### **Supplementary Files To:**

# Helping Québec Pharmacists Seize the Vaccination Service Opportunity:

### **The Pharmacy Best Practice Workshops**

Kajan Srirangan, PhD, MBA 1\*† and Arnaud Lavenue, PharmD, MSc1\*†

<sup>1</sup>Toc Toc Communications, 104-7030 Rue Marconi, Montréal, Québec, Canada H2S 3K1

\*Denotes equal contribution.

†Address correspondence to:

104-7030 Rue Marconi, Montréal, Québec, Canada H2S 3K1

Email: alavenue@toctoccommunications.com

Email: ksrirangan@toctoccommunications.com

March 3, 2021

#### **Supplementary Table 1:**

**Workshop Evaluation Questionnaire.** Participants were asked to agree or disagree (on a scale of 1 to 5) with statements relating to the training workshop. Note, the original questionnaire and responses were in French and have been translated to English.

#### **Supplementary Figure 1:**

Mean Likert scale scores for evaluation questionnaires for the workshop (n=57). The evaluation questionnaire used a 5-point Likert scale for the first five parts, consisting of 30 items, with anchors 'low' to 'very high'. Questions from the evaluation questionnaire are found in the **Supplementary Table 1**.

## **Supplementary Table 1 Workshop Evaluation Questionnaire**

1- Very low (VL) 2- Low (L) 3- Medium (M) 4- High (H) 5- Very high (VH)

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT		1 VL	2 L	3 M	4 H	5 VH	N/A
1.	This workshop will have a direct influence on my practice.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	This workshop has allowed me to improve my level of knowledge and skills.	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	I will recommend this workshop to my co-workers.	0	0	0	0	0	0
WORKSHOP OBJECTIVES AND CONTENT		1 VL	2 L	3 M	4 H	5 VH	N/A
4.	The learning objectives were clearly stated.	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	The content met the learning objectives.	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	The content was sufficiently detailed and precise.	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	The content was structured and easy to understand.	0	0	0	0	0	0
8.	The content was organized coherently.	0	0	0	0	0	0
KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER AND INTEGRATION		1 VL	2 L	3 M	4 H	5 VH	N/A
9.	The scenarios, clinical case studies or training questions were clearly stated.	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	The clinical case studies or scenarios were relevant and applicable to pharmacies daily.	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.	The clinical case studies or scenarios were sufficient.	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	The clinical case studies or scenarios were diverse.	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	The feedback offered from the case studies or training questions allowed for knowledge transfer.	0	0	0	0	0	0
THE FACILITATOR / SPEAKER		1 VL	2 L	3 M	4 H	5 VH	N/A

14.	ne facilitator adequately presented the content and piqued my interest.			0	0	0	0	0	0
15.	The facilitator had good knowledge of the subject matter.			0	0	0	0	0	0
16.	. The facilitator fostered interaction well.			0	0	0	0	0	0
17.	17. The feedback offered by the speaker was relevant.			0	0	0	0	0	0
18.	18. The speaker stated their current and potential conflicts of interest related to the workshop.				0	0	0	0	0
THE ASSESSMENT			1 VL	2 L	3 M	4 H	5 VH	N/A	
19.	<ol> <li>The assessment questions reflected the content covered in the workshop.</li> </ol>				0	0	0	0	0
20.	The assessment measured the achievement of the learning objectives well.				0	0	0	0	0
21.	21. The difficulty level of the assessment was adequate.			0	0	0	0	0	0
22.	22. The feedback provided from the assessment was sufficient.				0	0	0	0	0
GRAPHIC DESIGN AND MEDIA				1 VL	2 L	3 M	4 H	5 VH	N/A
23.	The graphics used in the teaching material were consistent and professional.			0	0	0	0	0	0
24.	24. The graphical elements (photos, illustrations, diagrams, animations, etc.) were clear.			0	0	0	0	0	0
25.	25. The graphical elements added value to the teaching material.			0	0	0	0	0	0
26.	26. The downloadable documents (tools, tables, appendices) were relevant and met my needs.			0	0	0	0	0	0
27.	27. The navigation was easy.			0	0	0	0	0	0
28.	28. The interactive elements were working properly.			0	0	0	0	0	0
29.	<ol><li>Case studies were well integrated into online components of the workshop.</li></ol>			0	0	0	0	0	0
GENERAL STATEMENTS			1 VL	2 L	3 M	4 H	5 VH	N/A	
30.	The length of the workshop activity was enough to cover the top addressed.	ic		0	0	0	0	0	0
31.	The workshop had no commercial bias.		<ul><li>○ Yes</li><li>○ No, justify your answer</li></ul>						
32.	The online registration process was satisfactory.	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No, justify your answer</li></ul>							
33.	How did you find out about this activity?	College Website     College Publications     Catalogue of the Training Portal     Employer/Colleague     Other, specify							
DEVEL	OPMENT QUESTIONS								

34. What were the strengths of the workshop?	
35. What improvements would you make to this workshop?	
36. What topics would you like to see addressed in future workshops?	

## **Supplementary Figure 1**

