



Erratum

Erratum: Axelsson, A.; et al. Exploring Multispectral ALS Data for Tree Species Classification. *Remote Sens.* 2018, 10, 183

Remote Sensing Editorial Office

MDPI AG, St. Alban-Anlage 66, CH-4052 Basel, Switzerland; Tel.: +41-61-683-7734

Received: 30 March 2018; Accepted: 2 April 2018; Published: 3 April 2018



The Editorial Office of *Remote Sensing* wish to report an error in the published paper [1]; Tables 6–8 were incorrect. The correct tables are as follows:

Table 6. Confusion matrix for the best performing model (features used are: P_{90}^{C1} , \overline{Q}^{C1} , Q_{05}^{C1} , Q_{50}^{C1} , Q_{90}^{C2} , Q_{90}^{C2} , Q_{95}^{C3} , Q_{01}^{C3} and Q_{90}^{C3}).

Classification † (Number of Trees)	Reference † (Number of Trees)									II / . (0/)
	AL	M	В	AS	S	С	P	О	L	- User's (%)
AL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	50
M	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	80
В	0	0	24	0	0	2	0	0	5	77
AS	1	0	0	15	0	1	0	3	2	68
S	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	100
С	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	50
P	0	0	0	0	1	0	29	0	0	97
O	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	31	4	74
L	3	1	2	1	0	0	0	3	9	47
Producer's (%)	14	92	92	65	93	40	100	82	39	Overall: 76.

^{†:} AL, alder; M, maple; B, birch; AS, ash; S, spruce; C, cherry; P, pine; O, oak; L, linden.

Table 7. Confusion matrix for the model created by using only the spectral feature subset from the feature set resulting in the best performing model (features used are: \overline{Q}^{C1} , Q_{05}^{C1} , Q_{90}^{C2} , Q_{95}^{C2} , Q_{01}^{C3} and Q_{90}^{C3}).

Classification † (Number of Trees)	Reference † (Number of Trees)									- User's (%)
	AL	M	В	AS	S	С	P	О	L	- Osei s (70)
AL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	50
M	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	80
В	0	0	24	0	0	4	0	0	5	73
AS	0	0	0	16	0	1	0	3	2	73
S	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	100
C	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
P	0	0	0	0	1	0	29	0	0	97
O	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	31	4	74
L	4	1	2	1	0	0	0	3	9	45
Producer's (%)	14	92	92	70	93	0	100	82	39	Overall: 76.0

^{†:} AL, alder; M, maple; B, birch; AS, ash; S, spruce; C, cherry; P, pine; O, oak; L, linden.

Remote Sens. 2018, 10, 548

Table 8. Confusion matrix for the model created	by using only the structu	ral feature subset from the
feature set resulting in the best performing mode	el (features used are: P_{10}^{C1} , o	2^{C1} , P_{10}^{C2} and P_{25}^{C3}).

Classification † (Number of Trees)	Reference † (Number of Trees)								II/- (0/)	
	AL	M	В	AS	S	С	P	О	L	- User's (%)
AL	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	2	0
M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
В	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
AS	0	5	4	11	7	0	0	5	1	33
S	0	0	1	2	4	0	0	1	0	50
С	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P	0	0	2	3	0	0	26	2	0	79
O	5	7	12	4	2	4	3	26	13	34
L	2	0	7	1	1	0	0	4	7	32
Producer's (%)	0	0	0	48	27	0	90	68	30	Overall: 41.3

^{†:} AL, alder; M, maple; B, birch; AS, ash; S, spruce; C, cherry; P, pine; O, oak; L, linden.

We apologize for any inconvenience caused to the readers by this change. The change does not affect the scientific results. The manuscript will be updated and the original will remain available on the article webpage.

Reference

1. Axelsson, A.; Lindberg, E.; Olsson, H. Exploring Multispectral ALS Data for Tree Species Classification. *Remote Sens.* **2018**, *10*, 183. [CrossRef]



© 2018 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).