framework. A new resource for cities. Urban Agriculture Magazine. Available online: http://www.ruaf.org/city-region-food-system-indicator-framework-new-resource-cities(accessed on 12 January 2018).
- 25. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). *SAFA, Sustainability Assessment of Food and Agruculture Systems;* Guidelines Version 3.0; FAO: Roma, Italy, 2014; ISBN 978-92-5-108485-4.



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).