

Table S1. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * Vulnerability_to_shifting_cultivation	320	100.0%	0	.0%	320	100.0%

Table S2. Gender * Vulnerability_to_shifting_cultivation Crosstabulation

			Vulnerability_to_shifting_cultivation			Total
			yes	no	dont know	
Gender	male	Count	193	2	27	222
		Expected Count	192.9	2.1	27.1	222.0
		% within Gender	86.9%	.9%	12.2%	100.0%
	female	Count	85	1	12	98
		Expected Count	85.1	.9	11.9	98.0
		% within Gender	86.7%	1.0%	12.2%	100.0%
Total		Count	278	3	39	320
		Expected Count	278.0	3.0	39.0	320.0
		% within Gender	86.9%	.9%	12.2%	100.0%

Table S3. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.011 ^a	2	.994
Likelihood Ratio	.011	2	.995
Linear-by-Linear Association	.001	1	.972
N of Valid Cases	320		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .92.

Table S4. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.006	.994
	Cramer's V	.006	.994
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S5. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Frequency * Vulnerability_of_population_type_to_shifting_climate_patterns	320 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	320	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S6. Frequency * Vulnerability_of_population_type_to_shifting_climate_patterns Crosstabulation

		Vulnerability_of_population_type_to_shifting_climate_patterns			Total	
		yes	no	dont know		
Frequency	0.992	Count	0	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.9	.0	.1	1.0
		% within Frequency	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
2.208	Count	0	2	0	2	
	Expected Count	1.7	.0	.2	2.0	
	% within Frequency	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
11.776	Count	0	0	12	12	
	Expected Count	10.4	.1	1.5	12.0	
	% within Frequency	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
26.624	Count	0	0	27	27	
	Expected Count	23.5	.3	3.3	27.0	
	% within Frequency	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
85.248	Count	85	0	0	85	
	Expected Count	73.8	.8	10.4	85.0	
	% within Frequency	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
193.152	Count	193	0	0	193	
	Expected Count	167.7	1.8	23.5	193.0	
	% within Frequency	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
Total	Count	278	3	39	320	
	Expected Count	278.0	3.0	39.0	320.0	
	% within Frequency	86.9%	.9%	12.2%	100.0%	

Table S7. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.400E2 ^a	10	.000
Likelihood Ratio	270.419	10	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	156.765	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	320		

a. 12 cells (66.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

Table S8. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	1.414	.000
	Cramer's V	1.000	.000
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S9. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender *						
Women_that_do_not_know_how_to_swim	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319.998	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S10. Gender * Women_that_do_not_know_how_to_swim Crosstabulation

			Women_that_do_not_know_how_to_swim		Total
			yes	no	
Gender	male	Count	54	168	222
		Expected Count	45.1	176.9	222.0
		% within Gender	24.3%	75.7%	100.0%
	female	Count	11	87	98
		Expected Count	19.9	78.1	98.0
		% within Gender	11.2%	88.8%	100.0%
Total		Count	65	255	320
		Expected Count	65.0	255.0	320.0
		% within Gender	20.3%	79.7%	100.0%

Table S11. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.208 ^a	1	.007	.007	.004
Continuity Correction ^b	6.421	1	.011		
Likelihood Ratio	7.852	1	.005		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.185	1	.007		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 19.91.

Table S12. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.150	.007
	Cramer's V	.150	.007
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S13. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * Water_and_food_issues	320	100.0%	0	.0%	320	100.0%

Table S14. Gender * Water_and_food_issues Crosstabulation

			Water_and_food_issues		Total
			yes	no	
Gender	male	Count	67	155	222
		Expected Count	55.5	166.5	222.0
		% within Gender	30.2%	69.8%	100.0%
	female	Count	13	85	98
		Expected Count	24.5	73.5	98.0
		% within Gender	13.3%	86.7%	100.0%
Total		Count	80	240	320
		Expected Count	80.0	240.0	320.0
		% within Gender	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%

Table S15. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.374 ^a	1	.001		
Continuity Correction ^b	9.492	1	.002		
Likelihood Ratio	11.282	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.342	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 24.50.

Table S16. Symmetric Measures

	Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal Phi	.180	.001
Cramer's V	.180	.001
N of Valid Cases	320	

Table S17. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * Cyclone_shelter_is_far_from_home	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319.998	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S18. Gender * Cyclone_shelter_is_far_from_home Crosstabulation

		Cyclone_shelter_is_far_from_home		Total	
		yes	no		
Gender	male	Count	85	137	222
		Expected Count	70.8	151.2	222.0
		% within Gender	38.3%	61.7%	100.0%
female	Count	17	81	98	
	Expected Count	31.2	66.8	98.0	
	% within Gender	17.3%	82.7%	100.0%	
Total	Count	102	218	320	
	Expected Count	102.0	218.0	320.0	
	% within Gender	31.9%	68.1%	100.0%	

Table S19. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.730 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	12.783	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	14.704	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	13.687	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 31.24.

Table S20. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.207	.000
	Cramer's V	.207	.000
	N of Valid Cases	320	

Table S21. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * Lack_of_high_places_to_build_homes	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319.998	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S22. Gender * Lack_of_high_places_to_build_homes Crosstabulation

			Lack_of_high_places_to_build_homes		Total
			yes	no	
Gender	male	Count	38	184	222
		Expected Count	31.9	190.1	222.0
		% within Gender	17.1%	82.9%	100.0%
	female	Count	8	90	98
		Expected Count	14.1	83.9	98.0
		% within Gender	8.2%	91.8%	100.0%
Total		Count	46	274	320
		Expected Count	46.0	274.0	320.0
		% within Gender	14.4%	85.6%	100.0%

Table S23. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.428 ^a	1	.035	.038	.023
Continuity Correction ^b	3.731	1	.053		
Likelihood Ratio	4.844	1	.028		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.414	1	.036		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.09.

Table S24. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.118	.035
	Cramer's V	.118	.035
	N of Valid Cases	320	

Table S25. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * Physical_weakness	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319.998	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S26. Gender * Physical_weakness Crosstabulation

			Physical_weakness		Total
			yes	no	
Gender	male	Count	42	180	222
		Expected Count	34.7	187.3	222.0
		% within Gender	18.9%	81.1%	100.0%
	female	Count	8	90	98
		Expected Count	15.3	82.7	98.0
		% within Gender	8.2%	91.8%	100.0%
Total	Count	50	270	320	
	Expected Count	50.0	270.0	320.0	
	% within Gender	15.6%	84.4%	100.0%	

Table S27. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.966 ^a	1	.015	.018	.009
Continuity Correction ^b	5.178	1	.023		
Likelihood Ratio	6.598	1	.010		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.947	1	.015		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15.31.

Table S28. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.137	.015
	Cramer's V	.137	.015
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S29. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * Helplessness	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319.998	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S30. Gender * Helplessness Crosstabulation

			Helplessness		Total
			yes	no	
Gender	male	Count	31	191	222
		Expected Count	25.7	196.3	222.0
		% within Gender	14.0%	86.0%	100.0%
	female	Count	6	92	98
		Expected Count	11.3	86.7	98.0
		% within Gender	6.1%	93.9%	100.0%
Total	Count	37	283	320	
	Expected Count	37.0	283.0	320.0	
	% within Gender	11.6%	88.4%	100.0%	

Table S31. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.088 ^a	1	.043	.057	.029
Continuity Correction ^b	3.357	1	.067		
Likelihood Ratio	4.538	1	.033		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.076	1	.044		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.33.

Table S32. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.113	.043
	Cramer's V	.113	.043
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S33. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * Nervousness_and_fear	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319,998	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S34. Gender * Nervousness_and_fear Crosstabulation

			Nervousness_and_fear		Total
			yes	no	
Gender	male	Count	46	176	222
		Expected Count	38.2	183.8	222.0
		% within Gender	20.7%	79.3%	100.0%
	female	Count	9	89	98
		Expected Count	16.8	81.2	98.0
		% within Gender	9.2%	90.8%	100.0%
Total	Count	55	265	320	
	Expected Count	55.0	265.0	320.0	
	% within Gender	17.2%	82.8%	100.0%	

Table S35. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.358 ^a	1	.012	.015	.007
Continuity Correction ^b	5.573	1	.018		
Likelihood Ratio	6.992	1	.008		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.338	1	.012		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.84.

Table S36. Symmetric Measures

	Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal Phi	.141	.012
Cramer's V	.141	.012
N of Valid Cases	320	

Table S37. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * Physical_and_social_setting_of_women_health_issues	320	100.0%	0	.0%	320	100.0%

Table S38. Gender * Physical_and_social_setting_of_women_health_issues Crosstabulation

			Physical_and_social_setting_of_women_health_issues		Total
			yes	no	
Gender	male	Count	67	155	222
		Expected Count	55.5	166.5	222.0
		% within Gender	30.2%	69.8%	100.0%
	female	Count	13	85	98
		Expected Count	24.5	73.5	98.0
		% within Gender	13.3%	86.7%	100.0%
Total		Count	80	240	320
		Expected Count	80.0	240.0	320.0
		% within Gender	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%

Table S39. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.374 ^a	1	.001	.001	.001
Continuity Correction ^b	9.492	1	.002		
Likelihood Ratio	11.282	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.342	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 24.50.

Table S40. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.180	.001
	Cramer's V	.180	.001
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S41. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * Womens_triple_role	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	.000	1.8E-14%	319.998	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S42. Gender * Womens_triple_role Crosstabulation

			Womens_triple_role		Total
			yes	no	
Gender	male	Count	63	159	222
		Expected Count	52.0	170.0	222.0
		% within Gender	28.4%	71.6%	100.0%
	female	Count	12	86	98
		Expected Count	23.0	75.0	98.0
		% within Gender	12.2%	87.8%	100.0%
Total		Count	75	245	320
		Expected Count	75.0	245.0	320.0
		% within Gender	23.4%	76.6%	100.0%

Table S43. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.862 ^a	1	.002	.002	.001
Continuity Correction ^b	8.983	1	.003		
Likelihood Ratio	10.775	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.831	1	.002		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 22.97.

Table S44. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.176	.002
	Cramer's V	.176	.002
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S45. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * Womens_economic_livelihood_or_poverty	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319.998	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S46. Gender * Womens_economic_livelihood_or_poverty Crosstabulation

			Womens_economic_livelihood_or_poverty		Total
			yes	no	
Gender	male	Count	54	168	222
		Expected Count	45.1	176.9	222.0
		% within Gender	24.3%	75.7%	100.0%
	female	Count	11	87	98
		Expected Count	19.9	78.1	98.0
		% within Gender	11.2%	88.8%	100.0%
Total		Count	65	255	320
		Expected Count	65.0	255.0	320.0
		% within Gender	20.3%	79.7%	100.0%

Table S47. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.208 ^a	1	.007	.007	.004
Continuity Correction ^b	6.421	1	.011		
Likelihood Ratio	7.852	1	.005		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.185	1	.007		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 19.91.

Table S48. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.150	.007
	Cramer's V	.150	.007
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S49. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * After_hazards_income_and_occupation_challenges_for_women	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319.998	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S50. Gender * After_hazards_income_and_occupation_challenges_for_women Crosstabulation

			After_hazards_income_and_occupation_challenges_for_women		Total
			yes	no	
Gender	male	Count	59	163	222
		Expected Count	48.6	173.4	222.0
		% within Gender	26.6%	73.4%	100.0%
	female	Count	11	87	98
		Expected Count	21.4	76.6	98.0
		% within Gender	11.2%	88.8%	100.0%
Total	Count	70	250	320	
	Expected Count	70.0	250.0	320.0	
	% within Gender	21.9%	78.1%	100.0%	

Table S51. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.376 ^a	1	.002	.002	.001
Continuity Correction ^b	8.499	1	.004		
Likelihood Ratio	10.297	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.347	1	.002		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 21.44.

Table S52. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.171	.002
	Cramer's V	.171	.002
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S53. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * Inadequacy_of_shelter_capacity	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319.998	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S54. Gender * Inadequacy_of_shelter_capacity Crosstabulation

			Inadequacy_of_shelter_capacity		Total
			yes	no	
Gender	male	Count	42	180	222
		Expected Count	34.7	187.3	222.0
		% within Gender	18.9%	81.1%	100.0%
	female	Count	8	90	98
		Expected Count	15.3	82.7	98.0
		% within Gender	8.2%	91.8%	100.0%
Total	Count	50	270	320	
	Expected Count	50.0	270.0	320.0	
	% within Gender	15.6%	84.4%	100.0%	

Table S55. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.966 ^a	1	.015	.018	.009
Continuity Correction ^b	5.178	1	.023		
Likelihood Ratio	6.598	1	.010		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.947	1	.015		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15.31.

Table S56. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.137	.015
	Cramer's V	.137	.015
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S57. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * Womens_participation_in_decision_making	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319.998	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S58. Gender * Womens_participation_in_decision_making Crosstabulation

		Womens_participation_in_decision_making		Total	
		yes	no		
Gender	male	Count	72	150	222
		Expected Count	59.7	162.3	222.0
		% within Gender	32.4%	67.6%	100.0%
	female	Count	14	84	98
		Expected Count	26.3	71.7	98.0
		% within Gender	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%
Total		Count	86	234	320
		Expected Count	86.0	234.0	320.0
		% within Gender	26.9%	73.1%	100.0%

Table S59. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.392 ^a	1	.001	.001	.000
Continuity Correction ^b	10.488	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	12.346	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.357	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 26.34.

Table S60. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.189	.001
	Cramer's V	.189	.001
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S61. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * Adaptation_measures_affect_differently_men_and_women	320	100.0%	0	.0%	320	100.0%

Table S62. Gender * Adaptation_measures_affect_differently_men_and_women Crosstabulation

			Adaptation_measures_affect_differently_men_and_women			Total
			yes	no	dont know	
Gender	male	Count	178	24	20	222
		Expected Count	177.6	24.3	20.1	222.0
		% within Gender	80.2%	10.8%	9.0%	100.0%
	female	Count	78	11	9	98
		Expected Count	78.4	10.7	8.9	98.0
		% within Gender	79.6%	11.2%	9.2%	100.0%
Total		Count	256	35	29	320
		Expected Count	256.0	35.0	29.0	320.0
		% within Gender	80.0%	10.9%	9.1%	100.0%

Table S63. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.016 ^a	2	.992
Likelihood Ratio	.016	2	.992
Linear-by-Linear Association	.010	1	.920
N of Valid Cases	320		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.88.

Table S64. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.007	.992
	Cramer's V	.007	.992
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S65. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Frequency *						
Adaptation_measures_affect_differently_men_and_women	320	100.0%	0	.0%	320	100.0%

Table S66. Frequency * Adaptation_measures_affect_differently_men_and_women Crosstabulation

			Adaptation_measures_affect_differently_men_and_women			Total
			yes	no	dont know	
Frequency	8.832	Count	0	0	9	9
		Expected Count	7.2	1.0	.8	9.0
		% within Frequency	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
10.784	Count	0	11	0	11	
	Expected Count	8.8	1.2	1.0	11.0	
	% within Frequency	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
19.968	Count	0	0	20	20	
	Expected Count	16.0	2.2	1.8	20.0	
	% within Frequency	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
24.416	Count	0	24	0	24	
	Expected Count	19.2	2.6	2.2	24.0	
	% within Frequency	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
78.4	Count	78	0	0	78	
	Expected Count	62.4	8.5	7.1	78.0	
	% within Frequency	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
177.6	Count	178	0	0	178	
	Expected Count	142.4	19.5	16.1	178.0	
	% within Frequency	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
Total	Count	256	35	29	320	
	Expected Count	256.0	35.0	29.0	320.0	
	% within Frequency	80.0%	10.9%	9.1%	100.0%	

Table S67. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.400E2 ^a	10	.000
Likelihood Ratio	408.417	10	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	172.685	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	320		

a. 8 cells (44.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Table S68. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	1.414	.000
	Cramer's V	1.000	.000
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S69. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender *						
Female_empowerment_through_employment	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319.980	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S70. Gender * Female_empowerment_through_employment Crosstabulation

			Female_empowerment_through_employment		Total
			yes	no	
Gender	male	Count	72	150	222
		Expected Count	59.7	162.3	222.0
		% within Gender	32.4%	67.6%	100.0%
	female	Count	14	84	98
		Expected Count	26.3	71.7	98.0
		% within Gender	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%
Total		Count	86	234	320
		Expected Count	86.0	234.0	320.0
		% within Gender	26.9%	73.1%	100.0%

Table S71. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.392 ^a	1	.001		
Continuity Correction ^b	10.488	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	12.346	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.357	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 26.34.

Table S72. Symmetric Measures

	Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal Phi	.189	.001
Cramer's V	.189	.001
N of Valid Cases	320	

Table S73. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender *						
Women_friendly_agriculture	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319.998	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S74. Gender * Women_friendly_agriculture Crosstabulation

			Women_friendly_agriculture		Total
			yes	no	
Gender	male	Count	31	191	222
		Expected Count	25.7	196.3	222.0
		% within Gender	14.0%	86.0%	100.0%
	female	Count	6	92	98
		Expected Count	11.3	86.7	98.0
		% within Gender	6.1%	93.9%	100.0%
Total		Count	37	283	320
		Expected Count	37.0	283.0	320.0
		% within Gender	11.6%	88.4%	100.0%

Table S75. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.088 ^a	1	.043	.057	.029
Continuity Correction ^b	3.357	1	.067		
Likelihood Ratio	4.538	1	.033		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.076	1	.044		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.33.

Table S76. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.113	.043
	Cramer's V	.113	.043
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S77. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * Female_education_and_building_awareness	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319.998	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S78. Gender * Female_education_and_building_awareness Crosstabulation

		Female_education_and_building_awareness		Total	
		yes	no		
Gender	male	Count	58	164	222
		Expected Count	47.9	174.1	222.0
		% within Gender	26.1%	73.9%	100.0%
	female	Count	11	87	98
		Expected Count	21.1	76.9	98.0
		% within Gender	11.2%	88.8%	100.0%
Total		Count	69	251	320
		Expected Count	69.0	251.0	320.0
		% within Gender	21.6%	78.4%	100.0%

Table S79. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.926 ^a	1	.003		
Continuity Correction ^b	8.067	1	.005		
Likelihood Ratio	9.788	1	.002		
Fisher's Exact Test				.003	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.898	1	.003		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 21.13.

Table S80. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.167	.003
	Cramer's V	.167	.003
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S81. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * Micro_finance	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319.998	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S82. Gender * Micro_finance Crosstabulation

			Micro_finance		Total
			yes	no	
Gender	male	Count	77	145	222
		Expected Count	63.8	158.2	222.0
		% within Gender	34.7%	65.3%	100.0%
	female	Count	15	83	98
		Expected Count	28.2	69.8	98.0
		% within Gender	15.3%	84.7%	100.0%
Total		Count	92	228	320
		Expected Count	92.0	228.0	320.0
		% within Gender	28.8%	71.2%	100.0%

Table S83. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.464 ^a	1	.000	.000	.000
Continuity Correction ^b	11.536	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	13.460	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.425	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 28.18.

Table S84. Symmetric Measures

	Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal Phi	.197	.000
Cramer's V	.197	.000
N of Valid Cases	320	

Table S85. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * Policy_formation_regarding_gender_equality	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319.998	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S86. Gender * Policy_formation_regarding_gender_equality Crosstabulation

		Policy_formation_regarding_gender_equality		Total	
		yes	no		
Gender	male	Count	24	198	222
		Expected Count	20.1	201.9	222.0
		% within Gender	10.8%	89.2%	100.0%
	female	Count	5	93	98
		Expected Count	8.9	89.1	98.0
		% within Gender	5.1%	94.9%	100.0%
Total	Count	29	291	320	
	Expected Count	29.0	291.0	320.0	
	% within Gender	9.1%	90.9%	100.0%	

Table S87. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.689 ^a	1	.101	.138	.072
Continuity Correction ^b	2.040	1	.153		
Likelihood Ratio	2.964	1	.085		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.680	1	.102		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.88.

Table S88. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.092	.101
	Cramer's V	.092	.101
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S89. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender *						
Ensuring_women_participation_in_idea_development	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319.998	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S90. Gender * Ensuring_women_participation_in_idea_development Crosstabulation

		Ensuring_women_participation_in_idea_development		Total	
		yes	no		
Gender	male	Count	44	178	222
		Expected Count	36.8	185.2	222.0
		% within Gender	19.8%	80.2%	100.0%
	female	Count	9	89	98
		Expected Count	16.2	81.8	98.0
		% within Gender	9.2%	90.8%	100.0%
Total		Count	53	267	320
		Expected Count	53.0	267.0	320.0
		% within Gender	16.6%	83.4%	100.0%

Table S91. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.566 ^a	1	.018		
Continuity Correction ^b	4.823	1	.028		
Likelihood Ratio	6.092	1	.014		
Fisher's Exact Test				.022	.012
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.548	1	.018		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.23.

Table S92. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.132	.018
	Cramer's V	.132	.018
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S93. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender * Climate_change_nexus	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319.999	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S94. Gender * Climate_change_nexus Crosstabulation

			Climate_change_nexus		Total
			yes	no	
Gender	male	Count	66	156	222
		Expected Count	54.8	167.2	222.0
		% within Gender	29.7%	70.3%	100.0%
	female	Count	13	85	98
		Expected Count	24.2	73.8	98.0
		% within Gender	13.3%	86.7%	100.0%
Total		Count	79	241	320
		Expected Count	79.0	241.0	320.0
		% within Gender	24.7%	75.3%	100.0%

Table S95. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.912 ^a	1	.002	.002	.001
Continuity Correction ^b	9.047	1	.003		
Likelihood Ratio	10.767	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.881	1	.002		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 24.19.

Table S96. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.176	.002
	Cramer's V	.176	.002
N of Valid Cases		320	

Table S97. Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Gender *						
Integrate_climate_change_informati on_in_academic_curriculum	3.200E2 ^a	100.0%	0	.0%	319.998	100.0%

a. Number of valid cases is different from the total count in the crosstabulation table because the cell counts have been rounded.

Table S98. Gender * Integrate_climate_change_information_in_academic_curriculum Crosstabulation

		Integrate_climate_change_information_in_academic_curriculum		Total	
		yes	no		
Gender	male	Count	25	197	222
		Expected Count	20.8	201.2	222.0
		% within Gender	11.3%	88.7%	100.0%
	female	Count	5	93	98
		Expected Count	9.2	88.8	98.0
		% within Gender	5.1%	94.9%	100.0%
Total	Count	30	290	320	
	Expected Count	30.0	290.0	320.0	
	% within Gender	9.4%	90.6%	100.0%	

Table S99. Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.036 ^a	1	.081	.097	.058
Continuity Correction ^b	2.354	1	.125		
Likelihood Ratio	3.364	1	.067		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.026	1	.082		
N of Valid Cases ^b	320				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.19.

Table S100. Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.097	.081
	Cramer's V	.097	.081
N of Valid Cases		320	