Supplementary Materials: Evolution of Cooperation in Social Dilemmas with Assortative Interactions

Swami Iyer¹ and Timothy Killingback^{2,*}

1 1. Additional Results from Individual-Based Simulations

- 2 1.1. Discrete Games
- 3 1.1.1. Donation Game
- Figures S1(a)-(c) show the evolution of the fraction *p* of cooperators in the donation game with
- ⁵ payoff matrix given by equation 9, for different values of the assortativity *r*. The inset in the plots
- ⁶ indicates the long-term fraction p_{∞} of cooperators, averaged over the last 10% of the generations.



Figure S1. Evolution of the frequency *p* of cooperators in the donation game for different values of assortativity *r*. (a) r = 0. (b) r = 0.2. (c) r = 0.4. Parameters: $\rho = 0.3$, n = 10000, $p_0 = 0.5$, and $\beta = 1$.

- 7 1.1.2. Snowdrift Game
- ⁸ Figures S2(a)-(c) show the evolution of the fraction *p* of cooperators in the snowdrift game with

• payoff matrix given by equation 11, for different values of the assortativity *r*. The inset in the plots

¹⁰ indicates the long-term fraction p_{∞} of cooperators, averaged over the last 10% of the generations, and ¹¹ the dotted line indicates the analytically predicted value corresponding either to the stable internal

the dotted line indicates the analytically predicted value correspondin equilibrium p^* or to the stable boundary equilibrium \hat{p} .



Figure S2. Evolution of the frequency *p* of cooperators in the snowdrift game for different values of assortativity *r*. (a) r = 0 ($p^* \approx 0.67$). (b) r = 0.2 ($p^* \approx 0.92$). (c) r = 0.3 ($p^* \approx 1.0$). Parameters: $\rho = 0.5$, n = 10000, $p_0 = 0.5$, and $\beta = 1$.

13 1.1.3. Sculling Game

Figures S3(a)-(c) show the evolution of the fraction p of cooperators in the sculling game with

- ¹⁵ payoff matrix given by equation 14, for different values of the assortativity r. The inset in the plots indicates the lange term fraction r_{1} of assortative given and even the last 10% of the concretions and
- indicates the long-term fraction p_{∞} of cooperators, averaged over the last 10% of the generations and the dotted line indicates the value of the unstable internal equilibrium p^* .



Figure S3. Evolution of the frequency *p* of cooperators in the sculling game for different values of assortativity *r*. (a) r = 0. (b) r = 0.1. (c) r = 0.2. Parameters: $\rho = 1$, n = 10000, $p_0 = 0.3$, and $\beta = 1$.

- 17
- 18 1.2. Continuous Games
- 19 1.2.1. Continuous Donation Game

Figures S4(a)-(c) show the evolution of the distribution of strategies for different values of *r* in the CD game with linear cost and benefit functions C(x) = cx and B(x) = bx, where b > c. We also show in this figure the corresponding pairwise invasibility plots (PIPs), in which the regions where a mutant strategy *y* can invade a resident strategy *x* (i.e., the set $\mathcal{I}_+ = \{(x, y) \in [0, 1] : f_x(y) > 0\}$) are shown in black (and marked "+") and the uninvadable regions (i.e., the set $\mathcal{I}_- = \{(x, y) \in [0, 1] : f_x(y) < 0\}$) are shown in white (and marked "-").

Figures S5(a)(b) show the evolution of the distribution of strategies x for different values of assortativity r, in the CD game with quadratic cost and benefit functions $C(x) = c_1 x^2$ and $B(x) = -b_2 x^2 + b_1 x$, where $c_1, b_1, b_2 > 0$. We let $b_1 = 2b_2$; the dotted line in the plots indicates the singular strategy x^* given by equation 24. Figures S5(c)(d) show the corresponding PIPs.

30 1.2.2. Continuous Snowdrift Game

Figures S6(a)(b) and S7(a)(b) show the evolution of the distribution of strategies x for different values of assortativity r, in hte CSD game with quadratic cost and benefit functions $C(x) = -c_2x^2 + c_1x^2$ and $B(x) = -b_2x^2 + b_1x$, where $c_1, c_2, b_1, b_1 > 0$. The dotted line in the plots indicates the singular strategy x^* given by equation 28. Figures S6(c)(d) and S7(c)(d) show the corresponding PIPs.

1.2.3. Continuous Tragedy of the Commons Game

Figures S8(a)(b) show the evolution of the distribution of strategies x for different values of assortativity r, in the CTOC game with quadratic cost and cubic benefit functions $C(x) = c_1 x^2$ and $B(x) = -b_3 x^3 + b_2 x^2 + b_1 x$. If we let $b_2 = 2b_1$ and $c_1 = b_1$; the dotted line in the plots indicates the singular strategy x^* given by equation 34. Figures S8(c)(d) show the corresponding PIPs.



Figure S4. Evolution of the distribution of strategies *x* (a-c) and the corresponding pairwise invasibility plots (d-f) in the CD game with linear cost and benefit functions: C(x) = 0.3x and B(x) = x. (a) r = 0. (b) r = 0.2. (c) r = 0.4. Parameters: n = 10000, $x_0 = 0.2$, $x_m = 1$, $\mu = 0.01$, $\sigma = 0.005$, and $\beta = 1$.



Figure S5. Evolution of the distribution of strategies x (a, b) and the corresponding pairwise invasibility plots (c, d) in the CD game with quadratic cost and benefit functions: $C(x) = x^2$ and $B(x) = -x^2 + 2x$. (a) r = 0 ($x^* = 0$ is an ESS). (b) r = 0.5 ($x^* = 0.33$ is an ESS). Parameters: n = 10000, $x_0 = 0.1$, $x_m = 1$, $\mu = 0.01$, $\sigma = 0.005$, and $\beta = 1$.



Figure S6. Evolution of the distribution of strategies *x* (a, b) and the corresponding pairwise invasibility plots (c, d) in the CSD game with quadratic cost and quadratic benefit functions: $C(x) = -c_2x^2 + c_1x$ and $B(x) = -b_2x^2 + b_1x$, with $c_1 = 4.8$, $c_2 = 1.6$, $b_1 = 5$, $b_2 = 1$. (a) r = 0 ($x^* = 0.25$ is an EBP). (b) r = 0.3 ($x^* = 0.85$ is an ESS). Parameters: n = 10000, $x_0 = 0.1$, $x_m = 1$, $\mu = 0.01$, $\sigma = 0.005$, and $\beta = 1$.



Figure S7. Evolution of the distribution of strategies *x* (a, b) and the corresponding pairwise invasibility plots (c, d) in the CSD game with quadratic cost and quadratic benefit functions: $C(x) = -c_2x^2 + c_1x$ and $B(x) = -b_2x^2 + b_1x$, with $c_1 = 4$, $c_2 = 1.5$, $b_1 = 3$, $b_2 = 0.2$. (a) r = 0.05 ($x^* = 0.4$ is a repeller). (b) r = 0.25 ($x^* = 0.125$ is a repeller). Parameters: n = 10000, $x_0 = 0.3$, $x_m = 1$, $\mu = 0.01$, $\sigma = 0.005$, and $\beta = 1$.



Figure S8. Evolution of the distribution of strategies *x* (a-b) and the corresponding pairwise invasibility plots (c-d) in a CTOC game with quadratic cost and cubic benefit functions: $C(x) = x^2$ and $B(x) = -0.0834x^3 + 2x^2 + x$. (a) r = 0 ($x^* = 2$ is an EBP); (b) r = 0.4 ($x^* = 0.57$ is an ESS). Parameters: n = 10000, $x_0 = 0.1$, $x_m = 3$, $\mu = 0.01$, $\sigma = 0.005$, and $\beta = 1$.