Supplementary Table S1. ITT of glycated hemoglobin (\%) between the two groups.

| Study period | a-LCD $(\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{2 5})$ | LFD $(\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{2 5})$ | $\mathbf{t} / \mathbf{F}$ | $\boldsymbol{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline | $7.64 \pm 1.50$ | $7.54 \pm 1.25$ | $-0.246^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.807 |
| Third month | $6.91 \pm 1.00$ (adjusted:6.88 $\pm 0.12)$ | $7.38 \pm 1.24$ (adjusted: $7.42 \pm 0.12)$ | $9.997^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $<0.01^{* *}$ |
| t | $3.911^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $2.587^{\mathrm{c}}$ |  |  |
| $p$ | $<0.01^{* *}$ | $0.016^{*}$ |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Independent-samples T test for between-group differences at the baseline; ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ covariance analysis for betweengroup differences at the third month, with adjusted data presented as mean $\pm$ standard error (covariate: age, baseline HbAlc , protein, the rate of change of anti-diabetics); ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ paired sample T test for within-group difference.

* $p<0.05$; * * $p<0.01$; ITT: intention-to-treat.c

Supplementary Table S2.ITT of depression scores between the two groups .

| Study period | $\mathbf{a - L C D}(\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{2 5})$ | $\mathbf{L F D}(\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{2 5})$ | $\boldsymbol{t} / \mathbf{F}$ | $\boldsymbol{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline | $48.38 \pm 7.53$ | $49.59 \pm 8.10$ | $0.544^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.589 |
| Third month | $42.81 \pm 5.79$ (adjusted:43.22 $\pm 0.89)$ | $48.74 \pm 7.37($ adjusted:48.33 $\pm 0.89)$ | $15.596^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $<0.01^{* *}$ |
| t | $5.627^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $0.839^{\mathrm{c}}$ |  |  |
| $p$ | $<0.01^{* *}$ | 0.410 |  |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Independent-samples T test for between-group differences at the baseline; ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ covariance analysis for betweengroup differences at the third month, with adjusted data presented as mean $\pm$ standard error (covariate: age, baseline depression scores, protein); ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ paired sample T test for within-group difference. ${ }^{*} p<0.05 ; * * p<0.01$; ITT: intention-to-treat.


Supplementary Figures S1. The Comparison of Chao 1 and PD between the two groups (NS: no significant difference)


Supplementary Figures S2. The Comparison of Chao 1 and PD within the groups ( ${ }^{* *} p<0.05 ;{ }^{* * *} p<0.01$ )


Supplementary Figure S3. Comparison of beta-diversity of gut microbiota between two groups (A:Baseline; B:Third month).
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Supplementary Figure S4. Comparison of Beta-diversity of gut microbiota within the group (A: a-LCD group; B: LFD group). The abscissa represents the first principal component, and the percentage represents the contribution value of the first principal component to the sample difference; the ordinate represents the second principal component, and the percentage represents the contribution value of the second principal component to the sample difference. Each point in the graph represents a sample, and the samples of the same group are represented by the same color.

