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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a class of stochastic processes in continuous time, called step semi-Markov processes. The main idea comes from bringing an additional insight to a classical semi-Markov process: the transition between two states is accomplished through two or several steps. This is an extension of a previous work on discrete-time step semi-Markov processes. After defining the models and the main characteristics of interest, we derive the recursive evolution equations for two-step semi-Markov processes.
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## 1. Introduction

This article is concerned with continuous-time semi-Markov processes (SMPs). They represent an important class of stochastic processes widely studied and applied in several fields, such as reliability, survival analysis, financial mathematics, DNA modelling, manpower planning, etc.; see, e.g., [1-5]. The interest in using this class of stochastic processes in applications comes from the fact that the sojourn time in a state can be arbitrarily distributed, as compared to Markov processes, where the sojourn time is geometrically distributed (in discrete time) or exponentially distributed (in continuous time).

To be more specific, in this work, we introduce a class of stochastic processes, called continuous-time step semi-Markov processes, which generalizes classical/usual continuoustime semi-Markov processes. The main feature of this new type of SMPs comes from the fact that the sojourn time in a state represents the addition of two or several times that correspond to different physical causes. A typical example of this type of process can be encountered in biomedical investigations of the time-evolution of a disease when this sojourn time can be the sum between the incubation time of the disease and the waiting time before a change of state occurs. Another example can be seen in manpower planning; for instance, the sojourn time in this context can be seen as the sum between a first duration after entering a position in a company and a second duration that corresponds to a training period, for potential upgrade (change) of their position (see, e.g., [6-11]). It is clear that this type of phenomenon can be modelled also by introducing a new state for each different time (see [12]), but this implies that supplementary parameters are used for describing the system, increasing thus the modelling complexity.

The present article extends the discrete-time step semi-Markov processes introduced in [13] to the case of continuous-time semi-Markov processes. It is important to stress from
the beginning that the passage from discrete-time case to continuous-time case and vice versa is not obvious at all in such semi-Markov frameworks.

The need to move from discrete-time models to continuous ones has already been suggested by several authors and is of great importance in many scientific fields, see, e.g., [14]. One of the main advantages of continuous-time models is due to their greater flexibility as compared to their discrete-time counterparts. Indeed, while the discretetime model needs the adoption of a specific time scale over which data are observed, the continuous-time setting avoids this problem and considers the exact time of observation of the events, see, e.g., [15]. A detailed description of the benefits of a continuous-time framework is presented in [12] where illustrative examples are also given based on real data and practical applications.

It is worth also noticing that one problem that researchers face when using continuoustime stochastic processes is the need for discretization. In a semi-Markov setting, continuoustime semi-Markov processes can be numerically solved by means of discrete-time semiMarkov processes, as can be found in [16-20].

Our article is structured as follows: in the next section we first introduce classical semi-Markov notations and we define the continuous-time step semi-Markov process. In Section 3, we study the recursive evolution equations for step semi-Markov processes. A particular case of the continuous-time step semi-Markov process is presented in Section 4. Some elements of statistical estimation are also presented in Section 5. We end the article with some concluding remarks.

## 2. System Settings

A continuous time stochastic process $\left(Z_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}}$evolving in a discrete finite state space $E=\{1, \ldots, N\}$ is considered. Several counting processes have to be defined for modelling the time evolution of this system, such as $T=\left(T_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ representing the successive time points when a change in the system states occurs, $J=\left(J_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the successive visited states at these time points. Let us consider also the sojourn times in each state, denoted by $X_{n}=T_{n}-T_{n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, where $X_{0}=0$.

If the following relation is satisfied

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{P}\left(J_{n+1}=j, T_{n+1}-T_{n} \leq t \mid J_{0}, \ldots, J_{n} ; T_{0}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(J_{n+1}=j, T_{n+1}-T_{n} \leq t \mid J_{n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

then the stochastic process $\left(Z_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}}$is a semi-Markov process associated with the Markov renewal chain $\left(J_{n}, T_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $\left(J_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ being the so-called embedded Markov chain associated with $\left(Z_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}}$, where $Z_{t}=J_{N(t)}$ and $J_{n}=Z_{T_{n}}$, with $N(t):=\max \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid T_{n} \leq t\right\}$ representing the number of jumps up to the time point $t$.

The time behaviour of the SMP is governed by the semi-Markov kernel

$$
\tilde{Q}_{i j}(t)=\mathbb{P}\left(J_{n+1}=j, X_{n+1} \leq t \mid J_{n}=i\right) .
$$

Equivalently, the SMP is defined by the transition probabilities of the embedded Markov chain ( $J_{n}$ ), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{p}_{i j}=\mathbb{P}\left(J_{n+1}=j \mid J_{n}=i\right), i, j \in E, n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the conditional cumulative distribution function of the sojourn time $X_{n+1}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{F}_{i j}(t)=\mathbb{P}\left(X_{n+1} \leq t \mid J_{n}=i, J_{n+1}=j\right)=\int_{0}^{t} \tilde{f}_{i j}(s) d s, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{f}_{i j}(t)=\frac{d \tilde{F}_{i j}(t)}{d t}$ is the corresponding density with respect to the Lebesgue measure, assumed to exist.

Let us denote by $\alpha$ the initial distribution of the process, $\alpha(i)=\mathbb{P}\left(J_{0}=i\right)$. We also define the sojourn time distribution function in state $i$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}_{i}(t)=\mathbb{P}\left(X_{n+1} \leq t \mid J_{n}=i\right)=\sum_{j \in E} \tilde{Q}_{i j}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \tilde{h}_{i}(s) d s, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{h}_{i}(t)=\frac{d \tilde{H}_{i}(t)}{d t}$ is the density of the sojourn time distribution in state $i$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure, assumed also to exist. It is clear that the semi-Markov kernel can be written as

$$
\tilde{Q}_{i j}(t)=\tilde{p}_{i j} \tilde{F}_{i j}(t), \quad \forall i, j \in E
$$

Following the procedure proposed in [13] for discrete-time SMPs, the sojourn time $X_{n+1}$ between two consecutive states $J_{n}$ and $J_{n+1}$ can be seen as the sum of two different times, say $U_{n+1}$ and $V_{n+1}$, that is $X_{n+1}=U_{n+1}+V_{n+1}$. Under this setting one can rewrite the semi-Markov condition (1) in the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{P}\left(J_{n+1}=j, U_{n+1} \leq u, V_{n+1} \leq v . \mid J_{0}, \ldots, J_{n} ; U_{0}, \ldots, U_{n}, V_{0}, \ldots, V_{n}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(J_{n+1}=j, U_{n+1} \leq u, V_{n+1} \leq v . \mid J_{n}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Having in mind this new setting, the semi-Markov kernel takes the following form for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{Q}_{i j}(t)= & \mathbb{P}\left(J_{n+1}=j, U_{n+1}+V_{n+1} \leq t \mid J_{n}=i\right) \\
= & \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{P}\left(J_{n+1}=j, V_{n+1} \leq t-u, U_{n+1} \in d u \mid J_{n}=i\right) \\
= & \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{P}\left(V_{n+1} \leq t-u \mid U_{n+1}=u, J_{n}=i, J_{n+1}=j\right) \\
& \times \mathbb{P}\left(J_{n+1}=j \mid J_{n}=i, U_{n+1}=u\right) \mathbb{P}\left(U_{n+1} \in d u \mid J_{n}=i\right) \\
= & \int_{0}^{t} F_{i u ; j}(t-u) p_{i u ; j} g_{i}(u) d u \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

- $\quad F_{i u ; j}(v)=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{n+1} \leq v . \mid U_{n+1}=u, J_{n}=i, J_{n+1}=j\right)$;
- $\quad p_{i u ; j}=\mathbb{P}\left(J_{n+1}=j \mid J_{n}=i, U_{n+1}=u\right)$, with $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{E}} p_{i u ; j}=1$; in other words $\left(p_{i u ; j}\right)_{i, j \in E}$ is a stochastic matrix, $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$;
- $\quad g_{i}(u) d u \approx \mathbb{P}\left(u \leq U_{n+1} \leq u+d u \mid J_{n}=i\right)$, using the definition of derivative and represents the density of random variable $U_{n+1}$, given that the previous state is $J_{n}=i$.
We can summarize all this discussion by introducing the new concept of two-step SMP, for which the holding time is the sum of two different holding times. As mentioned in the Introduction, this setting can be of interest in several applications, such as biomedical sciences or manpower planning.

Definition 1. If a semi-Markov kernel is of the form given in (6), then $(J, T)$ is called a twostep Markov renewal chain and the associated semi-Markov process $Z=\left(Z_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}}$is called a continuous-time two-step semi-Markov process.

An example of this type of process can be encountered for patients in a hospital that may change status depending on their medical results. Due to this process, they will need corresponding medical attention. Let $U$ be the time interval between the status change in the patient's clinical condition and $V$ the time that elapses until the operator's action to change the patient's condition. This problem can be described by a continuous-time twostep semi-Markov model. The main interest here is focused on computing the transition probabilities between states.

It is important to define the following quantities with respect to the fist passage time in state $i$, given that $U_{n+1}=u$.

Definition 2. Under the previous setting, let us also introduce the following notations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{i u ; j}(v):= \mathbb{P}\left(J_{n+1}=j, V_{n+1} \leq v . \mid J_{n}=i, U_{n+1}=u\right) \\
&= P\left(V_{n+1} \leq v . \mid J_{n}=i, J_{n+1}=j, U_{n+1}=u\right) \\
& \times \mathbb{P}\left(J_{n+1}=j \mid J_{n}=i, U_{n+1}=u\right) \\
&= p_{i u ; j} F_{i u ; j}(v), \\
& F_{i u ; j}(v):=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{n+1} \leq v . \mid J_{n}=i, J_{n+1}=j, U_{n+1}=u\right), \\
& H_{i u}(v):=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{n+1} \leq v . \mid J_{n}=i, U_{n+1}=u\right)=\sum_{j \in E} Q_{i u ; j}(v),
\end{aligned}
$$

with the corresponding density $h_{i u}(v)=\frac{d H_{i u}(v)}{d v}$ assumed to exist, and

$$
G_{i}(u):=\mathbb{P}\left(U_{n+1} \leq u \mid J_{n}=i\right),
$$

with the corresponding density $g_{i}(u)=\frac{d G_{i}(u)}{d u}$ assumed to exist.
Proposition 1. The following relations hold true for any states $i, j \in E$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$:

1. $\tilde{p}_{i j}=\int_{0}^{\infty} p_{i u ; j} g_{i}(u) d u$,
2. $\quad \tilde{Q}_{i j}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} Q_{i u ; j}(t-u) G_{i}(d u)$.

Proof. We immediately obtain the results as follows.

1. $\tilde{p}_{i j}=\mathbb{P}\left(J_{n+1}=j \mid J_{n}=i\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(J_{n+1}=j, U_{n+1} \in d u \mid J_{n}=i\right)$
$=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(J_{n+1}=j \mid J_{n}=i, U_{n+1}=u\right) \mathbb{P}\left(U_{n+1} \in d u \mid J_{n}=i\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} p_{i u ; j} g_{i}(u) d u$.
2. According to expression (6) we have

$$
\tilde{Q}_{i j}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} F_{i u ; j}(t-u) p_{i u ; j} g_{i}(u) d u=\int_{0}^{t} Q_{i u ; j}(t-u) g_{i}(u) d u
$$

Thus, we have defined the main quantities that characterize such a process and we can now focus on more complex matters of time behaviour of the process.

## 3. Recurrence Evolution Behaviour

In this section, recurrence evolution behaviour of a two-step semi-Markov process $Z_{t}$ in the continue-time framework is investigated.

There are different types of evolution equations that may considered. First, we consider the case with initial backward of the process, where the transition probability function is the matrix-valued function ${ }^{b} \boldsymbol{\Phi}=\left({ }^{b} \phi_{i u_{1} ; j}(l ; t) ; i, j \in E, u_{1}, l, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \in \mathcal{M}_{E}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{b} \phi_{i u_{1} ; j}(l, t):=\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the left upper-script $b$ stands for the initial backward, $B_{t}:=t-T_{N(t)}$ represents the backward time process associated with the SMP and $\mathcal{M}_{E}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$denotes the set of matrix-valued functions defined on $\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$with values in $\mathcal{M}_{E}$, the set of real matrices on $E \times E$.

## Theorem 1.

(a) The following recursive expression holds true $\forall i, j \in E$ and $\forall u_{1}, l, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, such that $u_{1}<l$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{b} \phi_{i u_{1} ; j}(l, t)=\delta_{i j} \frac{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}}\left(t+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)} \\
& +\int_{m=0}^{t}\left(\sum_{k \in E} \int_{u_{2}=0}^{t-m}{ }^{b} \phi_{k u_{2} ; j}(0 ; t-m) \cdot g_{k}\left(u_{2}\right) \cdot \frac{q_{i u_{1} ; k}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)} d u_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{G}_{j}(t-m) \cdot \frac{q_{i u_{1} ; k}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)}\right) d m . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

(b) Similarly, if $u_{1} \geq l$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }^{b} \phi_{i u_{1} ; j}(l, t)= & \delta_{i j} \bar{H}_{i u_{1}}\left(t+l-u_{1}\right) \\
& +\int_{m=u_{1}-l}^{t}\left(\sum_{k \in E} \int_{u_{2}=0}^{t-m}{ }^{b} \phi_{k u_{2} ; j}(0, t-m) \cdot g_{k}\left(u_{2}\right) \cdot q_{i u_{1} ; k}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right) d u_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{G}_{j}(t-m) \cdot q_{i u_{1} ; j}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right)\right) d m \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{G}(\cdot)=1-G(\cdot)$ denotes the survival function.
The proof of this result is given in the Appendix A.

## 4. Step SMP with Minimum Sojourn Time

In this section, we investigate a special case of a two-step semi-Markov process, where the next state to be visited is selected to be the one with the minimum time.

### 4.1. System Setting

Let us consider the model proposed in Section 2. In addition to the quantities defined above, let us consider the random variables $U_{i j}$ as the potential times spent in state $i$ before moving directly to state $j$. This type of framework was considered in [21,22], with a special interest in reliability modelling.

The semi-Markov kernel becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{Q}_{i j}(t)= & \mathbb{P}\left(\min _{l}\left\{U_{i l}\right\}+V_{n+1} \leq t \& \min \text { occurs for } j \mid J_{n}=i\right) \\
= & \mathbb{P}\left(V_{n+1} \leq t-u, U_{i j} \leq U_{i l} \forall l \mid J_{n}=i\right) \\
= & \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{P}\left(V_{n+1} \leq t-u, U_{i j} \leq U_{i r} \forall r, \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\} \in d u \mid J_{n}=i\right) \\
= & \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{P}\left(V_{n+1} \leq t-u \mid \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u, J_{n}=i, J_{n+1}=j\right) \\
& \times \mathbb{P}\left(J_{n+1}=j \mid J_{n}=i, \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u\right) \mathbb{P}\left(\min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\} \in d u \mid J_{n}=i\right) \\
= & \int_{0}^{t} F_{i u ; j}(t-u) p_{i u ; j} g_{i}^{(1)}(u) d u, \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{i u ; j}(v)=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{n+1} \leq v . \mid \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u, J_{n}=i, J_{n+1}=j\right) \\
=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{n+1} \leq v \cdot \mid \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u, J_{n}=i\right) \\
=F_{i u}(v) \text { independent of } j, \\
g_{i}^{(1)}(u) \times d u=P\left(\min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\} \in d u \mid J_{n}=i\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

### 4.2. Recurrence Evolution of the Step SMP with Minimum Sojourn Time

The transition function with initial backwards process under the proposed model of this section is described by the following result.

## Theorem 2.

(a) Under the model setting of this section, the following formula stand true $\forall i, j \in E$ and $\forall u_{1}, l, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, in the case $u_{1}<l$
${ }^{b} \phi_{i u_{1}^{(1)} ; j}(l, t)=\delta_{i j} \frac{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}^{(1)}}\left(t+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}^{(1)}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)}$
$+\int_{m=0}^{t}\left(\sum_{k \in E} \int_{u_{2}=0}^{t-m}{ }^{b} \phi_{k u_{2}^{(1)} ; j}(0 ; t-m) \cdot g_{k}\left(u_{2}\right) \cdot \frac{q_{i u_{1}^{(1)} ; k}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}^{(1)}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)} d u_{2}\right.$
$\left.+\bar{G}_{j}(t-m) \cdot \frac{q_{i u_{1}^{(1)} ; k}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}^{(1)}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)}\right) d m$.
(b) In the case that $u_{1} \geq l$, the transition function is

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }^{b} \phi_{i u_{1}^{(1)} ; j}(l, t)= & \delta_{i j} \bar{H}_{i u_{1}^{(1)}}\left(t+l-u_{1}\right) \\
& +\int_{m=u_{1}-l}^{t}\left(\sum_{k \in E} \int_{u_{2}=0}^{t-m}{ }^{b} \phi_{k u_{2}^{(1)} ; j}(0, t-m) \cdot g_{k}\left(u_{2}\right) \cdot q_{i u_{1}^{(1)} ; k}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{G}_{j}(t-m) \cdot q_{i u_{1}^{(1)} ; j}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right)\right), \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where the upper-script (1) stands for the minimum order statistic.
The proof of this result is given in the Appendix A.

## 5. Associated Estimation Procedures

Several researchers have investigated statistical inference topics for continuous-time semiMarkov processes; the interested reader can see, e.g., [21,23-28] and the references within.

Let us consider a sample path of a two-step semi-Markov model censored at a fixed arbitrary time $M \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}, U_{1}, V_{1}, J_{1}, U_{2}, V_{2}, \ldots, J_{N(M)-1}, U_{N(M)}, V_{N(M)}, J_{N(M)}, B_{M} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N(M):=\max \left\{n \mid T_{n} \leq M\right\}$ is the counting process of the number of jumps in $[1, M]$ and $B_{M}:=M-T_{N(M)}$ is the censored sojourn time in the last visited state $J_{N(M)}$.

Starting from this sample, one can obtain estimators of the quantities of interest defining our model. Two main procedures may be considered:

1. Empirical estimation, as considered, for instance, in [26,27].
2. Nonparametric kernel estimation, as recently proposed in [23].

On the one hand, it should be noted that, following classical arguments, it is easy to check that the empirical estimators are strongly consistent, as the sample size $M \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, similar arguments as those used in [23] show that the nonparametric kernel estimators are also strongly consistent, as the sample size $M \rightarrow \infty$.

One can also consider several (say K) sample paths of a two-step semi-Markov model censored at a fixed arbitrary time $M \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$,

$$
J_{0}^{l}, U_{1}^{l}, V_{1}^{l}, J_{1}^{l}, U_{2}^{l}, V_{2}^{l}, \ldots, J_{N(M)-1}^{l}, U_{N(M)}^{l}, V_{N(M)}^{l}, J_{N(M)}^{l}, B_{M}^{l}, l=1, \ldots, K .
$$

Similarly as that discussed above, one can propose corresponding empirical estimators, taking into account the counting over the $K$ sample paths; as before, these estimators are strongly consistent, as $K \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us give here a Monte Carlo algorithm in order to simulate a trajectory of a given SMC in the time interval $[0, M]$. The output of the algorithm consists of the successive visited states $\left(J_{0}, \ldots, J_{N(M)}\right)$ and in the successive holding times $\left(U_{1}, V_{1}, \ldots, U_{N(M)}, V_{N(M)}\right)$ up to the time $M \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., a sample path of the process up to any arbitrary time $M$. This algorithm is an adaptation to our framework of the one in [1].

## Algorithm

1. Set $k=0, T_{0}=0$ and sample $J_{0}$ from the initial distribution $\alpha$;
2. Sample the random variable $U \sim g_{J_{k}}(\cdot)$ and set $U_{k+1}=U(\omega)$;
3. Sample the random variable $J \sim p_{J_{k}} U_{\text {; }}$, and set $J_{k+1}=J(\omega)$;
4. Sample the random variable $V \sim F_{J_{k} U ; J_{k+1}}(\cdot)$ and set $V_{k+1}=V(\omega)$;
5. $\quad$ Set $X_{k+1}=U+V$ and $T_{k+1}=T_{k}+X_{k+1}$;
6. If $T_{k+1} \geq M$, then end;
7. Else, set $k=k+1$ and continue to step 2.

## 6. Conclusions

In this paper, we studied step semi-Markov models in continuous-time. The interest in these models comes from the fact that they are very flexible, general, and add a new source of randomness as compared to classical semi-Markov processes, which consists of considering the sojourn times as the sum of two (or possibly several) random times. This type of stochastic model could be very promising in the study of reliability problems, survival analysis and queuing theory.

An important estimation topic could also be developed. It consists of the estimation of the main functions defining the model when the variables $U_{n}$ and $V_{n}$ are latent and the only available observations are the sojourn time lengths $X_{n}$. Another important development is represented by extending the model to open systems where several individuals are considered. This would bring to a generalization of the so-called Markov/semi-Markov systems which have found a large body of literature (see, e.g., [29,30] and the reference therein).
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## Appendix A

## Proof of Theorem 1.

(a) For the case where $u_{1}<l$, the transition function can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }^{b} \phi_{i u_{1} ; j}(l, t) & =\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j, T_{1}>t \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right)  \tag{A1}\\
& +\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j, T_{1} \leq t \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) . \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us study separately each term of the preceding formula. The first term (A1) can be expressed in the following way

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j, T_{1}>t \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j \mid T_{1}>t, Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \mathbb{P}\left(T_{1}>t \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\delta_{i j} \mathbb{P}\left(T_{1}>t \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\delta_{i j} \mathbb{P}\left(T_{1}-T_{0}>t-T_{0} \mid J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, T_{1}>0, U_{1}=u_{1}\right)  \tag{A3}\\
& =\delta_{i j} \mathbb{P}\left(U_{1}+V_{1}>t+l \mid J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, T_{1}>0, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\delta_{i j} \mathbb{P}\left(V_{1}>t+l-u_{1} \mid J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, T_{1}>0, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\delta_{i j} \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(V_{1}>t+l-u_{1}, T_{1}>0 \mid J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(T_{1}>0 \mid J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us consider now the numerator of (A3)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{P}\left(V_{1}>t+l-u_{1}, T_{1}>0 \mid J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(V_{1}>t+l-u_{1}, V_{1}>l-u_{1} \mid J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right)  \tag{A4}\\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(V_{1}>t+l-u_{1}, \mid J_{0}=i, U_{1}=u_{1}\right)=\bar{H}_{i u_{1}}\left(t+l-u_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

As for the denominator of (A3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{P}\left(T_{1}>0 \mid J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(T_{1}-T_{0}>0-T_{0} \mid J_{0}=i, U_{1}=u_{1}, T_{0}=-l\right) \\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(U_{1}+V_{1}>l \mid J_{0}=i, U_{1}=u_{1}, T_{0}=-l\right)  \tag{A5}\\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(V_{1}>l-u_{1} \mid J_{0}=i, U_{1}=u_{1}\right)=\bar{H}_{i u_{1}}\left(l-u_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (A3)-(A5) yields to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j, T_{1}>t \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right)=\delta_{i j} \frac{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}}\left(t+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)} . \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us move to the second term (A2), i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j, T_{1} \leq t \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \in E} \int_{m=0}^{t} \int_{u_{2}=0}^{\infty} \\
& \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j, T_{1} \in[m, m+d m], J_{1}=k, U_{2} \in\left[u_{2}, u_{2}+d u_{2}\right] \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\int_{m=0}^{t} \int_{u_{2}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in E} \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j \mid T_{1}=m, J_{1}=k, U_{2}=u_{2}, J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, T_{1}>0, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& \times \mathbb{P}\left(U_{2} \in\left[u_{2}, u_{2}+d u_{2}\right] \mid T_{1}=m, J_{1}=k\right) \\
& \times \mathbb{P}\left(T_{1} \in[m, m+d m], J_{1}=k \mid J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, T_{1}>0, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\int_{m=0}^{t} \int_{u_{2}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in E} \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j \mid T_{1}=m, J_{1}=k, U_{2}=u_{2}, J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, T_{1}>0, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& \times \mathbb{P}\left(U_{2} \in\left[u_{2}, u_{2}+d u_{2}\right] \mid T_{1}=m, J_{1}=k\right) \\
& \times \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(T_{1}-T_{0} \in[m+l, m+l+d m], J_{1}=k, T_{1}>0 \mid J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(T_{1}-T_{0}>0+l \mid J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right)} \\
& =\int_{m=0}^{t} \int_{u_{2}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in E} \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j \mid T_{1}=m, J_{1}=k, U_{2}=u_{2}, J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, T_{1}>0, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& \times \mathbb{P}\left(U_{2} \in\left[u_{2}, u_{2}+d u_{2}\right] \mid T_{1}=m, J_{1}=k\right) \\
& \times \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(V_{1} \in\left[m+l-u_{1}, m+l-u_{1}+d m\right], J_{1}=k \mid J_{0}=i, U_{1}=u_{1}\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(V_{1}>l-u_{1} \mid J_{0}=i, U_{1}=u_{1}\right)} \\
& =\int_{m=0}^{t} \int_{u_{2}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in E}{ }^{b} \phi_{k u_{2} ; j}(0 ; t-m) \cdot g_{k}\left(u_{2}\right) \cdot \frac{q_{i u_{1} ; k}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)} d u_{2} d m \text {. } \\
& =\sum_{k \in E} \int_{m=0}^{t}\left(\int_{u_{2}=0}^{t-m}{ }^{b} \phi_{k u_{2} ; j}(0 ; t-m) \cdot g_{k}\left(u_{2}\right) \cdot \frac{q_{i u_{1} ; k}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)} d u_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{u_{2}=t-m}^{\infty}{ }^{b} \phi_{k u_{2} ; j}(0 ; t-m) \cdot g_{k}\left(u_{2}\right) \cdot \frac{q_{i u_{1} ; k}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)} d u_{2}\right) d m . \\
& =\sum_{k \in E} \int_{m=0}^{t}\left(\int_{u_{2}=0}^{t-m}{ }^{b} \phi_{k u_{2} ; j}(0 ; t-m) \cdot g_{k}\left(u_{2}\right) \cdot \frac{q_{i u_{1} ; k}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)} d u_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{u_{2}=t-m}^{\infty} \delta_{k j} \cdot g_{k}\left(u_{2}\right) \cdot \frac{q_{i u_{1} ; k}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)} d u_{2}\right) d m . \\
& =\int_{m=0}^{t}\left(\sum_{k \in E} \int_{u_{2}=0}^{t-m}{ }^{b} \phi_{k u_{2} ; j}(0 ; t-m) \cdot g_{k}\left(u_{2}\right) \cdot \frac{q_{i u_{1} ; k}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)} d u_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{G}_{j}(t-m) \cdot \frac{q_{i u_{1} ; k}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)}\right) d m .
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Similarly as before, one can prove (9) in the case of $u_{1} \geq l$. In this case, (A1) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j, T_{1}>t \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\delta_{i j} \mathbb{P}\left(T_{1}-T_{0}>t-T_{0} \mid J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, T_{1}>0, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\delta_{i j} \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(V_{1}>t+l-u_{1} \mid J_{0}=i, U_{1}=u_{1}\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(T_{1}>0 \mid J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right)}  \tag{A7}\\
& =\delta_{i j} \bar{H}_{i u_{1}}\left(t+l-u_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

As for (A2), it takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j, T_{1} \leq t \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \in E} \int_{m=u_{1}-l}^{t} \int_{u_{2}=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j \mid T_{1}=m, J_{1}=k, U_{2}=u_{2}, J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, T_{1}>0, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& \times \mathbb{P}\left(U_{2} \in\left[u_{2}, u_{2}+d u_{2}\right] \mid T_{1}=m, J_{1}=k\right) \\
& \times \mathbb{P}\left(T_{1} \in[m, m+d m], J_{1}=k \mid J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, T_{1}>0, U_{1}=u_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar procedure in the case $u_{1}<l$ leads to the desired result.

## Proof of Theorem 2.

(a) The transition function, in the case $u_{1}<l$, takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }^{b} \phi_{i u_{1}^{(1)} ; j}(l, t) & =\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j, T_{1}>t \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u_{1}\right)  \tag{A8}\\
& +\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j, T_{1} \leq t \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u_{1}\right) . \tag{A9}
\end{align*}
$$

The term (A8) of the above formula is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j, T_{1}>t \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j \mid T_{1}>t, Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u_{1}\right) \\
& \times \mathbb{P}\left(T_{1}>t \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\delta_{i j} \mathbb{P}\left(T_{1}>t \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\delta_{i j} \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(V_{1}>t+l-u_{1}, T_{1}>0 \mid J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u_{1}\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(T_{1}>0 \mid J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u_{1}\right)} \\
& =\delta_{i j} \frac{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}^{(1)}}\left(t+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}^{(1)}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As for the term (A9) is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j, T_{1} \leq t \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u_{1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \in E} \int_{m=0}^{t} \int_{u_{2}=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j, T_{1} \leq m, J_{1}=k, \min _{s}\left\{U_{j s}\right\} \in d u_{2} \mid Z_{0}=i, B_{0}=l, \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u_{1}\right) d u_{2} d m \\
& =\int_{m=0}^{t} \int_{u_{2}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in E} \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j \mid T_{1} \leq m, J_{1}=k, \min _{s}\left\{U_{j s}\right\}=u_{2}, J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, T_{1}>0, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) \\
& \times \mathbb{P}\left(\min _{s}\left\{U_{j s}\right\} \in d u_{2} \mid T_{1} \leq m, J_{1}=k\right) \mathbb{P}\left(T_{1} \leq m, J_{1}=k \mid J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, T_{1}>0, U_{1}=u_{1}\right) d u_{2} d m \\
& =\int_{m=0}^{t} \int_{u_{2}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in E} \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{t}=j \mid T_{1} \leq m, J_{1}=k, U_{2}=u_{2}, J_{0}=i, T_{0}=-l, T_{1}>0, \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u_{1}\right) \\
& \times \mathbb{P}\left(\min _{s}\left\{U_{j s}\right\} \in d u_{2} \mid T_{1} \leq m, J_{1}=k\right) \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(V_{1} \leq m+l-u_{1}, J_{1}=k \mid J_{0}=i, \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u_{1}\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(V_{1}>l-u_{1} \mid J_{0}=i, \min _{r}\left\{U_{i r}\right\}=u_{1}\right)} d u_{2} d m \\
& =\int_{m=0}^{t} \int_{u_{2}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in E}{ }^{b} \phi_{k u_{2}^{(1)} ; j}(0 ; t-m) \cdot g_{k}\left(u_{2}\right) \cdot \frac{q_{i u_{1}^{(1)} ; k}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}^{(1)}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)} d u_{2} d m . \\
& =\int_{m=0}^{t}\left(\sum_{k \in E} \int_{u_{2}=0}^{t-m}{ }_{b_{2}} \phi_{k u_{2}^{(1)} ; j}(0 ; t-m) \cdot g_{k}\left(u_{2}\right) \cdot \frac{q_{i u_{1}^{(1)} ; k}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}^{(1)}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)} d u_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{G}_{j}(t-m) \cdot \frac{q_{i u_{1}^{(1)} ; k}\left(m+l-u_{1}\right)}{\bar{H}_{i u_{1}^{(1)}}\left(l-u_{1}\right)}\right) d m .
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Following similar steps as before, one may obtain the corresponding recursive formula. We omit here the corresponding details.

## References

1. Barbu, V.S.; Limnios, N. Semi-Markov Chains and Hidden Semi-Markov Models toward Applications—Their Use in Reliability and DNA Analysis; Lecture Notes in Statistics; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2008; Volume 191.
2. Janssen, J.; Manca, R. Semi-Markov Risk Models for Finance, Insurance and Reliability; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2007.
3. Limnios, N.; Oprişan, G. Semi-Markov Processes and Reliability; Birkhäuser: Boston, MA, USA, 2001.
4. Papadopoulou, A.A.; Vassiliou, P.-C.G. Continuous time non-homogeneous semi-Markov systems. In Semi-Markov Models and Applications; Janssen, J., Limnios, N., Eds.; Kluwer Academic Publishing: Boston, MA, USA, 1999; pp. 241-251.
5. Silvestrov, D.; Silvestrov, S. Nonlinearly Perturbed Semi-Markov Processes; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2017.
6. D'Amico, G.; Biase, G.D.; Gismondi, F.; Manca, R. The evaluation of generalized Bernoulli processes for salary lines construction by means of continuous time generalized non-homogeneous semi-Markov processes. Commun. Stat.-Theory Methods 2013, 42, 2889-2901. [CrossRef]
7. Feyter, T.D.; Guerry, M. Evaluating recruitment strategies using fuzzy set theory in stochastic manpower planning. Stoch. Anal. Appl. 2009, 27, 1148-1162. [CrossRef]
8. Ernst, A.T.; Jiang, H.; Krishnamoorthy, M.; Sier, D. Staff scheduling and rostering: A review of applications, methods and models. Eur. J. Oper. Res. 2004, 153, 3-27. [CrossRef]
9. Janssen, J.; Manca, R. Salary cost evaluation by means of non-homogeneous semi-Markov processes. Stoch. Model. 2002, 18, 7-23. [CrossRef]
10. McClean, S.I. Semi-Markov models for manpower planning. In Semi-Markov Models: Theory and Applications; Janssen, J., Ed.; Springer: Boston, MA, USA, 1986.
11. McClean, S.I.; Montgomery, E. Estimation for semi-Markov manpower models in a stochastic environment. In Semi-Markov Models and Applications; Janssen, J., Limnios, N., Eds.; Kluwer: Boston, MA, USA, 1999.
12. Garnier, H.; Peter, C.Y. The advantages of directly identifying continuous-time transfer function models in practical applications. Int. J. Control. 2014, 87, 1319-1338. [CrossRef]
13. Barbu, V.S.; D'Amico, G.; Manca, R.; Petroni, P. Step semi-Markov models and application to manpower management. Esaim Probab. Stat. 2016, 20, 555-571. [CrossRef]
14. Garnier, H.; Liuping, W.; Peter, C.Y. Direct identification of continuous-time models from sampled data: Issues, basic solutions and relevance. In Identification of Continuous-Time Models from Sampled Data; Garnier, H., Wang, L., Eds.; Advances in Industrial Control; Springer: London, UK, 2008; pp. 1-29. [CrossRef]
15. de Haan-Rietdijk, S.; Voelkle, M.C.; Keijsers, L.; Hamaker, E.L. Discrete-vs. continuous-time modeling of unequally spaced experience sampling method data. Front. Psychol. 2017, 8, 1849. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
16. Blasi, A.; Janssen, J.; Manca, R. Numerical treatment of homogeneous and non-homogeneous semi-Markov reliability models. Commun. Stat. Theory Methods 2004, 33, 697-714. [CrossRef]
17. Corradi, G.; Janssen, J.; Manca, R. Numerical treatment of homogeneous semi-Markov processes in transient case-a straightforward approach. Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab. 2004, 6, 233-246. [CrossRef]
18. D'Amico, G. Age-usage semi-Markov models. Appl. Math. Model. 2011, 35, 4354-4366. [CrossRef]
19. Moura, M.D.C.; Droguett, E.L. Mathematical formulation and numerical treatment based on transition frequency densities and quadrature methods for non-homogeneous semi-Markov processes. Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf. 2009, 94, 342-349. [CrossRef]
20. Wu, B.; Maya, B.I.G.; Limnios, N. Using semi-Markov chains to solve semi-Markov processes. Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab. 2021, 23, 1419-1431. Erratum in Commun. Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab. 2021, 23, 1433-1434. [CrossRef]
21. Barbu, V.S.; Karagrigoriou, A.; Makrides, A. Estimation and reliability for a special type of semi-Markov process. J. Math. Stat. 2019, 15, 259-272. [CrossRef]
22. Barbu, V.S.; Karagrigoriou, A.; Makrides, A. Semi-Markov modelling for multi-state systems. Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab. 2016, 19, 1011-1028. [CrossRef]
23. Ayhar, C.; Barbu, V.S.; Mokhtari, F.; Rahmani, S. On the asymptotic properties of some kernel estimators for continuous semi-Markov processes. J. Nonparametric Stat. 2022, 34, 299-318. [CrossRef]
24. Barbu, V.S.; Beltaief, S.; Pergamenshchikov, S. Adaptive efficient estimation for generalized semi- Markov big data models. Ann. Inst. Stat. Math. 2022. [CrossRef]
25. Barbu, V.S.; Beltaief, S.; Pergamenshchikov, S. Robust adaptive efficient estimation for a semi-Markov nonparametric regression models. Stat. Inference Stoch. Process. 2018, 22, 187-231. [CrossRef]
26. Limnios, N.; Ouhbi, B. Nonparametric estimation of some important indicators in reliability for semi-Markov processes. Stat. Methodol. 2006, 3, 341-350. [CrossRef]
27. Ouhbi, B.; Limnios, N. Nonparametric reliability estimation of semi-Markov processes. J. Stat. Plan. Inference 2003, 109, 155-165. [CrossRef]
28. Votsi, I.; Gayraud, G.; Barbu, V.S.; Limnios, N. Hypotheses testing and posterior concentration rates for semi-Markov processes. Stat. Inference Stoch. Process. 2021, 24, 707-732. [CrossRef]
29. Vassiliou, P.-C.G. Limiting distributions of a non-homogeneous Markov system in a stochastic environment in continuous time. Mathematics 2022, 10, 1214. [CrossRef]
30. Dimitriou, V.A.; Tsantas, N. The augmented semi-Markov system in continuous time. Commun. Stat. Theory Methods 2012, 41, 88-107. [CrossRef]
