

Supplemental Data

Spatial heterogeneity analysis of hydrologic and water quality processes in Three Gorges Reservoir Area after 175 m Impoundment

Xiaomin Chen^a, Gaohong Xu^b, Wanshun Zhang^{a,c*}, Hong Peng^c, Han Xia^a, Xiao Zhang^a, Qian Ke^d, Jing Wan^a

^a School of Resource and Environmental Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430079, PR China

^b Bureau of Hydrology, Changjiang Water Resources Commission, Wuhan 430010, PR China

^c School of Water Resources and Hydropower Engineering, State Key Laboratory of Water Resources and Hydropower Engineering Science, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, PR China

^d Section of Hydraulic Structure and Flood risk, Department of Hydraulic Engineering, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geoscience, Delft University of Technology, Delft, the Netherlands

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +8613971480859, E-mail address: wszhang@whu.edu.cn

Contents :

Tables S1 to S8

Table S1 Area, annual average total runoff depth and unit area water pollution loads of 23 parts in the TGRA

Part name	Area (ha) (amount/proportion/rank)	Total runoff depth (mm) (amount/proportion/rank)	TN (kg/ha) (amount/proportion/rank)	TP (kg/ha) (amount/proportion/rank)
L-1	337364(5.23%, 8)	568.15(4.99%, 8)	5.55(4.99%, 8)	0.86(3.48%, 8)
L-2	167826(2.60%, 16)	655.93(5.76%, 4)	4.68(5.76%, 11)	1.20(4.83%, 11)
L-3	489591(7.59%, 3)	577.83(5.07%, 6)	3.34(5.07%, 16)	0.26(1.05%, 16)
L-4	247770(3.84%, 12)	508.08(4.46%, 12)	4.52(4.46%, 13)	0.37(1.50%, 13)
L-5	175781(2.72%, 15)	852.08(7.48%, 1)	3.32(7.48%, 17)	0.80(3.23%, 17)
L-6	528078(8.18%, 2)	454.70(3.99%, 14)	4.59(3.99%, 12)	0.82(3.31%, 12)
L-7	59604(0.92%, 23)	509.43(4.47%, 11)	21.02(4.47%, 1)	3.88(15.7%, 1)
L-8	92728(1.44%, 22)	576.40(5.06%, 7)	2.02(5.06%, 18)	0.53(2.13%, 18)
L-9	95807(1.48%, 21)	193.60(1.70%, 22)	1.26(1.70%, 22)	0.35(1.43%, 22)
L-10	148908(2.31%, 17)	423.48(3.72%, 17)	6.82(3.72%, 7)	1.96(7.93%, 7)
L-11	387984(6.01%, 6)	591.50(5.19%, 5)	9.54(5.19%, 3)	2.23(9.01%, 3)
L-12	410612(6.36%, 5)	447.50(3.93%, 15)	7.24(3.93%, 6)	1.96(7.93%, 6)
L-13	208212(3.23%, 13)	725.93(6.37%, 3)	8.75(6.37%, 4)	2.44(9.87%, 4)
L-14	260414(4.04%, 11)	440.20(3.86%, 16)	11.58(3.86%, 2)	1.92(7.75%, 2)
R-9	142683(2.21%, 18)	381.38(3.35%, 18)	4.06(3.35%, 14)	1.00(4.06%, 14)
R-8	760537(11.79%, 1)	256.30(2.25%, 21)	1.65(2.25%, 20)	0.53(2.14%, 20)
R-7	141657(2.20%, 19)	457.75(4.02%, 13)	7.95(4.02%, 5)	1.04(4.22%, 5)
R-6	468785(7.27%, 4)	536.63(4.71%, 9)	5.42(4.71%, 9)	1.23(4.96%, 9)
R-5	335977(5.21%, 9)	182.75(1.60%, 23)	3.86(1.60%, 15)	0.06(0.23%, 15)
R-4	379532(5.88%, 7)	532.65(4.68%, 10)	1.35(4.68%, 21)	0.13(0.52%, 21)
R-3	118481(1.84%, 20)	839.63(7.37%, 2)	0.60(7.37%, 23)	0.01(0.04%, 23)
R-2	191601(2.97%, 14)	376.95(3.31%, 19)	1.78(3.31%, 19)	0.48(1.95%, 19)
R-1	302400(4.69%, 10)	302.83(2.66%, 20)	5.15(2.66%, 10)	0.67(2.72%, 10)

Table S2 Correlation between total runoff depth and land use types in tributaries

Part Name	AGRL	ORCD	FRST	PAST	WATR	WETL	URLD	URML	URHD	UINS	UIDU	UCOM
L-1	-0.057	-.311**	-0.04	.278**	-.225*	. ^a	-0.016	-.257*	0.042	0.115	. ^a	-0.029
L-2	0.12	-0.237	-0.144	0.105	-0.124	. ^a	0.161	0.069	0.069	. ^a	. ^a	0.214
L-3	.214*	-0.144	0.156	-.425**	-.184*	. ^a	0.091	-0.073	-0.054	0.006	0.031	-0.09
L-4	0.036	. ^a	.499**	-.586**	-.319*	. ^a	-.401**	-0.208	-0.255	-0.178	. ^a	-.351**
L-5	-.305*	-0.143	.312*	0.254	-.410**	. ^a	-.412**	-.375**	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-.368*
L-6	-.269**	-.174*	0.039	.423**	-0.052	-0.116	-0.104	-0.169	-0.098	. ^a	. ^a	-0.064
L-7	-.619*	0.004	.629*	-.675**	0.099	. ^a	-0.149	-0.365	0.335	. ^a	. ^a	-0.411
L-8	-.455*	-0.356	.679**	0.057	-0.308	. ^a	-0.327	-0.13	-0.322	. ^a	. ^a	0.36
L-9	0.349	-0.113	-0.28	-0.265	-0.035	. ^a	0.057	-0.045	-0.16	. ^a	. ^a	0.073
L-10	-0.042	.658**	-.352*	0.142	.677**	. ^a	0.136	.505**	0.097	. ^a	. ^a	0.181
L-11	0.172	-.441**	-0.002	-0.055	-0.16	-0.045	0.048	-.309**	-.335**	-0.14	. ^a	-.279**
L-12	0.174	-0.126	-0.089	-.232*	0.148	. ^a	0.101	0.043	-.190*	. ^a	-0.025	-.229*
L-13	0.263	0.062	-.272*	-0.116	-0.204	. ^a	-0.158	-0.193	0.027	0.133	. ^a	-0.099
L-14	-0.071	-0.057	-0.21	-0.097	.789**	. ^a	0.019	0.014	-0.043	-0.144	. ^a	-0.076
R-9	0.099	0.071	-0.12	0.318	-0.147	. ^a	-0.247	-0.141	-0.001	-0.094	. ^a	-0.02
R-8	0.098	0.11	-.193**	0.109	.188**	. ^a	0.077	.194**	0.048	.145*	. ^a	0.015
R-7	-0.001	-0.07	-0.009	-0.078	0.259	. ^a	0.286	0.302	-0.031	0.044	0.127	0.005
R-6	0.141	0.088	-0.161	0.088	-0.049	. ^a	-0.106	-0.056	-0.051	. ^a	-0.026	-0.058
R-5	-.335*	-0.145	0.198	.415*	-0.196	. ^a	-0.211	-0.187	-0.19	. ^a	. ^a	0.151
R-4	0.089	-0.049	-0.118	0.04	0.047	. ^a	0.023	-0.007	-0.007	. ^a	-0.024	0.105
R-3	-.227*	-0.005	0.164	0.131	-0.139	. ^a	-0.077	-0.091	-0.076	. ^a	. ^a	0.024
R-2	-0.067	. ^a	.284*	-0.191	-.377**	. ^a	-.312*	-0.214	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.067
R-1	-0.152	-0.018	0.071	0.04	0.078	. ^a	-0.182	-0.07	-0.005	. ^a	-0.061	-0.039

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

.^a Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Red numbers indicate a positive correlation, while blue numbers indicate a negative correlation.

Table S3 Correlation between unit area load of TN and land use types in tributaries

Part Name	AGRL	ORCD	FRST	PAST	WATR	WETL	URLD	URML	URHD	UINS	UIDU	UCOM
L-1	.509**	.602**	-.524**	-.233*	.427**	. ^a	.334**	.534**	.261*	.287**	. ^a	-0.053
L-2	.745**	0.078	-.757**	-.462**	0.124	. ^a	.549**	.328*	.381**	. ^a	. ^a	0.207
L-3	.261**	-0.024	-.258**	-0.127	0.045	. ^a	.219**	.370**	0.009	0.022	.635**	.318**
L-4	-0.03	. ^a	0.14	-0.199	0.059	. ^a	-0.096	0.08	-0.069	-0.051	. ^a	0.063
L-5	0.06	-0.02	-0.184	0.076	0.166	. ^a	0.041	-0.087	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.08
L-6	.296**	-0.138	-.378**	-0.046	-0.115	-0.091	-0.089	-0.129	-0.022	. ^a	. ^a	-0.067
L-7	.702**	0.256	-0.391	0.221	-.577*	. ^a	0.253	-0.294	0.205	. ^a	. ^a	0.339
L-8	-0.134	-0.015	-.494*	0.05	.614**	. ^a	-0.227	0.303	.596**	. ^a	. ^a	0.01
L-9	0.187	-0.042	-0.174	-0.077	0.101	. ^a	0.147	0.085	0.003	. ^a	. ^a	0.151
L-10	0.265	-0.171	-.404**	-0.069	-0.251	. ^a	-0.06	-0.249	-0.004	. ^a	. ^a	0.144
L-11	0.075	-0.082	-0.043	-0.017	-0.177	-0.038	.627**	-0.066	-0.065	-0.039	. ^a	-0.066
L-12	0.034	-0.016	0.077	0.174	-0.157	. ^a	-0.165	-0.151	-0.121	. ^a	-0.049	-0.011
L-13	.404**	0.008	0.125	-0.167	-0.012	. ^a	-0.031	-.278*	-.362**	0.03	. ^a	-0.249
L-14	-0.21	0.016	0.162	.421**	0.03	. ^a	0.123	0.226	0.05	0.004	. ^a	-0.023
R-9	0.119	0.181	-0.18	.560**	0.332	. ^a	-0.019	-0.018	0.165	-0.072	. ^a	-0.101
R-8	0.037	-0.058	-0.011	0.009	-0.057	. ^a	-0.035	-0.041	-0.049	-0.025	. ^a	-0.013
R-7	0.142	-0.037	-0.023	-0.043	-0.087	. ^a	-0.03	0.032	-0.087	-0.045	-0.023	-0.062
R-6	-0.112	-0.051	-0.101	.419**	-0.077	. ^a	-0.065	-0.066	-0.029	. ^a	-0.008	-0.042
R-5	-0.202	-0.057	0.215	0.074	-0.052	. ^a	-0.043	-0.085	-0.093	. ^a	. ^a	0.017
R-4	-0.054	-0.002	-0.004	0.107	-0.084	. ^a	0.154	0.077	0.083	. ^a	0.059	-0.063
R-3	-0.032	-0.03	-0.061	0.039	0.186	. ^a	-0.088	-0.018	-0.008	. ^a	. ^a	-0.067
R-2	0.017	. ^a	-.415**	.446**	0.21	. ^a	-0.259	-0.057	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.106
R-1	.302**	.290*	-.327**	-0.028	0.103	. ^a	-.254*	-0.009	0.074	. ^a	-0.049	0.026

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

.^a Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Red numbers indicate a positive correlation, while blue numbers indicate a negative correlation.

Table S4 Correlation between unit area load of TP and land use types in each tributary

Part Name	AGRL	ORCD	FRST	PAST	WATR	WETL	URLD	URML	URHD	UINS	UIDU	UCOM
L-1	.782**	.331**	-.718**	-.306**	.425**	. ^a	0.14	.247*	.422**	.261*	. ^a	-0.069
L-2	.851**	0.075	-.869**	-.507**	0.134	. ^a	.575**	.373**	.442**	. ^a	. ^a	0.234
L-3	.329**	0.029	-.369**	-0.12	0.138	. ^a	.228**	.336**	0.038	0.054	.670**	.364**
L-4	-0.076	. ^a	-0.088	-0.037	.683**	. ^a	0.261	0.21	-0.04	-0.033	. ^a	0.064
L-5	.421**	0.033	-.422**	-0.075	-0.186	. ^a	-0.031	-0.125	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.066
L-6	.185*	-0.093	-.284**	-0.102	-0.088	-0.032	-0.049	-0.048	0.06	. ^a	. ^a	-0.032
L-7	.811**	0.501	-0.482	-0.064	-.584*	. ^a	0.344	-0.334	0.346	. ^a	. ^a	0.294
L-8	0.132	0.026	-.757**	0.16	.568**	. ^a	-0.113	0.386	.565**	. ^a	. ^a	0.154
L-9	.432*	0.106	-.430*	-0.042	0.305	. ^a	0.356	0.291	0.036	. ^a	. ^a	0.355
L-10	-0.115	-.409**	.343*	0.074	-.480**	. ^a	-0.276	-.357*	0.063	. ^a	. ^a	0.199
L-11	0.021	-0.024	0.175	0.087	-.473**	-0.041	-0.056	-0.006	-0.061	-0.049	. ^a	-0.046
L-12	-0.084	0.072	.224*	.392**	-.241*	. ^a	-.248**	-0.114	-.196*	. ^c	-0.042	0.005
L-13	0.168	0.004	-0.045	-0.221	.283*	. ^a	0.093	-.330*	-0.067	-0.037	. ^a	-0.135
L-14	.356**	-0.06	-.242*	.233*	-.256*	. ^a	-0.072	-0.002	-0.088	-.252*	. ^a	-0.034
R-9	0.148	0.162	-0.209	.566**	0.326	. ^a	-0.035	-0.031	0.163	-0.072	. ^a	-0.093
R-8	0.08	-0.057	-0.045	-0.009	-0.059	. ^a	-0.022	-0.011	-0.054	-0.01	. ^a	-0.001
R-7	0.175	-0.014	-0.003	0.007	-0.096	. ^a	-0.12	-0.083	-0.126	-0.086	-0.055	-0.058
R-6	-0.066	-0.033	-0.156	.447**	-0.073	. ^a	-0.093	-0.076	-0.033	. ^a	-0.002	-0.064
R-5	-0.166	-0.07	0.061	0.221	-0.025	. ^a	-0.117	-0.097	-0.105	. ^a	. ^a	0.176
R-4	-0.043	-0.016	-0.017	0.11	-0.076	. ^a	0.14	0.081	0.082	. ^a	0.06	-0.059
R-3	-0.069	-0.036	0.092	-0.042	-0.016	. ^b	-0.099	-0.043	0.008	. ^a	. ^a	-0.013
R-2	-0.001	. ^a	-.515**	.583**	0.17	. ^a	-0.272	-0.023	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.071
R-1	.580**	.443**	-.747**	-.234*	.356**	. ^a	-0.117	0.114	.460**	. ^a	-0.081	.450**

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

.^a Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Red numbers indicate a positive correlation, while blue numbers indicate a negative correlation.

Table S5 Correlation between total runoff depth and soil types in tributaries

Part Name	Paddy soil	Limestone soil	Skeleton soil	Purple soil	Mountain meadow soil	Dark brown forest soil	Brown soil	Yellow brown soil	Yellow soil	Yellow cinnamon soil
L-1	-.285**	0.11	. ^a	-0.196	. ^a	0.149	.211*	0.106	-.404**	. ^a
L-2	-.484**	.396**	. ^a	-.456*	. ^a	0.058	0.108	0.055	0.018	. ^a
L-3	. ^a	-.381**	.325**	0.056	0.109	. ^a	0.075	0.051	.205*	0.066
L-4	0.005	-.320*	-0.197	-.325*	. ^a	. ^a	0.148	.264*	.597**	-0.003
L-5	-0.022	-0.076	. ^a	-.782**	. ^a	. ^a	0.209	.494**	.565**	. ^a
L-6	-.306**	.294**	.194*	-.533**	. ^a	. ^a	.451**	.636**	.287**	. ^a
L-7	0.144	-.645**	. ^a	0.329	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.383	. ^a
L-8	-0.279	0.367	. ^a	-.485*	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a
L-9	0.088	.675**	. ^a	-.575**	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	0.082	. ^a
L-10	.340*	-0.02	. ^a	-.371*	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.102	. ^a
L-11	-0.183	0.015	. ^a	.210*	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.023	. ^a
L-12	0.061	-0.118	. ^a	.215*	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	0.08	-.232*	. ^a
L-13	-.390**	0.052	. ^a	.292*	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	0.093	0.032	. ^a
L-14	-.307**	-0.116	. ^a	-0.081	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.059	. ^a
R-9	0.188	. ^a	. ^a	-0.184	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.144	-0.009	. ^a
R-8	0.114	0.002	-0.002	-.155*	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	0.076	0.056	. ^a
R-7	-0.058	0	. ^a	0.04	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	0.031	. ^a
R-6	.477**	-0.069	. ^a	-0.055	-0.027	. ^a	. ^a	-0.03	-.201*	. ^a
R-5	-.438**	0.061	. ^a	-.358*	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	.415*	.388*	. ^a
R-4	0.029	0.049	. ^a	-0.065	. ^a	. ^a	0.117	0.088	-0.022	. ^a
R-3	-0.116	.279**	-0.016	-.246*	0.105	. ^a	0.114	0.043	-0.063	-0.093
R-2	-0.02	-0.103	. ^a	-0.07	. ^a	. ^a	0.13	0.103	0.049	-0.105
R-1	-0.024	0.159	. ^a	-.238*	. ^a	. ^a	0.094	0.104	-0.139	. ^a

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

.^a Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Red numbers indicate a positive correlation, while blue numbers indicate a negative correlation.

Table S6 Correlation between unit area load of TN and soil type in tributaries

Part Name	Paddy soil	Limestone soil	Skeleton soil	Purple soil	Mountain meadow soil	Dark brown forest soil	Brown soil	Yellow brown soil	Yellow soil	Yellow cinnamon soil
L-1	-0.127	0.053	. ^a	0.14	. ^a	-0.039	-0.074	-0.021	-0.196	. ^a
L-2	-0.145	.490**	. ^a	0.272	. ^a	-0.181	-.494**	-.448**	-0.091	. ^a
L-3	. ^a	0.048	0.002	0.016	0.017	. ^a	-0.114	-.251**	.324**	-0.105
L-4	0.038	-0.053	0.124	-0.201	. ^a	. ^a	-0.025	-0.021	0.256	-0.042
L-5	.389**	-0.163	. ^a	-0.019	. ^a	. ^a	-0.032	-0.069	-0.062	. ^a
L-6	-0.149	-0.004	0.036	-.275**	. ^a	. ^a	0.112	0.164	.321**	. ^a
L-7	0.226	.673**	. ^a	-.841**	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	.713**	. ^a
L-8	-0.006	.446*	. ^a	-0.197	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.02	. ^a
L-9	0.003	.660**	. ^a	-.505**	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	0.06	. ^a
L-10	-0.173	0.197	. ^a	-0.129	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	.353*	. ^a
L-11	0.004	0.035	. ^a	-0.05	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	0.07	. ^a
L-12	-0.117	-0.013	. ^a	0.014	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.022	0.109	. ^a
L-13	-.417**	0.2	. ^a	0.249	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	.307*	0.252	. ^a
L-14	-0.156	0.038	. ^a	0.092	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	0.034	. ^a
R-9	.491*	. ^a	. ^a	-.443*	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.087	-0.192	. ^a
R-8	-0.004	0	-0.006	-0.003	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	0.009	0.005	. ^a
R-7	0.255	-0.074	. ^a	-0.042	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.162	. ^a
R-6	-0.057	-0.08	. ^a	-0.089	-0.006	. ^a	. ^a	.445**	-0.093	. ^a
R-5	-0.001	-0.125	. ^a	0.15	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.085	-0.098	. ^a
R-4	-0.087	0.007	. ^a	-0.003	. ^a	. ^a	.560**	.229*	-0.134	. ^a
R-3	0.043	-0.175	-0.043	0.16	-0.024	. ^a	-0.018	0.174	-0.132	-0.03
R-2	-0.07	0.192	. ^a	-0.14	. ^a	. ^a	-0.136	-0.208	0.051	-0.04
R-1	0.049	0.209	. ^a	0.079	. ^a	. ^a	-0.114	-0.145	-0.097	. ^a

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

.^a Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Red numbers indicate a positive correlation, while blue numbers indicate a negative correlation.

Table S7 Correlation between unit area load of TP and soil type in tributaries

Part Name	Paddy soil	Limestone soil	Skeleton soil	Purple soil	Mountain meadow soil	Dark brown forest soil	Brown soil	Yellow brown soil	Yellow soil	Yellow cinnamon soil
L-1	-0.14	.212*	. ^a	.387**	. ^a	-0.182	-.296**	-.237*	-.234*	. ^a
L-2	-0.153	.557**	. ^a	0.283	. ^a	-0.218	-.492**	-.562**	-0.017	. ^a
L-3	. ^a	0.022	-0.062	0.075	-0.083	. ^a	-.192*	-.293**	.361**	-0.049
L-4	-0.059	.334*	-0.012	-0.187	. ^a	. ^a	-0.01	-0.029	-0.031	-0.014
L-5	.501**	-0.236	. ^a	-0.006	. ^a	. ^a	-0.021	-0.09	-0.095	. ^a
L-6	-0.132	-0.028	-0.009	.-188*	. ^a	. ^a	0.021	0.041	.298**	. ^a
L-7	0.438	0.363	. ^a	.-655**	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	0.331	. ^a
L-8	0.158	0.039	. ^a	-0.019	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.18	. ^a
L-9	0.052	.438*	. ^a	-0.254	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.195	. ^a
L-10	-0.182	.386*	. ^a	-0.202	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	.590**	. ^a
L-11	-.315**	.247**	. ^a	-0.137	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	.545**	. ^a
L-12	-0.123	0.155	. ^a	.-245*	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.005	.303**	. ^a
L-13	-.451**	0.151	. ^a	.395**	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	.327*	0.094	. ^a
L-14	-.347**	0.018	. ^a	.349**	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	0.141	. ^a
R-9	.507*	. ^a	. ^a	.-431*	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.102	-0.22	. ^a
R-8	0.022	-0.028	-0.034	0.022	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.006	-0.007	. ^a
R-7	0.27	-0.089	. ^a	-0.045	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.163	. ^a
R-6	0.013	-0.069	. ^a	-0.097	0.007	. ^a	. ^a	.443**	-0.142	. ^a
R-5	0.182	-0.126	. ^a	-0.026	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	-0.08	0.043	. ^a
R-4	-0.077	0.003	. ^a	0.004	. ^a	. ^a	.575**	.227*	-0.147	. ^a
R-3	-0.102	0.018	0.02	0.016	-0.051	. ^a	0.014	-0.025	0.033	-0.034
R-2	-0.089	0.263	. ^a	-0.091	. ^a	. ^a	-0.163	-0.26	-0.013	-0.018
R-1	.412**	0.177	. ^a	.242*	. ^a	. ^a	-0.187	-.474**	0.118	. ^a

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

.^a Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Red numbers indicate a positive correlation, while blue numbers indicate a negative correlation.

Table S8 Correlation between total runoff, TN, TP and ELEV, SLO and SLL in tributaries

Part Name	Q			TN			TP		
	ELEV	SLO	SLL	ELEV	SLO	SLL	ELEV	SLO	SLL
L-1	.206*	.350**	-.283**	-.327**	-0.007	0.013	-.571**	-0.056	0.026
L-2	0.14	0.203	-0.274	-.546**	-.593**	.454**	-.631**	-.600**	.448**
L-3	.237**	0.089	-0.115	-.289**	-.387**	.525**	-.458**	-.314**	.537**
L-4	.472**	-0.239	.370**	-0.117	0.127	-0.002	-.332*	-0.101	-0.024
L-5	.413**	.507**	-.470**	-0.196	-0.057	0.074	-0.12	-0.088	0.157
L-6	.639**	.503**	0.102	.257**	.179*	-0.16	0.164	0.056	-0.134
L-7	0.417	0.096	-0.372	0.085	-0.03	-0.327	-0.107	-0.293	-0.399
L-8	.566**	0.348	-0.35	-.430*	-0.085	.547**	-.661**	-0.193	.520*
L-9	0.307	-0.385	0.189	.505**	0.102	-0.247	0.203	0.063	-0.279
L-10	-.438**	-.351*	0.114	-0.013	0.179	-0.037	.462**	0.272	-0.057
L-11	.269**	-.406**	.383**	0.025	-0.049	0.083	.460**	.399**	-.385**
L-12	-0.144	-0.182	0.179	0.099	0.096	0.013	.380**	.276**	-.205*
L-13	0.238	0.107	-0.161	.459**	.565**	-.412**	.377**	.503**	-.347*
L-14	-.337**	0.129	-0.016	0.013	.300**	-.289*	.251*	.358**	-.302**
R-9	-0.067	-0.103	-0.088	-.434*	-.453*	.554**	-.451*	-.469*	.543**
R-8	-0.092	-0.064	.171*	-0.013	-0.027	0.01	-0.044	-0.085	0.043
R-7	.397*	0.216	-0.212	-0.077	0.085	-0.073	-0.205	0.015	-0.021
R-6	-0.091	-0.073	-0.025	-0.079	-0.1	0.018	-0.09	-0.143	0.012
R-5	.472**	-.505**	.332*	-0.041	-0.309	0.118	-.430**	-0.217	0.183
R-4	-0.127	0.032	-0.037	-.372**	-.189*	0.039	-.357**	-.195*	0.049
R-3	.318**	.441**	-.338**	-.339**	-.231*	0.144	-.331**	-0.172	.213*
R-2	.439**	-.315*	.546**	-0.278	-0.083	.538**	-.357*	0.01	.472**
R-1	-0.125	0.213	-0.02	-.416**	0.061	0.067	-.657**	-.231*	.492**

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Red numbers indicate a positive correlation, while blue numbers indicate a negative correlation.