

Article

Para-Ricci-like Solitons with Vertical Potential on Para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -Manifolds

Hristo Manev 

Department of Medical Physics and Biophysics, Faculty of Pharmacy, Medical University of Plovdiv, 15A Vasil Aprilov Blvd, 4002 Plovdiv, Bulgaria; hristo.manev@mu-plovdiv.bg; Tel.: +359-887-440-560

Abstract: The objects of study are para-Ricci-like solitons on para-Sasaki-like, almost paracontact, almost paracomplex Riemannian manifolds, namely, Riemannian Π -manifolds. Different cases when the potential of the soliton is the Reeb vector field or pointwise collinear to it are considered. Some additional geometric properties of the constructed objects are proven. Results for a parallel symmetric second-order covariant tensor on the considered manifolds are obtained. An explicit example of dimension 5 in support of the given assertions is provided.

Keywords: para-Ricci-like soliton; para-Sasaki-like; Riemannian Π -manifolds; vertical potential; Einstein manifold; Ricci symmetric manifold; parallel symmetric tensor

MSC: 53C25; 53D15; 53C50; 53C44; 53D35; 70G45



Citation: Manev, H. Para-Ricci-like Solitons with Vertical Potential on Para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -Manifolds. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 2267. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13122267>

Academic Editor: Vasilis K. Oikonomou

Received: 29 October 2021

Accepted: 21 November 2021

Published: 28 November 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

In 1982 R. S. Hamilton introduced the concept of Ricci solitons as a special solution of the Ricci flow Equation [1]. In [2], the author studied Riemannian Ricci solitons in detail. The start of the study of Ricci solitons in contact Riemannian geometry was given by [3]. Following this work, the investigation of the Ricci solitons in different types of almost contact metric manifolds were done in [4–6].

Different generalizations of this concept were studied: in paracontact geometry [7,8], in pseudo-Riemannian geometry [9–14].

We investigate the noted concept of Ricci solitons in the geometry of almost paracontact, almost paracomplex Riemannian manifolds, namely, Riemannian Π -Manifolds. The induced almost product structure on the paracontact distribution of these manifolds is traceless and the restriction on the paracontact distribution of the almost paracontact structure is an almost paracomplex structure. The study of the considered manifolds started in [15], where they were called almost paracontact Riemannian manifolds of type (n, n) . Their investigation continued in [16], under the name almost paracontact almost paracomplex Riemannian manifolds.

In the present paper, we continue the investigation of the generalization introduced in [17] of the Ricci soliton called the para-Ricci-like soliton. Here, the potential of the considered para-Ricci-like soliton is a vector field, which is pointwise collinear to the Reeb vector field. The paper is organized as follows. After the introductory Section 1, in Section 2 we give some preliminary definitions and facts about para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifolds. In Section 3, we investigate para-Ricci-like solitons on the considered manifolds and we establish a number of special properties of the Ricci tensor's symmetry that have been shown to be equivalent to Einstein's property. Section 4 is devoted to some characterization of para-Ricci-like solitons on para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifolds concerning a parallel symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor. In Section 5 we comment on an explicit example in support of some of the proven assertions. Section 6 summarizes the results obtained.

2. Para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -Manifolds

We denote by $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ a Riemannian Π -manifold, where \mathcal{M} is a differentiable $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold, g is a Riemannian metric and (ϕ, ξ, η) is an almost paracontact structure, i.e., ϕ is a $(1,1)$ -tensor field, ξ is a Reeb vector field and η is its dual 1-form. The following conditions are valid:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\xi &= 0, & \phi^2 &= I - \eta \otimes \xi, & \eta \circ \phi &= 0, & \eta(\xi) &= 1, \\ \text{tr } \phi &= 0, & g(\phi x, \phi y) &= g(x, y) - \eta(x)\eta(y), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where I is the identity transformation on $T\mathcal{M}$ [16,18]. Let us remark that from (1) it follows that the structure (ϕ, ξ, η) naturally generates two mutually orthogonal distributions: the vertical distribution $H^\perp = \text{span } \xi$ and the contact distribution $H = \ker \eta$. Consequently, from the latter equalities we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\phi x, y) &= g(x, \phi y), & g(x, \xi) &= \eta(x), \\ g(\xi, \xi) &= 1, & \eta(\nabla_x \xi) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of g . Here and further, by x, y, z, w , we denote arbitrary vector fields from $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})$ or vectors in $T\mathcal{M}$ at a fixed point of \mathcal{M} .

The associated metric \tilde{g} of g on $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is determined by the equality:

$$\tilde{g}(x, y) = g(x, \phi y) + \eta(x)\eta(y). \quad (3)$$

Obviously, \tilde{g} is compatible with $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ in the same way as g and it is an indefinite metric of signature $(n + 1, n)$.

In [19], the class of *para-Sasaki-like spaces* in the set of Riemannian Π -manifolds that are obtained from a specific cone construction is introduced and studied. This special subclass of the considered manifolds is determined by the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_x \phi)y &= -g(x, y)\xi - \eta(y)x + 2\eta(x)\eta(y)\xi, \\ &= -g(\phi x, \phi y)\xi - \eta(y)\phi^2 x. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

In [19], it is proven that the following identities are valid for any para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifold:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_x \xi &= \phi x, & (\nabla_x \eta)(y) &= g(x, \phi y), \\ R(x, y)\xi &= -\eta(y)x + \eta(x)y, & R(\xi, y)\xi &= \phi^2 y, \\ \rho(x, \xi) &= -2n\eta(x), & \rho(\xi, \xi) &= -2n, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where R and ρ stand for the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor, respectively.

It is known from [17] that a Riemannian Π -manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is said to be *para-Einstein-like* with constants (a, b, c) if its Ricci tensor ρ satisfies:

$$\rho = a g + b \tilde{g} + c \eta \otimes \eta. \quad (6)$$

Moreover, if $b = 0$ or $b = c = 0$, the manifold is called an η -Einstein manifold or an Einstein manifold, respectively. If a, b, c are functions on \mathcal{M} , then the manifold is called *almost para-Einstein-like*, *almost η -Einstein manifold* or an *almost Einstein manifold*, respectively.

Let us consider a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian Π -manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ which is para-Sasaki-like and para-Einstein-like with constants (a, b, c) . Tracing (6) and using the last equalities of (5), we have [17]:

$$a + b + c = -2n, \quad \tau = 2n(a - 1), \quad (7)$$

where τ stands for the scalar curvature with respect to g of $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$. Moreover, for the scalar curvature $\tilde{\tau}$ with respect to \tilde{g} on $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$, we obtain:

$$\tilde{\tau} = 2n(b - 1). \quad (8)$$

Taking into account (7) and (8), the expression in (6) gets the following form:

$$\rho = \left(\frac{\tau}{2n} + 1\right)g + \left(\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{2n} + 1\right)\tilde{g} + \left(-2(n+1) - \frac{\tau + \tilde{\tau}}{2n}\right)\eta \otimes \eta.$$

Proposition 1. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifold. If $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is almost para-Einstein-like with functions (a, b, c) , then the scalar curvatures τ and $\tilde{\tau}$ are constants

$$\tau = \text{const}, \quad \tilde{\tau} = -2n$$

and $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is η -Einstein with constants

$$(a, b, c) = \left(\frac{\tau}{2n} + 1, 0, -2n - 1 - \frac{\tau}{2n}\right).$$

Proof. If $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is almost para-Einstein-like then (7) and (8) are valid, where (a, b, c) are a triad of functions.

Using (5) and substituting $y = \xi$, we can express $R(x, \xi)\xi$ as follows:

$$R(x, \xi)\xi = -\eta(x)\xi + \frac{1}{2n}Qx - \frac{1}{4n^2}\{[\tau - 2n(2n-1)]\phi^2x - [\tilde{\tau} + 2n]\phi x\}.$$

After that, bearing in mind (4) and (5), we compute the covariant derivative of $R(x, \xi)\xi$ with respect to ∇_z and we take its trace for $z = e_i$ and $x = e_j$, which gives:

$$g^{ij}g((\nabla_{e_i}R)(e_j, \xi)\xi, y) = -\frac{1}{4n}d\tau(y) - \left\{\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{2n} + 1\right\}\eta(y). \quad (9)$$

The following consequence of the second Bianchi identity is valid:

$$g^{ij}g((\nabla_{e_i}R)(y, \xi)\xi, e_j) = \eta((\nabla_y Q)\xi) - \eta((\nabla_\xi Q)y). \quad (10)$$

For a para-Sasaki-like manifolds, according to (5), the equalities $Q\xi = -2n\xi$ and $\nabla_x\xi = \phi x$ hold. Using them, it follows that $(\nabla_x Q)\xi = -Q\phi x + 2n\phi x$. As a consequence of the latter equality we have that the trace in the left hand side of (10) vanishes. Then, by virtue of (9) and (10) we get

$$d\tau(y) = -2\{\tilde{\tau} + 2n\}\eta(y),$$

which implies

$$d\tau(\xi) = 0, \quad \tilde{\tau} = -2n.$$

The latter equalities together with (7) and (8) complete the proof. \square

3. Para-Ricci-like Solitons on Para-Sasaki-like Manifolds

3.1. Para-Ricci-like Solitons with Potential Reeb Vector Field on Para-Sasaki-like Manifolds

In [17], the authors introduced the notion of the *para-Ricci-like soliton with potential* ξ , i.e., a Riemannian Π -manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ admits a para-Ricci-like soliton with potential vector field ξ and constants (λ, μ, ν) , if its Ricci tensor ρ satisfies the following:

$$\rho = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi g - \lambda g - \mu \tilde{g} - \nu \eta \otimes \eta, \quad (11)$$

where \mathcal{L} stands for the Lie derivative. If $\mu = 0$ or $\mu = \nu = 0$, then (11) defines an η -Ricci soliton or a Ricci soliton on $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$, respectively. If λ, μ, ν are functions on \mathcal{M} , then the soliton is called *almost para-Ricci-like soliton*, *almost η -Ricci soliton* or *almost Ricci soliton*.

In [17], the truthfulness of the following is proven.

Theorem 1 ([17]). *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifold. Let $a, b, c, \lambda, \mu, \nu$ be constants satisfying the following conditions:*

$$a + \lambda = 0, \quad b + \mu + 1 = 0, \quad c + \nu - 1 = 0. \quad (12)$$

Then, $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ admits a para-Ricci-like soliton with potential ξ and constants (λ, μ, ν) , where $\lambda + \mu + \nu = 2n$, if and only if it is para-Einstein-like with constants (a, b, c) , where $a + b + c = -2n$.

In particular, we obtain the following:

- (i) $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ admits an η -Ricci soliton with potential ξ and constants $(\lambda, 0, 2n - \lambda)$ if and only if $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is para-Einstein-like with constants $(-\lambda, -1, \lambda - 2n + 1)$.
- (ii) $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ admits a shrinking Ricci soliton with potential ξ and constants $(2n, 0, 0)$ if and only if $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is para-Einstein-like with constants $(-2n, -1, 1)$.
- (iii) $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is η -Einstein with constants $(a, 0, -2n - a)$ if and only if $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ admits a para-Ricci-like soliton with potential ξ and constants $(-a, -1, a + 2n + 1)$.
- (iv) $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is Einstein with constants $(2n, 0, 0)$ if and only if $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ admits a para-Ricci-like soliton with potential ξ and constants $(2n, -1, 1)$.

Now, we study the covariant derivative of the Ricci tensor with respect to the metric g of a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ with a para-Ricci-like soliton of the considered type.

For a para-Sasaki-like $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ we have

$$(\mathcal{L}_\xi g)(x, y) = g(\nabla_x \xi, y) + g(x, \nabla_y \xi) = 2g(x, \phi y). \quad (13)$$

Then, bearing in mind the definition equality of \tilde{g} , it follows that:

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_\xi g = \tilde{g} - \eta \otimes \eta. \quad (14)$$

Because of (11), ρ takes the form

$$\rho = -\lambda g - (\mu + 1)\tilde{g} - (\nu - 1)\eta \otimes \eta. \quad (15)$$

Corollary 1. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ satisfy the conditions in the general case of Theorem 1. Then, the constants $a, b, c, \lambda, \mu, \nu$ are expressed by τ and $\tilde{\tau}$ as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= -1 - \frac{1}{2n}\tau, & \mu &= -2 - \frac{1}{2n}\tilde{\tau}, & \nu &= \frac{1}{2n}(\tau + \tilde{\tau}) + 2n + 3, \\ a &= \frac{1}{2n}\tau + 1, & b &= \frac{1}{2n}\tilde{\tau} + 1, & c &= -2n - 2 - \frac{1}{2n}(\tau + \tilde{\tau}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By direct computations from (15), we complete the proof. \square

We apply covariant derivatives to (15), using (3), (4) and (12), and we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_x \rho)(y, z) &= (\mu + 1)\{g(\phi x, \phi y)\eta(z) + g(\phi x, \phi z)\eta(y)\} \\ &\quad - (\mu + \nu)\{g(x, \phi y)\eta(z) + g(x, \phi z)\eta(y)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The Ricci tensor is called ∇ -recurrent if its covariant derivative with respect to ∇ is expressed only by ρ and some 1-form.

Theorem 2. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifold admitting a para-Ricci-like soliton with potential ξ and constants (λ, μ, ν) . Then:

- (i) Every para-Einstein-like $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is Ricci η -parallel, i.e., $(\nabla \rho)|_{\ker \eta} = 0$.
- (ii) Every para-Einstein-like $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is Ricci parallel along ξ , i.e., $\nabla_{\xi} \rho = 0$.
- (iii) The manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is locally Ricci symmetric if and only if $(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = (2n, -1, 1)$, i.e., it is an Einstein manifold.
- (iv) The Ricci tensor ρ of $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is ∇ -recurrent and satisfies the following formula:

$$(\nabla_x \rho)(y, z) = \frac{\lambda(\lambda - 2n) - (\mu + 1)^2}{(\mu + 1)^2 - \lambda^2} \{ \rho(x, \phi y) \eta(z) + \rho(x, \phi z) \eta(y) \} - \frac{2n(\mu + 1)}{(\mu + 1)^2 - \lambda^2} \{ \rho(\phi x, \phi y) \eta(z) + \rho(\phi x, \phi z) \eta(y) \}, \quad (17)$$

where $(\lambda, \mu) \neq (0, -1)$.

Proof. The tensors $(\nabla_x \rho)(\phi y, \phi z)$, $(\nabla_{\xi} \rho)(y, z)$ and $(\nabla_x \rho)(\xi, \xi)$ vanish and therefore we finish the proof of (i) and (ii).

Bearing in mind (16), the manifold is locally Ricci symmetric, i.e., $(\nabla_x \rho)(y, z) = 0$, if and only if $1 + \mu = \mu + \nu = 0$, which is equivalent to $\mu = -\nu = -1$. The value of $\lambda = 2n$ comes from the condition $\lambda + \mu + \nu = 2n$ since the manifold is para-Sasaki-like. It follows from Theorem 1 (iv) that the manifold is Einstein. So, we prove the assertion (iii).

By virtue of (1)–(3) and $\lambda + \mu + \nu = 2n$, (15) can be rewritten as

$$\rho(x, y) = -\lambda g(\phi x, \phi y) - (\mu + 1)g(x, \phi y) - 2n \eta(x) \eta(y)$$

and therefore the following two equalities are valid:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, \phi y) &= -\lambda g(x, \phi y) - (\mu + 1)g(\phi x, \phi y), \\ \rho(\phi x, \phi y) &= -\lambda g(\phi x, \phi y) - (\mu + 1)g(x, \phi y). \end{aligned}$$

The latter two equations for $(\lambda, \mu) \neq (0, -1)$ can be solved as a system with respect to $g(\phi x, \phi y)$ and $g(x, \phi y)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, \phi y) &= \frac{1}{(\mu + 1)^2 - \lambda^2} \{ \lambda \rho(x, \phi y) - (\mu + 1) \rho(\phi x, \phi y) \}, \\ g(\phi x, \phi y) &= \frac{1}{(\mu + 1)^2 - \lambda^2} \{ \lambda \rho(\phi x, \phi y) - (\mu + 1) \rho(x, \phi y) \}. \end{aligned}$$

The recurrent dependence (17) of the Ricci tensor is obtained by substituting the latter equalities into (16). Thus, we complete the proof of (iv). \square

Remark 1. A para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ admitting a para-Ricci-like soliton with potential ξ and constants (λ, μ, ν) is locally Ricci symmetric just in the case (iv) of Theorem 1.

3.2. Para-Ricci-like Solitons with a Potential Pointwise Collinear with the Reeb Vector Field on Para-Sasaki-like Manifolds

Similarly to the definition of a para-Ricci-like soliton with potential ξ , given in (11), we introduce the following more general notion.

Definition 1. A Riemannian Π -manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ admits a para-Ricci-like soliton with potential vector field v and constants (λ, μ, ν) if its Ricci tensor ρ satisfies the following:

$$\rho = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_v g - \lambda g - \mu \tilde{g} - \nu \eta \otimes \eta. \quad (18)$$

Let $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifold admitting a para-Ricci-like soliton whose potential vector field v is pointwise collinear with ξ , i.e., $v = k\xi$, where k is a differentiable function on \mathcal{M} . The vector field v belongs to the vertical distribution $H^\perp = \text{span } \xi$, which is orthogonal to the contact distribution $H = \ker \eta$ with respect to g .

Theorem 3. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifold of dimension $2n + 1$ and let it admit a para-Ricci-like soliton with constants (λ, μ, ν) whose potential vector field v satisfies the condition $v = k\xi$, i.e., it is pointwise collinear with the Reeb vector field ξ , where k is a differentiable function on \mathcal{M} . Then:

- (i) $k = -\mu$, i.e., k is constant;
- (ii) $\lambda + \nu = k + 2n$ is valid;
- (iii) $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is η -Einstein with constants $(a, b, c) = (-\lambda, 0, \lambda - 2n)$.

Proof. Taking into account the first equality in (5), in the considered case we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_v g)(x, y) &= g(\nabla_x v, y) + g(x, \nabla_y v) = g(\nabla_x k\xi, y) + g(x, \nabla_y k\xi) \\ &= dk(x)\eta(y) + dk(y)\eta(x) + 2kg(x, \phi y). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting it in (18), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} dk(x)\eta(y) + dk(y)\eta(x) &= -2\{\rho(x, y) + \lambda g(x, y) + (k + \mu)g(x, \phi y) \\ &\quad + (\mu + \nu)\eta(x)\eta(y)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Using the expression of $\rho(x, \xi)$ from (5) and replacing y with ξ , the latter equality implies:

$$dk(x) = -\{dk(\xi) + 2(\lambda + \mu + \nu - 2n)\}\eta(x). \quad (20)$$

Now, substituting x for ξ , we get:

$$dk(\xi) = -(\lambda + \mu + \nu - 2n).$$

Therefore, (20) takes the form:

$$dk(x) = -(\lambda + \mu + \nu - 2n)\eta(x). \quad (21)$$

Taking into account (19) and (21), we obtain the following for the Ricci tensor:

$$\rho = -\lambda g - (k + \mu)\tilde{g} + (\lambda + \mu - 2n + k)\eta \otimes \eta. \quad (22)$$

Therefore, $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is almost para-Einstein-like with functions:

$$(a, b, c) = (-\lambda, -k - \mu, \lambda + \mu - 2n + k). \quad (23)$$

Then, $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is η -Einstein with constants

$$(a, b, c) = \left(\frac{\tau}{2n} + 1, 0, -2n - 1 - \frac{\tau}{2n}\right), \quad (24)$$

according to Proposition 1. Comparing (23) and (24), we deduce that $k = -\mu$, i.e., k is a constant.

Thus, according to (21), we infer that the condition $\lambda + \mu + \nu = 2n$ is satisfied. Then, (22) takes the following form

$$\rho = -\lambda g + (\lambda + 2n)\eta \otimes \eta, \quad (25)$$

which completes the proof. \square

Let us remark that Theorem 3 summarizes Theorem 1 in the more general case where the potential of the soliton is pointwise collinear with the Reeb vector field of the manifold. Thus, Theorem 1 is the particular case of Theorem 3 for $k = 1$.

3.3. Some Additional Curvature Properties

Here, we continue to consider a manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ with $\dim M = 2n + 1$, which is a para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifold admitting a para-Ricci-like soliton with vertical potential v , i.e., $v = k\xi$ for $k = \text{const}$. Then, Theorem 3 is valid.

Now, we investigate some well-known curvature properties.

A manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is called *locally Ricci symmetric* if $\nabla \rho$ vanishes. A manifold M is called *Ricci semi-symmetric* if the following equation is valid:

$$\rho(R(x, y)z, w) + \rho(z, R(x, y)w) = 0. \quad (26)$$

In [20], the notions of a *cyclic parallel tensor* or a *tensor of Codazzi type* are given, namely the non-vanishing Ricci tensor ρ satisfying the condition $(\nabla_x \rho)(y, z) + (\nabla_y \rho)(z, x) + (\nabla_z \rho)(x, y) = 0$ or $(\nabla_x \rho)(y, z) = (\nabla_y \rho)(x, z)$, respectively.

In [21], a *Ricci ϕ -symmetric* Ricci operator Q is defined, i.e., the non-vanishing Q satisfies $\phi^2(\nabla_x Q)y = 0$. Moreover, according to [22], if the latter property is valid for an arbitrary vector field on the manifold or for an orthogonal vector field to ξ , the manifold is called *globally Ricci ϕ -symmetric* or *locally Ricci ϕ -symmetric*, respectively.

An *almost pseudo Ricci symmetric manifold* is a manifold whose non-vanishing Ricci tensor has the following condition [23]:

$$(\nabla_x \rho)(y, z) = \{\alpha(x) + \beta(x)\}\rho(y, z) + \alpha(y)\rho(x, z) + \alpha(z)\rho(x, z), \quad (27)$$

where α and β are non-vanishing 1-forms.

According to [24], a manifold is called *special weakly Ricci symmetric* when its non-vanishing Ricci tensor satisfies the following:

$$(\nabla_x \rho)(y, z) = 2\alpha(x)\rho(y, z) + \alpha(y)\rho(x, z) + \alpha(z)\rho(x, z). \quad (28)$$

In the next assertion we establish a number of special more general properties of the Ricci tensor's symmetry of the considered manifold and we prove that they are equivalent to Einstein's property.

Theorem 4. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifold admitting a para-Ricci-like soliton with vertical potential v and constants (λ, μ, ν) . Then:

- (i) $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is locally Ricci ϕ -symmetric.
- (ii) Each of the following properties of $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is valid if and only if $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an Einstein manifold:
 - (a) locally Ricci symmetric; (b) Ricci semi-symmetric; (c) globally Ricci ϕ -symmetric; (d) almost pseudo Ricci symmetric; (e) special weakly Ricci symmetric; (f) cyclic parallel Ricci tensor; (g) Ricci tensor of Codazzi type.

Proof. In a similar way as for (16), taking into account (25), we get:

$$(\nabla_x \rho)(y, z) = (\lambda - 2n)\{g(x, \phi y)\eta(z) + g(x, \phi z)\eta(y)\}. \quad (29)$$

Then, it is easy to conclude the statement (ii-a).

Bearing in mind (25), it follows from (26) that

$$(\lambda - 2n)\{R(x, y, z, \xi)\eta(w) + R(x, y, w, \xi)\eta(z) = 0. \quad (30)$$

Then (5) and (30) imply

$$(\lambda - 2n) \{ [-\eta(x)g(y, z) + \eta(y)g(x, z)]\eta(w) + [-\eta(x)g(y, w) + \eta(y)g(x, w)]\eta(z) \} = 0. \quad (31)$$

So, (31) for $w = \xi$ provides $\lambda = -2n$. Therefore, by virtue of (26), $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is Einstein.

The inverse implication is clear, which completes the proof of (ii-b). Similarly to it, we establish the truthfulness of (i), (ii-c), (ii-f) and (ii-g).

Substituting (29) in (27) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & -\{\alpha(x) + \beta(x)\} \{ \lambda g(\phi y, \phi z) + 2n \eta(y)\eta(z) \} \\ & -\alpha(y) \{ \lambda g(\phi x, \phi z) + 2n \eta(x)\eta(z) \} - \alpha(z) \{ \lambda g(\phi x, \phi y) + 2n \eta(x)\eta(y) \} \\ & -(\lambda - 2n) \{ g(x, \phi y)\eta(z) + g(x, \phi z)\eta(y) \} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

and setting successively x, y and z as ξ , we obtain that

$$\alpha = \alpha(\xi)\eta, \quad \beta = -3\alpha(\xi)\eta. \quad (33)$$

Setting (33) in (32) and substituting $z = \xi$, we get

$$\lambda \alpha(\xi) g(\phi x, \phi y) + (\lambda - 2n) g(x, \phi y) = 0,$$

which is fulfilled if and only if $\lambda = 2n$ and $\alpha(\xi) = 0$.

Vice versa, let $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be Einstein, i.e., $\rho = -2ng$. Then, (27) is transformed in

$$\{\alpha(x) + \beta(x)\} g(y, z) + \alpha(y) g(x, z) + \alpha(z) g(x, y) = 0. \quad (34)$$

Substituting successively x, y and z for ξ , we get (33), which combined with (34) implies

$$\alpha(\xi) \{ -2\eta(x)g(y, z) + \eta(y)g(x, z) + \eta(z)g(x, y) \} = 0$$

for arbitrary x, y, z and therefore $\alpha(\xi) = 0$ holds. Thus, we complete the proof of assertion (ii-d).

We come to the conclusion that an almost pseudo Ricci symmetric manifold with $\alpha = \beta$ is a special weakly Ricci symmetric manifold, comparing (28) with (27). Then, from (33) we obtain that $\alpha = 0$ and therefore $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ has $\nabla \rho = 0$. Taking into account (ii-d), we get the validity of the statement (ii-e). \square

4. Parallel Symmetric Second Order Covariant Tensor on $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$

It was proven in [25] that if a positive definite Riemannian manifold admits a second-order parallel symmetric tensor which is not a constant multiple of the metric tensor, then it is reducible. Later, it was shown in [26] that a second-order parallel symmetric non-singular tensor in a space of constant curvature is proportional to the metric tensor, known as Levy's theorem. After that, in [27], a generalization of Levy's theorem for dimension greater than two in non-flat real space forms was proven. These known results motivate us to prove a similar assertion.

Let h be a symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor field that is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of g , i.e., $\nabla h = 0$. The Ricci identity for h is valid, i.e.,

$$(\nabla_x \nabla_y h)(z, w) - (\nabla_y \nabla_x h)(z, w) = -h(R(x, y)z, w) - h(z, R(x, y)w).$$

The latter equality with $\nabla h = 0$ implies $h(R(x, y)z, w) + h(z, R(x, y)w) = 0$. Therefore the following characteristic of h is valid:

$$h(R(x, y)\xi, \xi) = 0. \quad (35)$$

Proposition 2. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifold. Every symmetric second-order covariant tensor that is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of the metric g is a constant multiple of this metric.

Proof. Substituting $R(x, y)\xi$ from (5) in (35), we get $h(x, \xi)\eta(y) - h(y, \xi)\eta(x) = 0$. Then, for $y = \xi$ in the latter equality, we have:

$$h(x, \xi) = h(\xi, \xi)\eta(x). \quad (36)$$

Bearing in mind the last equality in (2) and (36), we obtain:

$$h(\nabla_x \xi, \xi) = 0. \quad (37)$$

We have $x(h(\xi, \xi)) = 2h(\nabla_x \xi, \xi)$, which together with (37) gives $h(\xi, \xi) = \text{const}$.

Taking the covariant derivative of (36) with respect to y , we obtain the property $h(x, \phi y) = h(\xi, \xi)g(x, \phi y)$, using the first equality of (5). Now, substituting y for ϕy in the latter equality for h and using (1) and (36), we get

$$h(x, y) = h(\xi, \xi)g(x, y), \quad (38)$$

which means that h is a constant multiple of g . \square

Now, we apply Proposition 2 to a para-Ricci-like soliton.

Theorem 5. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifold and let h be determined as follows

$$h = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi g + \rho + \mu \tilde{g} + \nu \eta \otimes \eta$$

for $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$. The tensor h is parallel with respect to ∇ of g if and only if $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ admits a para-Ricci-like soliton with potential ξ and constants (λ, μ, ν) , where

$$\lambda = -h(\xi, \xi) = 2n - \mu - \nu.$$

Proof. By virtue of (13) and (14), h takes the form:

$$h = \rho + (\mu + 1)\tilde{g} + (\nu - 1)\eta \otimes \eta. \quad (39)$$

Firstly, let h be parallel. Using (39) and (38) and the last equality in (5), we obtain that $h = (-2n + \mu + \nu)g$. Moreover, from the latter equality and (11) we deduce that a para-Ricci-like soliton exists with constants (λ, μ, ν) , where $\lambda = -\mu - \nu - 2n$.

Vice versa, the valid condition (11) can be rewritten as $h = -\lambda g$. Taking into account that λ is constant and g is parallel, it follows that h is also parallel with respect to ∇ of g . \square

5. Example

In [19], an explicit example of a 5-dimensional para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifold is considered. It is constructed on a Lie group G with a basis of left-invariant vector fields $\{e_0, \dots, e_4\}$ with corresponding Lie algebra determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_0, e_1] &= pe_2 - e_3 + qe_4, & [e_0, e_2] &= -pe_1 - qe_3 - e_4, \\ [e_0, e_3] &= -e_1 + qe_2 + pe_4, & [e_0, e_4] &= -qe_1 - e_2 - pe_3, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$. The rest of the commutators are equal to zero. The Lie group G is equipped with an invariant Riemannian Π -structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} g(e_i, e_i) &= 1, & g(e_i, e_j) &= 0, & i, j &\in \{0, 1, \dots, 4\}, & i \neq j, \\ \xi &= e_0, & \phi e_1 &= e_3, & \phi e_2 &= e_4, & \phi e_3 &= e_1, & \phi e_4 &= e_2. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

In [17], it is proven that the considered para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifold (G, ϕ, ξ, η, g) is η -Einstein with constants

$$(a, b, c) = (0, 0, -4) \quad (42)$$

and it admits a para-Ricci-like soliton with potential ξ with constants

$$(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = (0, -1, 5). \quad (43)$$

Now, we compute the components of $(\nabla_i \rho)_{jk} = (\nabla_{e_i} \rho)(e_j, e_k)$ of $\nabla \rho$, taking into account (40) and (41) and the only non-zero component $\rho_{00} = -4$ of ρ . Their non-zeros are determined by the following ones and their symmetry about j and k

$$(\nabla_1 \rho)_{30} = (\nabla_2 \rho)_{40} = (\nabla_3 \rho)_{10} = (\nabla_4 \rho)_{20} = 4. \quad (44)$$

In conclusion, the constructed para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifold (G, ϕ, ξ, η, g) with the results in (42)–(44) support the proven assertions in Theorem 3 for $k = 1$, Proposition 2, Theorem 5 for $h = 0$ and Theorem 2 (i) and (ii).

6. Conclusions

The objects of study were para-Ricci-like solitons on para-Sasaki-like Riemannian Π -manifolds. After the presentation of the necessary preliminary facts and already achieved results, we introduced the more general notion of a para-Ricci-like soliton with a potential pointwise collinear with the Reeb vector field. The proven Theorem 3 summarized Theorem 1 in this more general case. Thus, Theorem 1 is the particular case of Theorem 3 for $k = 1$. In Theorems 2 and 4 a number of special geometric properties of the manifolds under study were established. Results for a parallel symmetric second-order covariant tensor h on $(\mathcal{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ were obtained. An explicit example of dimension 5 was provided in support of the given assertions.

Funding: The research of H.M. is partially supported by the project MU21-FMI-008 of the Scientific Research Fund, University of Plovdiv Paisii Hilendarski.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article.

Conflicts of Interest: The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

1. Hamilton, R.S. Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. *J. Differ. Geom.* **1982**, *17*, 255–306. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Cao, H.-D. Recent progress on Ricci solitons. *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)* **2009**, *11*, 1–38.
3. Sharma, R. Certain results on K-contact and (κ, μ) -contact manifolds. *J. Geom.* **2008**, *89*, 138–147. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Gălin, C.; Crasmareanu, M. From the Eisenhart problem to Ricci solitons f -Kenmotsu manifolds. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **2010**, *33*, 361–368.
5. Ingalahalli, G.; Bagewadi, C.S. Ricci solitons in α -Sasakian manifolds. *Int. Sch. Res. Not. Geom.* **2012**, *2012*, 421384. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Nagaraja, H.G.; Premalatha, C.R. Ricci solitons in Kenmotsu manifolds. *J. Math. Anal.* **2012**, *3*, 18–24.
7. Blaga, A.M. η -Ricci solitons on para-Kenmotsu manifolds. *Balkan J. Geom. Appl.* **2015**, *20*, 1–13.
8. Prakasha, D.G.; Hadimani, B.S. η -Ricci solitons on para-Sasakian manifolds. *J. Geom.* **2017**, *108*, 383–392. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Bagewadi, C.S.; Ingalahalli, G. Ricci solitons in Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds. *Acta Math.* **2012**, *28*, 59–68.
10. Blaga, A.M.; Perktas, S.Y. Remarks on almost η -Ricci solitons in (ϵ) -para Sasakian manifolds. *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat.* **2019**, *68*, 1621–1628. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. Brozos-Vázquez, M.; Calvaruso, G.; Garcia-Rio, E.; Gavino-Fernandez, S. Three-dimensional Lorentzian homogeneous Ricci solitons. *Israel J. Math.* **2012**, *188*, 385–403. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
12. Ivanov, S.; Zlatanović, M. Connection on Non-Symmetric (Generalized) Riemannian Manifold and Gravity. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **2016**, *33*, 075016. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

13. Ivanov, S.; Zlatanović, M. Non-symmetric Riemannian gravity and Sasaki–Einstein 5-manifolds. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **2020**, *37*, 025002. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Manev, M. Ricci-like solitons on almost contact B-metric manifolds. *J. Geom. Phys.* **2020**, *154*, 103734. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Manev, M.; Staikova, M. On almost paracontact Riemannian manifolds of type (n, n) . *J. Geom.* **2001**, *72*, 108–114. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Manev, M.; Tavkova, V. On almost paracontact almost paracomplex Riemannian manifolds. *Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform.* **2018**, *33*, 637–657.
17. Manev, H.; Manev, M. Para-Ricci-Like Solitons on Riemannian Manifolds with Almost Paracontact Structure and Almost Paracomplex Structure. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 1704. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Satō, I. On a structure similar to the almost contact structure. *Tensor New Ser.* **1976**, *30*, 219–224.
19. Ivanov, S.; Manev, H.; Manev, M. Para-Sasaki-like Riemannian manifolds and new Einstein metrics. *Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Ser. A Matemáticas* **2021**, *115*, 112. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Gray, A. Einstein-like manifolds which are not Einstein. *Geom. Dedicata* **1978**, *7*, 259–280. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. De, U.C.; Sarkar, A. On ϕ -Ricci symmetric Sasakian manifolds. *Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc.* **2008**, *11*, 47–52.
22. Ghosh, S.; De, U.C. On ϕ -Ricci symmetric (κ, μ) -contact metric manifolds. *Acta Math. Univ. Comen.* **2017**, *86*, 205–213.
23. Chaki, M.C.; Kawaguchi, T. On almost pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds. *Tensor New Ser.* **2007**, *68*, 10–14.
24. Singh, H.; Khan, Q. On special weakly symmetric Riemannian manifolds. *Publ. Math. Debr.* **2001**, *58*, 523–536.
25. Eisenhart, L.P. Symmetric tensors of the second order whose first covariant derivatives are zero. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1923**, *25*, 297–306. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Levy, H. Symmetric tensors of the second order whose covariant derivatives vanish. *Ann. Math.* **1926**, *27*, 91–98. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Sharma, R. Second order parallel tensor in real and complex space forms. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **1989**, *12*, 787–790. [[CrossRef](#)]