

Article

An Approach for Studying Asymptotic Properties of Solutions of Neutral Differential Equations

Omar Bazighifan ^{1,2} 🕩

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hadhramout University, Hadhramout 50512, Yemen; o.bazighifan@gmail.com
- ² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Seiyun University, Hadhramout 50512, Yemen

Received: 11 February 2020; Accepted: 16 March 2020; Published: 4 April 2020



Abstract: The paper is devoted to the study of oscillation of even-order neutral differential equations. New Kamenev-type oscillation criteria are established, and they essentially improve and complement some the well-known results reported in the literature. Ideas of symmetry help us determine the correct ways to study these topics and show us the correct direction, because they are often invisible. To illustrate the main results, some examples are mentioned.

Keywords: Oscillation; even order; neutral differential equation

1. Introduction

The objective of this paper is to investigate the oscillation of solutions to the following equation:

$$\left(a(v) u^{(n-1)}(v)\right)' + q(v) \phi(y(\delta(v))) = 0, v \ge v_0,$$
(1)

where *n* is an even natural number, $n \ge 2$, $\beta \ge 1$ is a constant and

$$u(v) := y^{\beta}(v) + \vartheta(v) y(\varsigma(v)).$$
⁽²⁾

We assume throughout that the following conditions are satisfied:

 $(P_1) \ a, \vartheta, q \in C([v_0, \infty), [0, \infty)), a(v) > 0, a'(v) \ge 0, 0 \le \vartheta(v) < 1 \text{ and}$

$$\int_{v_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)} \mathrm{d}s = \infty; \tag{3}$$

- $(P_2) \ \phi \in C(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}), \ \phi(y) \ge y^{\beta} \text{ for } y \neq 0;$
- $(P_3) \ \varsigma \in C([v_0,\infty),(0,\infty)), \ \varsigma(v) \leq v \text{ and } \lim_{v \to \infty} \varsigma(v) = \infty; \ \delta \in C([v_0,\infty),\mathbb{R}), \ \delta(v) \leq v, \ \delta'(v) > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{v \to \infty} \delta(v) = \infty.$

We consider only those solutions x of Equation (1) which satisfy $\sup\{|y(v)| : v \ge L\} > 0$, for all $L > L_y$. We consider only those solutions y of (1) which satisfy $\sup\{|y(v)| : v \ge L\} > 0$, for all $L > L_y$. We assume that (1) possesses such a solution. Differential equations have many applications in this life, it is related to biology, physics, dynamica, and so on. In particular, the oscillatory behavior of ordinary differential equations plays a crucial role in this applications, so there was an interest of many authors in studying the qualitative behavior of differential equations see [1–28].

For instance, Zhang et al. [25] examined the oscillation of even-order neutral differential equations

$$u^{(n)}(v) + q(v) f(y(\delta(v))) = 0$$



and established the criteria for the solution to be oscillatory when $0 \le p(v) < 1$.

Xing et al. [21] proved that the equation

$$\left(r\left(v\right)\left(u^{\left(n-1\right)}\left(v\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)'+q\left(v\right)y^{\alpha}\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right)=0,$$

is oscillatory if

$$\left(\delta^{-1}\left(v\right)\right)' \geq \delta_{0} > 0, \ \varsigma'\left(v\right) \geq \varsigma_{0} > 0, \ \varsigma^{-1}\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right) < v$$

and

$$\lim\inf_{v\to\infty}\int_{\zeta^{-1}(\delta(v))}^{v}\frac{\widehat{q}\left(s\right)}{r\left(s\right)}\left(s^{n-1}\right)^{\alpha}\mathrm{d}s>\left(\frac{1}{\delta_{0}}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\delta_{0}\zeta_{0}}\right)\frac{\left((n-1)!\right)^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{e}},$$

where α is a quotient of odd positive integers and $\widehat{q}(v) := \min \{q(\delta^{-1}(v)), q(\delta^{-1}(\varsigma(v)))\}$.

In this article, using the technique of Riccati and comparison with first-order differential equations, we establish new Kamenev-type oscillation criteria of an even-order neutral differential equation. To illustrate the main results, some examples are mentioned.

Notation 1. For convenience, we use the following notations:

$$\varphi(v) := q(v) \left(1 - \vartheta(\delta(v))\right)$$

and

$$\tilde{\varphi}\left(v\right) := \frac{\mu \delta^{n-1}\left(v\right)}{\left(n-1\right)! a\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right)} Q\left(v\right)$$

2. Some Auxiliary Lemmas

We shall employ the following lemmas:

Lemma 1 ([9]). Let γ be a ratio of two odd numbers, V > 0 and U are constants. Then

$$Uy - Vy^{(\gamma+1)/\gamma} \leq rac{\gamma^{\gamma}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} rac{U^{\gamma+1}}{V^{\gamma}}.$$

Lemma 2 ([17]). Let $u \in C^n([v_0,\infty), (0,\infty))$. If $u^{(n)}(v)$ is eventually of one sign for all large v, then there exist a $v_y > v_1$ for some $v_1 > v_0$ and an integer m, $0 \le m \le n$ with n + m even for $u^{(n)}(v) \ge 0$ or n + m odd for $u^{(n)}(v) \le 0$ such that m > 0 implies that $u^{(k)}(v) > 0$ for $v > v_y, k = 0, 1, ..., m - 1$ and $m \le n - 1$ implies that $(-1)^{m+k} u^{(k)}(v) > 0$ for $v > v_y, k = m, m + 1, ..., n - 1$.

Lemma 3 ([18]). Let $u \in C^n([v_0,\infty), (0,\infty))$. If $u^{(n-1)}(v)u^{(n)}(v) \leq 0$ for $v \geq v_0$, then for every $\lambda \in (0,1)$ there exists a constant k > 0 such that

$$|y(\lambda v)| \ge kv^{n-1} |y^{(n-1)}(v)|,$$

for all v large enough.

Lemma 4 ([19]). Let $u \in C^n([v_0,\infty), (0,\infty))$. Assume that $u^{(n)}(v)$ is of a fixed sign, on $[v_0,\infty)$, $u^{(n)}(v)$ not identically zero and that there exists a $v_1 \ge v_0$ such that, for all $v \ge v_1$,

$$u^{(n-1)}(v) u^{(n)}(v) \le 0.$$

If we have $\lim_{v\to\infty} u(v) \neq 0$, then there exists $v_{\lambda} \geq v_0$ such that

$$u(v) \ge \frac{\lambda}{(n-1)!} v^{n-1} \left| u^{(n-1)}(v) \right|.$$

for every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $v \ge v_{\lambda}$.

We define the generalized Riccati substitutions

$$\varphi(v) := \pi(v) \frac{a(v) u^{(n-1)}(v)}{u(\lambda \delta(v))}.$$
(4)

Lemma 5. Assume that y(v) is an eventually positive solution of Equation (1). Then

$$u(v) > 0, u'(v) > 0, u^{(n-1)}(v) \ge 0 \text{ and } u^{(n)}(v) \le 0,$$
 (5)

for $v \geq v_2$.

Proof. Suppose y(v) is an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, we can assume that y(v) > 0, $y(\varsigma(v)) > 0$ and $y(\delta(v)) > 0$ for $v \ge v_1$. Hence, we deduce u(v) > 0 for $v \ge v_1$ and

$$\left(a\left(v\right)u^{\left(n-1\right)}\left(v\right)\right)' = -q\left(v\right)\phi\left(y\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right)\right) \le 0.$$
(6)

This means that $a(v) u^{(n-1)}(v)$ is decreasing and $u^{(n-1)}(v)$ is eventually of one sign. We claim that $u^{(n-1)}(v) \ge 0$. Otherwise, if there exists a $v_2 \ge v_1$ such that $u^{(n-1)}(v) < 0$ for $v \ge v_2$, and

$$(a(v) u^{(n-1)}(v)) \le (a(v_2) u^{(n-1)}(v_2))(v_2) = -L,$$

where L > 0. Integrating the above inequality from v_2 to v we get

$$u^{(n-2)}(v) \le u^{(n-2)}(v_2) - L \int_{v_2}^{v} \frac{1}{a(s)} \mathrm{d}s$$

Letting $v \to \infty$, we have $\lim_{v\to\infty} u^{(n-2)}(v) = -\infty$, which contradicts the fact that u(v) is a positive solution by Lemma 2. Hence, we have that $u^{(n-1)}(v) \ge 0$ for $v \ge v_1$. Furthermore, from Equation (1) and (P_1) , we have

$$(a(v) u^{(n)}(v)) = -(a'(v) u^{(n-1)}(v))(v) - q(v) \phi(y(\delta(v))) \le 0,$$

this implies that $u^{(n)}(v) \le 0$, $v \ge v_1$. From Lemma 2, we obtain that (5) are satisfied. This completes the proof of the lemma. \Box

3. Oscillation Criterion

In this section, we study the results of oscillation for (1) by using the technique of comparison with first order delay equations.

Theorem 1. *If for some constant* $\mu \in (0, 1)$ *, the differential equation*

$$y'(v) + \tilde{\varphi}(v) y(\delta(v)) = 0 \tag{7}$$

is oscillatory, then every solution of (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose that Equation (1) has a nonoscillatory solution in $[v_0, \infty)$. Without loss of generality, in our proof we only need to be concerned with positive solutions of Equation (1). Using Lemma 5, we get that (5) holds. From definition (2), we get

$$y^{\beta}(v) = u(v) - \vartheta(v) y(\varsigma(v)) \ge u(v) - \vartheta(v) u(\varsigma(v)) \ge u(v) - \vartheta(v) u(v)$$

$$\ge (1 - \vartheta(v)) u(v)$$

and so

$$y^{\beta}\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right) \ge u\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right)\left(1 - \vartheta\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right)\right).$$
(8)

From (P_2) and (8), we find

$$\phi\left(y\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right)\right) \ge u\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right)\left(1 - \vartheta\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right)\right).$$
(9)

Combining (1) and (9), we obtain

$$\left(a\left(v\right) u^{(n-1)}\left(v\right) \right)' \leq -q\left(v\right) u\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right) \left(1 - \vartheta\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right)\right)$$

$$\leq -u\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right) q\left(v\right) \left(1 - \vartheta\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right)\right)$$

$$= -\varphi\left(v\right) u\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right).$$
 (10)

In view of Lemma 4, we find

$$u(v) \ge \frac{\mu}{(n-1)!} v^{n-1} u^{(n-1)}(v),$$

for all $v \ge v_2 \ge \max \{v_1, v_\mu\}$. Thus, by using (10), we obtain

$$\left(a\left(v\right)u^{\left(n-1\right)}\left(v\right)\right)' + \frac{\mu\delta^{n-1}\left(v\right)\varphi\left(v\right)}{\left(n-1\right)!a\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right)}\left(a\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right)u^{\left(n-1\right)}\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right)\right) \le 0.$$

Therefore, we see that $y(v) := a(v) u^{(n-1)}(v)$ is a positive solution of the differential inequality

$$y'(v) + \tilde{\varphi}(v) y(\delta(v)) \le 0.$$

From ([19], Corollary 1), we have that the associated differential Equation (7) also has a positive solution, which yields a contradiction. This completes the proof. \Box

By using Theorem 2.1.1 in [20], we get the following corollary.

Corollary 1. If

$$\liminf_{v\to\infty}\int_{\delta(v)}^{v}\frac{\delta^{n-1}\left(s\right)}{a\left(\delta\left(s\right)\right)}\varphi\left(s\right)\mathrm{d}s>\frac{(n-1)!}{\mu\mathrm{e}},$$

for some constant $\mu \in (0, 1)$ *, then every solution of* (1) *is oscillatory.*

Lemma 6. Assume that y be an eventually positive solution of (1) and (5) holds. If we have the function $\zeta \in C^1[v, \infty)$ defined as (4), where $\pi \in C^1([v_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ and constants $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, k > 0, then

$$\varsigma'(v) \le \frac{\pi'(v)}{\pi(v)} \varsigma(v) - \pi(v) \varphi(v) - \frac{\lambda}{\eta(v)} \varsigma^2(v), \qquad (11)$$

for all $v > v_1$, where v_1 large enough.

Proof. Let y is an eventually positive solution of (1) and (5) holds. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we arrive at (10).

Now, by using Lemma 3 with y = u', there exists k > 0 such that

$$u'(\lambda\delta(v)) \geq k(\delta(v))^{n-2} u^{(n-1)}(\delta(v))$$

$$\geq k(\delta(v))^{n-2} u^{(n-1)}(v).$$
(12)

From (4), we see that $\varsigma(v) > 0$ for $v \ge v_1$, and

$$\varsigma'\left(v\right) = \frac{\pi'\left(v\right)}{\pi\left(v\right)}\varsigma\left(v\right) + \pi\left(v\right)\frac{\left(a\left(v\right)u^{\left(n-1\right)}\left(v\right)\right)'}{u\left(\lambda\delta\left(v\right)\right)} - \lambda\pi\left(v\right)\frac{a\left(v\right)u^{\left(n-1\right)}\left(v\right)u'\left(\lambda\delta\left(v\right)\right)\delta'\left(v\right)}{\left(u\left(\lambda\delta\left(v\right)\right)\right)^{2}}.$$

From (10), we obtain

$$\varsigma'(v) \leq \frac{\pi'(v)}{\pi(v)} \varsigma(v) - \pi(v) \varphi(v) - \lambda \frac{u'(\delta(v)) \delta'(v)}{u(\lambda \delta(v))} \varsigma(v)$$

By using (12), we have

$$\varsigma'\left(v\right) \leq \frac{\pi'\left(v\right)}{\pi\left(v\right)}\varsigma\left(v\right) - \pi\left(v\right)\varphi\left(v\right) - \lambda \frac{k\left(\delta\left(v\right)\right)^{n-2}u^{\left(n-1\right)}\left(v\right)\delta'\left(v\right)}{u\left(\lambda\delta\left(v\right)\right)}\varsigma\left(v\right),$$

which yields

$$\varsigma'(v) \leq \frac{\pi'(v)}{\pi(v)} \varsigma(v) - \pi(v) \varphi(v) - \frac{\lambda}{\eta(v)} \varsigma^{2}(v).$$

The proof is complete. \Box

In this theorem, we establish new Kamenev-type oscillation criteria for (1).

Theorem 2. If there exist a function $\pi \in C^1([v_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$ and constants $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, k > 0, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\limsup_{v \to \infty} \frac{1}{v^m} \int_{v_0}^v (v-s)^m \left(\pi(s) \,\varphi(s) - \frac{1}{4\lambda} \left(\frac{\pi'(s)}{\pi(s)} \right)^2 \frac{a(s) \,\pi(s)}{k \,(\delta(s))^{n-2} \,\delta'(s)} \right) \mathrm{d}s = \infty, \tag{13}$$

then every solution of (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose that Equation (1) has a nonoscillatory solution in $[v_0, \infty)$. Without loss of generality, in our proof we only need to be concerned with positive solutions of Equation (1). From Lemma 1, we set $U = \pi' / \pi$, $V = \lambda k \delta^{n-2}(v) \delta'(v) / (a(v) \pi(v))$ and $y = \zeta(v)$, thus, we have

$$\zeta'(v) \leq -\pi(v) \varphi(v) + \frac{1}{4\lambda} \left(\frac{\pi'(v)}{\pi(v)}\right)^2 \frac{a(v) \pi(v)}{k(\delta(v))^{n-2} \delta'(v)}.$$

Thus, we have

$$-\int_{v_0}^{v} (v-s)^m \zeta'(s) (s) \, \mathrm{d}s \ge \int_{v_0}^{v} (v-s)^m \left(\pi(s) \varphi(s) - \frac{1}{4\lambda} \left(\frac{\pi'(s)}{\pi(s)}\right)^2 \frac{a(s) \pi(s)}{k(\delta(s))^{n-2} \delta'(s)}\right) \mathrm{d}s.$$

Since

$$\int_{v_0}^{v} (v-s)^m \zeta'(s) \, \mathrm{d}s = m \int_{v_0}^{v} (v-s)^{m-1} \zeta(s) \, \mathrm{d}s - (v-v_0)^m \zeta(v_0) \,. \tag{14}$$

Symmetry 2020, 12, 555

Thus, we get

$$\left(\frac{v-v_0}{v}\right)^m \zeta(v_0) - \frac{m}{v^m} \int_{v_0}^v (v-s)^{m-1} \zeta(s) \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\geq \quad \frac{1}{v^m} \int_{v_0}^v (v-s)^m \left(\pi(s) \, \varphi(s) - \frac{1}{4\lambda} \left(\frac{\pi'(s)}{\pi(s)}\right)^2 \frac{a(s) \, \pi(s)}{k \, (\delta(s))^{n-2} \, \delta'(s)}\right) \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{v^{m}}\int_{v_{0}}^{v}(v-s)^{m}\left(\pi\left(s\right)\varphi\left(s\right)-\frac{1}{4\lambda}\left(\frac{\pi'\left(s\right)}{\pi\left(s\right)}\right)^{2}\frac{a\left(s\right)\pi\left(s\right)}{k\left(\delta\left(s\right)\right)^{n-2}\delta'\left(s\right)}\right)\mathrm{d}s\leq\left(\frac{v-v_{0}}{v}\right)^{m}\varsigma\left(v_{0}\right),$$

and so

$$\limsup_{v \to \infty} \frac{1}{v^m} \int_{v_0}^{v} (v-s)^m \left(\pi\left(s\right) \varphi\left(s\right) - \frac{1}{4\lambda} \left(\frac{\pi'\left(s\right)}{\pi\left(s\right)}\right)^2 \frac{a\left(s\right) \pi\left(s\right)}{k\left(\delta\left(s\right)\right)^{n-2} \delta'\left(s\right)} \right) \mathrm{d}s \to \zeta\left(v_0\right),$$

which contradicts (13) and this completes the proof. \Box

Example 1. For $v \ge 1$, consider the equation

$$\left(v\left(y\left(v\right)+\frac{1}{2}y\left(\frac{v}{3}\right)\right)\right)''+\frac{q_0}{v}y\left(\frac{v}{2}\right)=0,$$
(15)

where $q_0 > 0$ *is a constant. Note that* $\beta = 1$, n = m = 2, a(v) = v, $\vartheta(v) = 1/2$, $q(v) = q_0/v$, $\delta(v) = v/2$ and $\zeta(v) = v/3$. If we set $\pi(v) = v$, k = 1, then

$$\int_{v_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)} ds = \int_{v_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s} ds = \infty$$

and

$$\varphi(v) := q(v) \left(1 - \vartheta(\delta(v))\right) = \frac{q_0}{2v}.$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{v \to \infty} \frac{1}{v^m} \int_{v_0}^v (v-s)^m \left(\pi(s) \,\varphi(s) - \frac{1}{4\lambda} \left(\frac{\pi'(s)}{\pi(s)} \right)^2 \frac{a(s) \,\pi(s)}{k \,(\delta(s))^{n-2} \,\delta'(s)} \right) \mathrm{d}s \\ = \limsup_{v \to \infty} \frac{1}{v^2} \int_{v_0}^v (v-s)^2 \,(q_0-1) \,\mathrm{d}s = \infty \,. \end{split}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2, all solution of (15) is oscillatory if $q_0 > 1$ *.*

Example 2. For $v \ge 1$, consider the equation

$$\left(v\left(y\left(v\right)+\frac{1}{3}y\left(\frac{v}{2}\right)\right)\right)''+\frac{c}{v}y\left(\frac{v}{3}\right)=0,$$
(16)

where c > 0 *is a constant. Note that* $\beta = 1$, n = m = 2, a(v) = v, $\vartheta(v) = 1/3$, $q(v) = q_0/v$, $\delta(v) = v/3$ *and* $\varsigma(v) = v/2$. *If we set* $\pi(v) = v$, k = 1, *then*

$$\int_{v_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)} ds = \int_{v_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s} ds = \infty$$

and

$$\varphi(v) := q(v) \left(1 - \vartheta(\delta(v))\right) = \frac{2c}{3v}.$$

By using Corollary 1, we find

$$\begin{split} & \liminf_{v \to \infty} \int_{\delta(v)}^{v} \frac{\delta^{n-1}\left(s\right)}{a\left(\delta\left(s\right)\right)} \varphi\left(s\right) \mathrm{d}s \\ & \liminf_{v \to \infty} \frac{2q_{0}}{3} \int_{\delta(v)}^{v} \frac{1}{s} \mathrm{d}s. \end{split}$$

Thus, all solution of (16) is oscillatory if c > 0.5.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, a class of even-order neutral differential equations is studied. We establish a new Kamenev-type oscillation criterion using the Riccati transformation and theory of comparison. Furthermore, in future work, we can to get some Hille and Nehari types and Philos type oscillation criteria of (1).

Funding: The author received no direct funding for this work.

Acknowledgments: The author thanks the reviewers for their useful comments, which led to the improvement of the content of the paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Agarwal, R.P.; Bohner, M.; Li, T.; Zhang, C. A new approach in the study of oscillatory behavior of even-order neutral delay diferential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2013**, *225*, 787–794.
- 2. Agarwal, R.; Grace, S.; O'Regan, D. *Oscillation Theory for Difference and Functional Differential Equations*; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2000.
- 3. Baculikova, B.; Dzurina, J. Oscillation theorems for higher order neutral diferential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2012**, *219*, 3769–3778.
- 4. Bazighifan, O.; Cesarano, C. Some New Oscillation Criteria for Second-Order Neutral Differential Equations with Delayed Arguments. *Mathematics* **2019**, *7*, 619. [CrossRef]
- 5. Bazighifan, O.; Elabbasy, E.M.; Moaaz, O. Oscillation of higher-order differential equations with distributed delay. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2019**, *55*, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- 6. Bazighifan, O. Kamenev-Type Asymptotic Criterion of Fourth-Order Delay Differential Equation. *Fractal Fract* **2020**, *4*, 7. [CrossRef]
- 7. Bazighifan, O.; Cesarano, C. A Philos-Type Oscillation Criteria for Fourth-Order Neutral Differential Equations. *Symmetry* **2020**, *12*, 379. [CrossRef]
- 8. Chatzarakis, G.E.; Elabbasy, E.M.; Bazighifan, O. An oscillation criterion in 4th-order neutral differential equations with a continuously distributed delay. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, *336*, 1–9.
- 9. Elabbasy, E.M.; Cesarano, C.; Bazighifan, O.; Moaaz, O. Asymptotic and oscillatory behavior of solutions of a class of higher order differential equation. *Symmetry* **2019**, *11*, 1434. [CrossRef]
- El-Nabulsi, R.A.; Moaaz, O.; Bazighifan, O. New Results for Oscillatory Behavior of Fourth-Order Differential Equations. *Symmetry* 2020, 12, 136. [CrossRef]
- 11. Cesarano, C.; Pinelas, S.; Al-Showaikh, F; Bazighifan, O. Asymptotic Properties of Solutions of Fourth-Order Delay Differential Equations. *Symmetry* **2019**, *11*, 628. [CrossRef]
- 12. Hale, J.K. Theory of Functional Differential Equations; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1977.
- Li, T.; Han, Z.; Zhao, P.; Sun, S. Oscillation of even-order neutral delay differential equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* 2010, 2010, 184180. [CrossRef]
- 14. Kiguradze, I.T.; Chanturiya, T.A. *Asymptotic Properties of Solutions of Nonautonomous Ordinary Differential Equations*; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1993.

- 15. Moaaz, O.; Awrejcewicz, J.; Bazighifan, O. A New Approach in the Study of Oscillation Criteria of Even-Order Neutral Differential Equations. *Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 197. [CrossRef]
- 16. Moaaz, O.; Elabbasy, E.M.; Bazighifan, O. On the asymptotic behavior of fourth-order functional differential equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2017**, 2017, 261. [CrossRef]
- 17. Moaaz, O.; Dassios, I.; Bazighifan, O. Oscillation Criteria of Higher-order Neutral Differential Equations with Several Deviating Arguments. *Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 412. [CrossRef]
- 18. Philos, C.G. A new criterion for the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of delay differential equations. *Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math.* **1981**, *39*, 61–64.
- 19. Philos, C. On the existence of nonoscillatory solutions tending to zero at ∞ for differential equations with positive delays. *Arch. Math.* **1981**, *36*, 168–178. [CrossRef]
- Ladde, G.S.; Lakshmikantham, V.; Zhang, B. Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments; Marcel Dekker: New York, NY, USA, 1987.
- 21. Xing, G.; Li, T.; Zhang, C. Oscillation of higher-order quasi linear neutral differential equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2011**, 2011, 45. [CrossRef]
- 22. Zafer, A. Oscillation criteria for even order neutral differential equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **1998**, *11*, 21–25. [CrossRef]
- 23. Zhang, Q.; Yan, J. Oscillation behavior of even order neutral differential equations with variable coefficients. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2006**, *19*, 1202–1206. [CrossRef]
- 24. Ladas, G.; Lakshmikantham, V.; Papadakis, L.S. Oscillations of Higher-Order Retarded Differential Equations Generated by the Retarded Arguments, Delay and Functional Differential Equations and Their Applications; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 1972; pp. 219–231.
- 25. Zhang, Q.; Yan, J.; Gao, L. Oscillation behavior of even-order nonlinear neutral differential equations with variable coefficients. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2010**, *59*, 426–430. [CrossRef]
- 26. Zhang, C.; Li, T.; Suna, B.; Thandapani, E. On the oscillation of higher-order half-linear delay differential equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2011**, *24*, 1618–1621. [CrossRef]
- 27. Park, C.; Moaaz, O.; Bazighifan, O. Oscillation Results for Higher Order Differential Equations. *Axioms* **2020**, *9*, 14. [CrossRef]
- Shi, Y. Oscillation criteria for nth order nonlinear neutral differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 2014, 235, 423–429. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).