

Article



# Hierarchical Co–Pi Clusters/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Nanorods/FTO Micropillars 3D Branched Photoanode for High-Performance Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting

Nakhyun Kim<sup>1,†</sup>, Sucheol Ju<sup>2,†</sup>, Jisung Ha<sup>2</sup>, Hojung Choi<sup>2</sup>, Hansang Sung<sup>2</sup> and Heon Lee<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Semiconductor Systems Engineering, Korea University, Anam-ro 145, Sungbuk-Gu, Seoul 136-701, Korea
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Korea University, Anam-ro 145, Sungbuk-Gu, Seoul 136-701, Korea
- \* Correspondence: heonlee@korea.ac.kr
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: In this study, an efficient hierarchical Co-Pi cluster/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorod/fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) micropillar three-dimensional (3D) branched photoanode was designed for enhanced photoelectrochemical performance. A periodic array of FTO micropillars, which acts as a highly conductive "host" framework for uniform light scattering and provides an extremely enlarged active area, was fabricated by direct printing and mist-chemical vapor deposition (CVD). Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods that act as light absorber "guest" materials and Co-Pi clusters that give rise to random light scattering were synthesized via a hydrothermal reaction and photoassisted electrodeposition, respectively. The hierarchical 3D branched photoanode exhibited enhanced light absorption efficiency because of multiple light scattering, which was a combination of uniform light scattering from the periodic FTO micropillars and random light scattering from the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods. Additionally, the large surface area of the 3D FTO micropillar, together with the surface area provided by the one-dimensional Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods, contributed to a remarkable increase in the specific area of the photoanode. Because of these enhancements and further improvements facilitated by decoration with a Co-Pi catalyst that enhanced water oxidation, the 3D branched Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> photoanode achieved a photocurrent density of 1.51 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at 1.23 VRHE, which was 5.2 times higher than that generated by the non-decorated flat Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> photoanode.

**Keywords:** photoelectrochemical water splitting; hematite; direct printing; patterned fluorine-doped tin oxide

## 1. Introduction

With the increased focus on replacing fossil fuels with renewable energy sources, photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting has gained significant attention for the conversion of solar energy into clean chemical energy (H<sub>2</sub>) [1–3]. For efficient solar energy conversion, a PEC cell must absorb visible light, transport and separate the charge carriers, and transfer the separated charge carriers to the semiconductor/electrolyte surface [4–6]. However, dense photoelectrode films are limited by their low PEC efficiencies due to their small surface area and high reflectivity. To solve this problem and obtain increased PEC efficiency, many studies using micro-nanostructured photoelectrodes have been conducted [7,8]. It was shown that micro-nanostructured photoanodes can successfully decrease the carrier diffusion path, induce a light-scattering effect, and increase the surface area, thereby favoring a higher PEC efficiency.

To fabricate such structured photoanodes, hierarchical guest/host structures are commonly constructed [9,10]. Hierarchical guest/host type photoelectrodes can maximize

**Citation:** Kim, N.; Ju, S.; Ha, J.; Choi, H.; Sung, H.; Lee, H. Hierarchical Co–Pi Clusters/Fe2O3 Nanorods/FTO Micropillars 3D Branched Photoanode for High-Performance Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting. *Nanomaterials* **2022**, *12*, 3664. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nano12203664

Academic Editors: Vincenzo Vaiano and Olga Sacco

Received: 24 September 2022 Accepted: 15 October 2022 Published: 18 October 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). the PEC efficiency by combining the effects of 1D, 2D, and 3D micro-nanostructures on light absorption and scattering, and by providing an extremely large specific surface area. In these hierarchical structures, the guest material must have a narrow bandgap and adequate band edge alignments to act as a photoactive layer that absorbs visible light and efficiently creates photogenerated charges for PEC reactions [11,12]. Metal oxides such as  $Fe_2O_3$ , BiVO<sub>4</sub>, and TiO<sub>2</sub> are good photoactive guest materials, and they have often been investigated in studies on micro-nanostructured photoelectrodes. Since the host material serves as a growth scaffold for the guest material, host materials must exhibit high electrical conductivity and chemical resistance [13,14]. Because of its chemical stability, high optical transmittance, and low electrical resistance, fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) is deemed the most effective candidate for the host material. Many studies have employed guest-host-structured photoanodes using FTO as the host, such as FTO inverse opal/CdS nanorods [15], FTO inverse opal/TiO<sub>2</sub> [16], and nanocone arrays of FTO/ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [17] photoanodes. However, the processes used for the fabrication of the FTO framework in the above studies were complicated and could not easily fabricate uniform FTO over a large area. Therefore, it is necessary to develop new methods for the scalable fabrication of FTObased micro-nanostructured photoanodes that are suitable for large-scale production and use.

In this study, a hierarchical Co–Pi cluster/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorod/FTO micropillar 3D branched photoanode was fabricated. Periodic FTO micropillars were constructed by performing direct printing and mist-chemical vapor deposition (CVD), which enable simple, low-cost, and large-area fabrication. Thereafter, 1D Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods were hydrothermally synthesized on the surface of the periodic FTO micropillars. The periodic FTO micropillars, which acted as a conductive framework, collected electrons to facilitate uniform light scattering and provide a large surface area for Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods to grow. Moreover, the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods enabled random light scattering and increased the surface area further. Thus, the hierarchical Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorod/FTO micropillar structure induced multiple light scattering mechanisms for enhanced light-harvesting efficiency. Thereafter, the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods were supplemented with Co–Pi clusters to increase the surface oxygen evolution reaction (OER) and PEC performance of the entire photoanode. In terms of the photocurrent density (1.51 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at 1.23 V<sub>RHE</sub>), the hierarchical Co–Pi cluster/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorod/FTO micropillar 3D branched photoanode exhibited significant improvement compared to that of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorod/flat FTO.

## 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Fabrication of Periodic FTO Micropillars

Scheme 1 illustrates the fabrication process for obtaining a composite photoanode comprising Co-Pi-decorated Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods on periodic FTO micropillars. Periodic FTO micropillars, labeled FTO-M, were fabricated using a process reported in earlier studies [18,19]. Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) was prepared using Sylgard 184A and Sylgard 184B (10:1 volume ratio). Thereafter, PDMS was applied to the photolithography-fabricated micropillar-array Si wafer. The PDMS mold was hardened (80 °C, 3 h) and then peeled from the micropillar-array Si wafer, producing a PDMS mold with a reverse micropillar structure. A hydrogen silsesquioxane (HSQ) solution was diluted in isobutyl methyl ketone (IBMK) and spread onto the PDMS mold (3000 rpm, 30 s), using the spincoating method. Thereafter, an Eagle XG glass substrate (Corning) showing excellent acidity, alkalinity, and heat resistance, was applied to the PDMS mold at a pressure of 200 kPa for 1 min. After the detachment of the PDMS mold, an HSQ micropillar array was formed, labeled HSQ-M. The substrates were heat-treated (500 °C, 2 h) to harden the micropillar pattern further. The FTO precursor solution comprised 1.35 and  $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$  M of SnCl<sub>4</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub>F, respectively, in deionized (DI) water. FTO was deposited by performing mist-CVD in a heating chamber at 450 °C with N<sub>2</sub> as the carrier gas.



Scheme 1. The fabrication process of FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co-Pi.

#### 2.2. Synthesis of Hematite Nanorods

Based on an earlier study, hematite nanorods were prepared using a simple hydrothermal synthesis method [20]. First,  $2.5 \times 2.5$  cm<sup>2</sup> FTO substrates were cleaned by performing ultrasonication in acetone, ethanol, and DI water for 20 min. Subsequently, UVozone treatment was conducted on the FTO substrates for 60 min. The substrates were vertically arranged in a Teflon tube with the precursors of 0.3 M of FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O and 2 M of NaNO<sub>3</sub>, in 50 mL of DI water. The Teflon tube was heated at 90 °C for 6 h in a SUS reactor, and then cooled to a temperature of 25 °C. A layer of FeOOH nanorods was fabricated on top of the FTO, and undesirable precipitates on the substrates were removed by rinsing with DI water. The FeOOH nanorods were then dried at 25 °C. To convert FeOOH to Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, annealing was performed at 550 °C for 2 h and 750 °C for 20 min. The photoassisted electrodeposition method was used for Co–Pi decoration [21,22]. Co–Pi clusters were deposited as an OER layer on the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorod array by performing photoassisted electrodeposition under illumination (100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>, AM 1.5 G) at 1.1 V vs. Ag/AgCl for 20 min. The electrolyte was a 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer solution with  $0.5 \times 10^{-3}$  M cobalt chloride hexahydrate.

#### 2.3. Characterization

Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, SU-8100, Hitachi, Japan), atomic force microscopy (AFM, XE-100, Park Systems, South Korea), and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM, Talos F200X, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) were employed to study the morphology of the micropillar pattern and the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> photoanodes. A Rigaku SmartLab X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku, Japan) with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation was used to obtain the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Nexsa XPS system, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) was performed to analyze the elemental composition. A UV–vis spectrophotometer (SolidSpec-3700, Shimadzu, Japan) was used to measure the transmittance, absorption, and reflectance spectra.

## 2.4. PEC Measurements

The PEC performance of the photoanodes was measured under irradiation (100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>, AM 1.5 G). *V*<sub>RHE</sub> was calculated as follows [23]:

$$V_{RHE} = V_{Ag/AgCl} + 0.1976 \text{ V} + 0.0591 \text{ pH}$$
(1)

where  $V_{RHE}$  is the potential vs. the RHE electrode and  $V_{Ag/AgCl}$  is the potential vs. a Ag/AgCl electrode. The prepared photoanode, Pt coil, and Ag/AgCl electrode were used as the working, counter, and reference electrodes, respectively. The wetted area of the prepared sample was  $0.5 \times 0.5$  cm<sup>2</sup>. The incident photon-to-current efficiency (IPCE) was calculated as follows:

$$IPCE = (1240 \times I_{SC}(A))/(\lambda(nm) \times P(W))$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $I_{SC}$  is the photocurrent density,  $\lambda$  is the incident light wavelength, and P(W) is the measured irradiance. The IPCE was measured at 1.23 V<sub>RHE</sub>.

Both instances listed above used 1 M of NaOH (pH 13.6) electrolyte.

# 3. Results

## 3.1. Characterization of the Fabricated FTO-M

SEM images of the samples produced in each procedure are shown in Figure 1a–d. A periodic array of HSQ-M with a height, diameter, and period of 1.9  $\mu$ m, 500 nm, and 2.0  $\mu$ m, respectively, was formed by direct printing (Figure 1a,b). A 450 nm thick FTO film was uniformly deposited on the directly printed HSQ-M (Figure 1c,d). Figure S1 shows the SEM images of the flat FTO, labeled FTO-F, with the same FTO layer thickness of 450 nm. The 2D and 3D AFM images of FTO-M are shown in Figure 1e,f, respectively. The surface area of FTO-F is 134.8  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> per 10 × 10  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> (Figure S2). In contrast, the surface area of FTO-M at 233.8  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> per 10 × 10  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> is 1.73-fold larger than that of FTO-F. The crystal phase was determined by performing XRD (Figure 1g). Based on the major peaks in the obtained XRD spectra, tetragonal SnO<sub>2</sub> (JCPDS No. 46-1088) was identified with peaks at 20 = 26.6°, 33.9°, 38.0°, and 51.8°, corresponding to the (110), (101), (200), and (211) tetragonal SnO<sub>2</sub> planes, respectively, suggesting the successful fabrication of FTO.



**Figure 1.** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images acquired from the fabrication procedure: (**a**,**b**) HSQ-M. (**c**,**d**) FTO-M. (**e**,**f**) AFM images of FTO-M. (**g**) X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectra of FTO-M. (**h**) Transmittance spectra of FTO-F and FTO-M.

The optical properties of FTO-M and FTO-F were compared using the UV–Vis transmittance spectra (Figure 1h). The transmittance of FTO-M was 11.6% lower on average than that of FTO-F for the entire wavelength range. This largely constant difference in the transmittance is due to the additional light absorption by the FTO layer on the side of the micropillar pattern.

#### 3.2. Characterization of the Fabricated Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co-Pi Photoanode

The FeOOH nanorods were annealed (Figure 2a,b, Figure S3) to produce Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods. The length and width of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods were approximately 350 and 50 nm, respectively. The synthesis of 1D Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods on the 3D micropillar HSQ produces a hierarchical structure with an extremely large surface area. The crystal phase was determined by performing XRD (Figure 2c). Rhombohedral  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (JCPDS No. 33-0664) and tetragonal SnO<sub>2</sub> (JCPDS No. 46-1088) are detected from the main peaks in the XRD patterns. The peaks at 20 = 24.2°, 33.2°, 35.6°, 40.9°, 49.5°, and 62.5° correspond to the (012), (104), (110), (113), (024), and (214) diffraction planes of rhombohedral  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, whereas the peaks at  $2\theta = 26.6^{\circ}$ ,  $33.9^{\circ}$ ,  $38.0^{\circ}$ , and  $51.8^{\circ}$  are attributed to the (110), (101), (200), and (211) tetragonal SnO<sub>2</sub> planes. No peak related to Co–Pi is observed because of its amorphous nature. Characterization of the chemical state and chemical composition on the surface of the sample was performed using XPS. Figure 2d–f illustrate the narrow-scan XPS profiles of Fe, P, and Co for the prepared sample. The existence of  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is further verified by the binding energies at 724.9 and 711.0 eV, which are ascribed to Fe 2p1/2 and Fe 2p<sub>3/2</sub> (Figure 2d) [24]. In Figure S4, the binding energy at 530.2 eV corresponds to the O1s peak, which is attributed to the lattice oxygen(O<sup>2-</sup>) in Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. On the other hand, the O1s peak at 531.4 eV is attributed to the surface -OH groups. The spectrum presented in Figure 2e shows a binding energy of 133.0 eV, corresponding to a single peak of P 2p that is attributed to the phosphate in the electrodeposited Co-Pi clusters. Furthermore, the binding energies at 797.3 and 781.6 eV correspond to Co 2p1/2 and Co 2p3/2, in the Co 2p region (Figure 2f). The binding energies of the two shakeup satellite peaks (Sat.) at 785.7 and 803.1 eV are assigned to the oxidized Co in the +2 oxidation state (Co<sup>2+</sup>). An increase in the  $Co^{2+}/Co^{3+}$  ratio of the sample is observed when the peak shifts to a higher binding energy [25,26]. The oxidation number of the cobalt ions is assumed to change cyclically ( $Co^{2+/3+} \rightarrow$  $Co^{3+} \rightarrow Co^{2+/3+}$ ) during the oxidation of water [27,28]. Therefore, the ratio of  $Co^{2+}/Co^{3+}$  directly after deposition does not have a significant effect on the overall catalytic ability compared to either the nucleation density or the total number of Co-Pi clusters, thereby verifying the formation of Co–Pi on the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sample [29].



**Figure 2.** (**a**,**b**) SEM images of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods on FTO-M. (**c**) XRD patterns of the FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) profiles of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi sample. (**d**) Fe 2p, (**e**) P 2p, (**f**) Co 2p.

Figure 3 shows the TEM images used to determine the crystallinity of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Figure 3a illustrates a low-resolution TEM image of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorod. The HRTEM image

(Figure 3b) shows the crystalline structure of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorod. The lattice spacing, measured as 0.26 nm, is attributed to the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (110) plane. In Figure 3c, energy-dispersive Xray spectrometry (EDS) elemental mapping was used to determine the presence of Fe, Co, and P; these elements were all found to be uniformly distributed throughout the sample.



**Figure 3.** (**a**) Low-resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorod. (**b**) High-resolution TEM image of the dashed yellow square in (**a**). (**c**) TEM-energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) mapping images of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi.

# 3.3. Optical Properties of the Hematite Nanorod on the Micropillar FTO Photoanode

The optical properties of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods on FTO-M (FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods on FTO-F (FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) were compared using UV–Vis transmittance and absorption spectra (Figure 4). The transmittance of FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is on average 15.1% lower than that of FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> throughout the wavelength region. The uniform light-scattering effect is due to the periodic micropillar array and the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods that grow horizon-tally on the side of the micropillar and act as a thick photoactive layer to lower the transmittance of the photoanode. Figure S5 presents the reflectance spectra of FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The average reflectance of FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is 1.03% less than that of FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the 300–500 nm wavelength region. The small difference between these reflectance values is attributed to the random light scattering by the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods of both samples. The absorption of FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is higher than that of FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in all wavelength ranges. Not only do the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods grown horizontally on the side of the micropillar array and randomly arranged Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods also contribute greatly toward increasing the absorption of the sample [30,31].



Figure 4. Optical properties of FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: (a) Transmittance and (b) Absorption.

The absorption efficiency ( $\eta_{abs}$ ) was calculated as follows [32,33]:

$$\eta_{abs} = J_{abs}/J_{max} \tag{3}$$

where *J*<sub>max</sub> is the integral value at AM, calculated by the trapezoidal integration of the AM 1.5 G solar spectral irradiance (in 1 nm increments) up to the absorption wavelength, and

*Jabs* is the integral value of the product, calculated by the stepwise multiplication of the absorption and spectral irradiance in the trapezoidal integration up to the absorption edge. The optical bandgap of 2.11 eV and absorption wavelength of 587 nm were calculated using the Tauc plot (Figure S6); thus, *J*<sub>max</sub> was 12.25 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. For FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, *J*<sub>abs</sub> was 8.37 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and  $\eta_{abs}$  was 68.3%. For FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, *J*<sub>abs</sub> was 9.45 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and  $\eta_{abs}$  was 77.5%, which was 9.2% higher than that of FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Therefore, the light absorption efficiency was enhanced by the periodic micropatterns and random Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods.

### 3.4. PEC Performance of the Micropillar-Patterned Hematite Nanorod Photoanode

The current density–voltage (J–V) curves of FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi, and FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi, measured in 1 M NaOH under solar irradiance (100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>, AM 1.5 G), are illustrated in Figure 5a. The photocurrent density of FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is 0.29 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, whereas that of FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is 2.6 times higher, at 0.75 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. This increased PEC efficiency is attributed to the expanded specific area resulting from the hierarchical structure and multiple light scattering from the micropillar FTO and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods. The mechanism of the Co–Pi catalyst can be explained by its cyclic reactions of cobalt ions. The Co–Pi layer captures the holes from the valence band of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and oxidizes cobalt ions. These Co species can oxidize water more effectively than bare Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surfaces [34]. The PEC efficiency is also enhanced by the decoration of the Co–Pi catalyst, due to the improvement in the surface water oxidation activity. Thus, the photocurrent densities generated by FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi and FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi increase to 0.59 and 1.51 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. Transient photocurrent measurement (Figure S7) was also employed to demonstrate the stability of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods and Co–Pi catalyst.



**Figure 5.** Photoelectrochemical (PEC) performance characteristics of FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi, and FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi. (**a**) J–V curves in 1 M of NaOH under illumination. (**b**) IPCE measured at 1.23 V<sub>RHE</sub>. (**c**) ABPE calculated from J–V curves. (**d**) J<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>–V curves measured in 0.1 M of NaOH with 0.5 M of hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) under illumination. (**e**) Absorption efficiency multiplied by the charge separation efficiency ( $\eta_{abs} \times \eta_{separation}$ ). (**f**) Charge separation efficiency ( $\eta_{separation}$ ).</sub>

The IPCE was determined to analyze the wavelength-related PEC performance (Figure 5b). FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi exhibits higher IPCE values than the other photoanodes at all measured wavelengths. The highest IPCE values for FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi, FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi are 4.84, 9.03, 11.1, and 25.7%, respectively. This result indicates that the micropillar structure and Co–Pi catalyst have a remarkable influence on the charge kinetics at the surface and on PEC efficiency. Further, in Figure S8, the electrochemical impedance spectra (Nyquist plots) show the decrease of interface resistance between the electrolyte and the photoanode, which is R2 of the circuit. The decrease of R2 could be interpreted as due to the enlarged surface area and the enhanced surface oxidation reaction by Co–Pi catalyst.

The PEC efficiency of the photoanodes was quantitatively analyzed using the applied bias photon-to-current efficiency (ABPE). The maximum photoconversion efficiency of FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi was 0.101% at 1.07 V<sub>RHE</sub>, whereas that of FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was only 0.0302% at 1.00 V<sub>RHE</sub> (Figure 5c).

The effect of surface structuring and Co–Pi cluster decoration was further investigated by adding 0.5 M of an H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution to 1 M of NaOH (Figure 5d). The H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution acted as a hole scavenger and suppressed surface recombination; hence, it was used to measure the maximum PEC properties without an injection barrier, by assuming charge transfer efficiency ( $\eta_{transfer}$ ) to be 100% [32,35]. The obtained photocurrent densities were 1.05, 1.62, 2.24, and 3.39 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi, FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi, respectively. From Figure 5e and 5f,  $\eta_{abs} \times \eta_{separation}$  and  $\eta_{separation}$  were calculated as follows [36–38]:

$$J_{\rm H_2O_2}\left(\eta_{\rm transfer} \approx 100\%\right) = J_{\rm max} \times \eta_{\rm abs} \times \eta_{\rm separation},\tag{4}$$

$$\eta_{\rm abs} \times \eta_{\rm separation} = J_{\rm H_2O_2}/J_{\rm max},$$
 (5)

$$\eta_{\text{separation}} = J_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2} / (J_{\text{max}} \times \eta_{\text{abs}}).$$
(6)

The J<sub>max</sub> of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was 12.2 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> (300–587 nm). The calculated  $\eta_{abs} \times \eta_{separation}$  values were 8.68, 20.2, 26.7, and 41.0% for FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi, FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi, respectively. These results confirm that  $\eta_{separation}$  increases because the 1D nanorods on the 3D micropillar-patterned FTO significantly increase the active area to produce multiple light-scattering effects, whereas Co–Pi alleviates surface charge recombination and acts as a passivation layer.

#### 4. Conclusions

In this study, we employed a simple, low-cost, and large-area-fabrication approach for FTO photoanodes. We thus fabricated 3D branched Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods on a micropillar FTO with an effective PEC water splitting performance with the electrodeposition of Co– Pi clusters and direct printing. The  $\eta_{abs}$  of FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> increased by 9.2% compared to that of FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> because of the uniform and random light scattering induced by the periodic micropillar FTO and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods, respectively. In addition, the surface area and separation efficiency were greatly enhanced because of the unique structure of the 1D Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods that grew on the 3D micropillar array. The additional decoration of a Co– Pi catalyst oxidized water more effectively than the bare Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surface and yielded a photocurrent density of 1.51 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. This study illustrates the critical role of micro-nanoscale structural engineering and surface oxidation catalysts in enhancing the PEC performance of hematite, thereby paving the way for low-cost solar-powered water splitting applications.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nano12203664/s1. Figure S1: SEM images of FTO-F, Figure S2: AFM results for FTO-F, Figure S3: SEM images of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods on FTO-F, Figure S4: XPS profile of O1s from the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi sample, Figure S5: Reflectance of flat Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-M, Figure S6: Tauc plot of flat Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Figure S7: Transient photocurrents of FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, FTO-F/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi, and FTO-M/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co–Pi in 1 M of NaOH under illumination, Figure S8: (a) Nyquist plots from electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS); (b) the equivalent circuit model from the fitting results.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, H.L.; validation, N.K. and S.J.; investigation, N.K.; writing—original draft preparation, N.K. and S.J.; writing—review and editing, J.H., H.C., H.S. and H.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by a National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (grant number 2020R1A2C3006382), and Creative Materials Discovery Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (NRF-2018M3D1A1058972).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data used and or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- Walter, M.G.; Warren, E.L.; McKone, J.R.; Boettcher, S.W.; Mi, Q.; Santori, E.A.; Lewis, N.S. Solar water splitting cells. *Chem. Rev.* 2010, 110, 6446–6473. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr1002326.
- Reece, S.Y.; Hamel, J.A.; Sung, K.; Jarvi, T.D.; Esswein, A.J.; Pijpers, J.J.H.; Nocera, D.G. Wireless solar water splitting using silicon-based semiconductors and earth-abundant catalysts. *Science* 2011, 334, 645–648. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1209816.
- 3. Landman, A.; Dotan, H.; Shter, G.E.; Wullenkord, M.; Houaijia, A.; Maljusch, A.; Grader, G.S.; Rothschild, A. Photoelectrochemical water splitting in separate oxygen and hydrogen cells. *Nat. Mater.* **2017**, *16*, 646–651. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat4876.
- 4. Du, C.; Yang, X.; Mayer, M.T.; Hoyt, H.; Xie, J.; McMahon, G.; Bischoping, G.; Wang, D. Hematite-based water splitting with low turn-on voltages. *Angew. Chem.* 2013, *52*, 12924–12927. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201306263.
- Hisatomi, T.; Kubota, J.; Domen, K. Recent advances in semiconductors for photocatalytic and photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2014, 43, 7520–7535. https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cs60378d.
- Kim, J.Y.; Youn, D.H.; Kang, K.; Lee, J.S. Highly conformal deposition of an ultrathin FeOOH layer on a hematite nanostructure for efficient solar water splitting. *Angew. Chem.* 2016, 128, 11012–11016. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201605924.
- Wang, W.; Jin, C.; Qi, L. Hierarchical CdS nanorod@SnO2 nanobowl arrays for efficient and stable photoelectrochemical hydrogen generation. *Small* 2018, 14, e1801352. https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201801352.
- 8. Pan, Q.; Zhang, H.; Yang, Y.; Cheng, C. 3D Brochosomes-like TiO<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub>/BiVO<sub>4</sub> arrays as photoanode for photoelectrochemical hydrogen production. *Small* **2019**, *15*, e1900924. https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201900924.
- Quang, N.D.; Hu, W.; Chang, H.S.; Van, P.C.; Viet, D.D.; Jeong, J.R.; Seo, D.B.; Kim, E.T.; Kim, C.; Kim, D. Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> hierarchical tubular structure decorated with cobalt phosphide (CoP) nanoparticles for efficient photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2021, 417, 129278. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2021.129278.
- 10. Wang, Z.; Zhu, H.; Tu, W.; Zhu, X.; Yao, Y.; Zhou, Y.; Zou, Z. Host/guest nanostructured photoanodes integrated with targeted enhancement strategies for photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Adv. Sci.* (*Weinh*) **2022**, *9*, e2103744. https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202103744.
- 11. Wang, L.; Palacios-Padrós, A.; Kirchgeorg, R.; Tighineanu, A.; Schmuki, P. Enhanced photoelectrochemical water splitting efficiency of a hematite-ordered Sb:SnO<sub>2</sub> host-guest system. *ChemSusChem* **2014**, *7*, 421–424. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201301120.
- 12. Resasco, J.; Zhang, H.; Kornienko, N.; Becknell, N.; Lee, H.; Guo, J.; Briseno, A.L.; Yang, P. TiO<sub>2</sub>/BiVO<sub>4</sub> nanowire heterostructure photoanodes based on type II band alignment. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2016**, *2*, 80–88. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscentsci.5b00402.
- Zhou, L.; Zhao, C.; Giri, B.; Allen, P.; Xu, X.; Joshi, H.; Fan, Y.; Titova, L.V.; Rao, P.M. High light absorption and charge separation efficiency at low applied voltage from Sb-doped SnO<sub>2</sub>/BiVO<sub>4</sub> core/shell nanorod-array photoanodes. *Nano Lett.* 2016, 16, 3463–3474. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b05200.
- Garcia-Torregrosa, I.; Wijten, J.H.J.; Zanoni, S.; Oropeza, F.E.; Hofmann, J.P.; Hensen, E.J.M.; Weckhuysen, B.M. Template-free nanostructured fluorine-doped tin oxide scaffolds for photoelectrochemical water splitting. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2019, 11, 36485–36496. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b05176.
- Wang, Z.; Nguyen, T.D.; Yeo, L.P.; Tan, C.K.; Gan, L.; Tok, A.I.Y. Periodic FTO IOs/CdS NRs/CdSe clusters with superior light scattering ability for improved photoelectrochemical performance. *Small* 2020, 16, e1905826. https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201905826.
- Wang, Z.; Li, X.; Ling, H.; Tan, C.K.; Yeo, L.P.; Grimsdale, A.C.; Tok, A.I.Y. 3D FTO/FTO-nanocrystal/TiO<sub>2</sub> composite inverse opal photoanode for efficient photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Small* 2018, 14, e1800395. https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201800395.
- Li, J.; Qiu, Y.; Wei, Z.; Lin, Q.; Zhang, Q.; Yan, K.; Chen, H.; Xiao, S.; Fan, Z.; Yang, S. A three-dimensional hexagonal fluorinedoped tin oxide nanocone array: A superior light harvesting electrode for high performance photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2014, *7*, 3651–3658. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4EE01581A.
- Ju, S.; Kang, H.; Jun, J.; Son, S.; Park, J.; Kim, W.; Lee, H. Periodic micropillar-patterned FTO/BiVO<sub>4</sub> with superior light absorption and separation efficiency for efficient PEC performance. *Small* 2021, *17*, e2006558. https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.202006558.

- Ju, S.; Seok, H.J.; Jun, J.; Huh, D.; Son, S.; Kim, K.; Kim, W.; Baek, S.; Kim, H.K.; Lee, H. Fully blossomed WO<sub>3</sub>/BiVO<sub>4</sub> structure obtained via active facet engineering of patterned FTO for highly efficient water splitting. *Appl. Catal. B* 2020, 263, 118362. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.118362.
- Jeon, T.H.; Moon, G.H.; Park, H.; Choi, W. Ultra-efficient and durable photoelectrochemical water oxidation using elaborately designed hematite nanorod arrays. *Nano Energy* 2017, 39, 211–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2017.06.049.
- Jeon, T.H.; Choi, W.; Park, H. Cobalt-phosphate complexes catalyze the photoelectrochemical water oxidation of BiVO<sub>4</sub> electrodes. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2011, 13, 21392–21401. https://doi.org/10.1039/c1cp23135a.
- 22. Chai, X.; Zhang, H.; Pan, Q.; Bian, J.; Chen, Z.; Cheng, C. 3D ordered urchin-like TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> arrays photoanode for efficient photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2019**, *470*, 668–676. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2018.11.184.
- 23. Liu, C.; Wang, F.; Zhang, J.; Wang, K.; Qiu, Y.; Liang, Q.; Chen, Z. Efficient photoelectrochemical water splitting by g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube array heterostructures. *Nano Micro Lett.* **2018**, *10*, 37. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-018-0192-6.
- Jia, L.; Xie, J.; Guo, C.; Li, C.M. Modification of a thin layer of α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> onto a largely voided TiO<sub>2</sub> nanorod array as a photoanode to significantly improve the photoelectrochemical performance toward water oxidation. *RSC Adv.* 2015, *5*, 62611–62618. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA08885B.
- Wang, Y.; Zhou, T.; Jiang, K.; Da, P.; Peng, Z.; Tang, J.; Kong, B.; Cai, W.B.; Yang, Z.; Zheng, G. Reduced mesoporous Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanowires as efficient water oxidation electrocatalysts and supercapacitor electrodes. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2014, *4*, 1400696. https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201400696.
- Ai, G.; Mo, R.; Li, H.; Zhong, J. Cobalt phosphate modified TiO<sub>2</sub> nanowire arrays as co-catalysts for solar water splitting. *Nanoscale* 2015, *7*, 6722–6728. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5nr00863h.
- McDonald, K.J.; Choi, K.S. Photodeposition of co-based oxygen evolution catalysts on α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> photoanodes. *Chem. Mater.* 2011, 23, 1686–1693. https://doi.org/10.1021/cm1020614.
- Ai, L.; Niu, Z.; Jiang, J. Mechanistic insight into oxygen evolution electrocatalysis of surface phosphate modified cobalt phosphide nanorod bundles and their superior performance for overall water splitting. *Electrochim. Acta* 2017, 242, 355–363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2017.05.032.
- Wang, Y.; Chen, D.; Wang, S.; Liang, J.; Qin, L.; Sun, X.; Huang, Y. Photoassisted electrodeposition of cobalt-phosphate cocatalyst on BiFeO<sub>3</sub> thin film photoanode for highly efficient photoelectrochemical performances of water oxidation. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2019, *166*, D308–D314. https://doi.org/10.1149/2.0711908jes.
- Raut, H.K.; Ganesh, V.A.; Nair, A.S.; Ramakrishna, S. Anti-reflective coatings: A critical, in-depth review. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2011, 4, 3779–3804. https://doi.org/10.1039/c1ee01297e.
- Zhou, T.; Wang, J.; Chen, S.; Bai, J.; Li, J.; Zhang, Y.; Li, L.; Xia, L.; Rahim, M.; Xu, Q.; et al. Bird-nest structured ZnO/TiO<sub>2</sub> as a direct Z-scheme photoanode with enhanced light harvesting and carriers kinetics for highly efficient and stable photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Appl. Catal. B* 2020, 267, 118599. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2020.118599.
- Dotan, H.; Sivula, K.; Grätzel, M.; Rothschild, A.; Warren, S.C. Probing the photoelectrochemical properties of hematite (α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) electrodes using hydrogen peroxide as a hole scavenger. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2011, 4, 958–964. https://doi.org/10.1039/C0EE00570C.
- 33. Nair, V.; Perkins, C.L.; Lin, Q.; Law, M. Textured nanoporous Mo:BiVO<sub>4</sub> photoanodes with high charge transport and charge transfer quantum efficiencies for oxygen evolution. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2016**, *9*, 1412–1429. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6EE00129G.
- Eftekharinia, B.; Moshaii, A.; Dabirian, A.; Vayghan, N.S. Optimization of charge transport in a Co-Pi modified hematite thin film produced by scalable electron beam evaporation for photoelectrochemical water oxidation. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2017, *5*, 3412– 3424. https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ta09331k.
- 35. Li, L.; Liu, C.; Zhang, H.; Liang, P.; Mitsuzaki, N.; Chen, Z. Synergistic effect of Ti(OBu)<sub>4</sub> and annealing regime on the structure, morphology and photoelectrochemical response of α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> photoanode. *Electrochim. Acta* 2018, 281, 246–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2018.05.178.
- Yi, S.S.; Wulan, B.R.; Yan, J.M.; Jiang, Q. Highly efficient photoelectrochemical water splitting: Surface modification of cobaltphosphate-loaded Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> p–n heterojunction nanorod arrays. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2019, 29, 1801902. https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201801902.
- Bu, X.; Gao, Y.; Zhang, S.; Tian, Y. Amorphous cerium phosphate on P-doped Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanosheets for efficient photoelectrochemical water oxidation. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2019, 355, 910–919. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.08.221.
- Chen, D.; Liu, Z.; Guo, Z.; Ruan, M.; Yan, W. 3D branched Ca-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> decorated with Pt and co-pi: Improved chargeseparation dynamics and photoelectrochemical performance. *ChemSusChem* 2019, 12, 3286–3295. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201901331.