

966 **Appendix 1**

967 The correlation matrices were calculated for each of the four regional hydrogeochemical pole
968 (RHP) obtained with the classification of the samples of the regional dataset (321 samples) based
969 on the type of aquifer from which the groundwater was collected (bedrock or granular aquifers)
970 and the anionic facies of the groundwater (Cl^- or HCO_3^-). Therefore, four correlation matrices are
971 presented:

- 972 1. **Correlation matrix 1:** Correlations for **RHP 3** (bicarbonate groundwater from bedrock
973 aquifers; 124 samples)
- 974 2. **Correlation matrix 2:** Correlations for **RHP 1** (bicarbonate groundwater from granular
975 aquifers; 132 samples)
- 976 3. **Correlation matrix 3:** Correlations for **RHP 4** (chloride groundwater from bedrock
977 aquifers; 46 samples);
- 978 4. **Correlation matrix 4:** Correlations for **RHP 2** (chloride groundwater from granular
979 aquifers; 19 samples).

980 The correlation matrix were generated using the software Statistica version 6.1 (Statsoft Inc., Ok,
981 USA; 2013) and were investigated in order to identify chemical elements which are correlated to
982 chemical elements highlighted as regional anomalies of groundwater quality (CERM-PACES, 2013:
983 F^- , Mn^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Ba^{2+} , Al^{3+}) as well as others based on their discriminating potential as indicated by
984 the correlation matrices. Significant correlations are defined using the Neyman-Pearson approach
985 (p -value < 0.05). Only significant values are presented in the correlation matrices.

986 The figures represent a summary of the geochemical interrelationships in the four data sets. In
987 order to fully understand all of the strong correlation presented, considerably more experimental
988 water-rock interaction studies are required.