



Article The NuGrid AGB Evolution and Nucleosynthesis Data Set

Umberto Battino ^{1,*,†}, Marco Pignatari ^{1,2,3,†}, Ashley Tattersall ^{4,†}, Pavel Denissenkov ^{3,5,†} and Falk Herwig ^{3,5,†}

- ¹ E.A. Milne Centre for Astrophysics, Department of Physics and Mathematics, University of Hull, Hull HU6 7RX, UK; mpignatari@gmail.com
- ² Konkoly Observatory, Research Centre for Astronomy and Earth Sciences, Eötvös Loránd Research Network (ELKH), Konkoly Thege M. út 15-17, 1121 Budapest, Hungary
- ³ Joint Institute for Nuclear Astrophysics, Center for the Evolution of the Elements, Michigan State University, 640 South Shaw Lane, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA; pavelden@uvic.ca (P.D.); fherwig@uvic.ca (F.H.)
- ⁴ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, UK; ashley.tattersall@ed.ac.uk
- ⁵ Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC V8P 5C2, Canada
- * Correspondence: u.battino@hull.ac.uk
- + The NuGrid Collaboration, http://www.nugridstars.org (accessed on 1 March 2022).

Abstract: Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) stars play a key role in the chemical evolution of galaxies. These stars are the fundamental stellar site for the production of light elements such as C, N and F, and half of the elements heavier than Fe via the slow neutron capture process (*s*-process). Hence, detailed computational models of AGB stars' evolution and nucleosynthesis are essential for galactic chemical evolution. In this work, we discuss the progress in updating the NuGrid data set of AGB stellar models and abundance yields. All stellar models have been computed using the MESA stellar evolution code, coupled with the post-processing mppnp code to calculate the full nucleosynthesis. The final data set will include the initial masses $M_{ini}/M_{\odot} = 1$, 1.65, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 for initial metallicities Z = 0.0001, 0.001, 0.006, 0.01, 0.02 and 0.03. Observed *s*-process abundances on the surfaces of evolved stars as well as the typical light elements in the composition of H-deficient post-AGB stars are reproduced. A key short-term goal is to complete and expand the AGB stars data set for the full metallicity range. Chemical yield tables are provided for the available models.

Keywords: stellar evolution; nucleosynthesis; AGB

1. Introduction

The abundance distribution in the solar system is the result of the nucleosynthesis in several generations of stars [1–5]. Metallicity-dependent stellar yields from theoretical stellar models are a fundamental ingredient of galactic chemical evolution, and are used to study how the abundances have formed in the Sun and in other stars in the Galaxy. Stars with different initial masses and metallicities contribute in different ways to the production of elements. Compared to massive stars, low- and intermediate-mass stars (M $\lesssim 8 M_{\odot}$) contribute to the chemical evolution of the interstellar medium over longer timescales. These types of stars finish their evolution as a compact white dwarf, and predominantly eject nucleosynthesis products via stellar winds during the asymptotic giant branch (AGB) phase [6-8]. In case they are part of a binary system, depending on the system configuration more elements can be made by these stars via nova events [9–11] and other variable objects, such as R Coronae Borealis stars [12,13], or by Type Ia Supernovae [14–17]. Depending on the initial stellar mass, during the AGB phase, light elements such as carbon, nitrogen, fluorine and sodium can be made by charged particle reactions activated at the bottom of the AGB envelope or in the He intershell region immediately below [18–20]. Additionally, AGB stars are also a fundamental source of heavy elements beyond iron via the slow neutron-capture process (s-process [21–23]). The s-process occurs in a succession of neutron captures whose typical timescales are longer than the beta-decay half-life of the unstable



Citation: Battino, U.; Pignatari, M.; Tattersall, A.; Denissenkov, P.; Herwig, F. The NuGrid AGB Evolution and Nucleosynthesis Data Set. *Universe* **2022**, *8*, 170. https:// doi.org/10.3390/universe8030170

Academic Editor: John Martin

Received: 1 February 2022 Accepted: 2 March 2022 Published: 9 March 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). isotopes produced. As a result, the reaction path proceeds along the valley of stability. Most of the s elements between Fe and Sr (60 < A < 90) in the Sun are produced by the weak *s*-process component in massive stars [23–25]. The effective contribution in the same mass region from massive AGB stars and super-AGB stars is quite uncertain. For A > 90, *s*-process elements are mostly produced by low-mass AGB stars [3,26,27].

After low- and intermediate-mass stars have consumed all H and He in their cores, during the AGB phase they burn alternatively H and He in thin shells around an inert CO core [7]. As a consequence of recurrent thermal pulses driven by He fusion, during the third dredge-up phase, protons diffuse from the convective H envelope into the He intershell, allowing proton captures on the abundant ¹²C. In low-mass AGB stars, this allows the formation of a radiative ¹³C-pocket [28]. Here most of the *s*-process material is made via the ¹³C(α ,n)¹⁶O reaction [21,28–34]. An additional neutron source is given by the ²²Ne(α ,n)²⁵Mg nuclear reaction, which is activated at much higher temperature (T > 2 × 10⁸ K) during the He-shell flash at the bottom of the thermal-pulse-driven convection zone [21]. The ²²Ne(α ,n)²⁵Mg is instead the dominant neutron source for the *s*-process in massive AGB and super-AGB stars [8]. Note however that the activation of the intermediate neutron capture process (*i* process [35]) could significantly affect the production of heavy elements in super AGB stars, with the activation of the ¹³C(α ,n)¹⁶O [36].

The products of the *s*-process have been directly observed using spectroscopy measurements for AGB stars [22,37,38], planetary nebulae [39,40], post-AGB stars [41], as well as in presolar grains condensed in the winds of old AGB stars and extracted from meteorites in the Solar System [42-46]. The comparison of stellar AGB models with these direct observations provides independent constraints for theoretical simulations and for their stellar yields used in GCE models [4,26]. The NuGrid collaboration provides grids of stellar models and yields to use for these studies, including AGB stellar models [47–49]. Ritter et al. [48] presented a set of stellar models and nucleosynthesis data, covering metallicities between Z = 0.0001 and Z = 0.02 and initial masses between $1M_{\odot}$ and $25M_{\odot}$. However, for low-mass AGB stars, a low *s*-process production was obtained compared to the most *s*-process-rich stars and the bulk of *s*-process isotopic measurements from presolar grains [47]. This was due to the small 13 C-pocket (2–3 $10^{-5}M_{\odot}$) obtained in those models, where convective boundary mixing (CBM) at the bottom of the convective envelope during the third dredge up was calibrated for low-mass stellar models based on [42]. Battino et al. [33] calculated new AGB models where CBM at the bottom envelope during the third dredge-up is instead induced by internal gravity waves (IGWs), as described by [50]. This results in a 3–4 times larger radiative 13 C-pocket, increasing the total s-process production by about the same factor. The same recipe was adopted by [49], who produced a set of low-mass AGB stellar models around solar metallicity and extending the dataset by [48] to include the supersolar initial metallicity Z = 0.03. An additional extension of the set of the new AGB models was presented in [51], focused on low-metallicity (Z = 0.001, 0.002), low-mass AGB models. In particular, from these models, it is shown that stellar models with a ¹³C-pocket size of at least $\sim 3 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$ (see also, e.g., [21,42,52]), and with very low mixing below the He intershell region during helium flashes [53], are favored when compared to more s-process-rich observations.

In this work, we discuss the initial steps in building the new combined NuGrid data set of AGB stellar models and abundance yields. In Section 2 we describe the computational tools and nuclear networks adopted. The recommended NuGrid stellar models and ejected yields data set are discussed in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. Our conclusions and future plans are presented in Section 5.

2. Computational Methods

The structure of all models described in this work was computed using the stellar code MESA (revision 3709 [54]). For the initial composition, a solar-scaled and an alpha-enhanced solar distribution for models at $Z \ge 0.01$ and $Z \le 0.006$ were used, respectively,

based on [55], which implies a solar metallicity Z = 0.018. The modeling assumptions are summarized in [48,49,51].

The complete nucleosynthesis to generate the abundance yields during the evolution of each model is made by the multizone postprocessing frame mppnp of the NuGrid postprocessing code [47,48]. The nuclear network setup used for the calculations increases dynamically as needed, up to a limit of 5234 isotopes and with 74,313 reactions. In the case of AGB nucleosynthesis, the network never extends far from the valley of stability and neutron-rich stable isotopes are mostly made, limiting the typical network size well within the allowed capability. The NuGrid physics package uses nuclear data from multiple sources, including both nuclear physics compilations and individual experimental rates. The nuclear rates used for these simulations are summarized in [48,49]. Considering the nuclear reactions most relevant for the s-process, the ${}^{13}C(\alpha,n){}^{16}O$ nuclear reaction rate is taken from [56]. Low-mass AGB models with initial mass $M = 2M_{\odot}$ and $3M_{\odot}$ at around solar metallicity (Z = 0.01, 0.02, 0.03; presented in [49]) were computed using the ²²Ne(α ,n)²⁵Mg and ²²Ne(α , γ)²⁶Mg nuclear reaction rates from [57] and NACRE [58], respectively, while for low metallicity models (Z = 0.001, 0.002; presented in [51]) with the same initial masses the more recent reaction rates from [59] were adopted. In order to keep the internal consistency across the whole metallicity range, we started recalculating our nucleosynthesis results for low-mass AGB models at around solar metallicity using the 22 Ne(α ,n) 25 Mg and 22 Ne(α , γ) 26 Mg nuclear reaction rates from [59], and the same procedure will be extended to all other stellar models in the future. Neutron capture reaction rates are taken from the KADoNIS compilation [60]. For rates not included in KADoNIS, we adopt theoretical data from the JINA-REACLIB database revision 1.1 [61]. The β -decay rates are from [62] or [63] for light species and from [64,65] for the iron group and for species heavier than iron.

In massive AGB stars and super-AGB stars, hot-bottom burning (HBB) is occurring at the bottom of the AGB envelope [20,66–68]. In our postprocessing models, HBB nucleosynthesis needs to be resolved using computation timesteps smaller than the convective turnover timescale of the AGB envelope (in the order of an hour). As described in [48], for these special conditions we adopted a nested-network postprocessing approach, in which mixing and burning operators are coupled. The nested network includes the Cameron–Fowler transport mechanism to simulate the production of ⁷Li [69], the CNO, NeNa, and MgAl cycles and isotopes up to ³⁵Cl [48]. In short, this small network is solved for zones of the convective envelope and the large decoupled network for the whole stellar model. After each time step, the abundances from the coupled solution replace the abundances from the large network.

3. Recommended Stellar Models Data Set

In Table 1, the present status of the new NuGrid AGB dataset is outlined. Low-mass AGB models at with low metallicity are from [49,51], respectively. The models with initial mass M = 1 and $1.65M_{\odot}$ and for all metallicities are from [48]. The available massive AGB models are from [48].

The nucleosynthesis yields of [49] AGB models are recalculated in this work, adopting the ${}^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha,n){}^{25}\text{Mg}$ and ${}^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha,\gamma){}^{26}\text{Mg}$ reaction rates from [59]. We compare our results with key observables in the next section. The m2z2m3-bigpoc model (M_{ini}/M_☉ = 2; Z = 0.002) from [51] is not included in the table, as a significant update of it will be presented in a dedicated forthcoming paper.

\mathbf{Z}_{ini}	$\mathbf{M}_{ini}/\mathbf{M}_{\odot}$	Reference	Notes	
0.03	2,3	[49]	Repostprocessed with 22 Ne+ α from [59]	
0.02	1, 1.65	[48]	-	
0.02	2,3	[49]	Repostprocessed with ²² Ne+ α from [59]	
0.02	4, 5, 6, 7	[48]	-	
0.01	1, 1.65	[48]	-	
0.01	2, 3	[49]	Repostprocessed with ²² Ne+ α from [59]	
0.01	4, 5, 6, 7	[48]		
0.001	1, 1.65	[48]	-	
0.001	2, 3	[51]	²² Ne+ α from [59]	
0.001	4, 5, 6, 7	[48]	-	
0.0001	1, 1.65, 4, 5, 6, 7	[48]	-	

Table 1. The recommended AGB NuGrid dataset.

3.1. Low-Mass AGB stars

The nucleosynthesis of the AGB model m3z3m2-hCBM ($M_{ini}/M_{\odot} = 3$; Z = 0.03) from [49] was recomputed by [59], who showed that their newly determined ²²Ne(α ,n)²⁵Mg and ²²Ne(α , γ)²⁶Mg rates remarkably improve the comparison with both surface abundances on C-rich stars and presolar grains. In Figure 1, we compare our new nucleosynthesis results of stellar models m3z3m2-hCBM and m3z2m2-hCBM ($M_{ini}/M_{\odot} = 3$; Z = 0.02) from [49] with Ba isotopic ratios from presolar SiC grains from [45,46]. It is visible how the lower reaction rates from [59], compared to the ones from [57], bring the theoretical predictions to a much better agreement with observations, a larger fraction of the observed ¹³⁸Ba/¹³⁶Ba values range to be found between the two red tracks. This means that grains data are consistent with predictions of models, with initial metallicities ranging between Z = 0.02 and Z = 0.03. In particular, the lower contribution from the ²²Ne(α ,n)²⁵Mg reaction causes a reduced production of ¹³⁸Ba, remarkably improving the comparison with grains data.



Figure 1. Comparison of measured Ba isotopic ratios from presolar SiC grains with the results of [49] (in blue) and the same stellar models using the 22 Ne+ α reaction rates from [59] (in red). Each circle/square marks a third dredge-up event during the C-rich AGB phase, which progressively enriches the stellar envelope in *s*-process elements.

In Figure 2 we compare the trans-Fe nucleosynthesis results from the Z = 0.001 low-mass AGB models in Table 1 with surface abundances of Ba stars HD 123396 [70] ([Fe/H] = -1.04) and HD 123396 [71] ([Fe/H] = -0.82). In particular, our $3M_{\odot}$ model would still be consistent with all observed abundances within uncertainties if a dilution factor of about 0.2 dex is applied. Considering as an example a $2M_{\odot}$ star companion, this translates into roughly $0.8M_{\odot}$ accreted from the primary star. In general, the internal



mixing parameters setting chosen to form the ¹³C-pocket for these AGB models allows a good agreement to be obtained between theoretical predictions and observed abundances.

Figure 2. Heavy-element mass fractions of stellar models m2z1m3-bigpoc ($2M_{\odot}$, Z = 0.001, green squares) and m3z1m3-bigpoc ($3M_{\odot}$, Z = 0.001, red circles) from [51]. Surface abundances and related uncertainties of Ba stars HD 123396 [70] and HD 10613 [71] for two first-peak (Y and Zr) and second-peak elements (Ce and Nd) are also presented.

3.2. Massive AGB Stars

All the recommended massive AGB models in Table 1 are from [48]. In Figure 3 we show the element overproduction factors for the $M = 5M_{\odot}$ models from [48], which is representative of all our massive AGB models at all the metallicities listed in Table 1. Li is efficiently produced during HBB via the Cameron–Fowler mechanism at the hot bottom of the convective envelope and the decay of ⁷Be into ⁷Li in cooler outer layers [66,69]. Additionally, HBB converts ¹²C into ¹⁴N through a partial CN cycle, resulting in the synthesis of large amounts of N in the final ejected yields. The production of N increases in stellar models at lower metallicity due to the higher temperatures (and hence a more efficient CN cycle) at the bottom of the convective envelope. We finally notice how also Rb is overproduced compared to nearby elements. However, as discussed in [48], with present models the contribution of massive AGB stars to the production of Rb is smaller compared to low-mass AGB stars at all metallicities.



Figure 3. Element overproduction factors in the ejected yields for the $M = 5M_{\odot}$ models from [48].

4. Ejected Stellar Yields

We have calculated the nucleosynthesis-ejected yields for all the NuGrid models. These are shown in Table 2, where the recommended yields set from the $3M_{\odot}$ models for selected isotopes are presented. The largest amount of ¹³C is ejected by the low-metallicity stellar model m3z1m3-bigpoc. This is a consequence of the more extended ¹³C-pocket formed in low-metallicity simulations compared to around-solar-metallicity ones, as discussed in [51]. As expected for trans-Fe elements, as the neutron exposure increases with decreasing metallicity, the ejected abundances distribution changes from being peaked at first peak elements for Z = 0.03 and 0.002 models, to a flat one across all three peaks at Z = 0.01, and finally to being peaked at lead at Z = 0.001. Full tables of ejected yields, from all models listed in Table 1 are available online in the NuGrid data repository at https://nugrid.github.io/content/data (accessed on 1 March 2022). NUGrid data can be explored interactively on the Astrohub platform at https://astrohub.uvic.ca/ (accessed on 1 March 2022) via the Web Exploration of NuGrid Data Interactive (WENDI). Listing 1 is an example code to analyse NuGrid's $2M_{\odot}$, Z = 0.02 model and make an abundance profile plot in a WENDI Astrohub python notebook.

Table 2. Comparison for selected isotopes between the yields in solar masses ejected by the $3M_{\odot}$ models at different metallicities in Table 1. Full ejected yields tables for all models are available online at https://nugrid.github.io/content/data (accessed on 1 March 2022).

Isotope	Z = 0.03	Z = 0.02	Z = 0.01	Z = 0.001
C 12	$4.126 imes 10^{-2}$	$3.728 imes 10^{-2}$	$3.083 imes 10^{-2}$	$4.481 imes 10^{-3}$
C 13	$3.071 imes10^{-4}$	$2.227 imes10^{-4}$	$1.104 imes10^{-4}$	$4.974 imes10^{-4}$
N 14	$9.125 imes10^{-3}$	$7.024 imes10^{-3}$	$3.740 imes10^{-3}$	$7.028 imes10^{-4}$
O 16	$4.246 imes 10^{-2}$	$3.414 imes 10^{-2}$	$2.354 imes 10^{-2}$	$1.969 imes10^{-3}$
F 19	$6.240 imes10^{-6}$	$4.595 imes10^{-6}$	2.762×10^{-6}	$8.850 imes10^{-7}$
Ne 20	$5.733 imes 10^{-3}$	$4.221 imes 10^{-3}$	$2.128 imes10^{-3}$	$1.229 imes10^{-4}$
Ne 22	$5.027 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.480 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.929 imes10^{-3}$	$1.571 imes 10^{-4}$
Na 23	$2.517 imes10^{-4}$	$1.718 imes10^{-4}$	$8.325 imes 10^{-5}$	$2.319 imes10^{-6}$
Mg 24	$1.844 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.352 imes 10^{-3}$	$6.738 imes10^{-4}$	$3.222 imes 10^{-5}$
Mg 25	$3.039 imes10^{-4}$	$2.407 imes10^{-4}$	$1.252 imes 10^{-4}$	$2.289 imes10^{-6}$
Mg 26	$3.699 imes10^{-4}$	$2.838 imes10^{-4}$	$1.553 imes10^{-4}$	$3.554 imes10^{-6}$
Al 26	$4.262 imes 10^{-7}$	$1.182 imes10^{-7}$	$7.119 imes10^{-8}$	$2.598 imes10^{-9}$
Al 27	$2.076 imes10^{-4}$	$1.523 imes10^{-4}$	$7.712 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.714 imes 10^{-6}$
Fe 56	$4.118 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.019 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.503 imes 10^{-3}$	$2.759 imes 10^{-5}$
Rb 87	2.372×10^{-8}	$2.166 imes 10^{-8}$	$9.925 imes 10^{-9}$	$5.467 imes 10^{-10}$
Sr 88	$5.785 imes 10^{-7}$	$4.263 imes 10^{-7}$	$1.402 imes 10^{-7}$	$5.333 imes10^{-9}$
Y 89	$1.479 imes 10^{-7}$	$1.150 imes 10^{-7}$	$3.771 imes 10^{-8}$	$1.540 imes10^{-9}$
Zr 90	$1.622 imes 10^{-7}$	$1.272 imes 10^{-7}$	$4.202 imes 10^{-8}$	$1.652 imes 10^{-9}$
Zr 96	3.767×10^{-9}	$3.968 imes 10^{-9}$	1.871×10^{-9}	$8.409 imes 10^{-11}$
Ba 138	$1.505 imes 10^{-7}$	$2.570 imes 10^{-7}$	$1.310 imes10^{-7}$	$6.036 imes 10^{-9}$
Pb 208	$3.108 imes10^{-8}$	$3.635 imes10^{-8}$	$1.043 imes10^{-7}$	$9.500 imes10^{-8}$

Listing 1. Code example to read NuGrid's $2M_{\odot}$, Z = 0.02 with a python notebook on the WENDI Astrohub platform model and make an abundance profile plot.

```
\vspace{-12pt}
%pylab ipympl
from nugridpy import nugridse as~mp
data_dir1 = ''/data/ASDR/NuGrid''
mp.set_nugrid_path(data_dir1)
pt = mp.se(mass = 2,Z = 0.02)
use_updated_model = True
if use_updated_model:
    data = ''/data/nugrid/data/set1upd''
    data_dir2 = data+''/set1.1/ppd_wind/RUN_set1upd_m2z1m2/H5_out''
    pt = mp.se(data_dir2)
species = ['H-1','He-4','C-12','C-13','N-14','O-16']
ifig = 108; close(ifig); figure(ifig)
pt.abu_profile(isos = species, ifig = ifig, fname = 18000,
```

logy = True)

ylim(-7,0); xlim(0.551,0.555)

5. Conclusions and Future Plans

In recent years, the NuGrid collaboration computed stellar models describing the full evolution and nucleosynthesis of stars covering a wide range of initial masses and metallicities. These models were presented in different publications. For AGB stars, we refer to [47–49,51]. In this work, we defined the NuGrid AGB evolution and nucleosynthesis data set, specifying for every specific combination of mass and metallicity the reference providing the most up-to-date stellar model. Moreover, in this work we updated the *s*-process nucleosynthesis of low-mass AGB models with initial mass M = 2 and 3M_{\odot} at around-solar metallicity, adopting the recent ²²Ne + α rates from [59]. This resulted in an improvement of the comparison between our theoretical prediction and key observables, such as isotopic ratios in presolar SiC grains and surface abundances of Ba stars.

This preliminary AGB data set still needs some major extensions in order to provide all the essential inputs for GCE studies. In particular, low-mass AGB models and related nucleosynthetic ejected yields need to be computed for the lowest metallicity of the grid (Z = 0.0001), the models with initial mass M = 1 and $1.65M_{\odot}$ and for all metallicities will also need to be recalculated using the more recent ¹³C-pocket setup by [33], while the masses M = 4, 5, 6, 7M_{\odot} need to be calculated for super-solar metallicity at Z = 0.03 and recomputed for all metallicities adopting the recent ²²Ne + α rates from [59].

Finally, the ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ ratios in our Z = 0.0001 models is in the order of 1000, while CEMP-*s* stars show ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ ratios less than solar, often in the range 5 to 30. This is a clear indication to missing physics either in the lowest Z AGB models, the assumed CEMP-s star scenario or both. A dedicated effort to solve this issue, for example by including a physics-based prescription of extra-mixing in AGB stars, is one of the main goals for the future.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, U.B. and M.P.; methodology, U.B. and M.P.; software, U.B. and A.T.; validation, U.B.; formal analysis, U.B.; investigation, U.B., M.P., A.T., P.D. and F.H.; resources, U.B.; data curation, U.B. and F.H.; writing—original draft preparation, U.B. and M.P.; writing—review and editing, P.D., F.H. and A.T.; visualization, U.B.; supervision, U.B. and M.P.; project administration, U.B. and M.P.; funding acquisition, U.B. and M.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work is supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (ChETEC-INFRA—Project no. 101008324), and by STFC (through the University of Hull's Consolidated Grant ST/R000840/1). U.B. and M.P. thank the University of Hull High Performance Computing Facility for providing ongoing access to viper. We acknowledge the financial support of NuGrid/JINA-CEE (NSF Grant PHY-1430152), and the support from the IReNA network (US NSF AccelNet) and the ChETEC COST Action (CA16117, European Cooperation in Science and Technology). M.P. thanks the ERC Consolidator Grant (Hungary) programme (RADIOSTAR, G.A. n. 724560) and the "Lendület-2014" Programme of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (Hungary) for their support. F.H. and P.D. give thanks for the support of the NSERC, under award SAPPJ-2021-00032 for the project Nuclear Physics of the Dynamic Origin of the Elements, carried out by the Canadian Nuclear Physics for Astrophysics Network (CANPAN).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are openly available in NuGrid repository, as specified at https://nugrid.github.io/content/data (accessed on 1 March 2022).

Acknowledgments: This research was enabled in part by support provided by WestGrid (www. westgrid.ca, accessed on 1 March 2022) and Compute Canada Calcul Canada (www.computecanada. ca, accessed on 1 March 2022). The computing facilities (in particular the Orcinus cluster) were mostly supported by the UBC Chemistry Department Team led by Mark Thachuk. NuGrid data is served by Globus (www.globus.org, accessed on 1 March 2022).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Mollá, M.; Cavichia, O.; Gavilán, M.; Gibson, B.K. Galactic chemical evolution: Stellar yields and the initial mass function. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2015**, 451, 3693–3708. [CrossRef]
- Mishenina, T.; Pignatari, M.; Côté, B.; Thielemann, F.K.; Soubiran, C.; Basak, N.; Gorbaneva, T.; Korotin, S.A.; Kovtyukh, V.V.; Wehmeyer, B.; et al. Observing the metal-poor solar neighbourhood: A comparison of galactic chemical evolution predictions. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 2017, 469, 4378–4399. [CrossRef]
- 3. Prantzos, N.; Abia, C.; Limongi, M.; Chieffi, A.; Cristallo, S. Chemical evolution with rotating massive star yields-I. The solar neighbourhood and the s-process elements. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2018**, *476*, 3432–3459. [CrossRef]
- Kobayashi, C.; Karakas, A.I.; Lugaro, M. The Origin of Elements from Carbon to Uranium. *Astrophys. J.* 2020, 900, 179. [CrossRef]
 Matteucci, F. Modelling the chemical evolution of the Milky Way. *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.* 2021, 29, 5. [CrossRef]
- Busso, M.; Gallino, R.; Wasserburg, G.J. Nucleosynthesis in Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars: Relevance for Galactic Enrichment and Solar System Formation. *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* 1999, 37, 239–309. [CrossRef]
- 7. Herwig, F. Evolution of Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars. Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 2005, 43, 435–479. [CrossRef]
- 8. Karakas, A.I.; Lattanzio, J.C. The Dawes Review 2: Nucleosynthesis and Stellar Yields of Low- and Intermediate-Mass Single Stars. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Aust.* 2014, 31, 30. [CrossRef]
- 9. José, J.; Hernanz, M. TOPICAL REVIEW: Nucleosynthesis in classical nova explosions. J. Phys. G Nucl. Phys. 2007, 34, 431. [CrossRef]
- Denissenkov, P.A.; Truran, J.W.; Pignatari, M.; Trappitsch, R.; Ritter, C.; Herwig, F.; Battino, U.; Setoodehnia, K.; Paxton, B. MESA and NuGrid simulations of classical novae: CO and ONe nova nucleosynthesis. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 2014, 442, 2058–2074. [CrossRef]
- 11. Romano, D.; Matteucci, F.; Zhang, Z.Y.; Ivison, R.J.; Ventura, P. The evolution of CNO isotopes: The impact of massive stellar rotators. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2019**, *490*, 2838–2854. [CrossRef]
- Menon, A.; Herwig, F.; Denissenkov, P.A.; Clayton, G.C.; Staff, J.; Pignatari, M.; Paxton, B. Reproducing the Observed Abundances in RCB and HdC Stars with Post-double-degenerate Merger Models—Constraints on Merger and Post-merger Simulations and Physics Processes. *Astrophys. J.* 2013, 772, 59. [CrossRef]
- Munson, B.; Chatzopoulos, E.; Frank, J.; Clayton, G.C.; Crawford, C.L.; Denissenkov, P.A.; Herwig, F. R Coronae Borealis Star Evolution: Simulating 3D Merger Events to 1D Stellar Evolution Including Large-scale Nucleosynthesis. *Astrophys. J.* 2021, 911, 103. [CrossRef]
- 14. Hillebrandt, W.; Kromer, M.; Röpke, F.K.; Ruiter, A.J. Towards an understanding of Type Ia supernovae from a synthesis of theory and observations. *Front. Phys.* **2013**, *8*, 116–143. [CrossRef]
- 15. Kobayashi, C.; Leung, S.C.; Nomoto, K. New Type Ia Supernova Yields and the Manganese and Nickel Problems in the Milky Way and Dwarf Spheroidal Galaxies. *Astrophys. J.* **2020**, *895*, 138. [CrossRef]
- 16. Lach, F.; Röpke, F.K.; Seitenzahl, I.R.; Coté, B.; Gronow, S.; Ruiter, A.J. Nucleosynthesis imprints from different Type Ia supernova explosion scenarios and implications for galactic chemical evolution. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2020**, *644*, A118. [CrossRef]

- Gronow, S.; Côté, B.; Lach, F.; Seitenzahl, I.R.; Collins, C.E.; Sim, S.A.; Röpke, F.K. Metallicity-dependent nucleosynthetic yields of Type Ia supernovae originating from double detonations of sub-M_{Ch} white dwarfs. *Astron. Astrophys.* 2021, 656, A94. [CrossRef]
- Palmerini, S.; La Cognata, M.; Cristallo, S.; Busso, M. Deep Mixing in Evolved Stars. I. The Effect of Reaction Rate Revisions from C to Al. *Astrophys. J.* 2011, 729, 3. [CrossRef]
 Debatic Charles and Charle
- 19. Doherty, C.L.; Gil-Pons, P.; Lau, H.H.B.; Lattanzio, J.C.; Siess, L. Super and massive AGB stars-II. Nucleosynthesis and yields-Z = 0.02, 0.008 and 0.004. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2014**, 437, 195–214. [CrossRef]
- Ventura, P.; Karakas, A.I.; Dell'Agli, F.; Boyer, M.L.; García-Hernández, D.A.; Di Criscienzo, M.; Schneider, R. The Large Magellanic Cloud as a laboratory for hot bottom burning in massive asymptotic giant branch stars. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 2015, 450, 3181–3190. [CrossRef]
- Gallino, R.; Arlandini, C.; Busso, M.; Lugaro, M.; Travaglio, C.; Straniero, O.; Chieffi, A.; Limongi, M. Evolution and Nucleosynthesis in Low-Mass Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars. II. Neutron Capture and the s-Process. *Astrophys. J.* 1998, 497, 388. [CrossRef]
- Busso, M.; Gallino, R.; Lambert, D.L.; Travaglio, C.; Smith, V.V. Nucleosynthesis and Mixing on the Asymptotic Giant Branch. III. Predicted and Observed s-Process Abundances. *Astrophys. J.* 2001, 557, 802–821. [CrossRef]
- Käppeler, F.; Gallino, R.; Bisterzo, S.; Aoki, W. The s process: Nuclear physics, stellar models, and observations. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 2011, 83, 157–194. [CrossRef]
- The, L.; El Eid, M.F.; Meyer, B.S. s-Process Nucleosynthesis in Advanced Burning Phases of Massive Stars. Astrophys. J. 2007, 655, 1058–1078. [CrossRef]
- Pignatari, M.; Gallino, R.; Heil, M.; Wiescher, M.; Käppeler, F.; Herwig, F.; Bisterzo, S. The Weak s-Process in Massive Stars and its Dependence on the Neutron Capture Cross Sections. *Astrophys. J.* 2010, 710, 1557–1577. [CrossRef]
- Travaglio, C.; Gallino, R.; Arnone, E.; Cowan, J.; Jordan, F.; Sneden, C. Galactic Evolution of Sr, Y, And Zr: A Multiplicity of Nucleosynthetic Processes. *Astrophys. J.* 2004, 601, 864–884. [CrossRef]
- Bisterzo, S.; Travaglio, C.; Gallino, R.; Wiescher, M.; Käppeler, F. Galactic Chemical Evolution and Solar s-process Abundances: Dependence on the ¹³C-pocket Structure. *Astrophys. J.* 2014, 787, 10. [CrossRef]
- Straniero, O.; Gallino, R.; Busso, M.; Chiefei, A.; Raiteri, C.M.; Limongi, M.; Salaris, M. Radiative C-13 burning in asymptotic giant branch stars and s-processing. *ApJ Lett.* 1995, 440, L85–L87. [CrossRef]
- 29. Herwig, F. The evolution of AGB stars with convective overshoot. Astron. Astrophys. 2000, 360, 952–968.
- 30. Goriely, S.; Mowlavi, N. Neutron-capture nucleosynthesis in AGB stars. Astron. Astrophys. 2000, 362, 599–614.
- Karakas, A.I.; Lattanzio, J.C.; Pols, O.R. Parameterising the Third Dredge-up in Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars. Publ. Astron. Soc. Aust. 2002, 19, 515–526. [CrossRef]
- Cristallo, S.; Gallino, R.; Straniero, O. Self-consistent ¹³C pocket in low mass AGB stars and related nucleosynthesis. *Mem. Della* Soc. Astron. Ital. 2004, 75, 174.
- Battino, U.; Pignatari, M.; Ritter, C.; Herwig, F.; Denisenkov, P.; Den Hartogh, J.W.; Trappitsch, R.; Hirschi, R.; Freytag, B.; Thielemann, F.; et al. Application of a Theory and Simulation-based Convective Boundary Mixing Model for AGB Star Evolution and Nucleosynthesis. *Astrophys. J.* 2016, 827, 30. [CrossRef]
- Trippella, O.; Busso, M.; Palmerini, S.; Maiorca, E.; Nucci, M.C. s-Processing in AGB Stars Revisited. II. Enhanced 13C Production through MHD-induced Mixing. Astrophys. J. 2016, 818, 125. [CrossRef]
- 35. Cowan, J.J.; Rose, W.K. Production of C-14 and neutrons in red giants. Astrophys. J. 1977, 212, 149–158. [CrossRef]
- Jones, S.; Ritter, C.; Herwig, F.; Fryer, C.; Pignatari, M.; Bertolli, M.G.; Paxton, B. H ingestion into He-burning convection zones in super-AGB stellar models as a potential site for intermediate neutron-density nucleosynthesis. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 2016, 455, 3848–3863. [CrossRef]
- Abia, C.; Domínguez, I.; Gallino, R.; Busso, M.; Masera, S.; Straniero, O.; de Laverny, P.; Plez, B.; Isern, J. s-Process Nucleosynthesis in Carbon Stars. *Astrophys. J.* 2002, 579, 817–831. [CrossRef]
- Zamora, O.; Abia, C.; Plez, B.; Domínguez, I.; Cristallo, S. The chemical composition of carbon stars. The R-type stars. Astron. Astrophys. 2009, 508, 909–922. [CrossRef]
- 39. Sterling, N.C.; Dinerstein, H.L. The Abundances of Light Neutron-Capture Elements in Planetary Nebulae. II. s-Process Enrichments and Interpretation. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* 2008, 174, 158–201. [CrossRef]
- Karakas, A.I.; van Raai, M.A.; Lugaro, M.; Sterling, N.C.; Dinerstein, H.L. Nucleosynthesis Predictions for Intermediate-Mass Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars: Comparison to Observations of Type I Planetary Nebulae. *Astrophys. J.* 2009, 690, 1130–1144. [CrossRef]
- 41. De Smedt, K.; Van Winckel, H.; Kamath, D.; Siess, L.; Goriely, S.; Karakas, A.I.; Manick, R. Detailed homogeneous abundance studies of 14 Galactic s-process enriched post-AGB stars: In search of lead (Pb). *Astron. Astrophys.* **2016**, *587*, A6. [CrossRef]
- Lugaro, M.; Herwig, F.; Lattanzio, J.C.; Gallino, R.; Straniero, O. s-Process Nucleosynthesis in Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars: A Test for Stellar Evolution. *Astrophys. J.* 2003, 586, 1305–1319. [CrossRef]
- Barzyk, J.G.; Savina, M.R.; Davis, A.M.; Gallino, R.; Gyngard, F.; Amari, S.; Zinner, E.; Pellin, M.J.; Lewis, R.S.; Clayton, R.N. Constraining the ¹³C neutron source in AGB stars through isotopic analysis of trace elements in presolar SiC. *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* 2007, 42, 1103–1119. [CrossRef]
- 44. Zinner, E. Presolar Grains. Treatise Geochem. 2014, 1, 181–213. [CrossRef]

- Liu, N.; Gallino, R.; Bisterzo, S.; Davis, A.M.; Savina, M.R.; Pellin, M.J. The ¹³C-Pocket Structure in AGB Models: Constraints from Zirconium Isotope Abundances in Single Mainstream SiC Grains. *Astrophys. J.* 2014, 788, 163. [CrossRef]
- Liu, N.; Savina, M.R.; Gallino, R.; Davis, A.M.; Bisterzo, S.; Gyngard, F.; Käppeler, F.; Cristallo, S.; Dauphas, N.; Pellin, M.J.; et al. Correlated Strontium and Barium Isotopic Compositions of Acid-cleaned Single Mainstream Silicon Carbides from Murchison. *Astrophys. J.* 2015, 803, 12. [CrossRef]
- Pignatari, M.; Herwig, F.; Hirschi, R.; Bennett, M.; Rockefeller, G.; Fryer, C.; Timmes, F.X.; Ritter, C.; Heger, A.; Jones, S.; et al. NuGrid Stellar Data Set. I.Stellar Yields from H to Bi for Stars with Metallicities Z = 0.02 and Z = 0.01. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* 2016, 225, 24. [CrossRef]
- 48. Ritter, C.; Herwig, F.; Jones, S.; Pignatari, M.; Fryer, C.; Hirschi, R. NuGrid stellar data set-II. Stellar yields from H to Bi for stellar models with M_{ZAMS} = 1–25 M_☉ and Z = 0.0001–0.02. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2018**, 480, 538–571. [CrossRef]
- Battino, U.; Tattersall, A.; Lederer-Woods, C.; Herwig, F.; Denissenkov, P.; Hirschi, R.; Trappitsch, R.; den Hartogh, J.W.; Pignatari, M.; NuGrid Collaboration. NuGrid stellar data set-III. Updated low-mass AGB models and s-process nucleosynthesis with metallicities Z = 0.01, Z = 0.02, and Z = 0.03. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 2019, 489, 1082–1098. [CrossRef]
- 50. Denissenkov, P.A.; Herwig, F. The abundnace evolution of oxygen, sodium and magnesium in extremely metal-poor intermediate mass stars: Implications for the self-pollution scenario in globular clusters. *ApJ Lett.* **2003**, *590*, L99–L102. [CrossRef]
- 51. Battino, U.; Lederer-Woods, C.; Cseh, B.; Denissenkov, P.; Herwig, F. Mixing Uncertainties in Low-Metallicity AGB Stars: The Impact on Stellar Structure and Nucleosynthesis. *Universe* **2021**, *7*, 25. [CrossRef]
- 52. Cristallo, S.; Abia, C.; Straniero, O.; Piersanti, L. On the need of the Light Elements Primary Process (LEPP). *Astrophys. J.* 2015, 801, 53. [CrossRef]
- 53. Herwig, F.; Freytag, B.; Fuchs, T.; Hansen, J.P.; Hueckstaedt, R.M.; Porter, D.H.; Timmes, F.X.; Woodward, P.R. Convective and Non-Convective Mixing in AGB Stars. In *Why Galaxies Care About AGB Stars: Their Importance as Actors and Probes*; Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series; Kerschbaum, F., Charbonnel, C., Wing, R.F., Eds.; Astronomical Society of the Pacific: San Francisco, CA, USA, 2007; Volume 378, p. 43.
- Paxton, B.; Bildsten, L.; Timmes, F.; Nelson, L.; Lesaffre, P.; Herwig, F.; Dotter, A.; VandenBerg, D.; Sigurson, S.; Hirschi, R.; et al. MESA: Modules for Experiments in Stellar Astrophysics. 2010. Available online: http://mesa.sourceforge.net (accessed on 1 March 2022).
- Grevesse, N.; Noels, A. Cosmic abundances of the elements. In Origin and Evolution of the Elements; Prantzos, N., Vangioni-Flam, E., Casse, M., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1993; pp. 15–25.
- Heil, M.; Winckler, N.; Dababneh, S.; Käppeler, F.; Wisshak, K.; Bisterzo, S.; Gallino, R.; Davis, A.M.; Rauscher, T. ¹⁷⁶Lu/¹⁷⁶Hf: A Sensitive Test of s-Process Temperature and Neutron Density in AGB Stars. *Astrophys. J.* 2008, 673, 434–444. [CrossRef]
- Jaeger, M.; Kunz, R.; Mayer, A.; Hammer, J.W.; Staudt, G.; Kratz, K.L.; Pfeiffer, B. ²²Ne(α,n)²⁵Mg: The Key Neutron Source in Massive Stars. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2001, *87*, 202501. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Angulo, C.; Arnould, M.; Rayet, M.; Descouvemont, P.; Baye, D.; Leclercq-Willain, C.; Coc, A.; Barhoumi, S.; Aguer, P.; Rolfs, C.; et al. A compilation of charged-particle induced thermonuclear reaction rates. *Nucl. Phys. A* **1999**, *656*, 3–183. [CrossRef]
- 59. Adsley, P.; Battino, U.; Best, A.; Caciolli, A.; Guglielmetti, A.; Imbriani, G.; Jayatissa, H.; La Cognata, M.; Lamia, L.; Masha, E.; et al. Reevaluation of the 22 Ne(α, γ) 26 Mg and 22 Ne(α, n) 25 Mg reaction rates. *Phys. Rev.* C **2021**, *103*, 015805. [CrossRef]
- Dillmann, I.; Heil, M.; Käppeler, F.; Plag, R.; Rauscher, T.; Thielemann, F.K. KADoNiS- The Karlsruhe Astrophysical Database of Nucleosynthesis in Stars. *AIP Conf. Proc.* 2006, 819, 123–127. [CrossRef]
- Cyburt, R.H.; Amthor, A.M.; Ferguson, R.; Meisel, Z.; Smith, K.; Warren, S.; Heger, A.; Hoffman, R.D.; Rauscher, T.; Sakharuk, A.; et al. The JINA REACLIB Database: Its Recent Updates and Impact on Type-I X-ray Bursts. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* 2010, 189, 240–252. [CrossRef]
- 62. Oda, T.; Hino, M.; Muto, K.; Takahara, M.; Sato, K. Rate Tables for the Weak Processes of sd-Shell Nuclei in Stellar Matter. *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables* **1994**, *56*, 231. [CrossRef]
- 63. Fuller, G.M.; Fowler, W.A.; Newman, M.J. Stellar weak interaction rates for intermediate-mass nuclei. IV Interpolation procedures for rapidly varying lepton capture rates using effective log (ft)-values. *Astrophys. J.* **1985**, *293*, 1–16. [CrossRef]
- 64. Langanke, K.; Martínez-Pinedo, G. Shell-model calculations of stellar weak interaction rates: II. Weak rates for nuclei in the mass range /A = 45-65 in supernovae environments. *Nucl. Phys. A* 2000, 673, 481–508. [CrossRef]
- 65. Aikawa, M.; Arnould, M.; Goriely, S.; Jorissen, A.; Takahashi, K. BRUSLIB and NETGEN: The Brussels nuclear reaction rate library and nuclear network generator for astrophysics. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2005**, *441*, 1195–1203. [CrossRef]
- 66. Sackmann, I.J.; Boothroyd, A.I. The Creation of Superrich Lithium Giants. Astrophys. J. 1992, 392, L71. [CrossRef]
- 67. Lattanzio, J.; Frost, C.; Cannon, R.; Wood, P. Hot bottom burning in intermediate mass stars. *Mem. Della Soc. Astron. Ital.* **1996**, 67, 729.
- 68. Doherty, C.L.; Siess, L.; Lattanzio, J.C.; Gil-Pons, P. Super asymptotic giant branch stars. I-Evolution code comparison. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2010**, 401, 1453–1464. [CrossRef]
- 69. Cameron, A.G.W.; Fowler, W.A. Lithium and the s-PROCESS in Red-Giant Stars. Astrophys. J. 1971, 164, 111. [CrossRef]
- 70. Cseh, B.; Lugaro, M.; D'Orazi, V.; de Castro, D.B.; Pereira, C.B.; Karakas, A.I.; Molnár, L.; Plachy, E.; Szabó, R.; Pignatari, M.; et al. The s process in AGB stars as constrained by a large sample of barium stars. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2018**, 620, A146. [CrossRef]
- 71. Pereira, C.B.; Drake, N.A. High-resolution spectroscopic observations of two chemically peculiar metal-poor stars: HD 10613 and BD+04°2466. *Astron. Astrophys.* 2009, 496, 791–804. [CrossRef]