## Daylight saving time and acute myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis.

## Supplementary material

Table S1. List of the excluded studies, and reasons for the exclusion.

First author - Year	Reason for the exclusion
Foerch 2008 <sup>[1]</sup>	Only data on pre-post- DST stroke incidence reported
Sipilä 2016 <sup>[2]</sup>	Only data on pre-post- DST stroke incidence reported
Lindenberger 2018 <sup>[3]</sup>	Only data on forensic autopsies in the week following DST reported (absence of pre- post-DST comparisons)
Manfredini 2018 <sup>[4]</sup>	No additional data provided (review of previously published studies)

Figure S1. Risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) during the first week following daylight saving time (DST) transitions versus control weeks – <u>Overall</u>.

				Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI Year	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Janszky 2008s	0.0488	0.0098	13.6%	1.05 [1.03, 1.07] 2008	
Janszky 2008a	-0.0101	0.0104	13.4%	0.99 [0.97, 1.01] 2008	
Janszky 2012s	0.0392	0.02	10.8%	1.04 [1.00, 1.08] 2012	
Janszky 2012a	0	0.0155	12.1%	1.00 [0.97, 1.03] 2012	- <b>-</b>
Culic 2013s	0.1398	0.0513	4.3%	1.15 [1.04, 1.27] 2013	
Jiddou 2013s	0.157	0.0801	2.1%	1.17 [1.00, 1.37] 2013	
Jiddou 2013a	-0.0101	0.0778	2.2%	0.99 [0.85, 1.15] 2013	
Culic 2013a	0.174	0.0542	3.9%	1.19 [1.07, 1.32] 2013	
Sandhu 2014a	-0.0202	0.0434	5.3%	0.98 [0.90, 1.07] 2014	
Sandhu 2014s	0.0296	0.0413	5.7%	1.03 [0.95, 1.12] 2014	
Kirchberger 2015a	0.0296	0.0521	4.2%	1.03 [0.93, 1.14] 2015	
Kirchberger 2015s	0.077	0.0496	4.5%	1.08 [0.98, 1.19] 2015	
Sipila 2015s	0.01	0.0259	9.1%	1.01 [0.96, 1.06] 2015	
Sipila 2015a	-0.0101	0.0264	8.9%	0.99 [0.94, 1.04] 2015	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.03 [1.01, 1.06]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Chi² = 39.83,	df = 13 (l	P = 0.0001	l); l² = 67%	
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 2.44 (P = 0.01)				
	. ,				Favours [1-week post-DST] Favours [Control weeks]

Figure S2. Risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) during the first week following daylight saving time (DST) transition versus control weeks – <u>Females only</u>.

				Odds Ratio					Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	Year			IV, F	Random, 95%	6 CI	
Janszky 2012a	-0.0101	0.0264	40.4%	0.99 [0.94, 1.04]	2012				-		
Janszky 2012s	0.0677	0.0294	38.1%	1.07 [1.01, 1.13]	2012						
Culic 2013s	-0.5447	0.3364	1.0%	0.58 [0.30, 1.12]	2013	•	•				
Culic 2013a	0.4383	0.3311	1.0%	1.55 [0.81, 2.97]	2013						
Kirchberger 2015s	0	0.0951	10.3%	1.00 [0.83, 1.20]	2015			_	•	-	
Kirchberger 2015a	-0.0101	0.1024	9.1%	0.99 [0.81, 1.21]	2015			_		-	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.02 [0.95, 1.09]					•		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Chi² = 8.47, d	f = 5 (P =	= 0.13); l <sup>2</sup> :	= 41%			+				<u> </u>
Test for overall effect:	7 – 0 59 (P – 0 56)					(	0.5	0.7	1	1.5	2
	2 = 0.33 (1 = 0.30)					I	avours	[1-week post-I	DST] Favou	irs [Control wee	ks]

Figure S3. Risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) during the first week following daylight saving time (DST) transition versus control weeks – <u>Males only</u>.

				Odds Ratio			Odds	Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	Year		IV, Rande	om, 95% Cl	
Janszky 2012a	0	0.0208	33.1%	1.00 [0.96, 1.04]	2012		_	<b>-</b>	
Janszky 2012s	0.0198	0.0204	33.7%	1.02 [0.98, 1.06]	2012		-	+∎	
Culic 2013a	-0.4308	0.3306	0.3%	0.65 [0.34, 1.24]	2013 🕇	•			
Culic 2013s	0.9895	0.4849	0.1%	2.69 [1.04, 6.96]	2013				
Kirchberger 2015a	0.0392	0.0626	7.7%	1.04 [0.92, 1.18]	2015			•	
Kirchberger 2015s	0.1044	0.0584	8.6%	1.11 [0.99, 1.24]	2015				
Sipila 2015a	-0.0101	0.0543	9.7%	0.99 [0.89, 1.10]	2015				
Sipila 2015s	0.0488	0.0674	6.7%	1.05 [0.92, 1.20]	2015				
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.02 [0.98, 1.06]				•	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 9.38, d	f = 7 (P =	= 0.23); l <sup>2</sup> :	= 25%	-				— <del> </del> —
Tost for overall effect:	7 - 1 12 (P - 0 26)					0.7	0.85	1 1.2	1.5
rescior overall effect.	z = 1.13 (P = 0.20)					Favours [1-	week post-DST]	Favours [Control	weeks]

**Figure S4**. Risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) during the first week following daylight saving time (DST) transition versus control weeks – <u>Age <65 years only</u>.

				Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI Ye	ear IV, Random, 95% Cl
Janszky 2012a	0	0.0262	50.5%	1.00 [0.95, 1.05] 20	012
Janszky 2012s	0.01	0.0312	35.6%	1.01 [0.95, 1.07] 20	012
Kirchberger 2015s	0.0198	0.0696	7.2%	1.02 [0.89, 1.17] 20	015
Kirchberger 2015a	0.0677	0.0715	6.8%	1.07 [0.93, 1.23] 20	015
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.01 [0.97, 1.05]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Chi² = 0.82, d	f = 3 (P =	= 0.85); l <sup>2</sup>	= 0%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.51 (P = 0.61)				0.85 0.9 1 1.1 1.2 Favours [1-week post-DST] Favours [Control weeks]

Figure S5. Risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) during the first week following daylight saving time (DST) transition versus control weeks – <u>Age  $\geq$ 65 years only</u>.

			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE Weig	nt IV, Random, 95% CI Y	ar IV, Random, 95% Cl
Janszky 2012a	-0.0101	0.0157 42.0	% 0.99 [0.96, 1.02] 20	12 -
Janszky 2012s	0.0488	0.0198 38.7	% 1.05 [1.01, 1.09] 20	12
Kirchberger 2015s	0.1398	0.0764 9.9	% 1.15 [0.99, 1.34] 20	15
Kirchberger 2015a	-0.0202	0.0786 9.4	% 0.98 [0.84, 1.14] 20	15
Total (95% CI)		100.0	% 1.03 [0.97, 1.08]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Chi² = 8.34, df	f = 3 (P = 0.04)	l <sup>2</sup> = 64%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.99 (P = 0.32)			0.85 0.9 1 1.1 1.2 Favours [1-week post-DST] Favours [Control weeks]

Figure S6. Risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) during the first week following daylight saving time (DST) spring transition versus control weeks – <u>Overall</u>.

				Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI Year	IV, Random, 95% CI
Janszky 2008s	0.0488	0.0098	43.1%	1.05 [1.03, 1.07] 2008	
Janszky 2012s	0.0392	0.02	22.2%	1.04 [1.00, 1.08] 2012	- <b>-</b>
Culic 2013s	0.1398	0.0513	4.9%	1.15 [1.04, 1.27] 2013	
Jiddou 2013s	0.157	0.0801	2.1%	1.17 [1.00, 1.37] 2013	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Sandhu 2014s	0.0296	0.0413	7.2%	1.03 [0.95, 1.12] 2014	
Kirchberger 2015s	0.077	0.0496	5.2%	1.08 [0.98, 1.19] 2015	
Sipila 2015s	0.01	0.0259	15.5%	1.01 [0.96, 1.06] 2015	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.05 [1.02, 1.07]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Chi² = 7.93, d	f = 6 (P =	= 0.24); l <sup>2</sup>	= 24%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.03 (P < 0.000	1)			0.85 0.9 1 1.1 1.2
		,			Favours [1-week post-DST] Favours [Control weeks]

Figure S7. Risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) during the first week following daylight saving time (DST) spring transition versus control weeks – <u>Females only</u>.

				Odds Ratio		Odds	s Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI Year		IV, Rand	om, 95% Cl	
Janszky 2012s	0.0677	0.0294	63.1%	1.07 [1.01, 1.13] 2012				
Culic 2013s	-0.5447	0.3364	4.5%	0.58 [0.30, 1.12] 2013	-	•	<u> </u>	
Kirchberger 2015s	0	0.0951	32.4%	1.00 [0.83, 1.20] 2015		_	<b>*</b>	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.02 [0.88, 1.18]		•	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.01; Chi² = 3.69, d	f = 2 (P =	= 0.16); l <sup>2</sup>	= 46%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.25 (P = 0.80)				0.2 Favours	0.5 [1-week post-DST]	Favours [Contro	5 I weeks]

Figure S8. Risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) during the first week following daylight saving time (DST) spring transition versus control weeks – <u>Males only</u>.

				Odds Ratio				0	dds Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	Year			IV, Ra	ndom, 95%	% CI		
Janszky 2012s	0.0198	0.0204	49.3%	1.02 [0.98, 1.06]	2012				+			
Culic 2013s	0.9895	0.4849	0.7%	2.69 [1.04, 6.96]	2013							<b>→</b>
Sipila 2015s	0.0488	0.0674	23.0%	1.05 [0.92, 1.20]	2015							
Kirchberger 2015s	0.1044	0.0584	26.9%	1.11 [0.99, 1.24]	2015				╞╼╾			
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.06 [0.97, 1.15]								
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Chi² = 5.86, d	f = 3 (P =	= 0.12); l <sup>2</sup>	= 49%	-		-			4.5		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.34 (P = 0.18)					0 Favours	.5 [1-we	0.7 ek post-DS	1 5T] Favou	1.5 Irs [Contro	2 I weeks]	

**Figure S9**. Risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) during the first week following daylight saving time (DST) <u>spring</u> transition versus control weeks – <u>Age <65 years only</u>.

				Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI Year	IV, Random, 95% CI
Janszky 2012s	0.01	0.0312	83.3%	1.01 [0.95, 1.07] 2012	
Kirchberger 2015s	0.0198	0.0696	16.7%	1.02 [0.89, 1.17] 2015	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.01 [0.96, 1.07]	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Chi² = 0.02, d 7 = 0.41 (P = 0.68)	f = 1 (P =	= 0.90); l² =	= 0%	0.85 0.9 1 1.1 1.2
	2 = 0.41 (1 = 0.00)				Favours [1-week post-DST] Favours [Control weeks]

**Figure S10**. Risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) during the first week following daylight saving time (DST) <u>spring</u> transition versus control weeks – <u>Age  $\geq$ 65 years only</u>.

				Odds Ratio		Ode	ds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI Yea	ar	IV, Ran	dom, 95% Cl	
Janszky 2012s	0.0488	0.0198	82.9%	1.05 [1.01, 1.09] 201	2			
Kirchberger 2015s	0.1398	0.0764	17.1%	1.15 [0.99, 1.34] 201	5			
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.07 [1.00, 1.14]				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Chi² = 1.33, d	f = 1 (P =	= 0.25); l <sup>2</sup> :	= 25%	-+		+ +	+
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.88 (P = 0.06)				0.7 Fa	0.85 vours [1-week post-DST	1 1.2 ] Favours [Contro	l weeks]

**Figure S11**. Risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) during the first week following daylight saving time (DST) <u>autumn</u> transition versus control weeks – <u>**Overall**</u>.

				Odds Ratio			Odd	s Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	Year		IV, Rano	lom, 95%	CI	
Janszky 2008a	-0.0101	0.0104	31.4%	0.99 [0.97, 1.01]	2008			₽┼		
Janszky 2012a	0	0.0155	26.4%	1.00 [0.97, 1.03]	2012			•		
Culic 2013a	0.174	0.0542	6.2%	1.19 [1.07, 1.32]	2013					<b>→</b>
Jiddou 2013a	-0.0101	0.0778	3.3%	0.99 [0.85, 1.15]	2013			•		-
Sandhu 2014a	-0.0202	0.0434	8.9%	0.98 [0.90, 1.07]	2014					
Kirchberger 2015a	0.0296	0.0521	6.7%	1.03 [0.93, 1.14]	2015			+ •		
Sipila 2015a	-0.0101	0.0264	17.1%	0.99 [0.94, 1.04]	2015			-		
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.01 [0.98, 1.04]			•			
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 11.80,	df = 6 (P	= 0.07); l <sup>2</sup>	= 49%	-			-		
Test for overall effect:	7 = 0.39 (P = 0.70)					0.85	0.9	1	1.1	1.2
	2 0.00 (1 2 0.10)					Favours	[1-week post-DST	Favour	s [Control we	eks]

**Figure S12**. Risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) during the first week following daylight saving time (DST) <u>autumn</u> transition versus control weeks – <u>Females only</u>.



**Figure S13**. Risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) during the first week following daylight saving time (DST) <u>autumn</u> transition versus control weeks – <u>Males only</u>.

		Odds Ratio				Odds Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	Year	IV, Random, 95% Cl				
Janszky 2012a	0	0.0208	79.3%	1.00 [0.96, 1.04]	2012		-#			
Culic 2013a	-0.4308	0.3306	0.3%	0.65 [0.34, 1.24]	2013 🕈	•				
Sipila 2015a	-0.0101	0.0543	11.6%	0.99 [0.89, 1.10]	2015					
Kirchberger 2015a	0.0392	0.0626	8.8%	1.04 [0.92, 1.18]	2015					
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.00 [0.97, 1.04]			•			
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.55); l²	-								
Test for overall effect: $7 = 0.05 (P = 0.96)$						0.7	0.85 1	1.2	1.5	
1 = 0.00 (1 = 0.00)						Favours [1-week post-DST] Favours [Control weeks]				

**Figure S14**. Risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) during the first week following daylight saving time (DST) <u>autumn</u> transition versus control weeks – <u>Age <65 years only</u>.

		Odds Ratio				Odds Ratio					
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	Year	IV, Random, 95% CI					
Janszky 2012a	0	0.0262	88.2%	1.00 [0.95, 1.05] 2	2012						
Kirchberger 2015a	0.0677	0.0715	11.8%	1.07 [0.93, 1.23] 2	2015						
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.01 [0.96, 1.06]				•			
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.79, df = 1 (P = 0.37); l <sup>2</sup> = 0%							0.85	1	12	1.5	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.33$ (P = 0.74)						Favours [1-week post-DST] Favours [Control weeks]					

**Figure S15**. Risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) during the first week following daylight saving time (DST) <u>autumn</u> transition versus control weeks – <u>Age ≥65 years only</u>.

		Odds Ratio			Odds Ratio					
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	Year	IV, Random, 95% CI				
Janszky 2012a	-0.0101	0.0157	96.2%	0.99 [0.96, 1.02]	2012			-		
Kirchberger 2015a	-0.0202	0.0786	3.8%	0.98 [0.84, 1.14]	2015					
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	0.99 [0.96, 1.02]				-		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.02, df = 1 (P = 0.90); l <sup>2</sup> = 0%										+
Test for overall effect: $7 = 0.68$ (P = 0.50)						0.7	0.85	1	1.2	1.5
	2 = 0.00 (1 = 0.00)				Favours [1-week post-DST] Favours [Control weeks]					



**Figure S16**. Funnel plot of the logarithm of the odds ratios vs their standard errors (outcome: risk of AMI during the first week following daylight saving time (DST) transitions versus control weeks – <u>Overall</u>).

Egger: bias = 0.89 (95% CI = -0.798395 to 2.579988) P = 0.2729

## References

- 1. Foerch, C.; Korf, H.W.; Steinmetz, H.; Sitzer, M. Abrupt shift of the pattern of diurnal variation in stroke onset with daylight saving time transitions. *Circulation* **2008**, *118*, 284-290.
- 2. Sipila, J.O.; Ruuskanen, J.O.; Rautava, P.; Kyto, V. Changes in ischemic stroke occurrence following daylight saving time transitions. *Sleep Med* **2016**, 27-28, 20-24.
- 3. Lindenberger, L.M.; Ackermann, H.; Parzeller, M. The controversial debate about daylight saving time (DST)-results of a retrospective forensic autopsy study in Frankfurt/Main (Germany) over 10 years (2006-2015). *Int J Legal Med* **2018**, [E-pub ahead of print].
- 4. Manfredini, R.; Fabbian, F.; Cappadona, R.; Modesti, P.A. Daylight saving time, circadian rhythms, and cardiovascular health. *Intern Emerg Med* **2018**, *13*, 641-646.