Supplementary Materials

# A multi- analytical approach for the characterization of seventeenth century decorative wall paintings in two Norwegian stave churches: a case study at Eidsborg and Heddal, Norway

Ashley Amanda Freeman 1\*, Lavinia de Ferri<sup>2</sup>, Joy Mazurek<sup>3</sup>, Fabrizio Andriulo<sup>2</sup> and Chiara Bertolin<sup>1\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Richard Birkelands vei 2B, Gløshaugen, 7491 Trondheim, Norway
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Collection Management-Museum of Cultural History, University of Oslo, Kabelgaten 34, 0580 Oslo, Norway; l.de.ferri@khm.uio.no (L.d.F.); fabrizio.andriulo@khm.uio.no (F.A.)
- <sup>3</sup> Getty Conservation Institute, Science Department 1200 Getty Center Drive, Suite 700, Los Angeles, CA 90049, USA; JMazurek@getty.edu
- \* Correspondence: ashley.a.freeman@ntnu.no; chiara.bertolin@ntnu.no

#### Pigments within the Norwegian artists' palette

**Table S 1:** List of pigments found in distemper wall paintings in Norwegian stave churches. Table S1 is adapted from Table 3 in Olstad [1] which complies the findings from two studies using IR spectroscopy, SEM, microscopic examination, and/or microchemical analysis [2, 3].

Colour	Pigment	Time period
White	Chalk	1600s-1700s
N - 11	Orpiment	1600s-1700s
rellow	Yellow ochre / iron oxide	1600s-1700s
	Cinnabar	1600s-1700s
Red	Red lead	Medieval
	Red ochre/ iron oxide	1600s-1700s
Blue	Indigo	1600s-1700s
Blue	Smalt	1600s-1700s
Plack	Charcoal Black	1600s-1700s
DIACK	Bone char	Medieval &1600s-1700s

**Table S 2:** Colour and pigment assumption for the decor in Heddal and Eidsborg, as cited in conservation reports [4-6].

	Pigme	ent assumption
Colour	Heddal [4, 5]	Eidsborg [6]
Beige		Raw umbra and chalk
Black	Carbon based	Charcoal black
Green		Green earth and chalk
Pale green		Indigo and orpiment
Grey-brown		Raw umbra
Light grey	Chalk and carbon black	Chalk and charcoal black
Orange		Orpiment and English red
Pale pink / pink	Organic dye (possibly kermes or madder lake)	English red and chalk
Reddish yellow		Orpiment and English red
Brownish red		English red
Manna no d		Cinnabar
vvarm red		Orpiment and English red

Red	English red or red ochre	
White	Chalk	Chalk
White- greyish white		Chalk, possibly with charcoal black
Yellow		Orpiment

# Structural and interior changes of Heddal and Eidsborg

**Table S 3:** Brief history of structural and interior changes of Heddal and Eidsborg, described in the conservation reports of Wedvik [4, 5] and Solberg, Norsted, and Spaarschuh [6].

	Heddal [4, 5]	Eidsborg [6]
1200-1300	Constructed in 1200s	Constructed 1250-1300
1600s	In the late 1600s, fixtures were mounted to the walls, galleries	The northern wall of the nave was rendered in 1604, whereas the
	were added to the nave, and the medieval decor was	southern wall is dated as 1640/49.
1800s	Between 1849 and 1851, the Baroque restorations were removed, and the decorative wall paintings were covered with panels. Concurrently, the structure underwent its first	Eidsborg was rebuilt twice, once in 1826 and later in 1845. During these reconstructions, the nave was extended, widows were inserted into the nave's southern wall, and the interior décor was covered with papels.
1900s	The third and final restoration of the interior was performed between 1930 and 1955, in which the 19th century panelling was removed revealing the 17th century distemper paint and fragmented medieval décor. Once the pictorial layer was exposed, consolidation and extensive retouching were performed. Additionally, in the 1950s, the wooden structure was "restored" to its medieval configuration.	In the 1920s, in an attempt to return the church to its medieval appearance, most of the 19th century alterations were removed. The wall panelling was taken down and the large 19th century windows were replaced with smaller ones. During this reconstruction, the décor on the nave's southern wall suffered and consequently preservation measures were taken.
2000s	Prior to the 2009 consolidation treatment, dust and debris removal was carried out with a brush, whereas spot cleaning was performed with a damp compress. In addition, thick adhesive layers from the 1950s treatment, were softened with water and mechanically removed from the wall. For both churches, localized consolidation was achieved by a paper. Specifically, for Eidsborg it was found that a 2.5 % soo solution was used. Following this treatment, and before drying In 2013 an assessment of these consolidation treatments was pe	Due to the overall fragility of the décor within Eidsborg (i.e., water sensitivity and loss in cohesive and adhesive properties), neither aqueous cleaning nor dusting was conducted prior to the 2007 consolidation treatment. Although after the consolidant dried, localized debris removal was achievable from the décor's surface. pplying sturgeon glue to the flaking paint through Japanese tissue lution of sturgeon glue was most ideal, whereas in Heddal a 2 % g, excess glue was removed from the Japanese paper by blotting.
	Consequently, in 2014 NIKU and Riksantikvaren developed a jo The Sturgeon Glue Project is still ongoing.	point project to better understand the overall effects of sturgeon glue.

### Sample collection

On 27<sup>th</sup> of September 2018, a sampling campaign was carried out at Heddal and Eidsborg stave church, within the framework of Sustainable Management of heritage Buildings in a Long-term perspective (SyMBoL) Project (Project No. 274749). The sampling concentrated on areas of red pigments within the distemper decorative wall paintings.

**Table S 4:** Sample description and sampling location in nave of Heddal and Eidsborg. Sample naming convention: name of church, sample category (1 – treated with sturgeon glue, 2- untreated, 3- treated with sturgeon glue and in poor condition), letter signifying specific sample. See **Figure S 1** for visual representation of sampling location and specimen collected. See manuscript for map of sampling points (**Figure 3**).

sample description and sampling location											
H1a: four-layered stratigrag	H1a: four-layered stratigraphy (red, grey, orange, white)										
Northern wall	2nd plank from the north-west post	180 cm from the floor	25 cm from the north-west post								
H1b: four-layered stratigraphy (red, grey, orange, white)											
Northern wall	3rd plank from the north-west post	166 cm from the floor	66 cm from the north-west post								
H1c: four-layered stratigraphy (red, grey, orange, white)											
Northern wall	3rd plank from the north-west post	193 cm from the floor	84 cm from the north-west post								
H1d: three-layered stratigra	phy (red, grey, white)										
Northern wall	2nd plank from the door's proper right	228 cm from the floor 75 cm to the right from the door in the middle the wall									
H1e: four-layered stratigraphy (red, grey, black, white)											
Northern wall	2nd plank from the door's proper right	233 cm from the floor	58 cm from the door to the right.								
H2a: three-layered stratigra	phy (red, grey, white)										
Northern wall	1 <sup>st</sup> plank from the north-east post	310 cm from the floor	22 cm from the post to the right.								
H2b: four-layered stratigra	ohy (red, grey, black, white	2)									
Northern wall	4th plank from the north-east post	332 cm from the floor	96 cm from the post to the right.								
H2c: four-layered stratigrap	bhy (red and white, grey, re	ed, white)									
Northern wall	3rd plank from the north-east post	341 cm from the floor	57 cm from the post to the right.								
H2d: four-layered stratigra	ohy (red, grey, orange, whi	te)									
Northern wall	6th plank from the north door	285 cm from the floor	232 cm from the north portal.								
H2e: four-layered stratigrap	ohy (red, grey, orange, whi	te)									
Northern wall	6th plank from the north eastern corner post	260 cm from the floor	202 cm from the north portal								

H3a: five-layered stratigraphy (red and white, grey, black, orange, white	<u>;</u> )

Northern wall	3rd plank from the post to the left.	150 cm from the floor	65 cm from the post to the left								
E1a: three-layered stratigrap	hy (red, black, white)										
Southern wall	8th plank from main entrance	158 cm from the floor	10 cm from the windowsill								
E1b: two-layered stratigraphy (red on white)											
Southern wall	8th plank from main entrance	192 cm from the floor	8 cm from the windowsill								
E1c: three-layered stratigrap	hy (red, black, white)										
Southern wall	4th plank from the post to the left	164 cm from the floor	141 cm from the post to the left								
E1d: two-layered stratigraph	y (red on white)										
Southern wall	2nd plank from the post to the left	181 cm from the floor	65 cm from the windowsill								
E1e: three-layered stratigrap	hy (red, black, white)										
Southern wall	3rd plank from main entrance	153 cm from the floor	190 cm from the post to the right								
E2a: three-layered stratigrap	hy (red, black, white)										
Northern wall	4th plank from the north-west post	220 cm from the floor	145 cm from the north- west post								
E2b: three-layered stratigrap	hy (red, black, white)										
Northern wall	5th plank from the north-west post	183 cm from the floor	181 cm from the north- west post								
E2c: three-layered stratigrap	hy (red, black, white)										
Northern wall	5th plank from the north-west post	183 cm from the floor	179.5 cm from the north- west post								
E2d: three-layered stratigrap	hy (red, black, white)										
Northern wall	7th plank from the north-east post	188 cm from the floor	235 cm from the north- east post								
E3a: two-layered stratigraph	y (red on white)										
Southern wall	1st plank from the south-west post	270 cm from the floor	27 cm from the south-west post								









**Figure S 1:** Image of sample location within Eidsborg and Heddal and microscopic image of collected specimen. (Left image): Arrow identifying sample location prior to sampling, (Right image): microscope image of collected sample (100x). Refer to **Table S 4** for numerical location of sampling and description.

### **Chemical Composition of intermediate layers**

All Heddal samples contained a grey pigmented layer, which similarly to the white ground layer, mainly consists of a Ca-based material (**Figure S 5**). In [5, 6], the light grey/whitish-grey layer found for both churches is assumed to be a mixture of chalk and carbon black. Although, it is not possible to identify carbon black by means of SEM-EDS. Two of these Heddal samples (H1a and H1b) also contained an orange layer (**Figure S 2**) which displayed similar EDS results compared to that of the red layer. These results suggest that, like the red pigmented layer, the orange layer is also an ochre pigment. Although the orange layer probably contains goethite (FeOOH) as dominant colouring phase, which is in agreement with previous findings of 1600s -1700s distemper paints from other stave churches [1].

Three of the examined cross-sectional samples contained a black layer (E1a, E1c, and H1e). The EDS results obtained for this layer showed the occurrence of Ca, Si, and Al, as the most common constituents. The most prevalent difference between the black layers within these two churches is the weight percentage of these elements. The results for Eidsborg are rich in Ca (>89 wt. %) and contain relevantly low amounts (1-3 wt. %) of Si and Al, whereas these three components are considered as a major component (>10 wt. %) for the Heddal specimen (H1e). Additionally, H1e contained minor amounts of P (3 wt. %). Although only one sample from Heddal contained a black layer (H1e), the combined presence of Ca and P in this sample is suggestive of a bone black pigment. However, the FT-IR spectrum acquired from H1e did not permit a definitive confirmation of calcium phosphate (presumably in form of hydroxyapatite) as hypothesized from EDS data: its main band could contribute to the shoulder observed at ~1114 cm<sup>-1</sup>, even if the total amount of such phase is (if present) probably too low to be actively responsible for any of the identified features. Although, previous examination of other Norwegian 1600s-1700s polychrome wall paintings has identified the black layer as charcoal black or bone char [1] (**Table S 1**), which is in agreement of the pigment assumptions of Heddal[4] and Eidsborg [6] (complied in **Table S 2**).

Microscopic analysis: optical microscopy and scanning electron microscopy



**Figure S 2:** Microscopy images of cross-sectional samples from Heddal and Eidsborg: a) H1a, b) H1b, c) H1d, d) H1e, e) H2a, f) E1a, g) E1b, h) E1c, i) E1d, and j) E2a. Cross-sectional samples were prepared by embedding distemper paint fragments in Technovit® 2000 LC (Heraeus Kulzer, Germany)

Thickness of layer (µm)	H1a	H1b	H1d	H1e	H2a	E1a	E1b	E1c	E1d	E2a
Red	27 - 33	10 - 14	15 - 20	17 - 28	7 - 13	ND	19-35	53 -78	18-28	15 - 25
Grey	39 - 79	33 - 79	38 -144	43 - 74	34 - 49					
Orange	14 - 20	26 - 61								
Black				12 - 27		ND		40 -54		
White	93 - 127	49 - 65	37 - 61	82 - 85	13 - 24	ND	45-87	21 - 46	87 - 103	80 - 90

**Table S 5:** Summary of cross-sectional sample's stratigraphy through microscopy analysis, where ND means not detected. See **Figure S 1** for corresponding microscopic image of samples.



**Figure S 3:** SEM-BSE images of cross-sectional from Heddal and Eidsborg: a) H1a, b) H1b, c) H1d, d) H1e, e) H2a, f) E1a, g) E1b, h) E1c, i) E1d, and j) E2a. See **Figure S 2** for microscopic image of cross-sectional samples.



Figure S 4: Spot analysis images of cross-sectional samples











Figure S 5: EDS spectrum of historic paint samples from Heddal and Eidsborg

	S	EM-EDS	Sample location				
Colour	Major constitutes	Minor constitutes					
	Fe, Ca, Al, Si	Mg, S, K	H1a, H1d				
	Fe, Ca, Al	Si, Mg, S, K	E2a				
Red	Fe, Ca	Al, Si, Mg, S, K	E1c, H1b, H2a <sup>1</sup>				
	Fe, Ca	Al, Si, Mg, S, K, Pb	E1a <sup>1</sup> , E1b				
	Fe, Ca, Al, Si	Mg, S, K, Pb	E1d				
Grey	Ca	Al, Si, Mg,	H1a, H1b, H1d, H1e, H2a				
Orango	Fe, Ca, Al, Si	Fe, Ca, Pb, Mg,	H1b				
Orange	Fe, Ca,	Fe, Ca, Al, Si*, Pb, Mg,	H1a				
Dia di	Ca, Al, Si	Fe, Pb, P, Mg,	H1e				
DIACK	Ca	Al, Si, Fe, Pb, P, Mg,	E1a, E1c, E2a				
	Ca	Al, Si, Mg	H1a, H1b, H1e, H2a, E1a, E1b, E1c, E1d				
White	Ca	Al, Si, Mg, Na	H1d				
	Ca	Al, Si, Mg, Pb	E2a				

**Table S 6**: Summary of common ESEM-EDS results of samples from Eidsborg and Heddal. Concentrations higher than 10 weight per cent (wt. %) are considered major, whereas minor concentrations are between 1 - 10 wt.%. Values lower than 1 are not listed. See following tables for wt.% values.

<sup>1</sup>Fe was not found using EDS spot analysis, but was confirmed with XRD

Concentrations higher than 10 wt.% can be considered major, whereas minor concentrations are between 1 - 10 wt.%, and concentrations lower than 1 are not listed.

Table S 7: EDS results of red layer

	Red Layer													
sample name	spot	Al	Si	Ca	Fe	Pb	K	Mg	Мо	W	S			
		Weight %												
E1a	3	1.81	1.72	91.48		4.58								
E1a	4			96.17		3.83								
E1b	1		1.23	98.19										
E1b	2	5.47	9.33	63.77	10.83	4.79	4.64	1.18						
E1c	1	1.44	1.90	90.55				1.49	3.90					
E1c	2	2.58	3.99	79.12	3.96			1.58	8.76					
E1d	1		2.51	95.29						1.46				
E1d	2	12.60	16.77	41.93	15.89	9.52		3.28						
E2a	1	13.77	5.85	67.45	1.15		2.90				8.23			
E2a	2			97.08					1.56					
H1a	1	37.52	45.88	7.31	1.92		5.50	1.87						
H1a	2	43.69	47.86	8.45										
H1b	1	7.13	7.34	76.26	4.13			1.48	3.66					
H1b	2	3.28	4.82	85.82					6.07					
H1d	1	43.15	47.60	6.32	1.26			1.66						
H1d	2	7.96	8.44	10.05	73.55									
H2a	1	1.55	2.06	92.71				1.41	92.71					
H2a	2	1.61	2.11	92.07				1.01	3.19					

Table S 8: EDS results of black layer and black particles

Black Layer											
sample name	spot	Al	Si	Ca	Fe	Pb	Р	Ti	Mg	Мо	S

		Weight %	Weight %	Weight %	Weight %	Weight %	Weight %	Weight %	Weight %	Weight %	Weight %
E1a	1	2.51	3.04	94.16							
E1a	2		1.36	96.46		1.84					
E1c	3	1.81	2.55	87.05	4.43				1.43	2.73	
E1c	4	1.36	1.94	89.53	1.58					5.59	
H1e	5	13.43	16.48	62.49					1.84	5.76	
H1e	6	20.00	29.97	33.00	4.46		3.45		2.96		6.17
					Black Par	ticles					
E2a	5	2.11	2.08	79.13		6.22			1.41	9.05	
E2a	6	1.55	1.18	93.75					1.38	2.14	

Table S 9: EDS results of grey layer

Grey Layer									
sample name	spot	Al	Si	Ca	Mg	Мо			
		Weight %							
H1a	3			99.04					
H1a	4			98.84					
H1b	3	1.21	1.60	97.19					
H1b	4			98.95					
H1d	3	1.02	1.32	97.66					
H1d	4			98.52					
H1e	7		0.98	98.59					
H1e	8	1.21	1.78	94.49		1.93			
H2a	3		1.20	98.53					
H2a	4	1.07	1.68	93.95		2.48			

Table S 10: EDS results of orange layer

				Orange Layer				
sample name	spot	Al	Si	Ca	Fe	Pb	Mg	Мо

		Weight %						
H1a	5	5.98	8.43	27.02	44.27		6.17	8.12
H1a	6		1.46	97.80				
H1b	7	19.33	19.06	52.80	2.52		1.65	4.63
H1b	8	2.76	10.96	81.97		4.30		

## Table S 11: EDS results of white layer

	White Layer									
sample name	spot	Na	Al	Si	Ca	Pb	Mg	Мо		
		Weight %								
E1a	5			1.22	98.44					
E1a	6			1.18	97.94					
E1b	3			1.26	98.74					
E1b	4			1.03	98.97					
E1c	5				98.56					
E1c	6		1.62	1.51	96.87					
E1d	3		1.32	1.39	96.15		1.14			
E1d	4		1.17	1.50	96.14		1.19			
E2a	3		1.78	1.67	89.26	2.82	1.49	2.98		
E2a	4		1.71	1.46	91.22	3.81	1.80			
H1a	7			1.10	98.31					
H1a	8		1.29	1.81	96.89					
H1b	5		1.40	1.66	95.65		1.29			
H1b	6			1.06	98.70					
H1d	5				98.98					
H1d	6	1.85	1.19	1.00	91.69		2.18	2.08		
H1e	1				99.09					
H1e	2				99.12					
H1e	3		1.07	1.72	92.59			4.62		
H1e	4				98.65					

## Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy

**Table S 12**: Spectral interpretation of historic paint samples, with assignment of the main absorption bands according to in literature. v = stretching; vs = symmetric stretching; va = asymmetric stretching;  $\delta =$  bending;  $\delta s =$  symmetric bending;  $\delta a =$  asymmetric bend

Compound assignment	Vibrational wavenumber	Vibrational mode	Ref. no
	(cm-1)		
	672	ν₄ (SO₄)²- δa	r01
Ca sulphates	1619	δΗ-Ο-Η	[8]
	711 - 2878	(CO <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>2-</sup>	[9-11]
Calcite (CaCO3)	~2921-2929	attributed to $2v_3$ in dolomite (MgCaCO <sub>3</sub> ) or to organic material ( $\nu$ CH <sub>2</sub> )	[10, 12]
Dolomite	1446 sh	v3(CO3) <sup>2-</sup>	[10]
	~696	δ <sub>a</sub> O-Si-O	
Quartz	779 -1153	vs Si-O	[13]
Quartz	11056sh-1159 sh; 1057-1166	v <sub>a</sub> Si-O	[10]
	755	OH translation	[14]
	~788	amorphous silica (v <sub>s</sub> Si-O	
	~850	$\delta$ OH in Al-Mg(OH)	
	~914	$\delta$ OH inner hydroxyl groups / $\delta$ Al-O-H (linked to 2Al <sup>3</sup> )	
	~941	$\delta$ Al-O-H	
Clay mineral (Kaolin/ montmorillonites/degraded clays/ amorphous silica)	1010 sh, 1006- 1116	ν Si-O	
	~1642, 1162, ~1640	δ H-O-H	[15-19]
	1642	C=O + C=C vibrations (aromatic ketones)/ $\delta$ H-O-H	
	~3621	$\nu$ OH (inner oxydrils, between the tetrahedral and octahedral sheets)	
	~3657	v OH out of plane (octahedral surface of layers)	
	~3696	$\nu_s$ OH in-phase (octahedral surface of layers))	
	780	amorphous silica (v <sub>s</sub> Si-O)	
General clay minerals	~850	$\delta$ OH in Al-Mg(OH)	[15-17]
	1038sh, ~1114	v Si-O	

	~1642	δΗ-Ο-Η				
	902	In-plane v <sub>s</sub> C-H				
	~1035	~ v C-O *				
	~1056	v C-O and v C-C v <sub>a</sub> C-O-C v <sub>a</sub> C-O + v <sub>a</sub> C-C				
	~1116					
	~1162					
	~1238	ν C-O in Xylene and syringyl ring				
	~1282	$\nu$ C-O in guaiacyl ring + OH and $\omega$ CH				
	<ul> <li>Syringyl ring breathing with C=O</li> <li>stretching and C1-O vibration in syringyl derivatives of lignin + C-H vibration of cellulose</li> </ul>		[20-22]			
	~1372-1382	$\delta_s CH_3$ groups and $\delta_a CH_3$ groups	*[22] indicates as			
wood	1421	$\nu$ aromatic structure	deformation=bending			
	1467sh	$\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle B}CH_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}$ in methoxyl groups				
	1511sh	ν aromatic structure C=C				
	1619-1644	δ Н-О-Н				
	~1643	C=O + C=C vibrations (aromatic ketones)/ H-O-H bending				
	~1731	unconjugated $\nu$ C=O in a carbonyl group				
	1741	C=O + C=C vibrations (aromatic ketones)/ H-O-H bending				
	~2851	$\nu$ unconjugated C=O related to a carbonyl group				
	~2961	ν <b>C</b> -H				
	~3388, 3400sh	ν <b>Ο-</b> Η	[22, 23]			
Pino rosin (abiotic	1549	COOH overtones				
acid/dehydroabietic acid)	~2591	$\nu$ O-H, overtone/combined bands	[24]			
	~1644	$\nu$ C=O-N-H + $\delta$ NH <sub>2</sub>	[19, 25]			
	~2873, 2891,2935, ~2985, 2983sh	va CH3				
animal protein/ animal glue	~2921, ~2934, ~2985, 3378	ν CH2	[19, 25, 26]			
	2853	$\nu_s CH_2$				
	3300	νNH	[25, 27, 28]			
	3350, 3366	vaNH/ v CH2	[19, 26]			

		669	Unsaturated cycles			
		~711	γ-(C H)			
		721	Rocking (CH2) /cis C=(C-H) out of plane deformation			
		983 sh	trans–trans conjugated $\omega$ (C-H) v(C-O) in triglycerides ester linkage + $\nu_a$ (C-O) of C-CO-O of higher aliphatic esters			
		~1158				
		1234	v(C-O) in trigly cerides ester linkage + $v_e$ C-CO-O-			
		1372	Deformation CH in methyl/w(CH2)			
		~1415	v C-O in COOH			
		1421, 1708sh	v(C-O) in COOH	[29]		
	linseed oil	1623	(C=C) conjugated			
		~1642	Weak cis C= C			
		1723	ν C=O			
		~1743, 1738sh	v(C=O) ketones, ester, acid carbonyl			
		~2853	ν <sub>s</sub> (CH)- CH <sub>2</sub>			
		~2955, 2954sh	ν (CH)CH <sub>3</sub>			
		~3004, ~ 3395	v(CH)-CHCH=CH unconjugated cis double bonds			
		3263, ~ 3341, 3430, 3482, 3538, 3480sh, 3540sh	νOH			
		797, 1143, 1452	not attributed			
		1172	v -C(O)-OCH2-			
		1417	νC-O in COOH			
	1/ 11: 1 .1	1642	Weak cis C=C	[29]		
	curea/agea iinseed oli	2851	vs (CH) CH2			
		2923	va CH2			
		3350	vs OH	[29, 30]		

H1a

H1b



27









Figure S 6: FT-IR spectrum in the region 4000–600 cm<sup>-1</sup> for all samples, with layer isolation when possible.

Table S 13: Signals assig	ned to FT-IR analysis of 1	pigments. See <b>Table S 12</b> for	r attributions and references.
- apre o - prime about			attino anonio and rererenceo.

Sample name	Ca sulphates/ gypsum	Calcite	Quartz	Dolomite (CaMg(CO3)2)	Clay mineral (general clay minerals/ Kaolin/montmorillonite/ degraded clays/ amorphous silica)
H1a		711, 877, 1085, 1421, 1793, 2512, 2873			788, 850, 914, 1010, 1035, 1116, 1162, 3696
H1b	672, 1619	713, 877, 1085, 1415, 1795, 2512, 2929	790		1045, 1108, 1640
H1c		713, 877, 1089, 1438, 1795, 2510, 2873	779, 794, 1162		848, 914, 941, 1006, 1037, 1114, 1644, 3621, 3657, 3698

H1d	877, 1081 sh, 1411, 1444, 1795, 2512, 2877	794		755,848, 914, 939, 1008, 1033, 1116, 3621, 3694
H1e	712, 876, 1083, 1409, 1448, 1795, 2850, 2875, 2929	784, 1153		848, 915, 1010 sh, 1035, 1116, 1642, 3617, 3696
H2a	713, 877, 1079, 1403, 1795, 2510, 2873			790, 850, 914, 1008, 1031, 1108, 1641, 3621, 3694
Н2Ь	713, 877, 1089, 1423, 1795, 2512, 2878			786, 848, 914, 937, 1008, 1035, 1114, 1640, 3619, 3698
H2c	711, 877, 1078, 1413, 1793, 2510, 2923	779, 800, 1162	1446? Sh	748, 850, 912, 1010, 1031, 1112, 1644, 3619, 3656, 3696
H3a	713, 877, 1074, 1415, 1795, 2512, 2921			912, 1033, 1112, 1642
E1a	713, 877, 1079, 1417, 1795, 2510, 2923			
E1b	711, 877, 1079, 1415, 1795, 2512, 2875, 2923	780, 800, 1166		780, 850, 1018sh, 1114, 1642
E1c	711, 877, 1081, 1411, 1795, 2510, 2877, 2923	779, 798, 1164		850, 1114, 1644
E1d	711, 875, 1083, 1413, 1797, 2512, 2875, 2923			846, 1110, 1646
E1e	711, 875, 1075, 1413, 1795, 2512, 2923			850 sh, 1116 (low), 1642
E2a	711, 875, 1090, 1421, 1795, 2512, 2923	696, 779, 798, 1056sh, 1164		846, 1112, 1643
E2b	875, 1413, 2875, 2923	779, 800		
E2c				
E2d		695, 781, 794, 1159 sh		
E3a	712, 876, 1426, 1796, 2512, 2922	782, 797, 1057, 1160		851, 1038sh, 1114, 1648

**Table S 14.** Signals assigned to FT-IR analysis of organic material (wood fractions, animal protein, and linseed oil).See Table S 12 for attributions and references.

Sample name	Wood	Pine resin (abietic acid / dehydroabietic acid)	animal protein (animal glue/ animal protein)	Linseed oil

H1a	1035,1116, 1162, 1326, 1643		2935, 2985, 3300	
H1b	1108, 1282, 1324, 1619, 1640, 2851, 3388			
H1c	1037, 1114, 1162, 1280, 1328, 1644, 2848, 3355	1644, 2873	1644, 2873, 2891, 2923	
H1d	1033, 1116, 1326, 3374		2934, 2977	
H1e	1035, 1116, 1326, 1642,2961, 3366, 2591	1642, 2875	1642, 2875, 2983, 2929, 3366	
H2a	1108, 1162, 1641, 2967, 3386, 2597		2934	
H2b	1035, 1114, 1160,1326, 1618, 1640, 3388			
H2c	1031, 1112, 1162, 1644, 1730, 2850, 3380, 3397	2875	2875, 2985	
H3a	1033, 1112, 1160, 1280, 1324, 1642, 1731, 2851, 2961,3378	1642	1642,2921, 3378	
E1a	1326, 2851, 3350 (low)	1642	1642, 2923, 3350	
E1b	1114, 1324, 1642, 2853, 2958, 3395	1642	1642, 2923, 2985	669, 711, 1642, 1743, 2853, 2923 (low), 3395
E1c	1114, 1164, 1324, 1644, 1741, 2853,2961, 3351 (low), 3399	1644	1644, 2923, 2986, 3351	
E1d	1045, 1158, 1326, 1741, 2851, 2958, 3320 (low)	1646	1646, 2923, 2986, 3320	711, 1413,1646, 1741, 2851, 2923 (low)
E1e	1047, 1116, 1162, 1324, 1642, 1743, 2851, 2958, 3357 (low)			711, 983 sh, 1413, 1642, 1743, 2851, 2923
E2a	1112, 1164, 1324, 1623, 1643, 1739, 2852, 2954, 3400, 3405, 3430	1643	1643, 2923, 2985	711, 1143, 1228 (low), 1623, 1643, 1739, 2852, 2923 (low), 3263, 3405, 3430, 3430, 3482, 3538
E2b	1035, 1110, 1160, 1228, 1322, 1382, 1467sh, 1640, 1741 sh, 2853, 2958, 3347 (low)			721, 1158, 1234, 1372, 1421, 1645, 1708 sh, 1745sh, 2851, 2924, 2959, 3343
E2c	902, 1035, 1056, 1106,1158, 1234, 1323, 1372, 1421, 1511sh,1645, 1745sh, 2851, 3390			
E2d	903, 1038sh, 1159 sh, 1227sh, 1325, 1376, 1418, 1649, 1738sh, 2854			721, 1159 sh, 1227sh, 1376, 1418, 1649, 1738sh, 2854, 2924, 2954sh, 3009, 3347, 3347
E3a	1038sh, 1160, 1238, 1324, 1648, 1744, 2853,3400sh	1648, 2853	1648, 2853, 2922, 2983sh	1160, 1648,1744, 2853, 2922, 2955,3004, 3341, 3341, 3480 sh, 3540 sh
-				





Figure S 7: XRD patterns of samples from Heddal. The intensity increase of weddellite's signals is shown. Considered reflections are marked with a grey dot.

# Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry and ELISA

Table S 15:	GC-MS	testing	configuration	and	parameters

Protocol	Separation column	Oven program
Meth-Prep II: natural plant resins and oils	Zebron <sup>TM</sup> ZB-5HT (Phenomenex); 30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.10 $\mu$ m Helium was the carry gas (1.2 mL/min); splitless injection at 300°C and transfer line at 360 °C	80°C for 2 min; temperature was then increased by 10°C/min until 210°C; after which the temperature was increased 20°C/min to 360°C; and lastly the temperature was increase 40°C/min to 380°C.

#### Amino Acid: proteins INNOWAX; 25 M x 0.2 mm x 0.2 $\mu$ m

70 °C for 1 min; temperature was then increased by 20 °C /min until 250 °C; held at 250 °C for 3.5 min.







![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S 8:** Monocarboxylic and dicarboxylic fatty acids (lauric(C12:0), palmitic (C16:0), oleic (C18:1), stearic (C18:0), and pimelic(C7), suberic(C8), azelaic(C9), sebacic acids(C10), respectively) and glycerol peaks derived from Meth Prep analysis.

Sample location & description		weight, µg	alanine	glycine	valine	leucine	isoleucine	proline	serine	threonine	phenylalanine	hydroxyproline
	White ground layer	226.8	81	222	25	36	21	69	50	53	20	55
E1a	<b>Three layered sample</b> (red/black/white ground)	842.3	158	483	42	59	40	164	97	81	37	92
E1b	wood	515.2	179	507	58	113	55	185	155	191	65	130
	<b>Two layered sample</b> (red/white ground)	467.6	140	366	61	86	49	139	109	82	45	77
	wood	225.3	91	233	29	46	25	85	72	75	34	61
	White ground layer	289.4	63	213	20	34	20	51	47	60	18	41
	<b>Bottom two layers</b> (black/white ground)	48.0	7	17	2	2	1	6	6	6	2	14
E1c	<b>Top two layers</b> (red/black)	131.3	15	34	4	4	3	11	17	19	2	34
	Three layered sample (red/black/white ground)	585.9	121	357	32	43	24	116	72	75	36	89
	White ground layer	581.4	150	460	43	43	29	135	91	109	31	120
E1d	Red top layer	194.5	42	89	16	23	12	49	42	39	14	43
	Two layered sample with insect (red/white)	470.0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	5
E1e	White ground layer	368.5	258	669	55	60	35	175	129	150	42	206

Table S 16: List of amino acid compositions from historic paints samples by GC-MS analysis (parts per million).

		<b>Three layered sample</b> (red/black/white ground)	683.1	241	684	45	39	26	168	119	172	45	165
1	E2a	Three layered sample (red/black/white ground)	191.9	40	119	11	20	9	39	29	32	16	46
		wood	207.4	69	189	17	8	10	69	33	39	15	80
		White ground layer	224.5	52	137	14	17	10	40	46	39	12	53
	E3a	Two layered sample (red/white ground)	1114.7	183	694	42	46	24	174	83	90	33	125
		White ground layer	102.1	17	34	5	8	3	14	16	15	4	23
1	H1a	Four layered sample (red/grey/orange /white ground)	511.1	170	417	46	62	31	160	82	85	37	119
		White ground layer	189.0	145	326	42	59	29	133	86	76	34	94
1	H1b	White ground layer with trace amounts of orange layer	123.0	57	129	18	25	13	56	39	36	15	47
		Four layered sample (red/grey/orange /white)	636.6	204	613	60	86	40	248	112	104	52	161
		Red top layer	522.1	55	128	14	24	10	51	41	40	17	61
		White ground layer	123.1	41	119	13	19	9	50	24	25	13	37
I	H1c	Lower three layer (grey/ orange / white	256.9	0	3	0	2	1	0	0	2	0	2
		Four layered sample (red/grey/orange /white ground)	618.2	207	658	61	86	42	303	95	93	58	163
		Bottom two layers (grey/white ground)	392.6	185	693	29	38	20	119	81	86	26	92
I	H1d	Top two layer (Red/grey)	303.2	44	141	11	17	8	38	39	36	12	42
		wood	211.4	35	127	12	16	9	47	61	34	14	53
		White ground layer	116.2	33	70	10	14	8	31	30	21	9	34
1	H3a	<b>Lower three layer</b> (black/orange /white ground)	258.4	111	279	38	56	29	109	78	55	34	68
		<b>Top two layer (</b> red and white/grey)	1069.7	370	1174	94	151	65	522	192	155	97	83

Table S 17: Fatty acid content (ppm) from paint samples analysed, where ND denotes not detected or below the detection limit

Samp	ple location & description	weight, µg	Pimelic	Suberic	Lauric	Azelaic	Sebacic	Myristic	Palmitic	Stearic	Oleic
	Blank vial	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	1,65	2,35	N.D.
	White ground layer	226.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.62	3.61	0
E1a	<b>Three layered sample</b> (red/black/white ground)	842.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.34	3.65	0
	Wood specimen	515.2	4.08	7.11	0	12.07	1.81	0	11.82	11.43	2.22

E1b	<b>Two layered sample</b> (red/white)	467.6	1.31	3.21	0	3.89	0	0	5.35	3.66	0	
	Wood specimen	225.3	2.09	3.35	0	5.27	0	0	2.73	2.36	0	
	White ground layer	289.4	0	0	0	1.03	0	0	6.36	3.9	2.15	
F1 -	<b>Bottom two layers</b> (black/white ground)	48.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.65	2.21	0	
EIC	Top two layers (red/black)	131.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.95	2.51	0	
	Three layered sample (red/black/white ground)	585.9	0	1.05	0	1.26	0	0	3.23	2.91	0	
	White ground layer	581.4	0	1.02	0	0	0	0	2.17	2.08	0	
E1d	Red top layer	194.5	0	1.78	0	1.73	0	0	3.9	3.41	0	
	Two layered sample with insect (red/white)	470.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.63	2.01	0	
	White ground layer	368.5	1.08	1.21	0	1.31	0	0	3.38	2.91	0	
Ele	Three layered sample (red/black/white ground)	683.1	1.53	1.45	0	1.32	0	0	2.68	2.57	0	
E2.	(red/black/white ground)	191.9	0	0	0	1.07	0	0	3.99	4.28	0	
E2d	Wood specimen	207.4	2.57	1.93	0	3.96	0	0	2.85	2.67	0	
	White ground layer	224.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.46	4.03	0	
E3a	<b>Two layered sample</b> (red/white ground)	1114.7	0	0	0	1.39	0	0	5.56	5.31	0	
	White ground layer	102.1	0	0	0	1.03	0	0	2.38	2.74	0	
H1a	Four layered sample	-11 1	0	1.40	0	0.1	0	0	0.50	2.00	0	
	(red/grey/reddish- brown/white)	511.1	0	1.43	0	2.1	0	0	3.53	2.98	0	
	White ground layer	189.0	0	0	0	1.03	0	0	3.58	2.97	0	
H1b	White ground layer with trace amounts of reddish-brown layer	123.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.05	3.01	0	
	Four layered sample (red/grey/reddish- brown/white)	636.6	0	3.25	0	3.83	0	0	6.59	4.34	0	
	Red top layer	522.1	0	2.57	0	4.05	0	0	5.75	4.08	0	
	White ground layer	123.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.23	2.92	0	
H1c	Lower three layers (grey/reddish- brown/white)	256.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.86	2.12	0	
	Four layered sample (Red/grey/reddish- brown/white)	618.2	1.02	3.36	0	5.01	0	0	7.62	4.66	2.36	
ШIД	Bottom two layers (grey/white ground)	392.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.97	2.85	0	
піа	<b>Top two layer</b> (Red/grey)	303.2	0	0	0	1.4	0	0	3.43	3.17	0	
	Wood specimen	211.4	0	0.99	0	2.7	0	0	3.49	2.65	0	
	White ground layer	116.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.78	3.31	0	
H3a	Lower three layers (black/reddish-	258.4	0	1.87	0	2.29	0	0	7.56	5.72	1.88	
	Town/wnite) Top two layer (red and white/grey)	1069.7	1.8	8.27	0	14.25	1.2	0	20.54	13.49	0	

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S 9:** Chart of plat reading results at OD45, where blue bars are absorbency reading for fish collagen and orange bars are for mammal collagen.

#### References

1. Olstad TM. To the Glory of God and the Church's Adornment. In: Bakken K, editor. Preserving the Stave Churches Craftmanship and Research: Riksantikvaren; Pax Forlag; 2016.

2. Olstad TM, Solberg K. Eight seventeenth-century decorative paintings-one painter? Studies in Conservation. 1998;43(sup1):175-9.

3. Olstad TM, K. Solberg. Analytical Report, Centre for Art Technological Studies and Conservation (CATS) Copenhagen; 2015.

4. Wedvik B. A 167 Heddal stavkirke. Konsolidering av limfargedekor i koret. Prosjektets del 1: Nordvegg og apsis i koret no 49/2008. 2008.

5. Wedvik B. A 167 Heddal stavkirke. Konsolidering av limfargedekor i skip og sørvegg i koret no. 121/2009. 2009.

6. Solberg K, Norsted T, Spaarschuh C. Eidsborg stavkirke. Konservering av veggmalerier fra 1600tallet og paneler fra middelalderen no35/2007. 2007.

7. Olstad TM, Ørnhøi AA. Konsolidert limfargedekor i stavkirkene- en oversikt. Vurdering av størlim. Konsolidering av limfarge på tre. Delprosjekt 1, NIKU Oppdragsrapport 62/2014, Oslo, . 2014.

8. Liu Y, Wang A, Freemen J. Raman, MIR, and NIR spectroscopic study of calcium sulfates: gypsum, bassanite, and anhydrite. LPI. 2009:2128.

9. Weir C, Lippincott ER. Infrared studies of aragonite, calcite, and vaterite type structures in the borates, carbonates, and nitrates. Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards Section A, Physics and Chemistry. 1961;65(3):173.

10. Gunasekaran S, Anbalagan G, Pandi S. Raman and infrared spectra of carbonates of calcite structure. Journal of Raman Spectroscopy: An International Journal for Original Work in all Aspects of

Raman Spectroscopy, Including Higher Order Processes, and also Brillouin and Rayleigh Scattering. 2006;37(9):892-9.

11. Andersen FA, Brecevic L. Infrared spectra of amorphous and crystalline calcium carbonate. Acta Chem Scand. 1991;45(10):1018-24.

12. Kovač N, Faganeli J, Bajt O, Orel B, Vuk Š. Investigation of sediment samples from the Gulf of Trieste (northern Adriatic) by FTIR spectroscopy. Mater Geoenvi. 2005;52(1):81-5.

13. Müller CM, Pejcic B, Esteban L, Delle Piane C, Raven M, Mizaikoff B. Infrared attenuated total reflectance spectroscopy: an innovative strategy for analyzing mineral components in energy relevant systems. Scientific reports. 2014;4:6764.

14. Frost RL, Vassallo AM. The dehydroxylation of the kaolinite clay minerals using infrared emission spectroscopy. Clays and Clay minerals. 1996;44(5):635-51.

15. Madejová J. FTIR techniques in clay mineral studies. Vibrational spectroscopy. 2003;31(1):1-10.

16. Vaculikova L, Plevova E, Vallova S, Koutnik I. Characterization and differentiation of kaolinites from selected Czech deposits using infrared spectroscopy and differential thermal analysis. 2011.

17. Saikia BJ, Parthasarathy G. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopic characterization of kaolinite from Assam and Meghalaya, Northeastern India. J Mod Phys. 2010;1(4):206-10.

18. Bikiaris D, Daniilia S, Sotiropoulou S, Katsimbiri O, Pavlidou E, Moutsatsou A, et al. Ochredifferentiation through micro-Raman and micro-FTIR spectroscopies: application on wall paintings at Meteora and Mount Athos, Greece. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy. 1999;56(1):3-18.

19. Ganitis V, Pavlidou E, Zorba F, Paraskevopoulos K, Bikiaris D. A post-Byzantine icon of St Nicholas painted on a leather support. Microanalysis and characterisation of technique. Journal of Cultural Heritage. 2004;5(4):349-60.

20. Moosavinejad SM, Madhoushi M, Vakili M, Rasouli D. Evaluation of degradation in chemical compounds of wood in historical buildings using FT-IR and FT-Raman vibrational spectroscopy. Maderas Ciencia y tecnología. 2019(AHEAD):0-.

21. Timar MC, Varodi AM, Hacibektasoglu M, Campean M. Color and FTIR analysis of chemical changes in beech wood (Fagus sylvatica L.) after light steaming and heat treatment in two different environments. BioResources. 2016;11(4):8325-43.

22. Esteves B, Velez Marques A, Domingos I, Pereira H. Chemical changes of heat treated pine and eucalypt wood monitored by FTIR. Maderas Ciencia y tecnología. 2013;15(2):245-58.

23. Mei Y, Liu R. Effect of temperature of ceramic hot vapor filter in a fluidized bed reactor on chemical composition and structure of bio-oil and reaction mechanism of pine sawdust fast pyrolysis. Fuel Processing Technology. 2017;161:204-19.

24. Beltran V, Salvadó N, Butí S, Pradell T. Ageing of resin from Pinus species assessed by infrared spectroscopy. Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry. 2016;408(15):4073-82.

25. Rosi F, Federici A, Brunetti BG, Sgamellotti A, Clementi S, Miliani C. Multivariate chemical mapping of pigments and binders in easel painting cross-sections by micro IR reflection spectroscopy. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry. 2011;399(9):3133-45.

26. Invernizzi C, Rovetta T, Licchelli M, Malagodi M. Mid and Near-Infrared Reflection Spectral Database of Natural Organic Materials in the Cultural Heritage Field. International Journal of Analytical Chemistry. 2018;2018:16.

27. Azadi Boyaghchi M, Nemati Babaylou A, Mosavi Majd A. Identification of the Stain Structure Caused by Hand Contact on Historical Papers of the Pebdeni Museum of Old Manuscripts, Iran. Journal of Research on Archaeometry. 2017;3(2):31-44.

28. Manzano E, Romero-Pastor J, Navas N, Rodríguez-Simón L, Cardell C. A study of the interaction between rabbit glue binder and blue copper pigment under UV radiation: a spectroscopic and PCA approach. Vibrational Spectroscopy. 2010;53(2):260-8.

29. de Viguerie L, Payard PA, Portero E, Walter P, Cotte M. The drying of linseed oil investigated by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy: Historical recipes and influence of lead compounds. Progress in Organic Coatings. 2016;93:46-60.

30. Bassas M, Marques A, Manresa A. Study of the crosslinking reaction (natural and UV induced) in polyunsaturated PHA from linseed oil. Biochemical engineering journal. 2008;40(2):275-83.