

Vitamin C Supplementation for the Treatment of COVID-19: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

CONTENT

Figure S1. A summary table of review authors' judgements for each risk of bias item for each randomized study.	2
Figure S2. A plot of the distribution of review authors' judgements across randomized studies for each risk of bias item.	2
Figure S3. A summary table of review authors' judgements for each risk of bias item for each non-randomized study.	3
Figure S4. A plot of the distribution of review authors' judgements across non-randomized studies for each risk of bias item.	3































































		Risk of bias domains					
		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	Overall
Study	Beigmohammadi et al. 2021						
	Coppock et al. 2022						
	Darban et al. 2021						
	Hakamifard et al. 2022						
	Kumari et al. 2020						
	Majidi et al. 2021						
	Siahkali et al. 2021						
	Tehrani et al. 2021						
	Thomas et al. 2021						
	Zhang et al. 2021						
		Domains: D1: Bias arising from the randomization process. D2: Bias due to deviations from intended intervention. D3: Bias due to missing outcome data. D4: Bias in measurement of the outcome. D5: Bias in selection of the reported result.					Judgement  Some concerns  Low

Figure S1. A summary table of review authors' judgements for each risk of bias item for each randomized study.




Figure S2. A plot of the distribution of review authors' judgements across randomized studies for each risk of bias item.

		Risk of bias domains							
		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	Overall
Study	Al Sulaiman et al. 2021	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	Gao et al. 2021	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	Gavrelatou et al. 2022	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	Hess et al. 2022	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+
	Li et al. 2021	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
	Simsek et al. 2021	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	Suna et al. 2022	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
	Zhao et al. 2021	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+
	Zheng et al. 2021	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Domains:		Judgement							
D1: Bias due to confounding.		- Moderate							
D2: Bias due to selection of participants.		+ Low							
D3: Bias in classification of interventions.									
D4: Bias due to deviations from intended interventions.									
D5: Bias due to missing data.									
D6: Bias in measurement of outcomes.									
D7: Bias in selection of the reported result.									

Figure S3. A summary table of review authors' judgements for each risk of bias item for each non-randomized study.




Figure S4. A plot of the distribution of review authors' judgements across non-randomized studies for each risk of bias item.