## **Supplementary Materials**

## One step synthesis of tetragonal-CuBi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/amorphous-BiFeO<sub>3</sub> heterojunction with improved charge separation and enhanced photocatalytic properties

## Fang Cai<sup>1, 2, +</sup>, Ting Zhang<sup>1, 3, +</sup>, Qiong Liu<sup>4</sup>, Pengran Guo<sup>1, 2</sup>, Yongqian Lei<sup>1, 2</sup>, Yi Wang<sup>3</sup> and Fuxian Wang<sup>1, 4\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Emergency Test for Dangerous Chemicals, Guangdong Institute of Analysis, Guangdong Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510070, China;
- <sup>2</sup> Guangdong Engineering Technology Research Center of On-line Monitoring of Water Environmental Pollution, Guangdong Institute of Analysis, Guangdong Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510070, China;
- <sup>3</sup> College of Petrochemical Technology, Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou 730050, China;
- <sup>4</sup> State Key Laboratory of Pulp and Paper Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510641, China;
- \* Correspondence: <u>wangfuxian@fenxi.com.cn</u> (F.W.)
- + These authors contributed equally to this work

Table S1 details for the preparation of solvothermal solutions with various ion ratios.

T-CBO/A-BFO ratio	Stock solution for each ion	n (mol)	V(ml)	C(mol/l)
1:4	BiN <sub>3</sub> O <sub>9</sub> in acetic acid	0.00144	3.6	0.4
	Cu(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ·3H <sub>2</sub> O in ethanol	0.00024	6	0.04
	Fe(NO3)3·9H2O in ethanol	0.00096	24	0.04
1:2	BiN <sub>3</sub> O <sub>9</sub> in acetic acid	0.0016	4	0.4
	Cu(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ·3H <sub>2</sub> O in ethanol	0.0004	10	0.04
	Fe(NO3)3·9H2O in ethanol	0.0008	20	0.04
1:1	BiN <sub>3</sub> O <sub>9</sub> in acetic acid	0.0018	4.5	0.4
	Cu(NO <sub>3</sub> )2·3H2O in ethanol	0.0006	15	0.04
	Fe(NO3)3·9H2O in ethanol	0.0006	15	0.04
2:1	BiN <sub>3</sub> O <sub>9</sub> in acetic acid	0.002	5	0.4
	Cu(NO <sub>3</sub> )2·3H2O in ethanol	0.0008	20	0.04
	Fe(NO3)3·9H2O in ethanol	0.0004	10	0.04
3:1	BiN <sub>3</sub> O <sub>9</sub> in acetic acid	0.0021	5.25	0.4
	Cu(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ·3H <sub>2</sub> O in ethanol	0.0009	22.5	0.04
	Fe(NO3)3·9H2O in ethanol	0.0003	7.5	0.04
4:1	BiN <sub>3</sub> O <sub>9</sub> in acetic acid	0.00216	5.4	0.4
	Cu(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ·3H <sub>2</sub> O in ethanol	0.00096	24	0.04
	Fe(NO3)3·9H2O in ethanol	0.00024	6	0.04



Figure S1 XRD patterns of the T-CBO, A-BFO and T-CBO/A-BFO composites (**a**) before annealing; (**b**) after annealing at 450  $^{\circ}$ C for 2 h.



Figure S2. SEM images of the T-CBO.



Figure S3. XPS survey spectra of Cu 2p, Fe 2p, O 1s, Bi 4f of the T-CBO, A-BFO and T-CBO/A-BFO (1:1).



Figure S4. (a) UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra; (b) corresponding Tauc plots of the T-CBO, A-BFO and T-CBO/A-BFO composites.



Figure S5. Photodegradation of MB by post annealed CBO, BFO and CBO/BFO composites.



Figure S6. Photodegradation of MO by T-CBO/A-BFO (1:1) and the physically mixed T-CBO and A-BFO at a ratio of 1:1.