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#### Abstract

The rotordynamics of a helical-geared rotor-bearing system were investigated. A new dynamic model for a helical-geared rotor-bearing system, which takes into account threedimensional (3-D) motion due to rotating shaft deformation, was proposed. The proposed model considers the time-varying effect, which in other models, is considered constant. The system equations of motion were obtained by applying Lagrange's equation, and the dynamic responses were computed by the fourth-order Runge-Kutta method. The time-varying dynamic responses of the helix angle, transverse pressure angle, gear pair center distance, and total contact ratio were investigated. The numerical results show that the time-varying effect is an important factor in gear vibration analysis and cannot be neglected when the helical geared rotor-bearing system has a lower stiffness.
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## 1. Introduction

The gear system is one of the most important transmission mechanisms and is commonly used in gas turbines, automotives, generators, electrical products, and aerospace applications. The geared rotor system is the main source of vibration problems in power transmission systems. Rotor dynamics analysis is extremely important in the above-mentioned fields of application involving the design of vibrating machinery. Therefore, a more realistic dynamic model of the helical-geared rotor-bearing system for prediction of the vibration response is necessary.

Many researchers have analyzed the dynamics of the gear pair system. Lalanne and Ferraris [1] predicted the dynamic behavior of rotors in bending and in torsion. They also discussed the influence of bending, and the possible instabilities were determined. Umezawa et al. [2] proposed a simulator which solves a differential equation with one degree of freedom in measuring the effects of the stiffness around tooth tip meshing, which facilitates deriving a profile of a spur gear that decreases vibration. Kahraman and Singh [3] used the harmonic balance method to develop a frequency response solution to the gear pair. Kahraman and Singh [4] also explored the nonlinear correlation between the radial clearance and the backlash between the spur gear pair in radial rolling bearing. They assumed that the gear meshing stiffness is linear and does not change with time. Huang and Liu [5] simulated the spur gear teeth action with a variable section Timoshenko beam. They subjected the gear pair to dynamic analysis with nonlinear contact stiffness.

Kahraman [6] developed a linear dynamic model of a helical gear pair which has been used to investigate the effects of the helix angle on the free and forced vibrational characteristics of the gear pair. Kubur et al. [7] proposed a dynamic model of a multi-shaft helical gear which could enable designers to predict the dynamic behavior of the system and provide the most advantageous
configuration for optimal dynamic behavior. Zhang et al. [8] developed two-gear dynamic models, one with twelve degrees of freedom and the other with a multi-shaft geared rotor system. Bozca [9] investigated the helix angle effect on the helical gear-load carrying capacity. Both the overlap contact ratio and total contact ratio were calculated by changing the helix angle.

About forty years ago, a specific numerical calculation procedure, the finite element method (FEM), which provides more accurate modeling, was used for analyzing geared rotor-bearing systems. Nelson [10] used the Timoshenko beam theory and the finite element method to calculate the effect of shear deformation and rotatory inertia. Kahraman et al. [11] developed a finite element model of a spur-geared rotor system supported with flexible bearings. The model included the rotary inertia of shaft elements, flexibility and damping of bearings, material damping of shafts, and stiffness and damping of the gear mesh. However, their model did not consider the effect of the gear pair pressure angle. Shiau et al. [12] analyzed the coupled bending and torsional vibrations of geared rotors as well as the effect of axial torque on bending vibrations. Choi and Mau [13] employed a transfer matrix model to determine the coupled bending-torsional natural frequencies and mode shapes of a spur-geared rotor-bearing system. Steady-state responses due to excitation of mass imbalance, geometric eccentricity, and gear mesh transmission error were also investigated. Chen [14] discussed the dynamic responses of a double-stage geared rotor-bearing system with translational motion due to the rotating shaft deformation. The proposed model considers contact ratio and pressure angle of the gear pair as time-dependent variables, whereas in other models, they are constants. Prabel [15] investigated the application of time integration methods to rotor-stator assembly modeled. Both the average acceleration method and the central differences method were used to discuss numerical instability.

Gears have been widely used in industrial applications over the years, taking into account that time-varying variables or time-varying gear mesh stiffness could improve gear system accuracy. Ozguven and Houser [16] approximated time-varying mesh stiffness by using a constant mesh stiffness with a transmission error excitation. Kim et al. [17] developed a new dynamic model of the spur gear pair which considered the pressure angle and contact ratio as time-varying. Saxena et al. [18] discussed the effect of the time-varying friction coefficient on the total effective mesh stiffness for the spur gear pair and indicated that the gear mesh stiffness changed due to the change in direction of the time-varying friction on both sides of the pitch line. A time-varying mesh stiffness model of the helical gear pair, including axial tooth torsional stiffness, tooth bending stiffness, and gear foundation stiffness, was developed by Wang et al. [19]. In an analysis of the spur gear pair dynamics system, Yi et al. [20] considered that both the pressure angle and the backlash change over time.

Although some nonlinear factors have been incorporated into the dynamic analysis of the gear system, few studies have considered the time-varying behavior in nonlinear dynamic modeling. There have been some studies on the time-varying meshing of the spur gear pair [18] and helical gear pair [19], the time-varying dynamic characteristics of the spur gear pair [17,20], and the spur-geared rotor-bearing system [14]. However, the dynamic characteristics of a helical-geared rotor-bearing system with time-varying effects have not been studied. In general, in nonlinear dynamic model analysis of the helical-geared rotor-bearing system, in order to facilitate modeling, previous studies have regarded the gear pair center distance, helix angle, and gear contact ratio as constants. In fact, when the gear pair has translational motion due to rotating shaft deformation, the center distance of the gear pair will change, which will then cause changes in the line of action, helix angle, and contact ratio. This study introduces a novel dynamic model for a helical-geared rotor-bearing system with 3D (3-dimensional) motion due to rotating shaft deformation, which includes the time-varying dynamic characteristics of the helix angle, transverse pressure angle, gear pair center distance, and total contact ratio.

## 2. Dynamic Model of a Helical-Geared Rotor-Bearing System

The schematic of a helical-geared rotor-bearing system is shown in Figure 1. Two uniform flexible shafts are of lengths $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. An external torque $M$ is applied to shaft 1 , and the gear pair is
mounted on the shafts. The distances from gear to bearing are denoted as $x_{d 1}$ and $x_{d 2}$. The contacting mesh force is represented by the gear mesh stiffness coefficient $k_{m}$ and damping coefficient $c_{m}$ along the pressure line. Four bearings are modeled as flexible elements with damping $c_{b i}$ and stiffness $k_{b i}$.


Figure 1. Configuration of the helical-geared rotor-bearing system.

### 2.1. Shaft

A two-noded element [12] was used to develop the model of the shafts presented in this paper. Six degrees of freedom ( $V, W, U, B, \Gamma$, and $\alpha$ ) are considered at each nodal point of the shaft and shown in Figure 2, where $V$ and $W$ are lateral displacements, $B$ and $\Gamma$ are the corresponding angular displacements, $U$ is the axial displacement, and $\alpha$ is the corresponding angular displacement. The kinetic energy of the shaft including the axial and torsional motion is

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{s} & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l}\left\{\rho A\left[\left(\dot{V}_{s}\right)^{2}+\left(\dot{W}_{s}\right)^{2}+\left(\dot{U}_{s}\right)^{2}\right]+\rho I_{s D}\left[\left(\dot{B}_{s}\right)^{2}+\left(\dot{\Gamma}_{s}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} d s+\frac{1}{2}\left(\Omega+\dot{\alpha}_{s}\right) \int_{0}^{l} \rho I_{s P}\left(\dot{B}_{s} \Gamma_{s}-\dot{\Gamma}_{s} B_{s}\right) d s \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l} \rho I_{s P}\left(\Omega+\dot{\alpha}_{s}\right)^{2} d s \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho, A, I_{s D}, \Omega, l$, and $I_{s P}$ are the mass density, cross-section area, transverse moment of inertia, spin speed, element length, and polar moment of inertia of the shaft, respectively. The rotating shafts are modeled as Timoshenko beams and are considered to have shear and gyroscopic effects. The total potential energy of the shaft, including axial, bending, shear, and torsional deflection, is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{s}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l} E A\left(U_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{2} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l}\left\{E I_{s D}\left[\left(V_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+\left(W_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l}\left\{\kappa G A\left[\left(V_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(W_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l} G I_{s P}\left(\alpha_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{2} d s \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa, E$, and $G$ are the shear factor, Young's modulus, and shear modulus of the shaft, respectively. The equation of motion of the finite shaft element can be derived by substituting kinetic energy (1) and strain energy (2) into Lagrange's equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[M_{s}\right]\left\{\ddot{q}_{s}\right\}+\left(\Omega\left[G_{s}\right]+\left[C_{s}\right]\right)\left\{\dot{q}_{s}\right\}+\left[K_{s}\right]\left\{q_{s}\right\}=\{0\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[M_{s}\right],\left[G_{s}\right]$, and $\left[K_{s}\right]$ are the mass, gyroscopic, and stiffness matrices of the shaft element, respectively, and $\left[\mathrm{C}_{s}\right]=\gamma\left[\mathrm{K}_{s}\right]$ where $\gamma$ is the proportional damping coefficient. The details of these matrices are given in Shiau et al. [12].


Figure 2. Shaft element and coordinate system.

### 2.2. Bearing Support

In the mechanical application of industrial use, the geared rotor system is generally supported with journal bearing. In this paper, it is recommended that the thrust bearing resists axial forces in a helical-geared rotor system. The four thrust-bearing supports are considered to be isotropic in the lateral direction. The potential energy and dissipation function, including the axial displacement effect of the thrust bearing support, can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{b} & =\frac{1}{2} K_{y y}^{b} V_{b}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} K_{z z}^{b} W_{b}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} K_{x x}^{b} U_{b}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} K_{\theta y}^{b} B_{b}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} K_{\theta z}^{b} \Gamma_{b}^{2}  \tag{4}\\
f_{b} & =\frac{1}{2} C_{y y}^{b} \dot{V}_{b}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} C_{z z}^{b} \dot{W}_{b}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} C_{x x}^{b} \dot{U}_{b}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} C_{\theta y}^{b} \dot{B}_{b}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} C_{\theta z}^{b} \dot{\Gamma}_{b}^{2} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The equation of motion of the thrust bearing can be derived by substituting strain energy (4) and dissipation function (5) into Lagrange's equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[C_{b}\right]\left\{\dot{q}_{b}\right\}+\left[K_{b}\right]\left\{q_{b}\right\}=\{0\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[\mathrm{C}_{b}\right]$ and $\left[K_{b}\right]$ are the damping and stiffness matrices of one bearing, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{q_{b}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
V_{b} & W_{b} & U_{b} & B_{b} & \Gamma_{b} & \alpha_{b}
\end{array}\right]^{T}  \tag{7}\\
{\left[C_{b}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
C_{y y} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & C_{z z} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & C_{x x} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & C_{\theta y} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{\theta z} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]}  \tag{8}\\
{\left[K_{b}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
K_{y y} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & K_{z z} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & K_{x x} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & K_{\theta y} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & K_{\theta z} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]} \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 2.3. Disk and Helical Gear Pair

In this paper, the gear pair is assumed to be two rigid disks. The kinetic energy including the axial motion of each disk can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{d}=\frac{1}{2} m_{d}\left[\left(\dot{V}_{d}\right)^{2}+\left(\dot{W}_{d}\right)^{2}+\left(\dot{U}_{d}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2} I_{d D}\left[\left(\dot{B}_{s}\right)^{2}+\left(\dot{\Gamma}_{s}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2} I_{d P}\left(\Omega+\dot{\alpha}_{d}\right)\left(\dot{B}_{d} \Gamma_{d}-\dot{\Gamma}_{d} B_{d}\right)+\frac{1}{2} I_{d P}\left(\Omega+\dot{\alpha}_{d}\right)^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{d}, I_{d D}$, and $I_{d P}$ are the mass, transverse mass moment of inertia, and polar mass moment of inertia of the disk, respectively. The equation of motion of each disk can be derived as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[M_{d}\right]\left\{\ddot{q}_{d}\right\}+\Omega\left[G_{d}\right]\left\{\dot{q}_{d}\right\}+\left[K_{d}\right]\left\{q_{d}\right\}=\left\{F_{d}\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left\{q_{d}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
V_{d} & W_{d} & U_{d} & B_{d} & \Gamma_{d} & \alpha_{d} \tag{12}
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$

and $\left[M_{d}\right]$ and $\left[G_{d}\right]$ are the respective mass and gyroscopic matrices of one gear, and $\left\{F_{d}\right\}$ is the force vector due to disk eccentricity.

Figure 3 describes a helical gear dynamic model with 12 generalized coordinates. $O_{1}$ and $O_{2}$ are the gear centers when the rotating shafts have no deformation; $d_{0}$ is the initial gear pair center distance; $\beta_{0}$ is the initial helix angle of the gear pair. In most previous studies [1~13,15~16], these values are regarded as constant when they are time-independent. Generally, the gear centers will change with time due to the vibration of the rotating shafts. The gear centers can move to $C_{1}$ and $C_{2} . G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are the mass centers of the pinion and gear, respectively. Due to the vibrations of the rotating shafts, the centers of the gear and pinion would shift, and displacements ( $V_{d}, W_{d}, U_{d}, B_{d}, \Gamma_{d}$, and $\alpha_{d}$ ) would change with time. This makes the center distance, helix angle, transverse pressure angle, relative position angle, contact ratio, and the relative displacement of the gear pair vary with time. In other words, due to the time-varying motions of pinion and gear, the gear pair center distance and the helix angle are time-dependent; therefore, the gear pair center distance is changed from $d_{0}$ to $d$ and expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(t)=\sqrt{\left(V_{d 2}(t)-V_{d 1}(t)+d_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(W_{d 2}(t)-W_{d 1}(t)\right)^{2}+\left(U_{d 2}(t)-U_{d 1}(t)\right)^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the helix angle is changed from $\beta_{0}$ to $\beta(t)$, which is represented as

$$
\beta(t)=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\pi d(t)}{p_{z}}, \beta(t) \begin{cases}>0 & \text { if the gear has left hand teeth }  \tag{14}\\ <0 & \text { if the gear has right hand teeth }\end{cases}
$$

where $p_{z}$ is the lead of the helical gear pair. $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are the rotating speeds of the pinion and the gear, respectively. The transverse geometrical eccentricities are denoted by $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$. $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ are the transverse eccentricity angles of the transverse plane. The gear mesh force along the pressure line can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{h}(t)=c_{m} \dot{\delta}(t)+k_{m}(t) \delta(t) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The damping coefficient $c_{m}$ is assumed to be zero. The gear mesh stiffness is accounted for by transverse tooth bending stiffness, transverse tooth shear stiffness, transverse tooth radial compressive stiffness, transverse gear foundation stiffness, Hertzian contact stiffness, axial tooth bending stiffness, axial tooth torsional stiffness, and axial gear foundation stiffness. The gear mesh stiffness is assumed as a periodic function of the mesh period. In the mesh situation, there are three teeth contacts and two teeth contacts, and the gear mesh stiffness will be different. The details of the gear mesh stiffness are shown in Wang et al. [19]. The relative displacement of the gear mesh in a direction perpendicular to contact surfaces is represented by $\delta(t)$ and defined as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta(t)=\left[V_{d 1}(t) \sin \psi(t)-V_{d 2}(t) \sin \psi(t)+W_{d 1}(t) \cos \psi(t)-W_{d 2}(t) \cos \psi(t)+R_{1} \alpha_{d 1}(t)+R_{2} \alpha_{d 2}(t)\right] \cos \beta(t) \\
& \quad+\left[U_{2}(t)-U_{1}(t)+R_{1} B_{d 1}(t) \sin \psi(t)+R_{2} B_{d 2}(t) \sin \psi(t)+R_{1} \Gamma_{d 1}(t) \cos \psi(t)+R_{2} \Gamma_{d 2}(t) \cos \psi(t)\right] \sin \beta(t) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are the radii of base circles for the pinion and the gear, respectively. $\psi(t)$ is the angle between the plane of action and the positive $y$-axis and is defined as:

$$
\psi(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\phi(t)-\Phi(t), & \text { counterclockwise }  \tag{17}\\
-\phi(t)-\Phi(t), & \text { clockwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\phi(t)$ is the transverse pressure angle of the gear pair and is expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)=\cos ^{-1} \frac{R_{1}+R_{2}}{\sqrt{\left(V_{d 2}(t)-V_{d 1}(t)+d_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(W_{d 2}(t)-W_{d 1}(t)\right)^{2}}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Phi(t)$ is the relative position angle of the gear pair. It is between the line connecting the center of the gear pair and the positive $x$-axis and is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t)=\tan ^{-1} \frac{W_{d 2}(t)-W_{d 1}(t)}{V_{d 2}(t)-V_{d 1}(t)+d_{0}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3. Generalized coordinates for the helical gear pair.
According to the definition of the total contact ratio for a helical gear pair, the time-varying motions of the rotating shafts will also lead to changes in the contact ratio. The total contact ratio [9] becomes time-varying and can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p}(t)=\frac{\sqrt{A_{1}^{2}-R_{1}^{2}}+\sqrt{A_{2}^{2}-R_{2}^{2}}-d(t) \sin \phi(t)+b \cos \phi(t) \tan \beta(t)}{p_{t} \cos \phi(t)} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are the radius of the addendum circles for the driving gear and driven gear, and $p_{t}$ and $b$ are the transverse circular pitch and face width, respectively.

The corresponding equation of motion of the helical gear pair can be expressed as:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[M_{d 1}\right]} & 0  \tag{21}\\
0 & {\left[M_{d 2}\right]}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\ddot{q}_{d}\right\}+\Omega_{1}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[G_{d 1}\right]} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{N_{t 1}}{N_{t 2}}\left[G_{d 2}\right]
\end{array}\right]\left\{\dot{q}_{d}\right\}+k_{m}\left[S_{h}\right]\left\{q_{d}\right\}=\left\{F_{d}\right\}
$$

where $\left[M_{d 1}\right]$ and $\left[M_{d 2}\right]$ are mass matrices of driving and driven gears, and $\left[G_{d 1}\right]$ and $\left[G_{d 2}\right]$ are gyroscopic matrices. $N_{t 1}$ and $N_{t 2}$ are the teeth number of driving and driven gears. $\left[S_{h}\right]$ is the stiffness term due to the gear mesh effect. $\left\{F_{d}\right\}$ is the force vector due to disk eccentricity.

### 2.4. System Equation of Motion

Equations (3), (6), and (21) can be combined for the entire helical-geared rotor-bearing system, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[M_{s s}\right]\left\{\ddot{q}_{s s}\right\}+\left(\Omega\left[G_{s s}\right]+\left[C_{s s}\right]\right)\left\{\dot{q}_{s s}\right\}+\left[K_{s s}\right]\left\{q_{s s}\right\}=\left\{F_{s s}\right\} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[M_{s s}\right],\left[G_{s s}\right],\left[C_{s s}\right],\left[K_{s s}\right],\left\{F_{s s}\right\}$, and $\left\{q_{s s}\right\}$ represent the system mass matrix, gyroscopic effect matrix, damping matrix, stiffness matrix, force vector, and displacement vector, respectively.

## 3. Validation and Numerical Analysis

### 3.1. Dynamic Model Validation

In order to verify the accuracy of the proposed model, I chose two cases for verification. In case 1, Kim et al.'s [14] time-varying spur gear pair system was applied to the time-varying validation. The material parameters are shown in Table 1. All the responses in this study were computed by the fourth-order Runge-Kutta method, and the time step size was selected as $\Delta t=10^{-9} \mathrm{sec}$. As can be seen from Figure 4, the time responses obtained from the proposed model are similar to Kim et al.'s [17] results. The amplitude and the periodic of the time responses are accurate. In case 2, Zhang et al.'s [8] spur-geared rotor-bearing system was applied to validate the correctness of the geared rotorbearing model. The spur-geared rotor-bearing system is shown in Figure 5, and Zhang et al.'s [8] values for the system parameters are listed in Table 2. Both the results presented here and by Zhang et al. [8] of the natural frequencies and corresponding mode shapes are listed in Table 3 for comparison. It is shown that the maximum relative error is less than 0.8 percent. This means that the results of this study are in good agreement with those of Zhang et al. [8].

In summary, the proposed new model is effective for the time-varying spur gear pair and the geared rotor-bearing system.

Table 1. Spur gear pair parameters.

| Parameters | Pinion | Gear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tooth number | 20 | 30 |
| Base circle radius (mm) | 18.8 | 28.2 |
| Addendum circle radius (mm) | 22 | 32 |
| Mass (kg) | 0.0784 | 0.1765 |
| Mass moment of inertia $\left(\mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $1.39 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.01 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Radial damping coefficient $(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{m})$ | 5.6 | 8.4 |
| Mesh stiffness during one-pair contact (N/m) | $0.75 \times 10^{8}$ |  |
| Mesh stiffness during two-pair contact $(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m})$ | $1.25 \times 10^{8}$ |  |



Figure 4. Gear mesh deformations from the proposed model.


Figure 5. Dynamic model of the spur-geared rotor-bearing system.
Table 2. Spur-geared rotor system parameters.

| Shaft |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameters | Driving Shaft | Driven Shaft |
| Shaft length (mm) | 254 | 254 |
| Outer diameter (mm) | 37 | 37 |
| Inner diameter (mm) | 0 | 10 |
| Modulus of elasticity (Gpa) | 207.8 |  |
| Density of material ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | 7806 |  |
| Poisson's ratio | 0.3 |  |
| Gear |  |  |
| Parameters | Driving Gear | Driven Gear |
| Tooth number | 28 | 28 |
| Base circle radius (mm) | 44.5 | 44.5 |
| Mass (kg) | 1.84 | 1.84 |
| Mass moment of inertia (kg-m²) | 0.0009 | 0.0009 |
| Polar mass moment of inertia ( $\mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 0.0018 | 0.0018 |
| Gear mesh stiffness (N/m) | $1 \times 10^{8}$ |  |
| Bearing Parameters |  |  |
| All radial bearing stiffness ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}$ ) | $1 \times 10^{9}$ |  |

Table 3. Natural frequencies and corresponding mode shapes.

| Mode No. | Natural Frequency (rad/s) |  | Relative Errors (\%) | Mode Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Present | Ref. [8] |  |  |
| 1 | 3574 | 3583 | -0.26 | coupled lateral-torsional |
| 2 | 4225 | 4237 | -0.29 | 1st lateral, Y-direction, driving shaft |
| 3 | 4237 | 4245 | -0.20 | coupled lateral-torsional |
| 4 | 4250 | 4246 | 0.09 | 1st lateral, Z-direction, driven shaft |
| 5 | 15,804 | 15,816 | -0.08 | coupled lateral-torsional |
| 6 | 20,643 | 20,796 | -0.74 | 2nd lateral, Y-direction, driving shaft |
| 7 | 20,643 | 20,796 | -0.74 | 2nd lateral, Z-direction, driving shaft |
| 8 | 20,978 | 21,084 | -0.50 | 2nd lateral, Y-direction, driven shaft |
| 9 | 20,978 | 21,084 | -0.50 | 2nd lateral, Z-direction, driven shaft |
| 10 | 38,093 | 38,336 | -0.64 | 3rd lateral, Y-direction, driving shaft |
| 11 | 38,143 | 38,374 | -0.60 | coupled lateral-torsional |
| 12 | 38,235 | 38,432 | -0.51 | 3rd lateral, Y-direction, driven shaft |
| 13 | 38,406 | 38,614 | -0.54 | coupled lateral-torsional |

### 3.2. Time-Varying Effect of the Helical-Geared Rotor-Bearing System

Although many dynamic models for the helical-geared rotor-bearing system have been presented, no researchers have simultaneously considered the time-varying effect due to rotating shaft deformation in their dynamic models. In order to simplify the dynamic model of the helical gear system, the previous models considered the helix angle, gear pair center distance, and contact ratio as constant values. The results that simulate a gear pair system by using constant dynamic characteristic values are usually rough and impractical. This section presents the results of timevarying numerical simulations for a helical-geared rotor-bearing system. This time-varying effect can lead to different dynamic characteristics, which in the previous models are usually ignored. The driving shaft was subjected to a fixed torque $M=500 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{m}$ and $\Omega_{1}=3000 \mathrm{rpm}$. Other parameter values given in Table 4 were used in the calculations for the helical-geared rotor-bearing system. In Figure 6, solid lines show the time-histories of the gear pair helix angle, transverse pressure angle, center distance, and total contact ratio. As shown in this figure, the fluctuation magnitudes of the helix angle are around 20.079 degrees, of the transverse pressure angle around 20.67 degrees, of the gear pair center distance around 52.298 mm , and of the total contact ratio around 2.4476 . The dashed lines are the values when these dynamic characteristics are assumed to be constant. The difference values between the time-varying and constant conditions present the influence of the time-varying effect.

Table 4. Helical-geared rotor-bearing system parameters.

|  | Shaft |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameters | Driving Shaft | Driven Shaft |  |
| Shaft length (mm) | 254 | 254 |  |
| Outer diameter (mm) | 37 | 37 |  |
| Inner diameter (mm) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Modulus of elasticity (Gpa) |  | 207.8 |  |
| Density of material (kg/m$)$ |  | 7806 |  |
| Poisson's ratio |  | 0.3 |  |
| Parameters |  |  |  |
| Tooth number |  | Driving Gear | Driven Gear |
| Base circle radius (mm) | 28 | 28 |  |
| Addendum circle radius (mm) | 24.4 | 24.4 |  |
| Mass (kg) | 28.4 | 28.4 |  |


| Mass moment of inertia $\left(\mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | 0.0009 |  | 0.0009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Polar mass moment of inertia $\left(\mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | 0.0018 | 0.0018 |  |
| Face width $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 17.4 |  | 17.4 |
| Pitch diameter $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 52.07 |  | 52.07 |
| Initial transverse pressure angle $($ degree $)$ |  | 20 |  |
| Initial helix angle (degree) | 20 |  |  |
| esh stiffness during two teeth contact $(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m})$ | $1.9 \times 10^{8}$ |  |  |
| sh stiffness during three teeth contact $(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m})$ | $2.4 \times 10^{8}$ |  |  |

Bearing Parameters

| All radial bearing stiffness $(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m})$ | $2 \times 10^{7}$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| All axial bearing stiffness $(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m})$ | $1 \times 10^{7}$ |


(a)

(c)

(b)

(d)

Figure 6. Time-varying and constant (a) helix angle, (b) transverse pressure angle, (c) gear pair center distance, and (d) total contact ratio.

### 3.3. Time-Varying Effect of the Original Helix Angle

As can be seen in Figure 6, the difference value between the time-varying and the constant conditions is around 0.793 degrees for the helix angle, around 0.671 degrees for the transverse pressure angle, around 0.2243 mm for the gear pair center distance, and around 0.01696 for the total contact ratio. In this section, the difference values between the time-varying and the constant conditions under five different original helix angles are discussed. In the real helical gear systems, the range of helix angle is about 15-35 degrees. The results of the difference values are listed in Table 5. With the original helix angle raised, the difference values between the time-varying and the constant conditions of the helix angle, the transverse pressure angle, the gear pair center distance, and the total contact ratio are enhanced, especially the helix angle and total contact ratio. The timevarying variables have a greater effect on the higher initial helix angle and lower effect on the lower initial helix angle. This demonstrates that the time-varying variables of the higher initial helix angle are not negligible.

Table 5. The difference values between the time-varying and the constant conditions.

| Initial Helix Angle (Degree) | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | The Difference Values between Time-Varying |  |  |  |  |
|  | and Constant Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| Helix angle | 0.061 | 0.0793 | 0.0954 | 0.1092 | 0.1203 |
| Transverse pressure angle | 0.666 | 0.671 | 0.675 | 0.681 | 0.686 |
| Gear pair center distance | 0.2218 | 0.2243 | 0.2265 | 0.2295 | 0.2332 |
| Total contact ratio | 0.0125 | 0.01696 | 0.02173 | 0.02689 | 0.03264 |

### 3.4. Time-Varying Effect of the Rotating Shaft Material and Outer Diameter

In order to analyze the time-varying effect with different rotating shaft materials, three cases of shaft material are discussed in this section. Case 1 is for steel, case 2 is for copper, and case 3 is for aluminum alloys. Table 6 lists the corresponding rotating shaft material parameters. Figure 7 presents the time histories for the helix angle, transverse pressure angle, gear pair center distance, and the total contact ratio. The difference values between the time-varying values with different rotating shaft materials and the constant values can be observed. If the rotating shaft is softer, the difference values between the time-varying values and the constant values are enhanced. This indicates that the timevarying effect has more influence on soft rotating shaft material than on hard rotating shaft material.

The same issues as Figure 7 under three different outer diameters of the shaft are obtained and shown in Figure 8. From Figure 8, it can be seen that the difference values between the time-varying values of these dynamic characteristics and the constant values are reduced with the outer diameter of the shaft enhanced. It may be noted that the time-varying effect has a more important effect on the thinner shaft.

Table 6. Corresponding rotating shaft material parameters.

|  | Steel | Copper | Aluminum Alloys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Modulus of elasticity (Gpa) | 207.8 | 115 | 73 |
| Density of material $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 7806 | 8900 | 2800 |
| Poisson's ratio | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0.33 |



Figure 7. Time-varying and constant (a) helix angle, (b) transverse pressure angle, (c) gear pair center distance, and (d) total contact ratio under different rotating shafts.


Figure 8. Time-varying and constant (a) helix angle, (b) transverse pressure angle, (c) gear pair center distance, and (d) total contact ratio under different outer diameters of the shaft.

### 3.5. Time-Varying Effect of the Bearing Stiffness

In order to analyze the bearing stiffness, three cases of bearing stiffness are discussed in this section. Case 1 is for bearing stiffness $k_{x x}=k_{y y}=k_{z z}=1 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}$, and cases 2 and 3 are $k_{x x}=k_{y y}=k_{z z}=$ $5 \times 10^{7}, 1 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}$, respectively. Figure 9 shows the time-varying dynamic responses for the helix angle, transverse pressure angle, gear pair center distance, and total contact ratio. If the bearing stiffness is increased, the difference values between the time-varying values and the constant values are enhanced. The bearing stiffness is closely linked with the difference values between the timevarying values and the constant values of these dynamic characteristics. This means that the timevarying variables have a significant influence on the ordinary bearing stiffness, but have a small effect on the large bearing stiffness, especially for the total contact ratio.

As seen in Figures 7~9, it is interesting that the results of the dynamic characteristics of the helical-geared rotor-bearing system with time-varying variables are very different from those which are considered as constant when the rotating shaft is sufficiently soft, thinner, or the bearing stiffness is sufficiently small. This implies that the time-varying effect has a significant influence on the gear vibration analysis and cannot be ignored.


Figure 9. Time-varying and constant (a) helix angle, (b) transverse pressure angle, (c) gear pair center distance, and (d) total contact ratio under different degrees of the bearing stiffness.

## 4. Conclusions

The proposed new model takes into account the time-varying helix angle, the transverse pressure angle, the gear pair center distance, and the contact ratio. The model consists of finite element shafts with a helical gear pair and thrust bearing. The Lagrange method was used to derive the equations of the motion of the system, which were solved by the numerical integration method. Compared with the results of other researchers, the time-varying verifications of the spur gear pair, the natural frequencies, and corresponding mode shapes of the geared rotor-bearing system obtained by the present approach are very consistent. The time-varying helical-geared rotor-bearing system dynamic characteristics with 3-D motion due to rotating shaft deformation were examined. Some advantages of the proposed model are summarized as follows:
(1) This research proposed a new nonlinear dynamic model that has a time-varying helix angle, transverse pressure angle, gear pair center distance, and contact ratio. These time-varying variables provide more realistic numerical simulation values than previous models in which these aspects are considered as constant.
(2) The time-varying variables for the higher initial helix angle are not negligible.
(3) The time-varying variables have more influence on soft or thinner rotating shaft material and less influence on hard or thicker rotating shaft material. Similarly, the time-varying variables have a stronger influence on the common bearing stiffness and a weaker effect on the large bearing stiffness. Thus, it can be concluded that, in the case of a sufficiently soft rotating shaft or bearing, the numerical simulation results will be very different from the actual results when the time-varying effect is not considered.
(4) The time-varying effect has a significant influence on the gear vibration analysis of the helicalgeared rotor-bearing system and cannot be ignored. The results of this research present some useful information for gear vibration analysis.
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