

Limit Theorem for Spectra of Laplace Matrix of Random Graphs

Alexander N. Tikhomirov 

Institute of Physics and of Mathematics, Komi Science Center of Ural Branch of RAS, 167982 Syktyvkar, Russia; tikhomirov@ipm.komisc.ru

Abstract: We consider the limit of the empirical spectral distribution of Laplace matrices of generalized random graphs. Applying the Stieltjes transform method, we prove under general conditions that the limit spectral distribution of Laplace matrices converges to the free convolution of the semicircular law and the normal law.

Keywords: semicircular law; random graph; normal law; Stieltjes transform; Laplace matrix

MSC: 60B20; 60C05

1. Introduction and Summary

The spectral theory of random graphs is a branch of mathematics that has been studied intensively in the literature in recent decades. The asymptotic behavior of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of matrices associated with graphs, adjacency matrices and Laplace matrices, in particular (see definition below), as the number of vertices of the graph tends to infinity is investigated. See for instance [1–8]. The adjacency matrix of the generalized Erdős–Rényi random graph is a special case of the generalized Wigner matrix (matrices with elements that are independent up to symmetry, with zero means and different variances). Many deep results have been obtained recently for such matrices. Methods of studying of the spectrum asymptotics of the adjacency matrices are the same as for the spectrum asymptotics of Wigner matrices—these are the method of moments and the Stieltjes transform method. It should be noted that the most profound results for the spectrum of Wigner random matrices were obtained by the methods related to the Stieltjes transform; see [3,9,10].

Laplace matrices have one significant difference—the dependence of the diagonal elements on the remaining elements of the matrix. This significantly complicates the study. For instance, the limit distribution of the empirical spectral function of the Laplace matrix of a complete graph (non-random) was found firstly in 2006; see [11]. In most of the works devoted to the study of the spectrum asymptotics of Laplace matrices of random graphs, the method of moments is used; see [2,4,12]. In this paper, we consider the empirical spectral distribution function of the Laplace matrices of both weighted and unweighted generalized Erdős–Rényi random graphs. We have obtained simple sufficient conditions for the convergence of the empirical spectral distribution function of the Laplace matrices of random graphs to a distribution function that is a free convolution of the semicircular law and the standard normal law. The conditions are expressed in terms of the properties of the graph edge probability matrix and the weight variance matrix (for weighted graphs). To prove the convergence, we exclusively use the Stieltjes transform method.

We consider a non-oriented simple graph (without loops and with simple edges) $\{V, E\}$ with vertices $|V| = n$ and set of edges E such that edges $e \in E$ are independent and have probability p_e . Consider the adjacency $n \times n$ matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = [A_{jk}], \quad (1)$$



Citation: Tikhomirov, A.N. Limit Theorem for Spectra of Laplace Matrix of Random Graphs. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 764. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11030764>

Academic Editor: Christophe Chesneau

Received: 6 December 2022

Revised: 31 January 2023

Accepted: 1 February 2023

Published: 2 February 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

where

$$A_{jk} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (j, k) \notin E, \\ 1, & \text{if } (j, k) \in E. \end{cases}$$

Define a degree of vertex $j \in V$ as

$$d_j := \sum_{k:(j,k) \in E} A_{jk}.$$

We shall assume that A_{jk} for $1 \leq j \leq k \leq n$ are independent and $\mathbb{E}A_{jk} = p_{jk}^{(n)}$. Note that $\mathbb{E}d_j = \sum_{k:k \neq j} p_{jk}^{(n)}$. We have that matrix \mathbf{A} is symmetric, i.e., $A_{jk} = A_{kj}$, and that r.v.'s A_{jk} for $1 \leq j \leq k \leq n$ are independent. We introduce the quantity

$$\hat{a}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j,k=1}^n p_{jk}^{(n)} (1 - p_{jk}^{(n)}). \quad (2)$$

We introduce the diagonal matrix

$$\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n),$$

normalized and centered Laplace matrix of not weighted graph G defined as

$$\hat{\mathbf{L}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{a}_n}} [(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A}) - \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A})].$$

We shall consider the weighted graphs $\tilde{G} = (V, E, w)$ as well with weight function $w_{jk} = w_{kj} = X_{jk}$, where, for $1 \leq j \leq k \leq n$, there are independent random variables s.t.

$$\mathbb{E}X_{jk} = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}X_{jk}^2 = \sigma_{jk}^2.$$

The distribution of X_{jk} may depend on n , but for brevity, we shall omit the index n in the notations. We introduce the quantity

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_{ij}^{(n)} \sigma_{ij}^2. \quad (3)$$

The quantity a_n may be interpreted as the expected mean degree of graph \tilde{G} . With graph \tilde{G} , we consider the adjacency matrix

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = [A_{ij}X_{ij}]$$

and normalized Laplace or Markov matrix

$$\tilde{\mathbf{L}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} (\tilde{\mathbf{D}} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}),$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}} = \text{diag}(\tilde{d}_1, \dots, \tilde{d}_n) \text{ with } \tilde{d}_i = \sum_{j:j \neq i} A_{ij}X_{ij}.$$

We shall denote by $\lambda_1(\mathbf{B}) \geq \lambda_2(\mathbf{B}) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(\mathbf{B})$ ordered eigenvalues of a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{B} . We shall consider the spectrum of matrices $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}$, and $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$. For brevity of notation, we shall write $\tilde{\mu}_j = \lambda_j(\tilde{\mathbf{L}})$, and $\hat{\mu}_j = \lambda_j(\hat{\mathbf{L}})$. We introduce the corresponding empirical spectral distributions (ESDs)

$$\hat{G}_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{I}\{\hat{\mu}_j \leq x\}, \quad \tilde{G}_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{I}\{\tilde{\mu}_j \leq x\}. \quad (4)$$

In the paper [11], in 2006, it was shown under conditions $p_{ij}^{(n)} \equiv 1$ and $\sigma_{ij}^2 \equiv 1$, for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, that ESD $\tilde{G}_n(x)$ weakly converges in probability to the non-random distribution function $G(x)$, which is defined as a free convolution of the Gaussian distribution function and the semicircular distribution function (the definition of free convolution see, for instance, in [13]).

In [4], in 2010, the authors considered the limit of $\tilde{G}_n(x)$ for weighted Erdős–Rényi graphs ($p_{ij}^{(n)} \equiv p_n$) with equivariance weights ($\sigma_{ij}^2 \equiv \sigma^2$). Assuming that p_n bounded away from zero and one, and that random variables X_{ij} have the fourth moment, they proved that $\tilde{G}_n(x)$ weakly converges to the same function $G(x)$.

In [14], in 2020, Yizhe Zhu considered the so-called graphon approach to the limiting spectral distribution of Wigner-type matrices. The author described the moments of the limit spectral measure in terms 2279–2375, of graphon of the variance profile matrix $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij}^2)$ and number of trees with a fixed number of vertices. Recently, Chatterjee and Hazra published the paper [12] in which the approach of Zhu was developed.

In [15], in 2021, the author stated simple conditions on probabilities p_{ij} for the convergence of ESD of adjacency matrices to the semicircular law. In the present paper, we consider the convergence of ESD $\hat{G}_n(x)$ and $\tilde{G}_n(x)$ under similar conditions to the function $G(x)$.

First, we formulate some conditions which we shall use in the present paper.

- Condition CP(0):

$$a_n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5)$$

- Condition CP(0a): There exists a constant C_0 s.t.

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \max_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} \frac{1}{a_n} p_{jk}^{(n)} \sigma_{jk}^2 \leq C_0 < \infty.$$

- Condition CP(1):

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{na_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n |p_{jk}^{(n)} \sigma_{jk}^2 - \frac{a_n}{n}| = 0.$$

- Condition CX(1): For any $\tau > 0$

$$L_n(\tau) := \frac{1}{na_n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_{ij}^{(n)} \mathbb{E} X_{ij}^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{ij}| > \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6)$$

Remark 1. Condition CP(1) is equivalent to the following two conditions together

- Condition CP(1a):

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk}^{(n)} \sigma_{jk}^2 - 1 \right| = 0. \quad (7)$$

- Condition CP(1b):

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{na_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n |p_{jk}^{(n)} \sigma_{jk}^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n p_{jl}^{(n)} \sigma_{jl}^2| = 0.$$

The main result of the present paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let conditions CP(0), CP(0a), CP(1), CX(1) hold. Then, ESDs $\tilde{G}_n(x)$ converge in probability to the distribution function $G(x)$, which is the additive free convolution of the standard normal distribution function and the semi-circular distribution function:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{G}_n(x) = G(x).$$

Corollary 1. Assume that $\sigma_{jk}^2 \equiv \sigma^2$ and $p_{jk}^{(n)} \equiv p_n$ for any $1 \leq j, k \leq n$ and any $n \geq 1$. Assume that $np_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and assume that condition CX(1) holds. Then, ESDs $\tilde{G}_n(x)$ converge in probability to the distribution function $G(x)$, which is the additive free convolution of the standard normal distribution function and the semi-circular distribution function:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{G}_n(x) = G(x).$$

Proof of Corollary. Note that in the case $p_{jk}^{(n)} \equiv p_n$ and $\sigma_{jk}^2 = \sigma^2$, we have

$$a_n = np_n\sigma^2.$$

Condition CP(0) is fulfilled. Moreover, it is simple to see that all conditions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. \square

Theorem 2. Let conditions

$$\hat{a}_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n\hat{a}_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n |p_{jk}^{(n)}(1 - p_{jk}^{(n)}) - \frac{\hat{a}_n}{n}| = 0 \quad (9)$$

hold. Then, ESDs $\hat{G}_n(x)$ converge in probability to the distribution function $G(x)$, which is the additive free convolution of the standard normal distribution function and the semicircular distribution function,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{G}_n(x) = G(x).$$

In what follows, we shall omit the superscript (n) in the notations of $p_{ij}^{(n)}$, writing p_{ij} instead.

2. Toy Example

Consider graph $\{V, E\}$ with clique number $d = d(n)$ where $|V| = n$. The clique number of graph G is the size of the largest clique or a maximal clique of the graph. Let \mathcal{M} denote the clique of the graph. Define the weights of vertices as follows

$$W_i = \begin{cases} d, & \text{if } i \in \mathcal{M} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We introduce edge probabilities as follows

$$p_{ij} = W_i W_j / d^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d^2}, & \text{if } i \notin \mathcal{M}, j \notin \mathcal{M}, \\ \frac{1}{d}, & \text{if } i \in \mathcal{M}, j \notin \mathcal{M}, \text{ or } i \notin \mathcal{M}, j \in \mathcal{M}, \\ 1, & \text{if } i, j \in \mathcal{M}. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

We assume that $\sigma_{jk}^2 \equiv \sigma^2 = 1$, for $1 \leq j, k \leq n$. In this case, we have

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n p_{jk} = \left(\frac{n-d}{d} + d\right)^2, \quad (11)$$

and

$$a_n = \frac{n}{d^2}(1 + \alpha_n)^2, \text{ where } \alpha_n = \frac{d(d-1)}{n}. \quad (12)$$

Proposition 1. Under condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^2(n)}{n} = 0 \quad (13)$$

conditions $CP(0)$, $CP(0a)$ and $CP(1)$ hold.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{na_n} \sum_{j,k=1}^n |p_{jk} - \frac{a_n}{n}| &= \frac{1}{na_n} \left(\frac{1}{d^2} (2\alpha_n + \alpha_n^2)(n-d)^2 + 2 \left| \frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{d^2} (1 + \alpha_n)^2 |d(n-d) + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. d^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{d^2} (1 + \alpha_n)^2 \right) \right| \right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_n(1 + 2\alpha_n)(n-d)^2}{n^2(1 + \alpha_n)^2} + 2 \left| 1 - \frac{1}{d} (1 + \alpha_n)^2 \right| \frac{d^2(n-d)}{n^2(1 + \alpha_n)^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{d^4}{n^2(1 + \alpha_n)^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{d^2} (1 + \alpha_n)^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

It is straightforward to check that for $d = d(n)$ satisfying the condition (13), we have $\alpha_n = o(1)$, $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{na_n} \sum_{j,k=1}^n |p_{jk} - \frac{a_n}{n}| = 0. \quad (15)$$

That means that the conditions $CP(0a)$ and $CP(1)$ hold. Furthermore,

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \sum_{l=1}^n p_{kl} \leq \frac{n}{d} + d. \quad (16)$$

It is straightforward to check as well that

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\max_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} p_{kl}}{a_n} \leq C_0. \quad (17)$$

Thus, Proposition 1 is proved. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1

We shall use the method of the Stieltjes transform for the proof of Theorem 1. Introduce the resolvent matrix of matrix \tilde{L} ,

$$\mathbf{R} := \mathbf{R}_{\tilde{L}}(z) = (\tilde{\mathbf{L}} - z\mathbf{I})^{-1},$$

where $\mathbf{I} := \mathbf{I}_n$ denotes a $n \times n$ unit matrix. Let $m_n(z)$ denote the Stieltjes transform of the empirical spectral distribution function of matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}$,

$$m_n(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x-z} d\tilde{G}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R}.$$

For the proof of Theorem 1, it is enough to prove the convergence of the Stieltjes transforms for any fixed $z = u + iv$ with $v > 0$; moreover, it is enough to prove that $m_n(z)$ converges to some function, say $s(z)$, in some set with a non-empty interior. According to Lemma A2,

it is enough to prove the convergence of the expected Stieltjes transform $s_n(z) = \mathbb{E}m_n(z) = \mathbb{E}\frac{1}{n}\text{Tr}\mathbf{R}$ only. Using Lemma A1, the result of Theorem 1 follows from the relation

$$s_n(z) - s_g(z + s_n(z)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $s_g(z)$ denotes the Stieltjes transform of the standard Gaussian distribution,

$$s_g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x-z} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2}\right\} dx.$$

First, we need some additional notations. By $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{(j)}$, we denote the matrix obtained from $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}$ by replacing diagonal entries \tilde{L}_{ll} , $l = 1, \dots, n$ with $\tilde{L}_{ll}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} \sum_{r \neq j} A_{lr} X_{lr}$. Note that the diagonal entries of matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{(j)}$ (except $\tilde{L}_{jj}^{(j)}$) do not depend on the r.v. values X_{jk} , A_{jk} for $k = 1, \dots, n$. We denote by $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{(j)}$ the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $\tilde{D}_{ll}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} A_{jl} X_{jl}$. Denote by $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}$ the resolvent matrix corresponding to the matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{(j)}$,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)} = (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{(j)} - z\mathbf{I})^{-1}.$$

We have

$$\mathbf{R} = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)} - \mathbf{R} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{(j)} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}. \quad (18)$$

Using this formula, we may write

$$R_{jj} = \tilde{R}_{jj}^{(j)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} \sum_{r=1}^n A_{jr} X_{jr} R_{jr} \tilde{R}_{rj}^{(j)}. \quad (19)$$

According to Lemma A5, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{R}_{jj}^{(j)} \right| = 0. \quad (20)$$

Furthermore, let us denote by $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{(j,0)}$ the matrix obtained from $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{(j)}$ by deleting both the j -th column and j -th row. $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j,0)}$ denotes the resolvent matrix corresponding to the matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{(j,0)}$. Using the Schur complement formula, we may write

$$\tilde{R}_{jj}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\tilde{L}_{jj}^{(j)} - z - \sum_{l,k:l \neq j, k \neq j} [\tilde{R}^{(j,0)}(z)]_{kl} \tilde{L}_{jl} \tilde{L}_{jk}}. \quad (21)$$

Introduce the following notations

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{j1} &:= \sum_{l \neq k: l \neq j, k \neq j} [\tilde{R}^{(j,0)}]_{kl} \tilde{L}_{jl} \tilde{L}_{jk}, & \varepsilon_{j2} &= \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k:k \neq j} [\tilde{R}^{(j,0)}]_{kk} (A_{jk} - p_{jk}) X_{jk}^2, \\ \varepsilon_{j3} &= \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k:k \neq j} [\tilde{R}^{(j,0)}]_{kk} p_{jk} (X_{jk}^2 - \sigma_{jk}^2), \\ \varepsilon_{j4} &= \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k:k \neq j} [\tilde{R}^{(j,0)}]_{kk} (p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n p_{jl} \sigma_{jl}^2), \\ \varepsilon_{j5} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k:k \neq j} \tilde{R}_{kk}^{(j,0)} \left(\frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{l=1}^n p_{jl} \sigma_{jl}^2 - 1 \right), \\ \varepsilon_{j6} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k:k \neq j} \tilde{R}_{kk}^{(j,0)} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kk}, \\ \varepsilon_{j7} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [R]_{kk} - \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [R(z)]_{kk}. \end{aligned}$$

Put $\varepsilon_j = \sum_{v=1}^7 \varepsilon_{jv}$. Let

$$\zeta_j := \tilde{L}_{jj}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} \sum_{k \neq j} A_{jk} X_{jk}.$$

In these notations, we may write

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{R}^{(j)}]_{jj} = \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{\zeta_j - z - s_n(z) - \varepsilon_j}.$$

We continue as follows

$$\mathbb{E} \tilde{R}_{jj}^{(j)} = \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{\zeta_j - z - s_n(z)} + \mathbb{E} \frac{\varepsilon_j}{\zeta_j - z - s_n(z)} \tilde{R}_{jj}^{(j)}. \quad (22)$$

Summing the last equality in $j = 1, \dots, n$, we obtain

$$s_n(z) = \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{\zeta_{\mathbb{J}} - z - s_n(z)} + \mathbb{E} \frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbb{J}}}{\zeta_{\mathbb{J}} - z - s_n(z)} R_{\mathbb{J}\mathbb{J}}^{(\mathbb{J})} + \mathbb{E}(R_{\mathbb{J}\mathbb{J}} - \tilde{R}_{\mathbb{J}\mathbb{J}}^{(\mathbb{J})}), \quad (23)$$

where \mathbb{J} denotes a random variable which is uniform distributed on the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and independent on all other random variables. Denote by $F_n(x)$ the distribution function of $\zeta_{\mathbb{J}}$ and let

$$\Delta_n = \sup_x |F_n(x) - \Phi(x)|,$$

where $\Phi(x)$ denotes the distribution function of the standard normal law. Denote the Stieltjes transform of the standard normal law by $s_g(z)$,

$$s_g(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x - z} d\Phi(x).$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E} \frac{1}{\zeta_{\mathbb{J}} - z - \hat{s}_n(z)} - s_g(z + \hat{s}_n(z)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x - z - \hat{s}_n(z)} d(F_n(x) - \Phi(x)). \quad (24)$$

Integrating by part, we obtain

$$|\mathbb{E} \frac{1}{\zeta_{\mathbb{J}} - z - \hat{s}_n(z)} - s_g(z + \hat{s}_n(z))| \leq 2v^{-2} \Delta_n. \quad (25)$$

According to Lemma A3,

$$|\mathbb{E} \frac{1}{\zeta_{\mathbb{J}} - z - s_n(z)} - s_g(z + s_n(z))| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (26)$$

Note that

$$|\mathbb{E} \frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbb{J}}}{\zeta_{\mathbb{J}} - z - s_n(z)} R_{\mathbb{J}\mathbb{J}}| \leq v^{-2} \mathbb{E} |\varepsilon_{\mathbb{J}}|. \quad (27)$$

It remains to prove that $\mathbb{E} |\varepsilon_{\mathbb{J}}| \rightarrow 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(R_{\mathbb{J}\mathbb{J}} - \tilde{R}_{\mathbb{J}\mathbb{J}}^{(\mathbb{J})}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The last claim follows from Lemmas A6–A11, Lemma A2 and equality (20).

Thus, Theorem 1 is proved.

4. The Proof of Theorem 2

Similar to the previous section, we may write that diagonal entries of matrix \hat{L}

$$\hat{\zeta}_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} \sum_{k \neq j} (A_{jk} - p_{jk}). \quad (28)$$

Let $\hat{\mathbf{R}} = (\hat{\mathbf{L}} - z\mathbf{I})^{-1}$ denote the resolvent matrix of the matrix $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$. Let $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ be fixed. We denote by $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^{(j)}$ the matrix obtained from $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$ by replacing diagonal entries \hat{L}_{ll} , $l = 1, \dots, n$ with $\hat{L}_{ll}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} \sum_{r \neq j} (A_{lr} - p_{lr})$. Let $\hat{\mathbf{D}}^{(j)} = \hat{\mathbf{L}} - \hat{\mathbf{L}}^{(j)}$. By definition, $\hat{\mathbf{D}}^{(j)} = \text{diag}(\hat{d}_1^{(j)}, \dots, \hat{d}_n^{(j)})$ is a diagonal matrix with $\hat{d}_{ll}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} (A_{jl} - p_{jl})$, for $l = 1, \dots, n$. Note that diagonal entries of matrix $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^{(j)}$ (except $\hat{L}_{jj}^{(j)}$) do not depend on the r.v. values A_{jk} for $k = 1, \dots, n$. By $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^{(j,0)}$, we denote the matrix obtained from $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^{(j)}$ by deleting both the j -th column and j -th row. $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(j,0)}$ denotes the resolvent matrix

corresponding to the matrix $\widehat{\mathbf{L}}^{(j,0)}$. Analogously to (21), we represent the diagonal entries of resolvent matrix $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)} = (\widehat{\mathbf{L}}^{(j)} - z\mathbf{I})^{-1}$ in the form

$$\widehat{R}_{jj}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\widehat{L}_{jj}^{(j)} - z - \sum_{l,k:l \neq j, k \neq j} \widehat{R}_{kl}^{(j,0)} \widehat{L}_{jl} \widehat{L}_{jk}}. \quad (29)$$

Introduce the following notations

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\varepsilon}_{j1} &:= \sum_{l \neq k: l \neq j, k \neq j} [\widehat{R}^{(j,0)}]_{kl} \widehat{L}_{jl} \widehat{L}_{jk}, \quad \widehat{\varepsilon}_{j2} = \frac{1}{\widehat{a}_n} \sum_{k:k \neq j} [\widehat{R}^{(j,0)}]_{kk} ((A_{jk} - p_{jk})^2 - p_{jk}(1 - p_{jk})) \\ \widehat{\varepsilon}_{j3} &= \frac{1}{\widehat{a}_n} \sum_{k:k \neq j} \widehat{R}_{kk}^{(j,0)} (p_{jk}(1 - p_{jk}) - \frac{\widehat{a}_n}{n}), \\ \widehat{\varepsilon}_{j4} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k:k \neq j} \widehat{R}_{kk}^{(j,0)} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \widehat{R}_{kk}, \\ \widehat{\varepsilon}_{j5} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \widehat{R}_{kk} - \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \widehat{R}_{kk}. \end{aligned}$$

Put $\widehat{\varepsilon}_j = \sum_{v=1}^5 \widehat{\varepsilon}_{jv}$. Let

$$\widehat{\zeta}_j := \widehat{L}_{jj}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\widehat{a}_n}} \sum_{k \neq j} (A_{jk} - p_{jk}).$$

In these notations, we may write

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{R}^{(j)}]_{jj} = \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{\widehat{\zeta}_j - z - \widehat{s}_n(z) - \widehat{\varepsilon}_j},$$

where $\widehat{s}_n(z) = \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}$. We continue as follows

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{R}^{(j)}]_{jj} = \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{\widehat{\zeta}_j - z - \widehat{s}_n(z)} + \mathbb{E} \frac{\widehat{\varepsilon}_j}{\widehat{\zeta}_j - z - \widehat{s}_n(z)} \widehat{R}_{jj}^{(j)}(z). \quad (30)$$

Summing the last equality in $j = 1, \dots, n$, we obtain

$$\widehat{s}_n(z) = \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{\widehat{\zeta}_{\mathbb{J}} - z - \widehat{s}_n(z)} + \mathbb{E} \frac{\widehat{\varepsilon}_{\mathbb{J}}}{\widehat{\zeta}_{\mathbb{J}} - z - \widehat{s}_n(z)} \widehat{R}_{\mathbb{J}\mathbb{J}}^{(\mathbb{J})} + \mathbb{E}(\widehat{R}_{\mathbb{J}\mathbb{J}} - \widehat{R}_{\mathbb{J}\mathbb{J}}^{(\mathbb{J})}), \quad (31)$$

where \mathbb{J} denotes a random variable which is uniform distributed on the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and independent on all other random variables. Similar to inequality (25), we have

$$|\mathbb{E} \frac{1}{\widehat{\zeta}_j - z - \widehat{s}_n(z)} - s_g(z + \widehat{s}_n(z))| \leq \frac{1}{v^2} \widehat{\Delta}_n. \quad (32)$$

According to Lemma A12

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{\widehat{\zeta}_j - z - \widehat{s}_n(z)} - s_g(z + \widehat{s}_n(z)) \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (33)$$

Furthermore, since $\text{Im } z + \text{Im } s_n(z) \geq v$ and $|\widehat{R}_{\mathbb{J}\mathbb{J}}^{(\mathbb{J})}| \leq v^{-1}$, we have

$$|\mathbb{E} \frac{\widehat{\varepsilon}_{\mathbb{J}}}{\widehat{\zeta}_{\mathbb{J}} - z - \widehat{s}_n(z)} \widehat{R}_{\mathbb{J}\mathbb{J}}^{(\mathbb{J})}| \leq v^{-2} \mathbb{E} |\widehat{\varepsilon}_{\mathbb{J}}|. \quad (34)$$

By Lemmas A13–A17,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} |\widehat{\varepsilon}_{\mathbb{J}}| = 0. \quad (35)$$

Furthermore, we note that

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}} = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{(\mathbb{J})} - \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{(\mathbb{J})} \widehat{\mathbf{D}}^{(\mathbb{J})} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}. \quad (36)$$

This relation implies that

$$\|\mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{JJ} - \hat{R}_{JJ}^{(j)})\| \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \mathbb{E}\|\hat{R} - \hat{R}^{(j)}\| \leq v^{-2} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \mathbb{E}\|\hat{D}^{(j)}\|. \quad (37)$$

It is straightforward to check that

$$\mathbb{E}\|\hat{D}^{(j)}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} \mathbb{E} \max_{1 \leq l \leq n} |A_{jl} - p_{jl}| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (38)$$

Combining relations (33), (35), (38), we obtain

$$\varkappa_n(z) := s_n(z) - s_g(z + s_n(z)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (39)$$

The last relation and Lemma A1 completed the proof of Theorem 2. Thus, Theorem 2 is proved.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

Appendix A

Definition of Additive Free Convolution

We give the definition of the additive free convolution of distribution functions following the paper [16] (Section 5).

Definition A1. A pair (\mathcal{A}, φ) consisting of a unital algebra \mathcal{A} and a linear functional $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\varphi(1) = 1$ is called the free probability space. Elements of \mathcal{A} are called random variables, the numbers $\varphi(a_{i(1)} \cdots a_{i(n)})$ for such random variables $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{A}$ are called moments, and the collection of all moments is called the joint distribution of a_1, \dots, a_k . Equivalently, we may say that the joint distribution of a_1, \dots, a_k is given by the linear functional $\mu_{a_1, \dots, a_k} : \mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\mu_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(P(X_1, \dots, X_k)) = \varphi(P(a_1, \dots, a_k))$, where $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle$ denotes the algebra of all polynomials in k non-commutative indeterminates X_1, \dots, X_k .

If for a given element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a unique probability measure μ_a on \mathbb{R} such that $\int t^k d\mu_a(t) = \varphi(a^k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we identify the distribution of a with the probability measure μ_a .

Definition A2. Let (\mathcal{A}, φ) be a non-commutative probability space.

- (1) Let $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of unital sub-algebras of \mathcal{A} . The sub-algebras \mathcal{A}_i are called free independent if, for any positive integer k , $\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_k) = 0$ whenever the following set of conditions holds: $a_j \in \mathcal{A}_{i(j)}$ (with $i(j) \in I$) for $j = 1, \dots, k$, $\varphi(a_j) = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, k$ and neighboring elements are from taken different sub-algebras, i.e., $i(1) \neq i(2), i(2) \neq i(3), \dots, i(k-1) \neq i(k)$.
- (2) Let $(\mathcal{A}'_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of subset of \mathcal{A} . The subsets \mathcal{A}'_i are called free or freely independent if their generated initial sub-algebras are free, i.e., if $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ are free, where for each $i \in I$, \mathcal{A}_i is the smallest initial sub-algebra of \mathcal{A} which contains \mathcal{A}'_i .
- (3) Let $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of elements from \mathcal{A} . The elements a_i are called free independent if the subsets $(\{a_i\})_{i \in I}$ are free.

Consider two random variables a and b which are free. Then, distributions of $a + b$ (in the sense of linear functionals) depend only on the distribution of a and b .

Definition A3. For free random variables a and b , the distribution of $a + b$ is called the free additive convolution of μ_a and μ_b and is denoted by

$$\mu_{a \boxplus b} = \mu_a \boxplus \mu_b.$$

To compute the free convolution of concrete distributions, we may use the so-called R -transform introduced by Voiculescu [17]. Let $s(z)$ be the Stieltjes transform of some distribution function $F(x)$. Denote by $s^{-1}(z)$ the inverse function of $s(z)$ in the science of composition. Define R -transform as follows

$$R(z) = -s^{-1}(z) - \frac{1}{z}.$$

Let $F(x)$ be the semicircle distribution function. Its Stieltjes transform satisfies the equation

$$s^2(z) + zs(z) + 1 = 0$$

Denote by $R_{sc}(z)$ the R -transform of the semicircular law. Simple calculations show that

$$R_{sc}(z) = z.$$

We denote by $R_{fc}(z)$ the R -transform of the free convolution semicircular law and Gaussian law. Let R_g denote the R -transform of the standard normal law. Then

$$R_{fc}(z) = R_{sc}(z) + R_g(z).$$

See for instance, refs. [18,19]. Using the definition of the R -transform via the Stieltjes transform, we obtain

$$-s_{fc}^{-1}(z) = z - s_g^{-1}(z).$$

It is straightforward to show that this equality implies

$$s_{fc}(z) = s_g(z + s_{fc}(z)). \quad (\text{A1})$$

We prove the following simple but important lemma.

Lemma A1. *Let a sequence of Stieltjes transforms of the distribution functions $F_n(x)$ satisfy the equations*

$$s_n(z) = s_g(z + s_n(z)) + \varkappa_n(z), \quad (\text{A2})$$

where

$$\varkappa_n(z) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then, the distribution functions $F_n(x)$ weakly converge to the distribution function $F_{fc}(x)$, which is free convolution of the semicircular law and the standard normal law.

Proof. It is enough to prove that the Stieltjes transform $s_n(z)$ converges in some region with non-empty interior to the Stieltjes transform $s_{fc}(z)$, which satisfies equation (A1). We shall consider the region of $z = u + iv$ with $v > \sqrt{2}$. Since the derivative of $s_g(z)$ does not exceed the level $1/v^2$, we may write

$$|s_n(z) - s_m(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |s_n(z) - s_m(z)| + |\varkappa_n(z)| + |\varkappa_m(z)|.$$

or

$$|s_n(z) - s_m(z)| \leq 2|\varkappa_n(z)| + 2|\varkappa_m(z)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A3})$$

The sequence of the Stieltjes transforms $s_n(z)$ is Cauchy; consequently, there exists a limit say $s_{fc}(z)$ of this sequence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(z) = s_{fc}(z).$$

Taking the limit in the equation (A2), we obtain

$$s_{fc}(z) = s_g(z + s_{fc}(z)).$$

The last equality implies that $s_{fc}(z)$ is the Stieltjes transform of the semicircular law and the standard Gaussian law. Thus, Lemma is proved. \square

Appendix B. Weighted Graphs

Appendix B.1. Variance of Stieltjes Transform of Empirical Measure

In this section, we estimate the variance of $m_n(z) = \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R}$, where $\mathbf{R} := \mathbf{R}_L(z) = (\tilde{\mathbf{L}} - z\mathbf{I})^{-1}$. We prove the following Lemma.

Lemma A2. For any $z = u + iv$ with $v > 0$, the following inequality holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R} \right| = 0. \quad (\text{A4})$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is using the martingale representation of $\xi - \mathbb{E} \xi$. This method in Random Matrix Theory was firstly used by Girko, see for instance [20]. We introduce the sequence of σ -algebras \mathfrak{M}_k generated by random variables $X_{j,l}$ for $1 \leq j, l \leq k$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{M}_k \subset \mathfrak{M}_{k+1}$. Denote by \mathbb{E}_k the conditional expectation with respect to σ -algebra \mathfrak{M}_k . For $k = 0$, $\mathbb{E}_0 = \mathbb{E}$. Introduce random variables

$$\gamma_k := \mathbb{E}_k \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R} - \mathbb{E}_{k-1} \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R}. \quad (\text{A5})$$

The sequence of γ_k , for $k = 1, \dots, n$ is martingale difference and

$$\frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R} - \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R} = \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k.$$

Introduce the sub-matrices $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{(k)}$ obtained from $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}$ by deleting both the k -th row and k -th column. Denote by $\mathbf{R}^{(k)} = \mathbf{R}^{(k)}(z)$ the corresponding resolvent matrix, $\mathbf{R}^{(k)}(z) = (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{(k)} - z\mathbf{I})^{-1}$. Note that the matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{(k)}$ depends on the random variables X_{kl} , $l = 1, \dots, n$ via diagonal entries. To overcome this difficulty, we introduce the matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{(k,0)}$ obtained from $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{(k)}$ by replacing diagonal entries with $\hat{L}_{jj}^{(k)} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} \sum_{l: l \neq k, l \neq j} A_{jl} X_{jl}$. The corresponding resolvent matrix is denoted via $\mathbf{R}^{(k,0)}$. We have now

$$\mathbb{E}_k \text{Tr} \mathbf{R}^{(k,0)} = \mathbb{E}_{k-1} \mathbf{R}^{(k,0)}.$$

This allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k = & \mathbb{E}_k \left(\frac{1}{n} (\text{Tr} \mathbf{R} - \text{Tr} \mathbf{R}^{(k)}) \right) - \mathbb{E}_{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} (\text{Tr} \mathbf{R} - \text{Tr} \mathbf{R}^{(k)}) \right) \\ & + \mathbb{E}_k \left(\frac{1}{n} (\text{Tr} \mathbf{R}^{(k)} - \text{Tr} \mathbf{R}^{(k,0)}) \right) - \mathbb{E}_{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} (\text{Tr} \mathbf{R}^{(k)} - \text{Tr} \mathbf{R}^{(k,0)}) \right) =: \gamma_k^{(1)} + \gamma_k^{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the overlapping theorem, for $z = u + iv$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}}(z) - \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R}^{(k)}(z) \right| \leq \frac{1}{nv}. \quad (\text{A6})$$

From here, we immediately obtain

$$|\gamma_k^{(1)}| \leq \frac{2}{nv},$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} |\gamma_k|^2 \leq \frac{4}{nv^2}. \quad (\text{A7})$$

To complete the proof, it remains to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} |\gamma_k^{(2)}|^2 = 0. \quad (\text{A8})$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E} |\gamma_k^{(2)}|^2 \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R}^{(k)} - \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R}^{(k,0)} \right|^2. \quad (\text{A9})$$

Introduce the diagonal matrix $\mathbf{D}^{(k)}$ with diagonal entries

$$D_{ll}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} A_{kl} X_{kl}, \quad l \neq k.$$

In these notations, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R}^{(k)} - \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R}^{(k,0)} = \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R}^{(k)} \mathbf{D}^{(k,0)} \mathbf{R}^{(k,0)} = \frac{1}{n\sqrt{a_n}} \sum_{l \neq k, j \neq k} R_{lj}^{(k)} A_{kj} X_{kj} R_{jl}^{(k,0)}. \quad (\text{A10})$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} |\gamma_k^{(2)}|^2 \leq \frac{4}{n^2 a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j \neq k} A_{kj} X_{kj} \left(\sum_{l \neq k} R_{lj}^{(k)} R_{jl}^{(k,0)} \right) \right|^2. \quad (\text{A11})$$

We continue this inequality as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} |\gamma_k^{(2)}|^2 &\leq \frac{8}{n^2 a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j \neq k} A_{kj} X_{kj} \left(\sum_{l \neq k} R_{lj}^{(k)} R_{jl}^{(k,0)} \right) \mathbb{I}\{A_{kj} |X_{kj}| \leq \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{8}{n^2 a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j \neq k} A_{kj} X_{kj} \left(\sum_{l \neq k} R_{lj}^{(k)} R_{jl}^{(k,0)} \right) \mathbb{I}\{A_{kj} |X_{kj}| > \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A12})$$

Applying Cauchy's inequality to the second term in the right-hand side of the last inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{8}{n^2 a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j \neq k} A_{kj} X_{kj} \left(\sum_{l \neq k} R_{lj}^{(k)} R_{jl}^{(k,0)} \right) \mathbb{I}\{A_{kj} |X_{kj}| > \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{8}{n a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j \neq k} \mathbb{E} A_{jk}^2 X_{kj}^2 \left| \sum_{l \neq k} R_{lj}^{(k)} R_{jl}^{(k,0)} \right|^2 \mathbb{I}\{A_{kj} |X_{kj}| > \tau \sqrt{a_n}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A13})$$

It is straightforward to check that

$$\left| \sum_{l \neq k} R_{lj}^{(k)} R_{jl}^{(k,0)} \right|^2 \leq v^{-4}. \quad (\text{A14})$$

Using this bound, we obtain

$$\frac{8}{n^2 a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j \neq k} A_{kj} X_{kj} \left(\sum_{l \neq k} R_{lj}^{(k)} R_{jl}^{(k,0)} \right) \mathbb{I}\{A_{kj} |X_{kj}| > \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \right|^2 \leq 8v^{-4} L_n(\tau). \quad (\text{A15})$$

We estimate now the first term in the r.h.s. of (A12). Using that

$$\mathbf{R}^{(k)} = \mathbf{R}^{(k,0)} + \mathbf{R}^{(k,0)} \mathbf{D}^{(k)} \mathbf{R}^{(k)}, \quad (\text{A16})$$

we may write

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{8}{n^2 a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j \neq k} A_{kj} X_{kj} \left(\sum_{l \neq k} R_{lj}^{(k)} R_{jl}^{(k,0)} \right) \mathbb{I}\{A_{kj} |X_{kj}| \leq \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{8}{n^2 a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j \neq k} A_{kj} X_{kj} \left(\sum_{l \neq k} R_{lj}^{(k,0)} R_{jl}^{(k,0)} \right) \mathbb{I}\{A_{kj} |X_{kj}| \leq \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{8}{n^2 a_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j \neq k} A_{kj} X_{kj} \left(\sum_{l \neq k} \sum_{s=1}^n X_{ks} A_{ks} R_{ls}^{(k,0)} R_{sj}^{(k)} R_{jl}^{(k,0)} \right) \mathbb{I}\{A_{kj} |X_{kj}| \leq \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A17})$$

By the independence of random variables $A_{jk} X_{jk}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and matrix $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(k,0)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{8}{n^2 a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j \neq k} A_{kj} X_{kj} \left(\sum_{l \neq k} R_{lj}^{(k,0)} R_{jl}^{(k,0)} \right) \mathbb{I}\{A_{kj} |X_{kj}| \leq \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{8}{n^2 a_n v^4} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j \neq k} p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 + \frac{1}{n^2 a_n^2 \tau^2 v^4} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_{jk} \mathbb{E} X_{jk}^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| > \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{8}{n v^4} + \left(\frac{L_n(\tau)}{\tau v^2} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A18})$$

For the second term in the r.h.s. of (A17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{8}{n^2 a_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j \neq k} A_{kj} X_{kj} \left(\sum_{l \neq k} \sum_{s=1}^n X_{ks} A_{ks} R_{ls}^{(k,0)} R_{sj}^{(k)} R_{jl}^{(k,0)} \right) \mathbb{I}\{A_{kj} |X_{kj}| \leq \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{8}{n^2 a_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{s \neq k} A_{ks} X_{ks} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{kj} A_{kj} \sum_{l \neq k} R_{ls}^{(k,0)} R_{sj}^{(k)} R_{jl}^{(k,0)} \right) \mathbb{I}\{A_{kj} |X_{kj}| \leq \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \right|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{8}{n a_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \sum_{s \neq k} A_{ks} |X_{ks}|^2 \left| \sum_{j=1}^n X_{kj} A_{kj} \sum_{l \neq k} R_{ls}^{(k,0)} R_{sj}^{(k)} R_{jl}^{(k,0)} \mathbb{I}\{A_{kj} |X_{kj}| \leq \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \right|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{A19}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{r=1}^n |R_{rj}^{(k)}| \left| \sum_{l \neq k} \widehat{R}_{lr}^{(k)} \widehat{R}_{jl}^{(k)} \right| \leq \left(\sum_{r=1}^n |R_{jr}^{(k)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{r=1}^n |[R^{(k,0)}]_{jr}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq v^{-3}. \tag{A20}$$

Using this inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{8}{n^2 a_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j \neq k} A_{kj} X_{kj} \left(\sum_{l \neq k} \sum_{r=1}^n X_{kr} A_{kr} R_{lr}^{(k,0)} R_{rj}^{(k)} R_{jl}^{(k,0)} \right) \right|^2 \prod_{r=1}^n \mathbb{I}\{A_{kr} |X_{kr}| \leq \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \\
&\leq \frac{8\tau^2}{n a_n v^6} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j \neq k} p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 = \frac{8\tau^2}{v^6}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A21}$$

Combining inequalities (A7), (A12), (A20), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} |\text{Tr} \mathbf{R} - \mathbb{E} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R}|^2 \leq \frac{C}{n v^2} + \frac{C \tau^2}{v^6} + \frac{C L_n(\tau)}{v^4}. \tag{A22}$$

Passing to the limit first in $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then in $\tau \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{n} (\text{Tr} \mathbf{R} - \mathbb{E} \text{Tr} \mathbf{R}) \right|^2 = 0. \tag{A23}$$

Thus, lemma is proved. \square

In what follows, we shall assume that $z = u + iv$ is fixed.

Appendix B.2. Convergence of Diagonal Entries Distribution Functions of Laplace Matrices to the Normal Law

Lemma A3. Under conditions CP(0) and CX(0), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2}{a_n} = 0. \tag{A24}$$

Proof. We fix arbitrary $\tau > 0$. We may write

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2}{a_n} \leq \tau^2 + \frac{1}{n a_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \mathbb{E} |X_{jk}|^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| < \tau \sqrt{a_n}\}. \tag{A25}$$

By condition CX(0), we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2}{a_n} \leq \tau^2.$$

Because τ is arbitrary, we obtain the claim. \square

Lemma A4. Under conditions CP(0), CP(2) and CX(0), CX(1), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_x |F_n(x) - \Phi(x)| = 0 \tag{A26}$$

Proof. Let \mathbb{J} be an independent on A_{jk} and X_{jk} random variable uniform distributed on the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. We consider the characteristic function of $\zeta_{\mathbb{J}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} \sum_{k=1}^n A_{\mathbb{J},k} X_{\mathbb{J},k}$, $f_n(t) = \mathbb{E} \exp\{it\zeta_{\mathbb{J}}\} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \exp\{it\zeta_j\}$. Introduce the following set of indices

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \cap \mathcal{M}_2 \cap \mathcal{M}_3, \quad (\text{A27})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_1 &:= \left\{ j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \frac{1}{a_n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 - 1 \right| \leq \frac{1}{16} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{M}_2 &:= \left\{ j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \mathbb{E} X_{jk}^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| > \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \leq \frac{1}{16} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{M}_3 &:= \left\{ j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \frac{1}{a_n} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 \leq \frac{1}{16t^2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A28})$$

We denote by \mathcal{A}^c the complement set of \mathcal{A} and by $|\mathcal{A}|$, we denote the cardinality of set \mathcal{A} . Note that by condition CP(1)

$$\frac{|\mathcal{M}_1^c|}{n} \leq 16 \frac{1}{na_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n |p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 - \frac{a_n}{n}| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A29})$$

Analogously, by CX(1),

$$\frac{|\mathcal{M}_2^c|}{n} \leq 16L_n(\tau) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A30})$$

Finally, by Lemma A3

$$\frac{|\mathcal{M}_3^c|}{n} \leq 16t^2 \frac{1}{na_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A31})$$

Combining the last three relations, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{M}^c|}{n} = 0. \quad (\text{A32})$$

Note that by the independence of A_{jk} and X_{jk} ,

$$f_{nj}(t) := \mathbb{E} \exp\left\{\frac{it}{\sqrt{a_n}} \zeta_j\right\} = \prod_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \exp\left\{\frac{it}{\sqrt{a_n}} A_{jk} X_{jk}\right\} =: \prod_{k=1}^n f_{njk}(t).$$

Furthermore,

$$f_{njk}(t) = 1 + p_{jk} (\mathbb{E} \exp\left\{\frac{it}{\sqrt{a_n}} X_{jk}\right\} - 1), \quad (\text{A33})$$

and by condition CP(0)

$$|f_{njk}(t) - 1| \leq \frac{t^2}{2a_n} p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 \leq \frac{t^2}{2a_n} \max_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A34})$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\max_{1 \leq j, m, k \leq n} |f_{njk}(t) - 1| \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad (\text{A35})$$

and applying Taylor's formula, we write that

$$\ln f_{njk}(t) = p_{jk} \left(\mathbb{E} \exp\left\{\frac{it}{\sqrt{a_n}} X_{jk}\right\} - 1 \right) + 2\theta(t) p_{jk}^2 \left| \mathbb{E} \exp\left\{\frac{it}{\sqrt{a_n}} X_{jk}\right\} - 1 \right|^2, \quad (\text{A36})$$

where $\theta(t)$ denotes some function such that $|\theta(t)| \leq 1$. Furthermore, by Taylor's formula

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \exp\left\{\frac{it}{\sqrt{a_n}} X_{jk}\right\} - 1 &= -\frac{t^2}{2a_n} \sigma_{jk}^2 + \theta_1(t) \frac{|t|^3}{6a_n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \mathbb{E}|X_{jk}|^3 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| \leq \tau\sqrt{a_n}\} \\ &+ \theta_2(t) \left| \mathbb{E} \exp\left\{\frac{it}{\sqrt{a_n}} X_{jk}\right\} - 1 - \frac{it}{\sqrt{a_n}} X_{jk} + \frac{t^2}{2a_n} X_{jk}^2 \right| \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| > \tau\sqrt{a_n}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A37})$$

where $\theta_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2$ denotes some functions such that $|\theta_i(t)| \leq 1$. Using this equality, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \ln f_{nj}(t) &= -\frac{t^2}{2a_n} p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 + \theta_1(t) \frac{\tau|t|^3}{6a_n} p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 \\ &+ \theta_2(t) \frac{t^2}{a_n} p_{jk} \mathbb{E}|X_{jk}|^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| \geq \tau\sqrt{a_n}\} + \theta_3(t) \frac{t^4}{4a_n^2} p_{jk}^2 \sigma_{jk}^4. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A38})$$

Summing this equality by $k = 1 \dots, n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ln f_{nj}(t) &= -\frac{t^2}{2} \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 + \theta_i(t) \tau \frac{|t|^3}{6a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 \\ &+ \theta_2(t) \frac{t^2}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \mathbb{E}|X_{jk}|^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| \geq \tau\sqrt{a_n}\} \\ &+ \theta_3(t) \frac{t^4}{4} \frac{\max_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2}{a_n} \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A39})$$

For $\frac{8}{17|t|} > \tau > 0$, we have

$$|\ln f_{nj}(t) + \frac{t^2}{2}| \leq \frac{t^2}{3}. \quad (\text{A40})$$

This implies that for $j \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{nj}(t) - \exp\{-\frac{t^2}{2}\}| &\leq C \left(t^2 \left(\left| \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 - 1 \right| + \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \mathbb{E}|X_{jk}|^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| > \tau\sqrt{a_n}\} \right) \right. \\ &\left. + \tau|t|^3 + \frac{t^4 \max_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2}{a_n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A41})$$

From this inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(t) - \exp\{-\frac{t^2}{2}\}| &\leq \frac{2|\mathcal{M}^c|}{n} \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(t^2 \left(\left| \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 - 1 \right| + \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \mathbb{E}|X_{jk}|^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| > \tau\sqrt{a_n}\} \right) \right. \\ &\left. + \tau|t|^3 + \frac{t^4 \max_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2}{a_n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A42})$$

By conditions $CP(0)$ and $CX(0)$, relation (A32) and Lemma A3, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t) = \exp\{-\frac{t^2}{2}\}. \quad (\text{A43})$$

Thus, the lemma is proved. \square

Lemma A5. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|R_{jj} - \tilde{R}_{jj}^{(j)}| = 0. \quad (\text{A44})$$

Proof. By $\|\mathbf{V}\|$, we shall denote the operator norm of matrix \mathbf{V} . Matrices $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{(j)}$ are defined in the beginning of Section 3 before the relation (18). Note that

$$\|\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{(j)}\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}\| \leq v^{-2}\|\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{(j)}\|. \quad (\text{A45})$$

It is easy to check that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|R_{jj} - \tilde{R}_{jj}^{(j)}| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{R} - \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}\|. \quad (\text{A46})$$

Using that

$$\mathbf{R} = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)} - \mathbf{R}\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{(j)}\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}, \quad (\text{A47})$$

we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{R} - \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}\| \leq v^{-2}\|\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{(j)}\|. \quad (\text{A48})$$

Futhermore, for any $\tau > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\|\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{(j)}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} \mathbb{E} \max_{1 \leq l \leq n, l \neq j} \{|X_{jl}|A_{jl}\} \leq \tau + \frac{1}{\tau a_n} \sum_{l=1}^n p_{jl} \mathbb{E} X_{jl}^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jl}| > \tau \sqrt{a_n}\}. \quad (\text{A49})$$

Summing this inequality in $j = 1, \dots, n$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|R_{jj} - \tilde{R}_{jj}^{(j)}| \leq v^{-2}(\tau + \frac{1}{\tau} L_n(\tau)). \quad (\text{A50})$$

Since τ is arbitrary, this inequality and condition CX(0) together imply (A44). Thus, Lemma A5 is proved. \square

Appendix B.3. The Bounds of $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{jv}|$, for $v = 1, \dots, 7$

Lemma A6. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j1}| \leq \frac{\tau}{v} + \frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{\max_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2}{a_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} L_n(\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (\text{A51})$$

Proof. By definition of ε_{j1} , we may write

$$\varepsilon_{j1} := \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{l \neq k: l \neq j, k \neq j} [\tilde{R}^{(j,0)}]_{kl} A_{jk} A_{jl} X_{jk} X_{jl}. \quad (\text{A52})$$

Applying the Cauchy inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j1}| \leq \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j1}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (\text{A53})$$

Simple calculations show that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j1}| \leq \left(\frac{1}{na_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k \neq j} \sum_{l \neq j} \mathbb{E}|\tilde{R}_{kl}^{(j,0)}|^2 p_{jk} p_{jl} \sigma_{jk}^2 \sigma_{jl}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (\text{A54})$$

We introduce the following notations

$$\mathbf{W}_j = (|\tilde{R}_{kl}^{(j,0)}|^2)_{k,l=1}^n, \quad \mathbf{H}_j = (p_{j1} \sigma_{j1}^2, \dots, p_{jn} \sigma_{jn}^2)^T. \quad (\text{A55})$$

In these notations, we write

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j1}| \leq \left(\frac{1}{na_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{H}^{(j)T} \mathbf{W}^{(j)} \mathbf{H}^{(j)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Using that

$$\sum_{l=1}^n |\tilde{R}_{kl}^{(j,0)}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{v^2}, \quad (\text{A56})$$

we obtain that the spectral norm of matrix $\mathbf{W}^{(j)}$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|\mathbf{W}^{(j)}\| \leq \frac{1}{v^2}, \quad (\text{A57})$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{H}^{(j)T} \mathbf{W}^{(j)} \mathbf{H}^{(j)}\| \leq \|\mathbf{W}^{(j)}\| \|\mathbf{H}^{(j)}\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{v^2} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk}^2 \sigma_{jk}^4. \quad (\text{A58})$$

Using the last bound, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j1}| \leq \frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{na_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk}^2 \sigma_{jk}^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (\text{A59})$$

Furthermore, we apply the bound

$$\sigma_{jk}^2 \leq \tau^2 a_n + \mathbb{E} X_{jk}^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| > \tau \sqrt{a_n}\}. \quad (\text{A60})$$

We obtain

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j1}| \leq \frac{1}{v} \left(\tau^2 + \frac{1}{na_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk}^2 \sigma_{jk}^2 \mathbb{E}|X_{jk}|^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| > \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (\text{A61})$$

We continue as follows

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j1}| \leq \frac{\tau}{v} + \frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{\max_{1 \leq j,k \leq n} p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2}{a_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} L_n(\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus, Lemma is proved. \square

Lemma A7. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j2}| \leq \frac{1}{v} L_n(\tau) + \frac{\tau}{v}. \quad (\text{A62})$$

Proof. We recall the definition of ε_{j2} ,

$$\varepsilon_{j2} = \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k:k \neq j} [\tilde{R}^{(j,0)}]_{kk} (A_{jk} - p_{jk}) X_{jk}^2. \quad (\text{A63})$$

Using triangle inequality and Cauchy's inequality, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j2}| &\leq \frac{1}{na_n v} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \mathbb{E} X_{jk}^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| \geq \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{na_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k:k \neq j} [\tilde{R}^{(j,0)}]_{kk} (A_{jk} - p_{jk}) X_{jk}^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| \geq \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A64})$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{R}^{(j,0)}]_{kk} (A_{jk} - p_{jk}) X_{jk}^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| \geq \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} = 0$ and random variables A_{jk}, X_{jk} are independent for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and independent on $[\tilde{R}^{(j,0)}]_{kk}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j2}| &\leq \frac{1}{v} L_n(\tau) + \frac{\tau}{v} \left(\frac{1}{na_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k:k \neq j} p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{v} L_n(\tau) + \frac{\tau}{v} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A65})$$

Thus, the lemma is proved. \square

Lemma A8. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j3}| \leq \frac{3}{v} L_n(\tau) + \frac{\tau}{v}. \quad (\text{A66})$$

Proof. By definition of ε_{j3} , we have

$$\varepsilon_{j3} = \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k:k \neq j} [\tilde{R}^{(j,0)}(z)]_{kk} p_{jk} (X_{jk}^2 - \sigma_{jk}^2), \quad (\text{A67})$$

We may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j3}| &\leq \frac{1}{v} \frac{1}{na_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \mathbb{E}|X_{jk}^2 - \sigma_{jk}^2| \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| > \tau\sqrt{a_n}\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \tilde{R}_{kk}^{(j,0)} (X_{jk}^2 - \sigma_{jk}^2) \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| \leq \tau\sqrt{a_n}\} \right| \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A68})$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{na_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \mathbb{E}|X_{jk}^2 - \sigma_{jk}^2| \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| > \tau\sqrt{a_n}\} &\leq L_n(\tau) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{na_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 \mathbb{E} \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| > \tau\sqrt{a_n}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A69})$$

Using inequality (A60), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{na_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 \mathbb{E} \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| > \tau\sqrt{a_n}\} &\leq L_n(\tau) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{na_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \mathbb{E}|X_{jk}|^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| > \tau\sqrt{a_n}\} \mathbb{E} \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| > \tau\sqrt{a_n}\} \leq 2L_n(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

We estimate now the second term in the right-hand side of (A68). Applying triangle inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \tilde{R}_{kk}^{(j,0)} (X_{jk}^2 - \sigma_{jk}^2) \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| \leq \tau\sqrt{a_n}\} \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \mathbb{E} \tilde{R}_{kk}^{(j,0)} \mathbb{E}(X_{jk}^2 - \sigma_{jk}^2) \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| \leq \tau\sqrt{a_n}\} \right| \\ + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{R}_{kk}^{(j,0)} (X_{jk}^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| \leq \tau\sqrt{a_n}\} - \mathbb{E} X_{jk}^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| \leq \tau\sqrt{a_n}\}) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A70})$$

Simple calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{R}_{kk}^{(j,0)} (X_{jk}^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| \leq \tau\sqrt{a_n}\} - \mathbb{E} X_{jk}^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| \leq \tau\sqrt{a_n}\}) \right|^2 \\ \leq \frac{1}{v^2 na_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk}^2 \mathbb{E}|X_{jk}|^4 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| \leq \tau\sqrt{a_n}\} \\ \leq \frac{\tau^2}{v^2} \frac{1}{na_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 = \frac{\tau^2}{v^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A71})$$

Finally, we note that

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{jk}^2 - \sigma_{jk}^2) \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| \leq \tau\sqrt{a_n}\} = \mathbb{E}(X_{jk}^2 - \sigma_{jk}^2) \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| > \tau\sqrt{a_n}\}. \quad (\text{A72})$$

Combining inequalities (A68), (A70), (A71), we obtain the result of the lemma. Thus, the lemma is proved. \square

Lemma A9. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j4}| \leq \frac{1}{vna_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n |p_{jk}\sigma_{jk}^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n p_{jl}\sigma_{jl}^2|. \quad (\text{A73})$$

Proof. By definition of ε_{j4} , we have

$$\varepsilon_{j4} = \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k:k \neq j} \tilde{R}_{kk}^{(j,0)} (p_{jk}\sigma_{jk}^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n p_{jl}\sigma_{jl}^2). \quad (\text{A74})$$

Using that $|\tilde{R}_{kk}^{(j,0)}| \leq \frac{1}{v}$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j4}| \leq \frac{1}{vna_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n |p_{jk}\sigma_{jk}^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n p_{jl}\sigma_{jl}^2|. \quad (\text{A75})$$

\square

Lemma A10. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j5}| \leq \frac{1}{vn} \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{l=1}^n p_{jl}\sigma_{jl}^2 - 1 \right|. \quad (\text{A76})$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\varepsilon_{j5} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k:k \neq j} \tilde{R}_{kk}^{(j,0)} \left(\frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{l=1}^n p_{jl}\sigma_{jl}^2 - 1 \right). \quad (\text{A77})$$

Using that $|\tilde{R}_{kk}^{(j,0)}| \leq v^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j5}| \leq \frac{1}{vn} \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{l=1}^n p_{jl}\sigma_{jl}^2 - 1 \right|. \quad (\text{A78})$$

Thus, the lemma is proved. \square

Lemma A11. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j6}| \leq \frac{\tau}{v^2} + \frac{1}{nv^2\tau} L_n(\tau). \quad (\text{A79})$$

Proof. By definition of ε_{j6} , we have

$$\varepsilon_{j6} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k:k \neq j} [\tilde{R}^{(j,0)}]_{kk} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [R]_{kk}. \quad (\text{A80})$$

By the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j6}| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j,0)} - \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)} \right| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)} - \text{Tr} \mathbf{R} \right|. \quad (\text{A81})$$

By the overlapping theorem, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j,0)} - \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{nv}. \quad (\text{A82})$$

It remains to estimate the second term in the r.h.s. of (A81). Note that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)} - \mathbf{R} = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)} \mathbf{D}^{(j)} \mathbf{R}. \quad (\text{A83})$$

This equality implies that

$$\text{Tr}\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)} - \text{Tr}\mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kl} A_{jk} X_{jk} \tilde{R}_{lk}^{(j)}. \quad (\text{A84})$$

Summing this equality in j , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)} - \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}\mathbf{R} \right| \leq \frac{1}{n^2 \sqrt{a_n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kl} A_{jk} X_{jk} \tilde{R}_{lk}^{(j)} \right|. \quad (\text{A85})$$

Using that

$$\sum_{l=1}^n |R_{kl} \tilde{R}_{lk}^{(j)}| \leq \frac{1}{v^2}, \quad (\text{A86})$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)} - \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}\mathbf{R} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{v^2 n^2 \sqrt{a_n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \mathbb{E} |X_{jk}| \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| \leq \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n^2 v^2 a_n \tau} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} \mathbb{E} X_{jk}^2 \mathbb{I}\{|X_{jk}| > \tau \sqrt{a_n}\} \leq \frac{\tau}{v^2} + \frac{1}{n v^2 \tau} L_n(\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A87})$$

Thus, the lemma is proved. \square

Appendix C. Unweighed Graphs

Appendix C.1. Convergence of Diagonal Entries Distribution Functions of Laplace Matrices to the Normal Law

We denote by $\hat{F}_n(x)$ the distribution function of random variable $\hat{\zeta}_{\mathbb{J}}$ and

$$\hat{\Delta}_n := \sup_x |\hat{F}_n(x) - \Phi(x)|. \quad (\text{A88})$$

Lemma A12. *Under the conditions of Theorem 2, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_x |\hat{F}_n(x) - \Phi(x)| = 0. \quad (\text{A89})$$

Proof. We consider the characteristic function of $\hat{\zeta}_{\mathbb{J}}$, $\hat{f}_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \exp\{it\hat{\zeta}_j\}$. Introduce the following set of indices

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}} := \left\{ j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \frac{1}{\hat{a}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n |p_{jk}(1 - p_{jk}) - \frac{\hat{a}_n}{n}| \leq \frac{1}{16} \right\}. \quad (\text{A90})$$

We denote by \mathcal{A}^c a complement set of \mathcal{A} and by $|\mathcal{A}|$, we denote the cardinality of set \mathcal{A} . Note that, by condition CP(1),

$$\frac{|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^c|}{n} \leq 16 \frac{1}{n a_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n |p_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 - \frac{a_n}{n}| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A91})$$

Note that, by independence of A_{jk} ,

$$\hat{f}_{nj}(t) := \mathbb{E} \exp\left\{ \frac{it}{\sqrt{\hat{a}_n}} \hat{\zeta}_j \right\} = \prod_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \exp\left\{ \frac{it}{\sqrt{\hat{a}_n}} (A_{jk} - p_{jk}) \right\} =: \prod_{k=1}^n \hat{f}_{njk}(t)$$

Applying the Taylor formula, we may write

$$\hat{f}_{njk}(t) = 1 - \frac{t^2 p_{jk}(1 - p_{jk})}{2 \hat{a}_n} + \theta(t) \frac{|t|^3}{6 \hat{a}_n^{3/2}} p_{jk}(1 - p_{jk}), \quad (\text{A92})$$

where $\theta(t)$ denotes some function such that $|\theta(t)| \leq 1$.

Using this equality, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \hat{f}_{nj}(t) = & -\frac{t^2}{2\hat{a}_n} p_{jk}(1-p_{jk}) + \theta_1(t) \frac{\tau|t|^3}{6\hat{a}_n^{\frac{3}{2}}} p_{jk}(1-p_{jk}) \\ & + \theta_2(t) \frac{t^4 p_{jk}^2 (1-p_{jk})^2}{\hat{a}_n^2} + \theta_3(t) \frac{t^6 p_{jk}^2 (1-p_{jk})^2}{\hat{a}_n^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A93})$$

Summing this equality by $k = 1 \dots, n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \hat{f}_{nj}(t) = & -\frac{t^2}{2} - \frac{t^2}{2} \frac{1}{\hat{a}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n (p_{jk}(1-p_{jk}) - \frac{\hat{a}_n}{n}) + \theta_1(t) \frac{|t|^3}{6\hat{a}_n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk}(1-p_{jk}) \\ & + \theta_2(t) \frac{t^4}{\hat{a}_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk}^2 (1-p_{jk})^2 + \theta_3(t) \frac{t^6}{\hat{a}_n^3} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk}^2 (1-p_{jk})^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A94})$$

Note that for $j \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$,

$$\frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk}(1-p_{jk}) \leq \frac{17}{16}, \text{ for } j \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}, \quad (\text{A95})$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^c|}{n} = 0. \quad (\text{A96})$$

Similar to (A42), we may write

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{f}_n(t) - \exp\{-\frac{t^2}{2}\}| \leq & \frac{2|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^c|}{n} + \frac{t^2}{2} \frac{1}{n\hat{a}_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n |p_{jk}(1-p_{jk}) - \frac{\hat{a}_n}{n}| \\ & + \frac{C|t|^3}{\sqrt{\hat{a}_n}} + \frac{Ct^4}{\hat{a}_n} + \frac{C|t|^6}{\hat{a}_n^2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A97})$$

This inequality implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}_n(t) = \exp\{-\frac{t^2}{2}\}. \quad (\text{A98})$$

Thus, Lemma A12 is proved. \square

In what follows, we shall assume that $z = u + iv$ is fixed.

Appendix C.2. The Bounds of $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\hat{\varepsilon}_{jv}|$, for $v = 1, \dots, 5$

Lemma A13. Under conditions of Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\hat{\varepsilon}_{j1}| \leq \left(\frac{1}{4a_n v^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (\text{A99})$$

Proof. By definition of ε_{j1} we may write

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{j1} := \frac{1}{\hat{a}_n} \sum_{l \neq k: l \neq j, k \neq j} [\widehat{R}^{(j,0)}]_{kl} (A_{jk} - p_{jk})(A_{jl} - p_{jl}). \quad (\text{A100})$$

Applying the Cauchy inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j1}| \leq \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{j1}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (\text{A101})$$

Simple calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\widehat{\varepsilon}_{j1}| &\leq \left(\frac{1}{na_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k \neq j} \sum_{l \neq j} \mathbb{E}|\widehat{R}_{kl}^{(j,0)}|^2 p_{jk} p_{jl} (1-p_{jk})(1-p_{jl}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{4na_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k \neq j} \sum_{l \neq j} \mathbb{E}|\widehat{R}_{kl}^{(j,0)}|^2 p_{jk} (1-p_{jk}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{4na_n^2 v^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k \neq j} p_{jk} (1-p_{jk}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{4a_n v^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A102})$$

Thus, Lemma A13 is proved. \square

Lemma A14. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\widehat{\varepsilon}_{j2}| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\widehat{a}_n v}}. \quad (\text{A103})$$

Proof. We recall the definition of $\widehat{\varepsilon}_{j2}$,

$$\widehat{\varepsilon}_{j2} = \frac{1}{\widehat{a}_n} \sum_{k:k \neq j} [\widehat{R}^{(j,0)}]_{kk} ((A_{jk} - p_{jk})^2 - p_{jk}(1-p_{jk})). \quad (\text{A104})$$

Using the triangle inequality and the Cauchy inequality, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\widehat{\varepsilon}_{j2}| &\leq \left(\frac{1}{n\widehat{a}_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\widehat{R}_{kk}^{(j,0)}|^2 p_{jk} (1-p_{jk}) (1-2p_{jk})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\widehat{a}_n v^2} \frac{1}{n\widehat{a}_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{jk} (1-p_{jk}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\frac{1}{\widehat{a}_n v^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A105})$$

Thus, Lemma A14 is proved. \square

Lemma A15. Under conditions of Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\widehat{\varepsilon}_{j3}| \leq \frac{1}{v} \frac{1}{na_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n |p_{jk}(1-p_{jk}) - \frac{\widehat{a}_n}{n}|. \quad (\text{A106})$$

Proof. By definition of $\widehat{\varepsilon}_{j3}$, we have

$$\widehat{\varepsilon}_{j3} = \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k:k \neq j} [\widehat{R}^{(j,0)}]_{kk} (p_{jk}(1-p_{jk}) - \frac{\widehat{a}_n}{n}). \quad (\text{A107})$$

We may write

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\widehat{\varepsilon}_{j3}| \leq \frac{1}{v} \frac{1}{n\widehat{a}_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n |p_{jk}(1-p_{jk}) - \frac{\widehat{a}_n}{n}|. \quad (\text{A108})$$

Thus, Lemma A15 is proved. \square

Lemma A16. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\widehat{\varepsilon}_{j4}| \leq \frac{1}{v^2 \sqrt{\widehat{a}_n}}. \quad (\text{A109})$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{j4} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k:k \neq j} \hat{R}_{kk}^{(j,0)} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \hat{R}_{kk}. \quad (\text{A110})$$

Note that

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)} - \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(j,0)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{nv}. \quad (\text{A111})$$

Furthermore,

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}} - \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)} = \hat{\mathbf{R}} \hat{\mathbf{D}}^{(j)} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}. \quad (\text{A112})$$

Recall that $\|\mathbf{A}\|$ denotes the operator norm of matrix \mathbf{A} . The last equality and inequality $\max\{\|\hat{\mathbf{R}}\|, \|\hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}\|\} \leq v^{-1}$ implies that

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(\hat{\mathbf{R}} - \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}) \right| \leq \|\hat{\mathbf{R}} - \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}\| \leq \|\hat{\mathbf{R}}\| \|\hat{\mathbf{D}}^{(j)}\| \|\hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}\| \leq v^{-2} \|\hat{\mathbf{D}}^{(j)}\|. \quad (\text{A113})$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E} \|\hat{\mathbf{D}}^{(j)}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} \mathbb{E} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |A_{jk} - p_{jk}| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}}. \quad (\text{A114})$$

Combining the last two inequalities, we obtain the claim. Thus, Lemma A16 is proved. \square

Appendix C.3. Variance of $\frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}}$

In this section, we estimate the variance of $m_n(z) = \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}}$, where $\hat{\mathbf{R}} = \hat{\mathbf{R}}(z) = (\hat{\mathbf{L}} - z\mathbf{I})^{-1}$. We prove the following lemma.

Lemma A17. For any $v > 0$ and $z = u + iv$, the following inequality holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}} - \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}} \right| = 0. \quad (\text{A115})$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma A2. We introduce the sequence of σ -algebras \mathfrak{M}_k generated by random variables A_{jl} for $1 \leq j, l \leq k$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{M}_k \subset \mathfrak{M}_{k+1}$. Denote by \mathbb{E}_k the conditional expectation with respect to σ -algebra \mathfrak{M}_k . For $k = 0$, $\mathbb{E}_0 = \mathbb{E}$. Introduce random variables

$$\hat{\gamma}_k := \mathbb{E}_k \left(\frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}} \right) - \mathbb{E}_{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}} \right). \quad (\text{A116})$$

The sequence of $\hat{\gamma}_k$, for $k = 1, \dots, n$ is a martingale difference and

$$\frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}} - \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}} = \sum_{k=1}^n \hat{\gamma}_k.$$

Furthermore, introduce the sub-matrices $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^{(k)}$ obtained from $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$ by replacing the diagonal entries with $\hat{L}_{ll}^{(k)} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} \sum_{l:l \neq k, l \neq j} (A_{jl} - p_{jl})$. Denote by $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(k)}(z)$ the corresponding resolvent matrix, $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(k)}(z) = (\hat{\mathbf{L}}^{(k)} - z\mathbf{I}_{n-1})^{-1}$. We introduce the matrix $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^{(k,0)}$ obtained from $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^{(k)}$ by deleting both the k -th row and k -th column. The corresponding resolvent matrix we denote via $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(k,0)}$. We have now

$$\mathbb{E}_k \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(k,0)} = \mathbb{E}_{k-1} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(k,0)}.$$

This allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma}_k &= \mathbb{E}_k \left(\frac{1}{n} (\text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}} - \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(k)}) \right) - \mathbb{E}_{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} (\text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}} - \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(k)}) \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}_k \left(\frac{1}{n} (\text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(k)} - \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(k,0)}) \right) - \mathbb{E}_{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} (\text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(k)} - \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(k,0)}) \right) =: \hat{\gamma}_k^{(1)} + \hat{\gamma}_k^{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the overlapping theorem

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(k)} - \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(k,0)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{nv}. \quad (\text{A117})$$

From here, we immediately obtain

$$|\hat{\gamma}_k^{(2)}| \leq \frac{2}{nv},$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} |\hat{\gamma}_k^{(2)}|^2 \leq \frac{4}{nv^2}. \quad (\text{A118})$$

To complete the proof, it remains to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} |\hat{\gamma}_k^{(1)}|^2 = 0. \quad (\text{A119})$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E} |\hat{\gamma}_k^{(1)}|^2 \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}} - \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(k)} \right|^2. \quad (\text{A120})$$

Introduce the diagonal matrix $\hat{\mathbf{D}}^{(k)}$ with diagonal entries

$$\hat{D}_{ll}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} (A_{kl} - p_{kl}), \quad l \neq k.$$

In these notations, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}} - \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} \hat{\mathbf{R}} \hat{\mathbf{D}}^{(k)} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{n\sqrt{a_n}} \sum_{l \neq k, j \neq k} \hat{R}_{lj}^{(k)} (A_{kl} - p_{kl}) \hat{R}_{jl}^{(k)}. \quad (\text{A121})$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} |\hat{\gamma}_k^{(1)}|^2 \leq \frac{4}{n^2 \hat{a}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j \neq k} (A_{kj} - p_{kj}) \left(\sum_{l \neq k} \hat{R}_{lj}^{(k)} \hat{R}_{jl}^{(k)} \right) \right|^2. \quad (\text{A122})$$

We continue this inequality as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} |\hat{\gamma}_k^{(1)}|^2 &\leq \frac{8}{n^2 \hat{a}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j \neq k} (A_{kj} - p_{kj}) \left(\sum_{l \neq k} \hat{R}_{lj}^{(k)} \hat{R}_{jl}^{(k)} \right) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{8}{n^2 v^4 \hat{a}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j \neq k} p_{jk} (1 - p_{jk}) \leq \frac{8}{nv^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A123})$$

Inequalities (A118) and (A123) completed the proof. Thus, Lemma A17 is proved. \square

References

- Bordenave, C.; Caputo, P.; Chafai, D. Spectrum of Markov Generator on Sparse Random Graphs. *Pure Appl. Math.* **2015**, *67*, 621–669. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Bordenave, C.; Lelarge, M.; Massoulié, L. Nonbacktracking spectrum of random graphs. *Ann. Probab.* **2018**, *46*, 1–71. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Dimitriu, I.; Soumik, P. Sparse regular random graphs: Spectral density and eigenvectors. *Ann. Probab.* **2012**, *40*, 2197–2235. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ding, X.; Jiang, T. Spectral Distribution of Adjacency Matrix and Laplace Matrices of Random Graphs. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **2010**, *20*, 2086–2117. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Tran, L.V.; Vu, V.H.; Wang, K. Sparse random graphs: Eigenvalues and eigenvectors. *Random Struct. Algorithms* **2013**, *42*, 110–134. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Brito, G.; Dimitriu, I.; Harris, K.D. Spectral gap in random bipartite biregular graphs and applications. *Comb. Probab. Comput.* **2022**, *31*, 229–267. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Fernando, L.M.; Jefferson, D.S. Spectral density of dense networks and the breakdown of the Wigner semicircle law. *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2020**, *2*, 043116.
- Liang, S.; Obata, N.; Takanashi, S. Asymptotic spectral analysis of general Erdős–Rényi random graphs. Noncommutative harmonic analysis with applications to probability. *Banach Cent. Publ. Inst. Math. Acad. Sci.* **2007**, *78*, 211–229.
- Erdős, L.; Knowles, A.; Yau, H.-T.; Yin, J. Spectral statistics of Erdős–Rényi graphs I: Local semicircle law. *Ann. Probab.* **2013**, *41*, 2279–2375. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Erdős, L.; Knowles, A.; Yau, H.-T.; Yin, J. Spectral statistics of Erdős–Rényi Graphs II: Eigenvalue spacing and the extreme eigenvalues. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **2012**, *314*, 587–640. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Włodzimer, B.; Amir, D.; Jiang, T. Spectral Measure of Large Random Hankel, Markov and Toeplitz Matrices. *Ann. Probab.* **2006**, *34*, 1–38.
- Anirban, C.; Rajat, S.H. Spectral Properties for the Laplace of a Generalized Wigner Matrices. *arXiv* **2021**, arXiv:2011.07912v2.
- Philippe, B. On the Free Convolution with a Semi-circular Distribution. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **1997**, *46*, 705–718.
- Zhu, Y. A Graphon Approach to Limiting Spectral Distribution of Wigner-Type Matrices. *Random Struct. Algorithms* **2020**, *56*, 251–279. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

15. Tikhomirov, A.N. On the Wigner law for Generalized Erdős–Renyi Random Graphs. *Sib. Adv. Math.* **2021**, *31*, 229–236. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Götze, F.; Koesters, H.; Tikhomirov, A. Asymptotic Spectra of Matrix-Valued Functions of Independent Random Matrices and Free Probability. *Random Matrices Theory Appl.* **2014**, *4*, 1–85. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Voiculescu, D. Symmetries of some reduced free product C*-algebras. In *Operator Algebras and Their Connections with Topology and Ergodic Theory. Lecture Notes in Mathematics*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1985; Volume 1132, pp. 556–588.
18. Bercovici, H.; Voiculescu, D. \mathbb{R} ee convolution of measures with unbounded support. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **1993**, *42*, 733–773. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Voiculescu, D. Lectures on free probability theory. In *Lectures on Probability Theory and Statistics. Lecture Notes in Mathematics*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2000; Volume 1738, pp. 279–349.
20. Girko, V.L. Spectral theory of random matrices. *Russ. Math. Surv.* **1985**, *40*, 77–120. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.