



# Article Identification of Virulence Factors in Entomopathogenic Aspergillus flavus Isolated from Naturally Infected Rhipicephalus microplus

Cesar A. Arreguin-Perez<sup>1,2</sup>, Estefan Miranda-Miranda<sup>1</sup>, Jorge Luis Folch-Mallol<sup>2</sup> and Raquel Cossío-Bayúgar<sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Centro Nacional de Investigación Disciplinaria en Salud Animal e Inocuidad, Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales Agrícolas y Pecuarias INIFAP, Boulevard Cuauhnahuac 8534, Jiutepec 62574, Morelos, Mexico; cesaraarreguinp@gmail.com (C.A.A.-P.); miranda.estefhan@inifap.gob.mx (E.M.-M.)
- <sup>2</sup> Centro de Investigación en Biotecnología, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, Av. Universidad 1001, Cuernavaca 62209, Morelos, Mexico; jordi@uaem.mx
- \* Correspondence: cossio.raquel@inifap.gob.mx

**Abstract:** *Aspergillus flavus* has been found to be an effective entomopathogenic fungus for various arthropods, including ticks. In particular, natural fungal infections in cattle ticks show promise for biocontrol of the *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* tick, which is a major ectoparasite affecting cattle worldwide. Our study aimed to elucidate the specific entomopathogenic virulence factors encoded in the genome of an *A. flavus* strain isolated from naturally infected cattle ticks. We performed morphological and biochemical phenotyping alongside complete genome sequencing, which revealed that the isolated fungus was *A. flavus* related to the L morphotype, capable of producing a range of gene-coded entomopathogenic virulence factors, including ribotoxin, aflatoxin, kojic acid, chitinases, killer toxin, and satratoxin. To evaluate the efficacy of this *A. flavus* strain against ticks, we conducted experimental bioassays using healthy engorged female ticks. A morbidity rate of 90% was observed, starting at a concentration of 10<sup>5</sup> conidia/mL. At a concentration of 10<sup>7</sup> conidia/mL, we observed a 50% mortality rate and a 21.5% inhibition (34.64%) were achieved at a concentration of 10<sup>8</sup> conidia/mL. Furthermore, the tick larval progeny that hatched from the infected tick egg masses showed evident symptoms of *Aspergillus* infection after incubation.

Keywords: cattle tick; bioassay; morphotype; aflatoxin; kojic acid; chitinases; ribotoxin

## 1. Introduction

*Rhipicephalus microplus* is the most important ectoparasite for the bovine cattle industry in tropical and subtropical cattle-grazing areas around the world [1]. During the cattle tick's life cycle, it is able to develop from nonparasitic stages of eggs and larvae in the soil [2]; the parasitic stages develop entirely on a single *Bos* genus bovine host [3]. Cattle ticks inflict direct damage on bovines, including skin lesions, anemia, irritability, weight loss, immunosuppression, and reduced milk yield [4]. Additionally, during the parasitic stages, *R. microplus* may transmit bovine infectious diseases such as anaplasmosis and babesiosis [3], causing additional economic losses in bovine production by increasing abortions, veterinary care costs, and fatalities [4–6].

Aspergillus flavus is a saprophytic fungus that feeds on plant and animal debris [7]. It is also the most common Aspergillus species, infecting several naturally occurring species of arthropods [8]. The majority of *A. flavus* strains reported in the scientific literature are capable of producing aflatoxins that can cause aflatoxicosis and may infect immunocompromised humans [9]. *A. flavus* also shows phytopathogenic properties and can infect and



Citation: Arreguin-Perez, C.A.; Miranda-Miranda, E.; Folch-Mallol, J.L.; Cossío-Bayúgar, R. Identification of Virulence Factors in Entomopathogenic *Aspergillus flavus* Isolated from Naturally Infected *Rhipicephalus microplus. Microorganisms* 2023, 11, 2107. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/microorganisms11082107

Academic Editors: Roger Pickup, Pramod Gopal, Paolo Calistri and Ute Römling

Received: 25 July 2023 Revised: 10 August 2023 Accepted: 15 August 2023 Published: 18 August 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). reduce the yield of different economically important crops, such as corn, rice, cotton and peanuts [10–12]. In vitro laboratory cultures of A. flavus exhibit two morphotypes defined by sclerotia size; those with sclerotia over 400 µm in diameter are considered morphotype L, whereas those with sclerotia below 400  $\mu$ m in diameter are considered morphotype S [13]. In addition to the sclerotia size difference, morphotype S is usually toxigenic, producing B1, B2, G1, and G2 aflatoxins, whereas morphotype L can vary from being atoxigenic to toxigenic and is not capable of synthesizing all types of aflatoxins. [13–16]. Furthermore, the morphotype is associated with niche adaptation, with the L morphotype being more likely to be found on crops such as maize, and the S morphotype being more likely to be found in soils with surface temperatures near 30 °C [17]. Additionally, S morphotype A. flavus genomes are over one Mbp larger, coding over one hundred more predicted genes than the L morphotype [15]. A. flavus has been demonstrated to exhibit acaropathogenic properties against different tick species such as *R. microplus* [18,19], *Hyalomma dromedarii* [20], Amblyomma maculatum [21], and Dermacentor albipictus [22]. In a previous study, it was found that A. flavus infected R. microplus and produced aflatoxin B1, G1, and G2. This strain also exhibited an experimental mortality rate of  $64 \pm 19\%$  among engorged females, indicating its potential as a control agent. Additionally, it demonstrated ovicidal potential by successfully colonizing 80% of the ovigerous masses of 24 ticks, resulting in a low hatching rate of only 13%. Natural fungal infection was observed from June to October, most likely due to the relative humidity [18,19]. Unfortunately, the original strain was lost, underscoring the need to isolate a new strain for further analysis of the tick control potential of A. flavus. It is worth noting that A. flavus is considered a viable alternative for biocontrol during the free-living stages of various tick pests, as it poses minimal mycotoxicity risk to the human food supply [18,20].

#### Aspergillus sp. Virulence Factors

Several species of *Aspergillus* are capable of producing a variety of virulence factors that can damage arthropods during fungal infections. These virulence factors may include ribotoxins, expressed as extracellular proteins capable of inhibiting protein synthesis by cleaving the conserved sarcin-ricin loop of the larger rRNA at the ribosomes, a crucial step for protein expression, leading to systemic cell death by apoptosis [23–25]. Hirsutellin A is a ribotoxin protein from the aphid fungal parasite *Hirsutella thompsonii* that is capable of killing several species of insect larvae, in vitro-cultured insect cells, and several species of mites [24], including the phytophagic citrus rust mite *Phyllocoptruta oleivora* [26]. Previous analysis of the *A. flavus* genome revealed that ribotoxin ortholog genes are present in this fungal species and should be considered a possible entomopathogen invasive factor [27].

Chitinases are enzymes that break down chitin, the second most abundant natural polymer after cellulose and a major component of the exoskeleton of arthropods [28]. Chitinases break down chitin by hydrolyzing the  $\beta(1-4)$  linkages between N-acetylglucosamines [29]. Due to their mode of action, chitinases have been considered for their potential use in the control of arthropod pests [30–32]. Entomopathogenic fungi use chitinases as virulence and invasive factors to damage arthropods from the outside, and most entomopathogenic biocontrol fungi, such as *Metarhizium anisopliae* [30], *Beauveria bassiana* [31,33], *Isaria fumosorosea* [34], and those within the *Aspergillus* genus [20,35], exhibit abundant chitinase activity.

Aflatoxins are secondary metabolites usually produced by fungi within the *Aspergillus* genus and include approximately 20 toxic organic compounds. Among the more studied are B1, B2, G1, and G2, with B1 being more toxic, and the toxicity level comparison is considered to be B1 > G1 > B2 > G2 [36]. Aflatoxins are considered part of the human carcinogenic risk group 1 by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC/WHO) because of their toxicity, bioaccumulation and thermostability. Aflatoxins bind to DNA and cause the transversion from guanine to thymine, causing liver cancer [37]. However, aflatoxins can also bind to proteins and sanguine albumin, causing systemic aflatoxicosis [38]. The aflatoxin synthesis gene cluster contains 25 to 30 genes and is approximately 70 kb in length [39,40]. The aflatoxin B1 biosynthesis pathway is a complex process involving at

least 27 enzymatic reactions [41]. Aflatoxins are known to confer defense advantages against fungivores such as the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* [42].

Kojic acid is a natural metabolite produced by fungi that inhibits melanin synthesis by blocking tyrosinase [43]. Kojic acid exhibits insecticidal properties when used on insects such as the milkweed bug *Oncopeltus spp.* and the house fly *Musca domestica* [44]. It has been patented as an insecticide synergist when used in combination with pyrethroid and carbamate formulations on the corn earworm *Helicoverpa zea* and the armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* [45]. It is also used in the cosmetic industry to lighten skin color and treat anomalies in skin pigmentation [46], as well as antibacterial compounds in the food industry, among many other uses [47].

Our study describes a new *A. flavus* strain isolated from naturally infected cattle ticks that was submitted to morphometric and biochemical analysis, as well as complete genome sequencing, comparative genomics, phylogenetic analysis, and bioassays. It is the goal of this work to study the effect of the fungus on ticks and describe the gene-coding entomopathogenic virulence factors found in this particular *A. flavus* isolate.

## 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Isolation

A group of experimentally raised engorged ticks from the Media Joya strain [48] was obtained using the methodology previously described by Miranda-Miranda et al. [18]. Some of the collected ticks had natural and recurrent fungal infections. The ticks infected with fungi were identified by their dark cuticle color and the presence of fungal mycelium and conidiophore growth. The spores were collected and cultured on Sabouraud agar in Petri dishes, which were then incubated at 28 °C for three days. As a result of this process, a fungus labeled INIFAP-2021 was isolated, which is now a part of the strain collection of the Centro Nacional de Investigación Disciplinaria en Salud Animal e Inocuidad. This isolate has been previously reported by Arreguin-Perez et al. [49].

#### 2.2. Microscopy Identification

The mycelium obtained from the Petri dishes was cultured on microscope glass slides covered with a thin layer of Sabouraud agar, following a method previously described by Miranda-Miranda et al. [18]. The cultures were then incubated at 28 °C for three days. Afterward, the fungal morphology and the cultures on microscope glass slides were identified using differential interference contrast microscopy (Axiovert 40 CFL, Carl Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany).

## 2.3. Morphotype Identification

To accurately determine the diameter of the sclerotium morphotype,  $2 \times 10^5$  conidia from an *A. flavus* isolate were evenly inoculated onto Petri dishes containing yeast extract glucose-tryptone agar (YGT). The dishes were then incubated at 28 °C for six days. Afterward, the sclerotia were harvested using a solution of 0.01% Triton X-100 (SIGMA, Saint Louis, MO, USA) in water, following a method previously reported by Gilbert et al. [14]. Fifty sclerotia were selected, and their measurements were conducted under a compound microscope at 40× magnification utilizing ImageJ (1.54f, Bethesda, MD, USA) software [50].

## 2.4. Genomic Comparison

An average nucleotide identity (ANI) comparison was performed using fastANI (v 1.34, USA) [51] between *A. flavus* INIFAP-2021 and the following *Aspergillus flavus* reference genomes: AF36 (GCA\_012897275.1), K49 (GCA\_012896705.1), NRRL3357 (GCA\_014117465.1), AF70 (GCA\_003711385.1), AZS04M2A (GCA\_003711355.1, SU-16 (GCA\_009856665.1), AF13 (GCA\_014 117485.1), BS01 (GCA\_003711305.1), DV901 (GCA\_003711315.1), MC04 (GCA\_003711285.1), *A. sojae* SMF134 (GCA\_008274985.1), and *A. oryzae* KJJ4b (GCA\_015.14).

#### 2.5. Aflatoxin Identification

The INIFAP-2021 isolate was cultured on a Petri dish containing YGT for 6 days at 28 °C. Following this, ~25 mL of the fungus culture present on the agar was transferred to an assay tube, which contained three volumes of chloroform and two volumes of distilled water, and was disrupted by vigorous vortexing for 5 min, following a method previously reported by Yabe et al. abe da et al. [52]. Subsequently, the chloroform extract was moved to a new crystal tube, dried under vacuum conditions, and then solubilized in 5 mL of benzene-acetonitrile (98:2), as per a previously described procedure [52]. Analysis of the extract was conducted by the Centro Nacional de Servicios de Constatación en Salud Animal, Mexico, using high-performance liquid chromatography. The obtained results were compared to laboratory reference aflatoxin standards (SIGMA Laramie, WY, USA) [53], and the outcome of this experiment is presented in Table 1.

#### 2.6. Chitosan-Based Medium Growth

According to a previous report by Miranda-Miranda et al. [18], a culture medium was prepared by combining phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.2) with 10 g/L casein peptone and 1% chitosan. The medium was then sterilized and inoculated with INIFAP-2021 *A. flavus* spores. Subsequently, the inoculated culture was incubated at a temperature of 25 °C for 6 days under constant agitation at 60 rpm.

#### 2.7. Spore Harvest

The INIFAP-2021 isolate was cultured on a Petri dish containing potato dextrose agar (PDA) and incubated at a temperature of 28 °C for 6 days. To harvest the spores, a modified method combining the techniques of Frerichis et al. [54], Gilbert et al. [14], and Shen et al. [55] was used. First, a sterile crystal triangle, previously immersed in a solution of 0.01% Triton X-100 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), was employed to gently scrape the spores attached to the glass. These spores were then rinsed with sterile distilled water, and the resulting spore–water suspension was filtered through #4 Whatman filter paper. Subsequently, the fungal spores present within the filtrate were resuspended in 5 mL of PBS and quantified using a Neubauer chamber, and the concentration was adjusted to  $10^4$ ,  $10^5$ ,  $10^6$ , and  $10^7$  spores/mL in 10 mL aliquots.

#### 2.8. Bioassay

The statistical design of this experiment consisted of 4 replicates with 10 ticks per experimental unit, utilizing a total of 200 acaricide-susceptible (Su) ticks from the Media Joya strain [48,56]. The engorged females were subjected to a washing process involving 100 mL of an aqueous solution containing 10% benzal, followed by two additional washes using 100 mL of distilled water for 10 min. The ticks were weighed after washing, following the adult immersion test previously described [57].

For treatment, four groups of ticks were submerged in 10 mL solutions containing 10<sup>5</sup>, 10<sup>6</sup>, 10<sup>7</sup>, and 10<sup>8</sup> spores/mL for 10 min. An additional control group was submerged in PBS without fungal spores for the same timeframe and with the same statistical design as treatments. Notably, the control group included four replicas. After treatment, the ticks were dried using paper towels and individually transferred to wells in a 12-well culture plate.

After an incubation period of 15 days at 28 °C and 80% relative humidity, various parameters, including oviposition, mortality, morbidity, egg production index (EPI%), inhibition of oviposition (IO%), larval hatching inhibition percentage (IH%), and reproduction estimated inhibition (REI), were calculated for all groups using a method previously described by Drummond et al. [57]. Morbidity was limited to ticks with visible micellar growth. The equations for these parameters are as follows:

Mortality = (Dead females/Total females)  $\times$  100

Morbidity = (Sick females/Total females)  $\times$  100

 $EPI\% = (Egg mass/Female initial mass) \times 100$ 

IO% = ((EPI% Control – EPI% Treatment)/EPI% Control) × 100

 $EC\% = (Hatched larvae / (Hatched larvae + Unhatched eggs)) \times 100$ 

IH% =  $((EC\% \text{ Control} - EC\% \text{ Treatment})/EC\% \text{ Control}) \times 100$ 

 $RE = (EPI\%/100) \times (EC\%/100) \times 20000$ 

## REI = ((RE Control – RE Treatment)/RE Control) $\times$ 100

The analysis was conducted using R (4.05, R Core Team, Vienna, Austria) [58] and involved performing multiple one-way ANOVAs to assess the impact of different spore concentrations (10<sup>5</sup>, 10<sup>6</sup>, 10<sup>7</sup>, and 10<sup>8</sup> spores/mL) on the oviposition, mortality, morbidity, EPI%, IO%, IH%, and REI. Furthermore, Tukey's HSD test was applied to conduct multiple comparisons, with a confidence interval of 95%.

#### 2.9. Virulence Factor Search

A comprehensive approach was employed to search for virulence factors in the *A. flavus* INIFAP-2021 complete genome assembly. This approach involved a combination of methods, including a thorough analysis of the genome assembly itself and a heuristic search based on relevant literature references, focusing on virulence factors in *Aspergillus* species. Specifically, the search targeted gene clusters involved in the synthesis of aflatoxins, kojic acid, ribotoxins, and chitinases. To identify these gene clusters, comparisons were made against the GenBank database using the BLAST toolkit. Additionally, the aflatoxin gene cluster from *A. parasiticus* (AY371490.1) [41] and the aflatoxin cluster of the closest reference genome (AF13) were utilized as reference sequences. The synteny between these clusters and the complete aflatoxin cluster was assessed by generating a synteny graph using SimpleSynteny [59]. The kojic acid biosynthesis gene cluster (Q2U5H8.1) from the *A. oryzae* RIB40 strain was referenced [60], along with the ribotoxin (KAB8244990.1) from *A. flavus*. Other secondary metabolites were identified using the antiSMASH fungal version [61]. Additionally, chitinases were identified by conducting a search within the gene ontology sequencing annotation of the *A. flavus* INIFAP-2021 strain [49].

Table 1. Comparison of genomes according to average nucleotide identity.

Query	R. Genome	ANI%	Af	Isolation Source	References	
INIFAP2021	A. sojae SMF134	94.1089	No	Korean soybean fermented brick	[62]	
INIFAP2021	A. flavus af70	98.9738	Yes	Soil	[14]	
INIFAP2021	A. flavus azs04m2a	98.9784	Yes	Soil	[63]	
INIFAP2021	A. flavus su-16	99.0755	No	Huangjiu fermenting starter	[64]	
INIFAP2021	A. oryzae KJJ4b	99.0882	ND	Korean fermenting starter	[65] *	
INIFAP2021	A. flavus BS01	99.196	Yes	Cotton seed	[15]	

IN

INIFAP2021

INIFAP2021

Query	R. Genome	ANI%	Af	Isolation Source	References	
INIFAP2021	A. flavus MC04	99.2035	Yes	Cotton seed	[15]	
INIFAP2021	A. flavus af36	99.204	No	Cotton	[66]	
INIFAP2021	A. flavus k49	99.2076	No	Corn	[67]	
INIFAP2021	A flanus af13	99 277	Yes	Soil and corn	[13]	

Yes

Yes

Table 1. Cont

R. genome = reference genome; Af = aflatoxin production; \* Unpublished; ND: no data.

## 3. Results

A. flavus DV901

A. flavus NRRL3357

#### 3.1. Fungal Morphological Characterization

99.2807

99.5411

The Aspergillus flavus strain INIFAP-2021, isolated from naturally fungus-infected ticks [49], exhibited noticeable growth of mycelium and conidiophores on the tick cuticle (Figure 1d). These fungal spores obtained from the strain were used for isolation and propagation on SDA to evaluate the microscopic morphometric characteristics of the cultured isolate, and the colony was ~62 mm in diameter (Figure 2). This strain displayed septate and macro-siphoned hyphae, along with subglobose conidiophores and round spores (Figure 1b). The average diameter of the sclerotia was 418.82  $\mu$ m (Figure 1c). Upon infection of *R. microplus* females, colonization of the cuticle was evident on the alloscutum, scutum, and arthros, accompanied by the presence of brownish-green mycelium and conidiophores (Figure 1d). Additionally, a more detailed examination of the infected engorged ticks revealed desiccation and a reduction in gut peristalsis.

Cotton seed

Peanut



Figure 1. Identification of fungal infection on R. microplus. (a) Eggs exhibiting evident symptoms of fungal infection. (b) Microscopic characteristics of conidiophores. (c). Sclerotia from the isolated fungus. (d) Experimentally infected engorged female.

[15]

[68]



**Figure 2.** *A. flavus* INIFAP-2021 cultured on PDA. The fungal isolate was propagated on PDA plates exhibiting brownish-green filamentous colonies.

## 3.2. Genomic Comparison

The results of the comparison with fastANI are shown in Table 1. This analysis shows that the closest genetic relationships of INIFAP-2021 are with the reference genomes NRRL3357, DV901, and AF13.

## 3.3. Aflatoxin Determination

The HPLC experiment for aflatoxin detection revealed the presence of aflatoxin B1 (Table 2) at a concentration of 424.2 mg/kg, 58.8 times higher than the concentration of aflatoxin B2 (7.2 mg/kg). Neither G1 nor G2 aflatoxins were detected.

**Table 2.** Aflatoxin identification and concentration of benzene-acetonitrile (98:2) extract from *A. flavus* 

 INIFAP 2021.

Aflatoxin Type	Concentration (mg/kg)
B1	424.2
B2	7.2
G1	ND
G2	ND

ND means not detected.

### 3.4. Chitin-Based Medium Growth

The isolate *A. flavus* INIFAP-2021 was capable of propagating, exhibiting visible mycelium when using chitosan as the sole source of carbon.

## 3.5. Bioassay Results

The entomopathogenic fungus *A. flavus* strain INIFAP-2021 was assessed for its effects on engorged *R. microplus* females through immersion in varying concentrations of spore-containing aqueous solutions. The effects measured included mortality, morbidity, egg production index, inhibition of oviposition, hatching, inhibition of larval hatching, and estimated reproduction inhibition. The results of these assessments are summarized in Table 3.

Conidia/mL	Mort.%	Morb.%	EPI%	IO%	H%	IH%	ERI%
Control	5 (± 10) <sup>a</sup>	2.5 (±5) <sup>a</sup>	53.4 (±2.0) <sup>NS</sup>	0 (±12.0) <sup>NS</sup>	85.6 (±9.2) <sup>a</sup>	0 (±11.3) <sup>a</sup>	0 (±23.3) <sup>NS</sup>
10 <sup>5</sup>	10 (±14.1) <sup>a</sup>	90 (±11.5) <sup>b</sup>	50.7 (±5.7) <sup>NS</sup>	0.08 (±11.3) <sup>NS</sup>	75.7 (±16.9) <sup>ab</sup>	7.0 (±20.1) <sup>ab</sup>	8.68 (±20.0) <sup>NS</sup>
10 <sup>6</sup>	15 (±5.8) <sup>a</sup>	100 (0) <sup>b</sup>	53.4 (±6.8) <sup>NS</sup>	0.95 (±11.2) <sup>NS</sup>	70.6 (±7.3) <sup>ab</sup>	13.2 (±9.0) <sup>ab</sup>	12.88 (±14.5) <sup>NS</sup>
10 <sup>7</sup>	50 (±14.1) <sup>b</sup>	100 (0) <sup>b</sup>	39.8 (±11.2) <sup>NS</sup>	21.5 (±22.1) <sup>NS</sup>	67.5 (±14.8) <sup>ab</sup>	17.0 (±18.2) <sup>ab</sup>	32.95 (±29.0) <sup>NS</sup>
10 <sup>8</sup>	50 (±18.3) <sup>b</sup>	100 (0) <sup>b</sup>	48.6 (±2.5) <sup>NS</sup>	4.27 (±4.9) <sup>NS</sup>	56.3 (±8.6) <sup>b</sup>	30.8 (±11.3) <sup>b</sup>	34.63 (±8.1) <sup>NS</sup>

**Table 3.** Averages and standard deviations of mortality, morbidity, egg production index (EPI%), inhibition of oviposition (IO%), hatching (H%), inhibition of larval hatching (IH%), and estimated reproduction inhibition (ERI%).

 $^{NS}$  means no significant differences; letters indicate significant differences (Tukey, p < 0.05); Mort. = mortality; Morb. = Morbility.

Statistical analysis was performed using R and R Studio (1.41106, PBC, Boston, MA, USA) and indicated that the treatments exhibited a significant effect on mortality (F(4,15) = 11.37, p = 0.0002; t(15) = 2.131, p < 0.05) when the ticks were submerged in a solution containing  $10^7$  spores/mL; on morbidity (F(4,15) = 230.4, p < 0.0001; t(15) = 2.131, p < 0.05) at  $10^5$  spores/mL; on EPI%, there was no significant effect (F(4,15) = 2.922, p = 0.569), and on larval hatching inhibition (F(4,195) = 3.252, p = 0.0131; t(195) = 1.962, p < 0.05) at  $10^8$  spores/mL. The summarized results can be found in Table 3.

## 3.6. Virulence Factor Search

## 3.6.1. Aflatoxins

Comparative genomics analysis revealed the presence of the complete aflatoxin biosynthesis cluster in the *A. flavus* INIFAP-2021 genome, spanning 88,285 bp with a total of 29 genes (Table 4). The cluster exhibited an average coverage of 97.10%, an average E value of  $1.035 \times 10^{-147}$ , and an average identity of 96.02%. Furthermore, the synteny evaluation demonstrated a sequence correlation between this cluster and the one located on chromosome 3 of the reference genome CP082256.1 (Figure 3). Additionally, we analyzed the aflF gene, which was found to be fragmented and incomplete (Figure 4). The total length of the *A. parasiticus* aflF gene (AY371490.1) was 1149 bp, whereas the INIFAP 2021 fragments of the aflF gene had lengths of only 364 and 221 bp. It is important to note that these fragments align with the reference aflF gene. Moreover, the gene is missing 574 bp at the beginning, including the active site, which should typically be located at around position 207 of the gene. The synteny were visualized using SimpleSynteny (v1.6, Beltsville, MD, USA).



**Figure 3.** Synteny between INIFAP 2021 (Above) and AF13 (AY510451.1) (Below) aflatoxin clusters using *A. parasiticus* aflatoxin gene cluster (AY371490.1) as query.

Gene	Max Score	Total Score	Cover (%)	E. Value	Identity (%)
aflF	529	866	50	$2.00  imes 10^{-149}$	92.96
aflU	1360	2109	86	0.0	95.94
aflT	1127	2778	99	0.0	99.36
aflC	6689	11,389	100	0.0	99.09
aflD	942	1431	100	0.0	98.15
aflA	8100	8887	100	0.0	98.71
aflB	8248	10,065	100	0.0	98.56
aflR	2338	2338	100	0.0	98.28
aflS	1070	2357	100	0.0	98.83
aflH	1480	1480	100	0.0	98.57
aflJ	1567	2058	96	0.0	97.59
aflE	1005	1005	100	0.0	98.42
aflM	518	1251	99	$3.00  imes 10^{-146}$	95.12
aflN	1513	2159	100	0.0	93.99
aflG	1777	2409	99	0.0	95.98
aflL	1879	2487	100	0.0	96.09
aflI	1199	1199	98	0.0	92.23
aflO	1216	1888	100	0.0	95.76
aflP	640	2145	100	0.0	98.61
aflQ	608	2484	100	$3.00  imes 10^{-173}$	94.03
aflK	2152	3010	100	0.0	94.31
aflV	1947	2382	93	0.0	96.9
aflW	2121	2121	98	0.0	93.43
aflX	1105	1105	99	0.0	91.61
nadA	1158	1758	99	0.0	90.07
htxtA	1158	2716	100	0.0	98.19
glcA	1491	2905	100	0.0	96.77
sugR	2008	2345	100	0.0	94.87

Table 4. Blast data from aflatoxin cluster of A. parasiticus (AY371490.1) vs. INIFAP-2021.

A\_parasiticus\_afl

CP0822561:5007023-5007243\_ASPERGILLUS\_FLAVUS\_STRAIN\_AF\_INIFAP\_2021\_CHROMOSOME\_3

**Figure 4.** Synteny between the incomplete aflF gene from INIFAP 2021 and aflF from *A. parasiticus* (AY371490.1).

## 3.6.2. Kojic Acid

The results of comparative genomics analysis comparing the INIFAP-2021 genome in chromosome 5 CP082258.1 to the reference RIB40 kojic cluster (XM\_001824266.1, XM\_001824267.1, and XM\_001824268.1) revealed the presence of the kojic acid biosynthesis gene cluster on chromosome 5, with an average coverage of 100%, an E value of 0.0, and an identity of 99.9% (Table 5). To assess the synteny of the complete kojic acid cluster, a synteny graph was generated using SimpleSynteny (Figure 5), confirming the presence of the complete and likely functional gene cluster in the genome [59].

Gene	Max Score	Total Score	Cover (%)	E. Value	Identity (%)
kojA	2377	2377	100	0.0	100
kojR	3070	3070	100	0.0	99.88
kojT	3114	3114	100	0.0	99.82
Ribotoxin	285	361	100	$3 imes 10^{-89}$	85.85

**Table 5.** Blast data from the kojic acid cluster of *A. oryzae* RIB40 vs. INIFAP-2021 and ribotoxin from *A. flavus* vs. INIFAP-2021.

GF062236.1 Aspergillus lia	us strain AF INIFAF 2021 chromosome	9
INIFAP 2021		

4264723

**Figure 5.** Synteny between INIFAP 2021 and *A. oryzae* RIB40 (XM\_001824266.1, XM\_001824267.1, and XM\_001824268.1) kojic acid clusters.

## 3.6.3. Ribotoxin

A ribotoxin coding gene was found on chromosome 2 when compared to the reference genome CP082255.1, exhibiting a total score, query coverage, E value, and identity of 100% coverage and 85.62% identity, as summarized in Table 5.

#### 3.6.4. Chitinases

Bioinformatic analysis of the genome revealed the presence of chitinase-encoding genes with similarity to those from fungal genera such as *Rhizopus, Arthroderma, Aphanocladium, Streptomyces,* and *Aspergillus,* as well as *Aspergillus* teleomorphs such as *Emericella* and *Neosartorya* [69]. The predicted genes are summarized in Table 6 and are all predicted to express exo- and endochitinases.

Table 6. Chitinase-related genes predicted in INIFAP-2021 by Augustus.

Code	Name	Similarity (%)	<b>Organism Source</b>
g1259.t1	Endochitinase	51.12	Emericella nidulans
g1746.t1	Chitinase	43.4	Rhizopus oligosporus
g2988.t1	Endochitinase	66.5	Neosartorya fumigata
g3174.t1	Endochitinase	74.52	Emericella nidulans
g3945.t1	Endochitinase	73.19	Neosartorya fumigata
g6394.t1	Endochitinase	66.65	Aspergillus niger
g6415.t1	Class III chitinase ARB_03514	53.23	Arthroderma benhamiae
g8743.t1	Class III chitinase ARB_03514	55.92	Arthroderma benhamiae
g8762.t1	Chitinase 1	45.25	Aphanocladium album

### 3.6.5. No Expected Virulence Factors

A thorough search using the AntiFungi algorithm revealed the noteworthy secondary metabolite napthopyrone, a fungal predator-protecting secondary metabolite [70] (Table 7). Moreover, comparative genomics results revealed the presence of toxin-related genes, comprising those related to killer toxin  $\alpha/\beta$ , satratoxin, and aflatoxins identified in fungal genera such as *Kluyveromyces*, *Stachybotrys*, *Aspergillus*, the teleomorph genus *Neosartorya*, and the KP4 killer toxin from *Ustilago maydis* P4 virus [10,71,72] (Table 8).

Chromosome	Most Similar Known Cluster	Similarity (%)	Secondary Metabolite	References
CP082254.1	Asparasone A	75	Pigment for sclerotia	[73]
CD0922EE 1	Monascorubrin	100	Red pigment	[74]
CP082255.1	Fusarin	100	Polyketide	[75]
CP082256.1	Cyclopiazonic acid	71 Inhibition of reticulum calcium-dependent ATPase		[76]
	Clavaric acid	100	Antitumoral	[77]
CP082257.1	Naphthopyrone	100	Antibiotic building block, predator protection	[70]
CP082258.1	Pyranonigrin E	100	Antioxidant	[78]
	Clavaric acid	100	Antitumoral	[77]
CP082259.1	Penicilin	63	Antibiotic	[79]
	Aspirochlorine	54	Inhibitor of fungal protein synthesis	[80]
CP082260.1	6-methylsalicyclic acid	100	Antibiotic building block	[81]
CP082261.1	Squalestin S1	40	Squalene synthetase inhibitor	[82]

**Table 7.** Secondary metabolite regions identified by Antifungi; only >40% similarity clustersare shown.

Table 8. Toxin-related genes predicted in INIFAP-2021 by Augustus.

Code	Name	Similarity (%)	Organism Source
g8.t1	Killer toxin subunits alpha/beta	46.49	Kluyveromyces lactis
g3204.t1	KP4 killer toxin	47.37	Ustilago maydis P4 virus
g3205.t1	KP4 killer toxin	46.38	Ustilago maydis P4 virus
g5455.t1	Aflatoxin cluster transcriptional coactivator aflS	58.56	Aspergillus parasiticus
g5456.t1	Aflatoxin biosynthesis regulatory protein	51.67	Aspergillus flavus
g5520.t1	Killer toxin subunits alpha/beta	43.52	Kluyveromyces lactis
g7698.t1	Satratoxin biosynthesis SC1 cluster transcription factor SAT9	43.25	Stachybotrys chartarum
g8303.t1	Satratoxin biosynthesis SC1 cluster protein 4	46.15	Stachybotrys chartarum
g9654.t1	MFS gliotoxin efflux transporter gliA	62.29	Neosartorya fumigata
g9945.t1	Toxin subunit YenA2	46.51	Yersinia entomophaga
g10528.t1	MFS gliotoxin efflux transporter gliA	58.19	Neosartorya fumigata
g10725.t1	Killer toxin subunits alpha/beta	44.19	Kluyveromyces lactis
g10752.t1	Satratoxin biosynthesis SC1 cluster protein 4	43.16	Stachybotrys chartarum

#### 4. Discussion

The aim of this work was to isolate, identify, and characterize the fungal infection of cattle ticks, for which we isolated *A. flavus* from the various developmental stages of ticks, such as eggs, larvae, and adults, as previously reported [18]. We obtained a new strain of *A. flavus* from the same location as the previously reported strain, indicating the persistent presence of *A. flavus* in the habitat over the years. Our morphological analysis was consistent with that of *A. flavus*, exhibiting septate and macro-siphoned hifae, globose conidiophores, green spores, and the production of sclerotia [14,15,18]. The differential analysis of the *A. flavus* isolate INIFAP-2021 revealed that it is closely related to L morphotype *A. flavus* [15], exhibiting an average sclerotia size of 418.82 µm and only producing aflatoxins B1 and trace amounts of B2, in contrast to the four usually found in *A. flavus* (B1, B2, G1, G2) [39]. This difference can be attributed to the only two genes with

less than 90% coverage in comparison to the reference cluster, aflU and aflF, both of which are essential for the production of G-type aflatoxins [83]. The incomplete aflF gene syntemy is displayed in Figure 4. This isolate is different from the cattle tick-infecting *A. flavus* previously reported, which is capable of synthesizing B1 together with GI and G2 [18]. The *A. flavus* INIFAP 2021 strain was found to exclusively produce aflatoxin type B.

Fungal infection bioassays displayed a morbidity rate of 90% at a concentration of 10<sup>5</sup> conidia/mL and a mortality rate of 50%, with an oviposition inhibition of 21.5% at 10<sup>7</sup> conidia/mL. At a concentration of 10<sup>8</sup> conidia/mL, a larval hatch inhibition of 30.8% and an estimated reproduction inhibition of 34.64% were observed. Furthermore, compared to the uninfected control (Table 1), this isolate showed a significantly lower egg production index. The oviposition of treatment  $10^7$  showed high variability, with one replica exhibiting a very low oviposition rate, thereby affecting oviposition inhibition (IO%), as indicated by its standard deviation. It is important to note that oviposition is an independent parameter from both hatching percentage and hatching inhibition (IH%), and therefore, they may not necessarily be correlated when oviposition is present. It is also worth noting that this strain is distinct from the one previously reported by Miranda-Miranda et al. [18], which demonstrated a mortality rate of 64% in engorged cattle tick females during bioassays and the production of aflatoxin types B and G. Other entomopathogenic fungal genera, such as Beauveria bassiana and Metarhizium anisopliae, have also exhibited mortality effects ranging from 80 to 90% and 90 to 100%, respectively, at concentrations from  $1 \times 10^6$  to  $1 \times 10^9$  spores/mL and  $1 \times 10^6$  to  $1 \times 10^8$  spores/mL, respectively [84–86]. However, the INIFAP 2021 isolate is worth studying due to the novelty of its infection and the alreadyknown industrial growth conditions [87]. Therefore, this strain holds promise for potential biocontrol against R. microplus.

Killer toxins from *Kluyveromyces* can arrest proliferation, and the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits have exochitinase activity [71]. Moreover, growth in a chitosan-based medium reveals the isolate's capability of using chitosan as the sole carbon source, indicating the presence of chitinases. Chitinases may play a role in infection processes, such as degrading the cuticle, as observed in *Beauveria bassiana* [88] and *Metarhizium anisopliae* [30,89]. Killer toxins from *Kluyveromyces* possess  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits with exochitinase activity [71], whereas the complete genome sequence of *A. flavus* INIFAP-2021 revealed at least nine predicted chitinases, providing evidence that these molecules function as virulence factors in multiple genera, such as *Metarhizium* and *Beauveria* (Table 5).

Further investigation is needed to corroborate the importance of possible virulence factors and their role in infection, including the efficacy of kojic acid against *R. microplus*. Kojic acid has been used as an insecticide [45] and a development inhibitor of *Drosophila melanogaster* [90], but no information is available on its use as an acaricide. Thus, assessing the effectiveness of kojic acid against *R. microplus* is essential.

Efficient conversion from glucose to kojic acid in a glucose-citrate buffer medium has been achieved without the need for microbial growth [91]. Furthermore, the presence of chitinases and the ability of INIFAP 2021 to grow with chitosan as its sole carbon source suggests that chitin could be metabolized by this organism, and we propose a plausible metabolic pathway from chitin to kojic acid. This pathway involves the following reactions: chitin  $\rightarrow$  chitobiose  $\rightarrow$  N-acetyl-D-glucosamine  $\rightarrow$  N-acetyl-D-glucosamine 6 phosphate  $\rightarrow$ D-glucosamine 6 phosphate  $\rightarrow$  beta-D-fructose 6-phosphate  $\rightarrow$  glucose by gluconeogenesis  $\rightarrow$  oxykojic acid  $\rightarrow$  kojic acid. The first seven steps of this pathway are taken from the KEGG ko00520 pathway, whereas the last two steps have already been reported [92]. However, more investigation and experiments are needed to verify the existence of this pathway.

Despite the existing knowledge of the disruption of the sarcin-ricin loop by ribotoxins, which leads to inhibited protein synthesis and host death [23,25,93], and the known presence of these toxins in biocontrol microorganisms such as *Hirsutella* and *Metarhizium* [24,94], there is still much unknown information about these potential virulence factors. This includes their regulation and contribution to the infection of *R. microplus*. To gain a better understanding of the importance of these predicted molecules as virulence factors, it is

13 of 17

necessary to directly investigate the potential production of secondary metabolites and toxin-related genes predicted in INIFAP-2021 by Augustus and AntiFungi, as shown in Tables 7 and 8.

## 5. Conclusions

The cattle tick naturally infected with the fungus isolate INIFAP-2021, which was identified as *A. flavus* and classified as morphotype L, may effectively affect *R. microplus* development due to numerous virulence factors during *A. flavus* infection, such as the gene clusters dedicated to the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites in its genome. Experimental bioassays revealed this isolate to be lethal to cattle ticks, producing mortality and morbidity and reducing larval hatching, with  $1 \times 10^8$  spores/mL being the most effective concentration. This organism exhibits promising potential as an entomopathogenic fungus, either as a complete organism or through its virulence factors. However, further studies are necessary to enhance treatment efficiency, such as by exploring the use of adjuvants, and to investigate host specificity.

**Author Contributions:** C.A.A.-P.: Investigation, formal analysis, writing—original draft, DNA sample purification PCR, phylogeny; E.M.-M.: conceptualization, sample isolation, PCR workflow determination, DNA samples, writing—reviewing and editing; J.L.F.-M.: writing—reviewing and editing, data analysis, PCR; R.C.-B.: conceptualization, sample isolation, writing—original draft, writing—reviewing and editing, funding acquisition, project administration. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received funding from INIFAP-Mexico grant 1322633028, CONACYT grant 248049, and CONACYT scholarship 827786.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are openly available at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCA\_019880445.1/#/def, accessed on 5 September 2021 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA758689/, accessed on 5 September 2021.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Cossío-Bayúgar, R.; Miranda-Miranda, E.; Narváez Padilla, V.; Olvera-Valencia, F.; Reynaud, E. Perturbation of Tyraminergic/Octopaminergic Function Inhibits Oviposition in the Cattle Tick *Rhipicephalus* (*Boophilus*) *microplus*. *J. Insect Physiol.* 2012, *58*, 628–633. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Cupp, E.W. Biology of Ticks. Vet. Clin. N. Am. Small Anim. Pract. 1991, 21, 1–26. [CrossRef]
- 3. Cossio-Bayugar, R.; Miranda-Miranda, E.; Kumar, S. *A Laboratory Manual on Rhipicephalus Microplus*; Cambridge Scholars Publishing: Newcastle upon Tyne, UK, 2023; ISBN 1-5275-0418-2.
- 4. Hurtado, O.J.B.; Giraldo-Ríos, C. Economic and Health Impact of the Ticks in Production Animals. In *Ticks and Tick-Borne Pathogens*; IntechOpen: Rijeka, Croatia, 2019; p. 19. [CrossRef]
- 5. Calvano, M.P.C.A.; Brumatti, R.C.; Garcia, M.V.; Barros, J.C.; Andreotti, R. Economic Efficiency of *Rhipicephalus microplus* Control and Effect on Beef Cattle Performance in the Brazilian Cerrado. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* **2019**, *79*, 459–471. [CrossRef]
- Rodríguez-Vivas, R.I.; Grisi, L.; Pérez de León, A.A.; Villela, H.S.; Torres-Acosta, J.F.D.J.; Fragoso Sánchez, H.; Romero Salas, D.; Rosario Cruz, R.; Saldierna, F.; García Carrasco, D.; et al. Potential Economic Impact Assessment for Cattle Parasites in Mexico. Review. *Rev. Mex. Cienc. Pecu.* 2017, *8*, 61–74. [CrossRef]
- 7. Scheidegger, K.A.; Payne, G.A. Unlocking the Secrets Behind Secondary Metabolism: A Review of *Aspergillus flavus* from Pathogenicity to Functional Genomics. *J. Toxicol. Toxin Rev.* **2003**, *22*, 423–459. [CrossRef]
- Campbell, C.K. Forms of Aspergillosis. In *The Genus Aspergillus: From Taxonomy and Genetics to Industrial Application*; Powell, K.A., Renwick, A., Peberdy, J.F., Eds.; Federation of European Microbiological Societies Symposium Series; Springer: Boston, MA, USA, 1994; pp. 313–319, ISBN 978-1-4899-0981-7.
- Hedayati, M.T.; Pasqualotto, A.C.; Warn, P.A.; Bowyer, P.; Denning, D.W. Aspergillus flavus: Human Pathogen, Allergen and Mycotoxin Producer. *Microbiology* 2007, 153, 1677–1692. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Klich, M.A. Aspergillus Flavus: The Major Producer of Aflatoxin. Mol. Plant Pathol. 2007, 8, 713–722. [CrossRef]
- 11. Michailides, T.; Thomidis, T. First Report of *Aspergillus flavus* Causing Fruit Rots of Peaches in Greece. *Plant Pathol.* **2007**, *56*, 352. [CrossRef]

- 12. Yu, J.; Cleveland, T.E.; Nierman, W.C.; Bennett, J.W. *Aspergillus flavus* Genomics: Gateway to Human and Animal Health, Food Safety, and Crop Resistance to Diseases. *Rev. Iberoam. Micol.* **2005**, *22*, 194–202. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cotty, P.J. Virulence and Cultural Characteristics of Two Aspergillus flavus Strains Pathogenic on Cotton. Phytopathology 1989, 79, 808. [CrossRef]
- Gilbert, M.K.; Mack, B.M.; Moore, G.G.; Downey, D.L.; Lebar, M.D.; Joardar, V.; Losada, L.; Yu, J.; Nierman, W.C.; Bhatnagar, D. Whole Genome Comparison of *Aspergillus flavus* L-Morphotype Strain NRRL 3357 (Type) and S-Morphotype Strain AF70. *PLoS* ONE 2018, 13, e0199169. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Ohkura, M.; Cotty, P.J.; Orbach, M.J. Comparative Genomics of *Aspergillus flavus* S and L Morphotypes Yield Insights into Niche Adaptation. *G3 Genes Genomes Genet*. **2018**, *8*, 3915–3930. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Saito, M.; Siriacha, P.; Kawasugi, S.; Manabe, M.; Buangsuwon, D. Distribution and Aflatoxin Productivity of the Atypical Strains of *Aspergillus flavus* Isolated from Soils in Thailand. *Mycotoxins* **1986**, *1986*, 41–46. [CrossRef]
- 17. Jaime-Garcia, R.; Cotty, P.J. Crop Rotation and Soil Temperature Influence the Community Structure of *Aspergillus flavus* in Soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2010**, *42*, 1842–1847. [CrossRef]
- Miranda-Miranda, E.; Cossio-Bayugar, R.; Martínez-Ibañez, F.; Casasanero-Orduña, R.; Folch-Mallol, J. Natural Occurrence of Lethal Aspergillosis in the Cattle Tick *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Acari:Ixodidae). *Parasitology* 2012, 139, 259–263. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Miranda-Miranda, E.; Cossio-Bayugar, R.; Pelaez-Florez, A. Variaciones Estacionales En La Incidencia de Patógenos Naturales de La Garrapata Del Ganado *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus (Acari: Ixodidae)*. *Entomol. Mex.* **2013**, *12*, 398–402.
- 20. Habeeb, S.M.; Ashry, H.M.; Saad, M.M. Ovicidal Effect of Chitinase and Protease Enzymes Produced by Soil Fungi on the Camel Tick *Hyalomma dromedarii* Eggs (Acari:Ixodidae). *J. Parasit. Dis.* **2017**, *41*, 268–273. [CrossRef]
- 21. Yoder, J.A.; Carson, E.R.; Jump, B.M.; Whitehead, B.M. Pathogenicity by *Aspergillus flavus* from Gulf Coast Tick Cadavers (*Amblyomma maculatum*, Acari: Ixodidae) to Any Life History Stage. *Int. J. Acarol.* **2017**, *43*, 180–185. [CrossRef]
- 22. Dobrotka, C. Entomopathogenic Fungi Isolated from Yukon Soil against Unfed Larvae of the Winter Tick *Dermacentor albipictus*. *SIF* **2019**, *4*, 123–134. [CrossRef]
- 23. Endo, Y.; Huber, P.W.; Wool, I.G. The Ribonuclease Activity of the Cytotoxin Alpha-Sarcin. The Characteristics of the Enzymatic Activity of Alpha-Sarcin with Ribosomes and Ribonucleic Acids as Substrates. J. Biol. Chem. **1983**, 258, 2662–2667. [CrossRef]
- 24. Herrero-Galán, E.; García-Ortega, L.; Olombrada, M.; Lacadena, J.; Martínez del Pozo, Á.; Gavilanes, J.G.; Oñaderra, M. Hirsutellin A: A Paradigmatic Example of the Insecticidal Function of Fungal Ribotoxins. *Insects* **2013**, *4*, 339–356. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Olmo, N.; Turnay, J.; de Buitrago, G.G.; de Silanes, I.L.; Gavilanes, J.G.; Lizarbe, M.A. Cytotoxic Mechanism of the Ribotoxin α-Sarcin: Induction of Cell Death via Apoptosis. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 2001, 268, 2113–2123. [CrossRef]
- Omoto, C.; McCoy, C.W. Toxicity of Purified Fungal Toxin Hirsutellin A to the Citrus Rust Mite *Phyllocoptruta oleivora* (Ash.). *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 1998, 72, 319–322. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kjærbølling, I.; Vesth, T.; Frisvad, J.C.; Nybo, J.L.; Theobald, S.; Kildgaard, S.; Petersen, T.I.; Kuo, A.; Sato, A.; Lyhne, E.K.; et al. A Comparative Genomics Study of 23 Aspergillus Species from Section Flavi. Nat. Commun. 2020, 11, 1106. [CrossRef]
- Hamid, R.; Khan, M.A.; Ahmad, M., Ahmad, M.M.; Abdin, M.Z.; Musarrat, J.; Javed, S. Chitinases: An Update. J. Pharm. Bioallied. Sci. 2013, 5, 21–29. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Duo-Chuan, L. Review of Fungal Chitinases. Mycopathologia 2006, 161, 345–360. [CrossRef]
- 30. Anwar, W.; Javed, M.A.; Shahid, A.A.; Nawaz, K.; Akhter, A.; Ur Rehman, M.Z.; Hameed, U.; Iftikhar, S.; Haider, M.S. Chitinase Genes from *Metarhizium anisopliae* for the Control of Whitefly in Cotton. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* **2019**, *6*, 190412. [CrossRef]
- 31. Fang, W.; Leng, B.; Xiao, Y.; Jin, K.; Ma, J.; Fan, Y.; Feng, J.; Yang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Pei, Y. Cloning of *Beauveria bassiana* Chitinase Gene *Bbchit1* and Its Application To Improve Fungal Strain Virulence. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2005**, *71*, 363–370. [CrossRef]
- 32. Staats, C.C.; Kmetzsch, L.; Lubeck, I.; Junges, A.; Vainstein, M.H.; Schrank, A. *Metarhizium anisopliae* Chitinase CHIT30 Is Involved in Heat-Shock Stress and Contributes to Virulence against *Dysdercus peruvianus*. *Fungal Biol.* **2013**, *117*, 137–144. [CrossRef]
- Sun, M.; Ren, Q.; Guan, G.; Li, Y.; Han, X.; Ma, C.; Yin, H.; Luo, J. Effectiveness of *Beauveria bassiana Sensu Lato* Strains for Biological Control against *Rhipicephalus* (*Boophilus*) *microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) in China. *Parasitol. Int.* 2013, 62, 412–415. [CrossRef]
- 34. Huang, Z.; Hao, Y.; Gao, T.; Huang, Y.; Ren, S.; Keyhani, N.O. The Ifchit1 Chitinase Gene Acts as a Critical Virulence Factor in the Insect Pathogenic Fungus *Isaria fumosorosea. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2016**, *100*, 5491–5503. [CrossRef]
- 35. Xia, G.; Jin, C.; Zhou, J.; Yang, S.; Zhang, S.; Jin, C. A Novel Chitinase Having a Unique Mode of Action from *Aspergillus fumigatus* YJ-407: Chitinase from *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **2001**, *268*, 4079–4085. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chu, F.S. MYCOTOXINS | Toxicology. In Encyclopedia of Food Sciences and Nutrition; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2003; pp. 4096–4108. ISBN 978-0-12-227055-0.
- Mungamuri, S.K.; Mavuduru, V.A. Role of Epigenetic Alterations in Aflatoxin-Induced Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Liver Cancer Int.* 2020, 1, 41–50. [CrossRef]
- 38. Torres, M.; Aparicio, J.; Gómez, J.L. Aflatoxicosis: A Problem to Solve in Veterinary Medicine. Rev. Electron. Vet. 2014, 15, 021410.

- 39. Amaike, S.; Keller, N.P. Aspergillus Flavus. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 2011, 49, 107–133. [CrossRef]
- Brown, D.W.; Yu, J.H.; Kelkar, H.S.; Fernandes, M.; Nesbitt, T.C.; Keller, N.P.; Adams, T.H.; Leonard, T.J. Twenty-Five Coregulated Transcripts Define a Sterigmatocystin Gene Cluster in *Aspergillus nidulans*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1996, 93, 1418–1422. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chang, P.-K.; Cary, J.W.; Yu, J.; Bhatnagar, D.; Cleveland, T.E. The *Aspergillus parasiticus* Polyketide Synthase GenepksA, a Homolog of *Aspergillus nidulans* WA, Is Required for Aflatoxin B1 Biosynthesis. *Molec. Gen. Genet.* 1995, 248, 270–277. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Drott, M.T.; Lazzaro, B.P.; Brown, D.L.; Carbone, I.; Milgroom, M.G. Balancing Selection for Aflatoxin in *Aspergillus Flavus* Is Maintained through Interference Competition with, and Fungivory by Insects. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 2017, 284, 20172408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Chang, T.-S. An Updated Review of Tyrosinase Inhibitors. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2009, 10, 2440–2475. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Beard, R.L.; Walton, G.S. Kojic Acid as an Insecticidal Mycotoxin. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 1969, 14, 53–59. [CrossRef]
- Dowd, P.F. Kojic Acid and Esters as Insecticide Synergists. U.S. Patent No. 4,956,353, 11 September 1990.
- 46. Sarkar, R.; Arora, P.; Garg, K.V. Cosmeceuticals for Hyperpigmentation: What Is Available? *J. Cutan. Aesthet. Surg.* **2013**, *6*, 4–11. [CrossRef]
- Saeedi, M.; Eslamifar, M.; Khezri, K. Kojic Acid Applications in Cosmetic and Pharmaceutical Preparations. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 2019, 110, 582–593. [CrossRef]
- Gaxiola-Camacho, S.; García-Vázquez, Z.; Cruz-Vázquez, C.; Portillo-Loera, J.; Vázquez-Peláez, C.; Quintero-Martínez, M.T.; Rosario-Cruz, R. Comparison of Efficiency and Reproductive Aptitude Indexes between a Reference and Field Strains of the Cattle Tick *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus,* in Sinaloa, Mexico. *RBPV* 2009, *18*, 9–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Arreguin-Perez, C.A.; Miranda-Miranda, E.; Folch-Mallol, J.; Ferrara-Tijera, E.; Cossio-Bayugar, R. Complete Genome Sequence Dataset of Enthomopathogenic *Aspergillus flavus* Isolated from a Natural Infection of the Cattle-Tick *Rhipicephalus microplus*. *Data Brief* 2023, 48, 109053. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schindelin, J.; Arganda-Carreras, I.; Frise, E.; Kaynig, V.; Longair, M.; Pietzsch, T.; Preibisch, S.; Rueden, C.; Saalfeld, S.; Schmid, B.; et al. Fiji: An Open-Source Platform for Biological-Image Analysis. *Nat. Methods* 2012, *9*, 676–682. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Jain, C.; Rodriguez-R, L.M.; Phillippy, A.M.; Konstantinidis, K.T.; Aluru, S. High Throughput ANI Analysis of 90K Prokaryotic Genomes Reveals Clear Species Boundaries. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 5114. [CrossRef]
- 52. Yabe, K.; Ando, Y.; Ito, M.; Terakado, N. Simple Method for Screening Aflatoxin-Producing Molds by UV Photography. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1987**, *53*, 230–234. [CrossRef]
- 53. Terlep, S.; Dogsa, I.; Pajk, F.; Stopar, D. Biofilm Removal from In Vitro Narrow Geometries Using Single and Dual Pulse Er:YAG Laser Photoacoustic Irrigation. *Microorganisms* **2023**, *11*, 2102. [CrossRef]
- 54. Frerichs, A.B.; Huang, M.; Ortiz, S.C.; Hull, C.M. Methods for Manipulating Cryptococcus Spores. J. Fungi 2022, 8, 4. [CrossRef]
- 55. Shen, Q.; Zhou, W.; Li, H.; Hu, L.; Mo, H. ROS Involves the Fungicidal Actions of Thymol against Spores of *Aspergillus flavus* via the Induction of Nitric Oxide. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0155647. [CrossRef]
- Miranda-Miranda, E.; Osorio, J.; Cossío-Bayúgar, R. Detección de Resistencia a Los Acaricidas En La Garrapata Del Ganado Boophilus microplus Mediante Análisis de Zimogramas (Acaricide Resistance Detection in the Cattle Tick Boophilus microplus by Zymograms Analysis). Rev. Electron. Vet. 2005, VI, 1–17.
- 57. Drummond, R.O.; Ernst, S.E.; Trevino, J.L.; Gladney, W.J.; Graham, O.H. Tests of Acaricides for Control of *Boophilus annulatus* and *B. microplus* 12. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **1976**, *69*, 37–40. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing; R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria, 2022.
- Veltri, D.; Wight, M.M.; Crouch, J.A. SimpleSynteny: A Web-Based Tool for Visualization of Microsynteny across Multiple Species. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016, 44, W41–W45. [CrossRef]
- Tamano, K.; Kuninaga, M.; Kojima, N.; Umemura, M.; Machida, M.; Koike, H. Use of the KojA Promoter, Involved in Kojic Acid Biosynthesis, for Polyketide Production in *Aspergillus oryzae*: Implications for Long-Term Production. *BMC Biotechnol.* 2019, 19, 70. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 61. Blin, K.; Shaw, S.; Kloosterman, A.M.; Charlop-Powers, Z.; van Wezel, G.P.; Medema, M.H.; Weber, T. AntiSMASH 6.0: Improving Cluster Detection and Comparison Capabilities. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2021**, *49*, W29–W35. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Kim, K.U.; Kim, K.M.; Choi, Y.-H.; Hurh, B.-S.; Lee, I. Whole Genome Analysis of *Aspergillus sojae* SMF 134 Supports Its Merits as a Starter for Soybean Fermentation. *J. Microbiol.* **2019**, *57*, 874–883. [CrossRef]
- 63. Chang, P.-K. Authentication of *Aspergillus parasiticus* Strains in the Genome Database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information. *BMC Res. Notes* **2021**, *14*, 111. [CrossRef]
- Sun, H.; Liu, S.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, S.; Mao, J.; Xu, Y.; Zhou, J.; Mao, J. Safety Evaluation and Comparative Genomics Analysis of the Industrial Strain Aspergillus flavus SU-16 Used for Huangjiu Brewing. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2022, 380, 109859. [CrossRef]
- 65. Seo, J. Comparative Genomics of *Aspergillus oryzae* Strains Isolated from Korean Fermenting Starters and Other Related. *Flavi* Species. J. 2020, Unpublished.
- Cotty, P.J.; Mellon, J.E. Ecology of Aflatoxin Producing Fungi and Biocontrol of Aflatoxin Contamination. *Mycotox. Res.* 2006, 22, 110–117. [CrossRef]

- Fountain, J.C.; Scully, B.T.; Chen, Z.-Y.; Gold, S.E.; Glenn, A.E.; Abbas, H.K.; Lee, R.D.; Kemerait, R.C.; Guo, B. Effects of Hydrogen Peroxide on Different Toxigenic and Atoxigenic Isolates of *Aspergillus flavus*. *Toxins* 2015, 7, 2985–2999. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Skerker, J.M.; Pianalto, K.M.; Mondo, S.J.; Yang, K.; Arkin, A.P.; Keller, N.P.; Grigoriev, I.V.; Louise Glass, N.L. Chromosome Assembled and Annotated Genome Sequence of *Aspergillus flavus* NRRL 3357. *G3 Genes Genomes Genet.* 2021, 11, jkab213. [CrossRef]
- 69. Taylor, J.; Goeker, M.; Pitt, J. Choosing One Name for Pleomorphic Fungi: The Example of *Aspergillus* versus *Eurotium*, *Neosartorya* and *Emericella*. *Taxon* **2016**, *65*, 593–601. [CrossRef]
- Xu, Y.; Vinas, M.; Alsarrag, A.; Su, L.; Pfohl, K.; Rohlfs, M.; Schäfer, W.; Chen, W.; Karlovsky, P. Bis-Naphthopyrone Pigments Protect Filamentous Ascomycetes from a Wide Range of Predators. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, 10, 3579. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 71. Butler, A.R.; O'donnell, R.W.; Martin, V.J.; Gooday, G.W.; Stark, M.J.R. *Kluyveromyces lactis* Toxin Has an Essential Chitinase Activity. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1991**, 199, 483–488. [CrossRef]
- 72. Tribelhorn, K.; Twarużek, M.; Soszczyńska, E.; Rau, J.; Baschien, C.; Straubinger, R.K.; Ebel, F.; Ulrich, S. Production of Satratoxin G and H Is Tightly Linked to Sporulation in *Stachybotrys chartarum*. *Toxins* **2022**, *14*, 515. [CrossRef]
- 73. Cary, J.W.; Harris-Coward, P.Y.; Ehrlich, K.C.; Di Mavungu, J.D.; Malysheva, S.V.; De Saeger, S.; Dowd, P.F.; Shantappa, S.; Martens, S.L.; Calvo, A.M. Functional Characterization of a VeA-Dependent Polyketide Synthase Gene in *Aspergillus flavus* Necessary for the Synthesis of Asparasone, a Sclerotium-Specific Pigment. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 2014, 64, 25–35. [CrossRef]
- Jia, L.; Tu, X.; He, K.; Wang, C.; Yin, S.; Zhou, Y.; Chen, W. Monascorubrin and Rubropunctatin: Preparation and Reaction Characteristics with Amines. *Dye. Pigment.* 2019, 170, 107629. [CrossRef]
- 75. Song, Z.; Cox, R.J.; Lazarus, C.M.; Simpson, T.J. Fusarin C Biosynthesis in *Fusarium moniliforme* and *Fusarium venenatum*. *ChemBioChem* **2004**, *5*, 1196–1203. [CrossRef]
- Chang, P.-K.; Ehrlich, K.; Fujii, I. Cyclopiazonic Acid Biosynthesis of Aspergillus flavus and Aspergillus oryzae. Toxins 2009, 1, 74–99. [CrossRef]
- Jayasuriya, H.; Silverman, K.C.; Zink, D.L.; Jenkins, R.G.; Sanchez, M.; Pelaez, F.; Vilella, D.; Lingham, R.B.; Singh, S.B. Clavaric Acid: A Triterpenoid Inhibitor of Farnesyl-Protein Transferase from *Clavariadelphus t Runcatus*. J. Nat. Prod. 1998, 61, 1568–1570. [CrossRef]
- Tang, M.; Zou, Y.; Yee, D.; Tang, Y. Identification of the Pyranonigrin A Biosynthetic Gene Cluster by Genome Mining in *Penicillium Thymicola* IBT 5891. AIChE J. 2018, 64, 4182–4186. [CrossRef]
- 79. Miller, E.L. The Penicillins: A Review And Update. J. Midwifery Women's Health 2002, 47, 426–434. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Monti, F.; Ripamonti, F.; Hawser, S.P.; Islam, K. Aspirochlorine: A Highly Selective and Potent Inhibitor of Fungal Protein Synthesis. J. Antibiot. 1999, 52, 311–318. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shao, L.; Qu, X.-D.; Jia, X.-Y.; Zhao, Q.-F.; Tian, Z.-H.; Wang, M.; Tang, G.-L.; Liu, W. Cloning and Characterization of a Bacterial Iterative Type I Polyketide Synthase Gene Encoding the 6-Methylsalicyclic Acid Synthase. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2006, 345, 133–139. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bonsch, B.; Belt, V.; Bartel, C.; Duensing, N.; Koziol, M.; Lazarus, C.M.; Bailey, A.M.; Simpson, T.J.; Cox, R.J. Identification of Genes Encoding Squalestatin S1 Biosynthesis and in Vitro Production of New Squalestatin Analogues. *Chem. Commun.* 2016, 52, 6777–6780. [CrossRef]
- 83. Ehrlich, K. Non-Aflatoxigenic *Aspergillus flavus* to Prevent Aflatoxin Contamination in Crops: Advantages and Limitations. *Front. Microbiol.* **2014**, *5*, 50. [CrossRef]
- Frazzon, A.P.G.; Vaz Junior, I.d.S.; Masuda, A.; Schrank, A.; Vainstein, M.H. In Vitro Assessment of *Metarhizium anisopliae* Isolates to Control the Cattle Tick *Boophilus microplus*. Vet. Parasitol. 2000, 94, 117–125. [CrossRef]
- Ojeda-Chi, M.M.; Rodriguez-Vivas, R.I.; Galindo-Velasco, E.; Lezama-Gutiérrrez, R. Laboratory and Field Evaluation of *Metarhiz-ium anisopliae* (Deuteromycotina: Hyphomycetes) for the Control of *Rhipicephalus microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) in the Mexican Tropics. *Vet. Parasitol.* 2010, 170, 348–354. [CrossRef]
- 86. Ruvalcaba, M.F.; Padilla, A.M.B.; Vázquez, C.C.; Hernández, V.M. Evaluación de cepas de *Beauveria bassiana* y *Metarhizium anisopliae* sobre la inhibición de oviposición, eclosión y potencial reproductivo en una cepa triple resistente de garrapata *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Canestrini) (Acari: Ixodidae). *Entomotropica* **2010**, 25, 109–115.
- 87. Rosfarizan, M.; Ariff, A.B.; Hassan, M.A.; Karim, M.I. Kojic Acid Production by *Aspergillus flavus* Using Gelatinized and Hydrolyzed Sago Starch as Carbon Sources. *Folia Microbiol.* **1998**, 43, 459–464. [CrossRef]
- Fan, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Yang, X.; Pei, X.; Guo, S.; Pei, Y. Expression of a *Beauveria bassiana* Chitinase (Bbchit1) in *Escherichia coli* and *Pichia pastoris*. *Protein Expr. Purif.* 2007, 56, 93–99. [CrossRef]
- da Silva, M.V.; Santi, L.; Staats, C.C.; da Costa, A.M.; Colodel, E.M.; Driemeier, D.; Vainstein, M.H.; Schrank, A. Cuticle-Induced Endo/Exoacting Chitinase CHIT30 from *Metarhizium anisopliae* Is Encoded by an Ortholog of the Chi3 Gene. *Res. Microbiol.* 2005, 156, 382–392. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nemec, P. The Inhibitory Effect Of Kojic Acid And Its Two Derivatives On The Development Of Drosophila melanogaster. Biologia 1977, 32, 417–421.
- 91. Ariff, A.B.; Rosfarizan, M.; Herng, L.S.; Madihah, S.; Karim, M.I.A. Kinetics and Modelling of Kojic Acid Production by *Aspergillus flavus* Link in Batch Fermentation and Resuspended Mycelial System. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **1997**, *13*, 195–201. [CrossRef]
- Chib, S.; Jamwal, V.L.; Kumar, V.; Gandhi, S.G.; Saran, S. Fungal Production of Kojic Acid and Its Industrial Applications. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2023, 107, 2111–2130. [CrossRef]

- Lacadena, J.; Álvarez-García, E.; Carreras-Sangrà, N.; Herrero-Galán, E.; Alegre-Cebollada, J.; García-Ortega, L.; Oñaderra, M.; Gavilanes, J.G.; Martínez del Pozo, Á. Fungal Ribotoxins: Molecular Dissection of a Family of Natural Killers. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 2007, *31*, 212–237. [CrossRef]
- 94. Olombrada, M.; Medina, P.; Budia, F.; Gavilanes, J.G.; Martínez-Del-Pozo, Á.; García-Ortega, L. Characterization of a New Toxin from the Entomopathogenic Fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae*: The Ribotoxin Anisoplin. *Biol. Chem.* **2017**, *398*, 135–142. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.