## **Supplementary Tables**

- 1. **Table S1.** Log CFU/mL reduction values for NSAIDs at 1/2×MIC, MIC and a concentration above MIC, after 6h
- 2. **Table S2.** Percentage of biomass reduction and cell inactivation and log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> reduction of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* biofilms, grown in the presence of the selected NSAIDs at different concentrations (MIC, 5×MIC and 10×MIC). Mean values ± Standard deviation are illustrated
- 3. **Table S3.** Percentage of biomass reduction and cell inactivation and log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> reduction of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* biofilms, grown in the presence of KAN and TET at MIC. Mean values ± Standard deviation are illustrated
- 4. **Table S4.** Percentage of biomass reduction and cell inactivation and log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> reduction of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* biofilms, grown in the presence of the selected NSAIDs at different concentrations and antibiotics at MIC. Mean values ± Standard deviation are illustrated
- 5. **Table S5.** Classification of the efficacy of the selected NSAIDs (at MIC, 5×MIC and 10×MIC) and KAN and TET (at MIC), in the control of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* biofilms, in terms of biomass reduction and biofilm inactivation

E. coli			S. aureus			
NSAID	C (µg/mL)	log reduction	NSAID	C (µg/mL)	log reduction	
	400	1.20		1000	2.50	
PXC	800	1.27	DCF	2000	3.86	
	1500	1.34		2500	5.68	
	875	0.19		1000	0.05	
ASA	1750	0.83	ASA	2000	0.92	
	2500	0.90		2500	1.06	

**Table S1.** Log CFU/mL reduction values for NSAIDs at 1/2×MIC, MIC and a concentration above MIC, after 6h.

**Table S2.** Percentage of biomass reduction and cell inactivation and log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> reduction of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* biofilms, grown in the presence of the selected NSAIDs at different concentrations (MIC, 5×MIC and 10×MIC).

	NSAIDs				
<b>Biomass reduction (%)</b>	Ε.	coli	S. aureus		
	PXC	ASA	DCF	ASA	
MIC	$28.6\pm2.7$	-	-	-	
5×MIC	$16.8 \pm 0.1$	-	-	-	
10×MIC	-	-	-	-	
		NSA	AIDs		
Biofilm inactivation (%)	E. coli		S. aureus		
	PXC	ASA	DCF	ASA	
MIC	$73.2 \pm 2.4$	$74.3 \pm 3.8$	$80.4 \pm 2.2$	$82.7 \pm 2.4$	
5×MIC	$72.9 \pm 2.2$	$74.1 \pm 4.9$	$86.6 \pm 3.2$	$84.0\pm2.7$	
10×MIC	$66.1 \pm 3.5$	$74.1 \pm 4.4$	$86.7 \pm 3.3$	$83.2 \pm 3.8$	
	NSAIDs				
log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> reduction	E. coli		S. aureus		
	РХС	ASA	DCF	ASA	
Negative control (DMSO)	$0.68 \pm 0.47$		$0.22 \pm 0.40$		
MIC	$3.24\pm0.48$	$3.55\pm0.47$	$2.22 \pm 1.08$	$3.33 \pm 0.32$	
5×MIC	$3.58\pm0.47$	$6.46\pm0.47$	$3.47\pm0.67$	$6.19\pm0.31$	
10×MIC	$3.78\pm0.49$	$6.46\pm0.47$	$6.19\pm0.31$	$6.19\pm0.31$	

Mean values ± Standard deviation are illustrated.

**Table S3.** Percentage of biomass reduction and cell inactivation and log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> reduction of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* biofilms, grown in the presence of KAN and TET at MIC.

	Antibiotics				
Biomass reduction (%)	Е. с	coli	S. aureus		
	KAN	TET	KAN	TET	
MIC	$22.8\pm1.8$	$21.5 \pm 1.3$	$30.6 \pm 1.0$	$31.2 \pm 2.2$	
	Antibiotics				
Biofilm inactivation (%)	E. coli		S. aureus		
	KAN	TET	KAN	TET	
MIC	$80.8 \pm 2.2$	$66.8 \pm 1.0$	$43.5 \pm 2.8$	$26.8 \pm 1.1$	
	Antibiotics				
log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> reduction	E. coli		S. aureus		
	KAN	TET	KAN	TET	
MIC	$3.72 \pm 0.37$	$2.21\pm0.06$	$1.37 \pm 0.33$	$0.85 \pm 0.46$	

Mean values ± Standard deviation are illustrated.

Biomass	NSAIDs + KAN			NSAIDs + TET				
reductio	Ε.	coli	S. au	ireus	<i>E</i> .	coli	S. au	ireus
n (%)	РХС	ASA	DCF	ASA	РХС	ASA	DCF	ASA
	17.8 ±	30.0 ±	12.2 ±	31.4 ±	12.4 ±	25.4 ±	16.2 ±	28.4 ±
MIC	0.9	1.1	0.6	1.3	0.2	0.1	1.1	1.5
5×MIC	$19.0 \pm$	30.0 ±	$16.8 \pm$	$28.1 \pm$	16.3 ±	20.1 ±	22.5 ±	$27.4 \pm$
3×WIC	1.1	0.3	2.0	0.5	0.7	1.9	0.4	0.4
10×MIC	25.2 ±	$21.7 \pm$	23.5 ±	16.7 ±	$20.5 \pm$	12.1 ±	32.4 ±	22.2 ±
	2.0	0.8	1.9	2.0	1.3	1.6	0.8	0.4
Biofilm		NSAID	s + KAN			NSAID	s + TET	
inactivati	Ε.	coli	S. au	ireus	Ε.	coli	S. aı	ireus
on (%)	РХС	ASA	DCF	ASA	РХС	ASA	DCF	ASA
MIC	63.0 ±	86.3 ±	82.6 ±	73.3 ±	70.3 ±	$88.8 \pm$	80.2 ±	$74.4 \pm$
IVIIC	0.5	3.6	0.5	1.6	0.5	1.9	6.7	2.2
5×MIC	67.2 ±	92.6 ±	89.3 ±	76.2 ±	$74.0 \pm$	92.7 ±	89.2 ±	76.2 ±
5×WIC	0.0	1.1	4.1	2.6	1.0	1.1	4.7	1.6
10×MIC	$70.0 \pm$	92.5 ±	89.2 ±	77.2 ±	85.3 ±	92.7 ±	89.2 ±	$76.7 \pm$
10~1011C	1.4	1.3	3.7	1.6	0.8	1.4	4.7	2.0
log		NSAID	s + KAN		NSAIDs + TET			
CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	Ε.	coli	S. au	ireus	Ε.	coli	S. aı	ireus
reductio	PXC	ASA	DCF	ASA	РХС	ASA	DCF	ASA
n	ine	11011	Der	11011	пле	11011	Der	110/11
Negative								
control	0.68 =	± 0.47	0.22 =	± 0.40	0.68 :	± 0.47	0.22 =	± 0.40
(DMSO)								
MIC	$2.48 \pm$	$4.04 \pm$	$3.00 \pm$	2.73 ±	1.76 ±	1.35 ±	0.99 ±	$1.04 \pm$
. –	0.53	0.68	0.31	0.70	0.47	0.84	0.33	0.31
5×MIC	2.57 ±	6.46 ±	3.15 ±	6.19 ±	1.96 ±	3.86 ±	3.05 ±	2.06 ±
	0.55	0.47	0.32	0.31	0.47	0.49	0.33	0.31
10×MIC	2.64 ±	6.46 ±	6.19 ±	6.19 ±	2.24 ±	6.46 ±	6.19 ±	6.19 ±
	0.56	0.47	0.31	0.31	0.47	0.47	0.31	0.31

**Table S4.** Percentage of biomass reduction and cell inactivation and log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> reduction of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* biofilms, grown in the presence of the selected NSAIDs at different concentrations and antibiotics at MIC.

Mean values ± Standard deviation are illustrated.

**Table S5.** Classification of the efficacy of the selected NSAIDs (at MIC, 5×MIC and 10×MIC) and KAN and TET (at MIC), in the control of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* biofilms, in terms of biomass reduction and biofilm inactivation.

Bacteria	Antibiotic	MIC	<b>Biomass reduction</b>	<b>Biofilm inactivation</b>
	KAN	MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy
	TET	MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy
		MIC	Moderate efficacy	High efficacy
	PXC	5× MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy
E. coli		10× MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy
E. COU	PXC+KAN PXC+TET	MIC + MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy
		5× MIC + MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy
		10× MIC + MIC	Moderate efficacy	High efficacy
		MIC + MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy
		5×MIC + MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy

		10×MIC + MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy	
		MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy	
	ASA	5×MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy	
		10×MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy	
		MIC + MIC	Moderate efficacy	High efficacy	
	ASA+KAN	5×MIC + MIC	Moderate efficacy	Excellent efficacy	
		10×MIC + MIC	Low efficacy	Excellent efficacy	
		MIC + MIC	Moderate efficacy	High efficacy	
	ASA+TET	5×MIC + MIC	Low efficacy	Excellent efficacy	
		10×MIC + MIC	Low efficacy	Excellent efficacy	
	KAN	MIC	Moderate efficacy	Moderate efficacy	
	TET	MIC	Moderate efficacy	Moderate efficacy	
	DCF	MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy	
		5×MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy	
		10×MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy	
	DCF+KAN	MIC + MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy	
		5×MIC + MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy	
		10×MIC + MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy	
	DCF+TET	MIC + MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy	
S. aureus		5×MIC + MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy	
5. uureus		10×MIC + MIC	Moderate efficacy	High efficacy	
		MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy	
	ASA	5×MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy	
		10×MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy	
	ASA+KAN	MIC + MIC	Moderate efficacy	High efficacy	
		5×MIC + MIC	Moderate efficacy	High efficacy	
		10×MIC + MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy	
		MIC + MIC	Moderate efficacy	High efficacy	
	ASA+TET	5×MIC + MIC	Moderate efficacy	High efficacy	
		10×MIC + MIC	Low efficacy	High efficacy	