

The supplementary materials include two parts.

The first parts comprise the figures and MUSIC (Model for Urban Stormwater Improvement Conceptualization) files of five designed scenarios in the study area. The arrows represent the direction of assumed stormwater flows and the university square is assumed as the outlet of the study area. These files are compressed in the same file as this document

The second parts comprise the questionnaire results and calculation procedures of sub-criteria in economic, social, and environmental aspect. They are provided and shown in this document.

## Supplementary material A: Questionnaire results

### Expert 1:

Date: 07/05/2020

Question 1: Are you familiar with the stormwater management in the study area or have you read the provided information at the first page?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Question 2: What is/are your best field(s)? (can choose more than one option)

- ☒ Urban Water Management    ☐ Green Stormwater Infrastructure    ☐ Sustainable Development    ☐ Water Resource management  
☐ Water Policy and Governance    ☒ Flooding Issues    ☐ Waste Water Management    ☐ Water Supply and Network  
☒ Underground Water    ☐ Water Quality and Biodiversity    ☒ Urban Water Planning    ☐ Ecosystem  
 Other fields \_\_\_\_\_

Question 3: Complete the following table.

ATTRIBUTE	Flooding Control	Water Quality Improvement	Capital cost	O&M cost	Land Use Cost	Water Reuse Function	Livability	Water Retaining Function	Carbon Emission	Ecosystem Value
Flooding Control	1	1	5	7	2	1	-	2	1	2
Water Quality Improvement	-	1	5	7	-	-	1	1	1	1
Capital Cost	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
O&M Cost	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Land Use Cost	-	2	2	2	1	-	1	1	-	2
Water Reuse Function	-	-	8	9	2	1	1	1	1	2
Livability	2	-	7	7	-	-	1	1	1	3
Water Retaining Function	-	-	8	8	-	-	-	1	1	2
Carbon Emission	-	-	7	9	2	-	-	-	1	2
Ecosystem Value	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	1

Signature: 

### Expert 2:

Date: 08/05/2020

Question 1: Are you familiar with the stormwater management in the study area or have you read the provided information at the first page?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Question 2: What is/are your best field(s)? (can choose more than one option)

- ☒ Urban Water Management    ☒ Green Stormwater Infrastructure    ☐ Sustainable Development    ☒ Water Resource management  
☐ Water Policy and Governance    ☐ Flooding Issues    ☐ Waste Water Management    ☐ Water Supply and Network  
☐ Underground Water    ☒ Water Quality and Biodiversity    ☒ Urban Water Planning    ☐ Ecosystem  
 Other fields \_\_\_\_\_

Question 3: Complete the following table.

ATTRIBUTE	Flooding Control	Water Quality Improvement	Capital cost	O&M cost	Land Use Cost	Water Reuse Function	Livability	Water Retaining Function	Carbon Emission	Ecosystem Value
Flooding Control	1	1	3	4	3	-	1	-	-	2
Water Quality Improvement	-	1	3	4	4	1	-	-	-	2
Capital Cost	-	-	1	3/2	3/2	-	-	-	-	-
O&M Cost	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
Land Use Cost	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
Water Reuse Function	2	-	5	7	3	1	2	2	1	2
Livability	-	5/4	4	6	2	-	1	1	1	3/2
Water Retaining Function	6/5	5/4	5	7	3	-	-	1	1	2
Carbon Emission	6/5	5/4	5	7	2	-	-	-	1	2
Ecosystem Value	-	-	2	3	-	-	-	-	-	1

Signature: 

### Expert 3:

Date: 07/05/2020

Question 1: Are you familiar with the stormwater management in the study area or have you read the provided information at the first page?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Question 2: What is/are your best field(s)? (can choose more than one option)

- ☒ Urban Water Management    ☒ Green Stormwater Infrastructure    ☒ Sustainable Development    ☒ Water Resource management  
☒ Water Policy and Governance    ☒ Flooding Issues    ☐ Waste Water Management    ☐ Water Supply and Network  
☐ Underground Water    ☐ Water Quality and Biodiversity    ☐ Urban Water Planning    ☐ Ecosystem  
 Other fields: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 3: Complete the following table.

ATTRIBUTE	Flooding Control	Water Quality Improvement	Capital cost	O&M cost	Land Use Cost	Water Reuse Function	Livability	Water Retaining Function	Carbon Emission	Ecosystem Value
Flooding Control	1	2	6	7	2	-	-	-	-	1
Water Quality Improvement	-	1	4	6	1	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Cost	-	-	1	3/2	-	-	-	-	-	-
O&M Cost	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Land Use Cost	-	-	5/2	3	1	-	-	-	-	-
Water Reuse Function	6/5	2	6	9	2	1	5/4	5/4	-	1
Livability	5/4	2	8	7	3/2	-	1	1	-	2
Water Retaining Function	3/2	2	7	7	4/3	-	-	1	-	2
Carbon Emission	2	3	9	9	3	2	2	2	1	3
Ecosystem Value	-	2	5	5	2	-	-	-	-	1

Signature: *J. Santamaría*

### Expert 4:

Date: 07/05/2020

Question 1: Are you familiar with the stormwater management in the study area or have you read the provided information at the first page?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Question 2: What is/are your best field(s)? (can choose more than one option)

- ☐ Urban Water Management    ☐ Green Stormwater Infrastructure    ☐ Sustainable Development    ☒ Water Resource management  
☐ Water Policy and Governance    ☐ Flooding Issues    ☒ Waste Water Management    ☒ Water Supply and Network  
☒ Underground Water    ☐ Water Quality and Biodiversity    ☐ Urban Water Planning    ☐ Ecosystem  
 Other fields: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 3: Complete the following table.

ATTRIBUTE	Flooding Control	Water Quality Improvement	Capital cost	O&M cost	Land Use Cost	Water Reuse Function	Livability	Water Retaining Function	Carbon Emission	Ecosystem Value
Flooding Control	1	1	2	2	3	1	-	1	-	-
Water Quality Improvement	-	1	3	4	2	1	-	2	-	1
Capital Cost	-	-	1	1	2	-	-	-	-	-
O&M Cost	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
Land Use Cost	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Water Reuse Function	-	-	3	2	4	1	2	2	1	-
Livability	2	2	3	4	5	-	1	2	1	1
Water Retaining Function	-	-	2	3	4	-	-	1	-	1
Carbon Emission	2	3	4	6	6	-	-	2	1	2
Ecosystem Value	2	-	5	4	6	2	-	-	-	1

Signature: *Augusto Pérez*

After questionnaire assessment, the main fields of expert 4 (wastewater management, water supply and network, water resource management and underground water) are NOT relevant to the paper. Therefore, the results of expert 1, 2 and 3 are accepted.

## Supplementary material B: Calculation procedures for sub-criteria in economic, social, and environmental aspect

### Scenario 1:

Scenario 1	Area (kL or m <sup>2</sup> )	Unit cost (AU\$/kL or m <sup>2</sup> )	O&M cost (AU\$/unit/yr)	Net carbon footprint (kg CO <sub>2</sub> -e/m <sup>2</sup> )	House price increase (AU\$/unit)
Stormwater tank	1500 kL or 525 m <sup>2</sup> (900 kL or 225 m <sup>2</sup> is existing)	1000/kL	0.5/kL	80	0
Pond	150 m <sup>2</sup> (300 m <sup>2</sup> in normal level)	150	10	8.1	0.0646
Bioretention areas	30 m <sup>2</sup>	1000	5	2.5	0.0408
Swale	0	25	3	3.5	0.0408
Capital cost		600 × 1000 + 300 × 150 + 30 × 1000 = \$675000			
O&M cost		0.5 × 1500 + 10 × 300 + 5 × 30 = \$3900/yr			
Total size		525 + 150 + 30 = 705 m <sup>2</sup>			
Total house increase		0.0646 × 150 + 0.0408 × 30 = 10.914			
Retaining function of tank and pond		1500 + 300 = 1800 kL			
Retaining function of bioretention areas and swale		30 m <sup>2</sup>			
Water reuse function		1500 + 300 = 1800 kL			
Carbon emission		80 × 525 + 8.1 × 150 + 2.5 × 30 = 43290 kg CO <sub>2</sub> -e in 30 yrs			
Ecosystem values		0.0120			

Water retaining function = 1800 m<sup>2</sup> × 8.68% + 20 m<sup>2</sup> × 5.79% = 158.00 m<sup>2</sup>

### Scenario 2:

Scenario 2	Area (kL or m <sup>2</sup> )	Unit cost (AU\$/kL or m <sup>2</sup> )	O&M cost (AU\$/unit/yr)	Net carbon footprint (kg CO <sub>2</sub> -e/m <sup>2</sup> )	House price increase (AU\$/unit)
Stormwater tank	1350 kL or 450 m <sup>2</sup> (900 kL or 225 m <sup>2</sup> is existing)	1000/kL	0.5/kL	80	0
Pond	100 m <sup>2</sup> (200 m <sup>2</sup> in normal level)	150	10	8.1	0.0646
Bioretention areas	150 m <sup>2</sup>	1000	5	2.5	0.0408
Swale	50 m <sup>2</sup>	25	3	3.5	0.0408
Capital cost		450 × 1000 + 200 × 150 + 150 × 1000 + 50 × 25 = \$631250			
O&M cost		0.5 × 1200 + 10 × 100 + 5 × 90 + 3 × 150 = \$3575/yr			
Total size		450 + 100 + 150 + 50 = 750 m <sup>2</sup>			
Total house increase		0.0646 × 100 + 0.0408 × 150 + 0.0408 × 50 = 14.620			
Retaining function of tank and pond		1350 + 200 = 1550 kL			
Retaining function of bioretention areas and swale		150 + 50 = 200 m <sup>2</sup>			
Water reuse function		1350 + 200 = 1550 kL			
Carbon emission		80 × 450 + 8.1 × 100 + 2.5 × 150 + 3.5 × 50 = 37535 kg CO <sub>2</sub> -e in 30 yrs			
Ecosystem values		0.0204			

Water retaining function = 1550 m<sup>2</sup> × 8.68% + 200 m<sup>2</sup> × 5.79% = 146.13 m<sup>2</sup>

### Scenario 3:

Scenario 3	Area (kL or m <sup>2</sup> )	Unit cost (AU\$/kL or m <sup>2</sup> )	O&M cost (AU\$/unit/yr)	Net carbon footprint (kg CO <sub>2</sub> -e/m <sup>2</sup> )	House price increase (AU\$/unit)
Stormwater tank	1200 kL or 375 m <sup>2</sup> (900 kL or 225 m <sup>2</sup> is existing)	1000/kL	0.5/kL	80	0
Pond	100 m <sup>2</sup> (200 m <sup>2</sup> in normal level)	150	10	8.1	0.0646
Bioretention areas	120 m <sup>2</sup>	1000	5	2.5	0.0408
Swale	150 m <sup>2</sup>	25	3	3.5	0.0408
Capital cost		300 × 1000 + 200 × 150 + 120 × 1000 + 150 × 25 = \$453750			
O&M cost		0.5 × 1200 + 10 × 200 + 5 × 120 + 3 × 150 = \$3650/yr			
Total size		375 + 100 + 120 + 100 = 695 m <sup>2</sup>			
Total house increase		0.0646 × 100 + 0.0408 × 120 + 0.0408 × 100 = 17.476			
Retaining function of tank and pond		1200 + 200 = 1400 kL			
Retaining function of bioretention areas and swale		120 + 150 = 270 m <sup>2</sup>			
Water reuse function		1200 + 200 = 1400 kL			
Carbon emission		80 × 375 + 8.1 × 100 + 2.5 × 150 + 3.5 × 50 = 31635 kg CO <sub>2</sub> -e in 30 yrs			
Ecosystem values		0.0192			

Water retaining function = 1400 × 8.68% + 270 × 5.79% = 137.16

#### Scenario 4:

Scenario 4	Area (kL or m <sup>2</sup> )	Unit cost (AUS/kL or m <sup>2</sup> )	O&M cost (AUS/unit/yr)	Net carbon footprint (kg CO <sub>2</sub> -e/m <sup>2</sup> )	House price increase (AUS/unit)
Stormwater tank	950 kL or 250 m <sup>2</sup> (900 kL or 225 m <sup>2</sup> is existing)	1000/kL	0.5/kL	80	0
Pond	50 m <sup>2</sup> (100 m <sup>2</sup> in normal level)	150	10	8.1	0.0646
Bioretention areas	170 m <sup>2</sup>	1000	5	2.5	0.0408
Swale	150 m <sup>2</sup>	25	3	3.5	0.0408
Capital cost		50 × 1000 + 100 × 150 + 170 × 1000 + 150 × 25 = \$238750			
O&M cost		0.5 × 750 + 10 × 100 + 5 × 170 + 3 × 150 = 2775\$/yr			
Total size		250 + 50 + 170 + 150 = 620 m <sup>2</sup>			
Total house increase		0.0646 × 50 + 0.0408 × 170 + 0.0408 × 150 = 16.286			
Retaining function of tank and pond		950 + 100 = 1050 kL			
Retaining function of bioretention areas and swale		170 + 150 = 320 m <sup>2</sup>			
Water reuse function		950 + 100 = 1050 kL			
Carbon emission		80 × 250 + 8.1 × 50 + 2.5 × 170 + 3.5 × 150 = 21355 kg CO <sub>2</sub> -e in 30 yrs			
Ecosystem values		0.0241			

Water retaining function = 1050 m<sup>2</sup> × 8.68% + 320 m<sup>2</sup> × 5.79% = 109.67 m<sup>2</sup>

#### Scenario 5:

Scenario 5	Area (kL or m <sup>2</sup> )	Unit cost (AUS/kL or m <sup>2</sup> )	O&M cost (AUS/unit/yr)	Net carbon footprint (kg CO <sub>2</sub> -e/m <sup>2</sup> )	House price increase (AUS/unit)
Stormwater tank	300 kL or 150 m <sup>2</sup> (150 kL or 75 m <sup>2</sup> is existing)	1000/kL	0.5/kL	80	0
Pond	50 m <sup>2</sup> (100 m <sup>2</sup> in normal level)	150	10	8.1	0.0646
Bioretention areas	250 m <sup>2</sup>	1000	5	2.5	0.0408
Swale	200 m <sup>2</sup>	25	3	3.5	0.0408
Capital cost		150 × 1000 + 100 × 150 + 250 × 1000 + 200 × 25 = \$420000			
O&M cost		0.5 × 300 + 10 × 100 + 5 × 250 + 3 × 200 = 3000\$/yr			
Total size		150 + 50 + 250 + 200 = 650 m <sup>2</sup>			
Total house increase		0.0646 × 50 + 0.0408 × 250 + 0.0408 × 200 = 21.590			
Retaining function of tank and pond		300 + 100 = 400 kL			
Retaining function of bioretention areas and swale		250 + 200 = 450 m <sup>2</sup>			
Water reuse function		300 + 100 = 400 kL			
Carbon emission		80 × 150 + 8.1 × 50 + 2.5 × 250 + 3.5 × 200 = 13730 kg CO <sub>2</sub> -e in 30 yrs			
Ecosystem values		0.0271			

Water retaining function = 400 × 8.68% + 450 × 5.79% = 60.77