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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider $(s-q)$-graphic contraction mapping in $b$-metric like spaces. By using our new approach for the proof that a Picard sequence is Cauchy in the context of $b$-metric-like space, our results generalize, improve and complement several approaches in the existing literature. Moreover, some examples are presented here to illustrate the usability of the obtained theoretical results.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

First, we present some definitions and basic notions of partial-metric, metric-like, $b$-metric, partial $b$-metric and $b$-metric-like spaces as the generalizations of standard metric spaces. After that, we give a process diagram, where arrows stand for generalization relationships.

Definition 1. [1] Let $X$ be a nonempty set. A mapping $p_{p m}: X \times X \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is said to be a p-metric if the following conditions hold for all $x, y, z \in X$ :
$\left(p_{p m} 1\right) \quad x=y$ if and only if $p_{p m}(x, x)=p_{p m}(x, y)=p_{p m}(y, y) ;$
$\left(p_{p m} 2\right) \quad p_{p m}(x, x) \leq p_{p m}(x, y)$;
$\left(p_{p m} 3\right) \quad p_{p m}(x, y)=p_{p m}(y, x)$;
$\left(p_{p m} 4\right) \quad p_{p m}(x, y) \leq p_{p m}(x, z)+p_{p m}(z, y)-p_{p m}(z, z)$.
Then, the pair $\left(X, p_{p m}\right)$ is called a partial metric space.
Definition 2. [2] Let $X$ be a nonempty set. A mapping $b_{m l}: X \times X \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is said to be metric-like if the following conditions hold for all $x, y, z \in X$ :
$\left(b_{l} 1\right) \quad b_{m l}(x, y)=0$ implies $x=y$;
$\left(b_{l} 2\right) \quad b_{m l}(x, y)=b_{m l}(y, x)$;
$\left(b_{l} 3\right) \quad b_{m l}(x, z) \leq b_{m l}(x, y)+b_{m l}(y, z)$.
In this case, the pair $\left(X, b_{m l}\right)$ is called a metric-like space.
Definition 3. [3,4] Let $X$ be a nonempty set and $s \geq 1$ a given real number. A mapping $b: X \times X \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is called a b-metric on the set $X$ if the following conditions hold for all $x, y, z \in X$ :
(b1) $b(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y$;
(b2) $b(x, y)=b(y, x)$;
(b3) $b(x, z) \leq s[b(x, y)+b(y, z)]$.
In this case, the pair $(X, b)$ is called a b-metric space (with coefficient $s \geq 1$ ).
Definition 4. [5,6] Let $X$ be a nonempty set and $s \geq 1$. A mapping $b_{p b}: X \times X \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is called a partial $b$-metric on the set $X$ if the following conditions hold for all $x, y, z \in X$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(b_{p b} 1\right) & x=y \text { if and only if } p_{p b}(x, x)=p_{p b}(x, y)=p_{p b}(y, y) \\
\left(b_{p b} 2\right) & b_{p b}(x, x) \leq b_{p b}(x, y) \\
\left(b_{p b} 3\right) & b_{p b}(x, y)=b_{p b}(y, x) \\
\left(b_{p b} 4\right) & b_{p b}(x, y) \leq s\left[b_{p b}(x, z)+b_{p b}(z, y)\right]-b_{p b}(z, z)
\end{array}
$$

Then, the pair $\left(X, b_{p b}\right)$ is called a partial $b$-metric space.
Definition 5. [7] Let $X$ be a nonempty set and $s \geq 1$. A mapping $b_{b l}: X \times X \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is called $b$-metric-like on the set $X$ if the following conditions hold for all $x, y, z \in X$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(b_{b l} 1\right) & b_{b l}(x, y)=0 \text { implies } x=y \\
\left(b_{b l} 2\right) & b_{b l}(x, y)=b_{b l}(y, x) \\
\left(b_{b l} 3\right) & b_{b l}(x, z) \leq s\left[b_{b l}(x, y)+b_{b l}(y, z)\right]
\end{array}
$$

In this case, the pair $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$ is called a $b$-metric-like space with coefficient $s \geq 1$.
Now, we give the process diagram of the classes of generalized metric spaces that were introduced earlier:

| Metric space | $\rightarrow$ | Partial metric space | $\rightarrow$ | Metric-like space |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\downarrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| b-Metric space | $\rightarrow$ | Partial b-metric space | $\rightarrow$ | b-Metric-like space |

For more details on other generalized metric spaces see [8-14].
The next proposition helps us to construct some more examples of $b$-metric (respectively partial $b$-metric, $b$-metric-like) spaces.

Proposition 1. Let $(X, d)$ (resp. $\left.\left(X, p_{p m}\right),\left(X, b_{m l}\right)\right)$ be a metric (resp. partial metric, metric-like) space and $D(x, y)=(d(x, y))^{k}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.P_{p m}(x, y)=\left(p_{p m}(x, y)\right)^{k}, B_{m l}(x, y)=\left(b_{m l}(x, y)\right)^{k}\right)$, where $k>1$ is a real number. Then $D$ (resp. $P_{p m}, B_{p m}$ ) is b-metric (resp. partial b-metric, b-metric-like) with coefficient $s=2^{k-1}$.

Proof. The proof follows from the fact that

$$
u^{k}+v^{k} \leq(u+v)^{k} \leq(a+b)^{k} \leq 2^{k-1}\left(a^{k}+b^{k}\right)
$$

for all nonnegative real numbers $a, b, u, v$ with $u+v \leq a+b$.
It is clear that each metric-like space, i.e., each partial $b$-metric space, is a $b$-metric-like space, while the converse is not true. For more such examples and details see [1,2,5-7,15-27]. Moreover, for various metrics in the context of the complex domain see [28,29].

The definitions of convergent and Cauchy sequences are formally the same in partial metric, metric-like, partial $b$-metric and $b$-metric like spaces. Therefore, we give only the definition of convergence and Cauchyness of the sequences in $b$-metric-like space. Moreover, these two notions are formally the same in metric and $b$-metric spaces.

Definition 6. [7] Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in a b-metric-like space $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$ with coefficient s.
(i) The sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is said to be convergent to $x$ if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{b l}\left(x_{n}, x\right)=b_{b l}(x, x)$;
(ii) The sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is said to be $b_{b l}$-Cauchy in $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$ if $\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} b_{b l}\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)$ exists and is finite;
(iii) One says that a $b$-metric-like space $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$ is $b_{b l}$-complete if for every $b_{b l}$-Cauchy sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ there exists an $x \in X$, such that $\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} b_{b l}\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)=b_{b l}(x, x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{b l}\left(x_{n}, x\right)$.

Remark 1. In a b-metric-like space the limit of a sequence need not be unique and a convergent sequence need not be a $b_{b l}$-Cauchy sequence (see Example 7 in [18]). However, if the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is $b_{b l}$-Cauchy with $\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} b_{b l}\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)=0$ in the $b_{b l}$-complete $b$-metric-like space $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$ with coefficient $s \geq 1$, then the limit of such a sequence is unique. Indeed, in such a case if $x_{n} \rightarrow x\left(b_{b l}\left(x_{n}, x\right) \rightarrow b_{b l}(x, x)\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get that $b_{b l}(x, x)=0$. Now, if $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ and $x_{n} \rightarrow y$ where $x \neq y$, we obtain that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{s} b_{b l}(x, y) \leq b_{b l}\left(x, x_{n}\right)+b_{b l}\left(x_{n}, y\right) \rightarrow b_{b l}(x, x)+b_{b l}(y, y)=0+0=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\left(b_{b l} 1\right)$ it follows that $x=y$, which is a contradiction. The same is true as well for partial metric, metric like and partial b-metric spaces.

The next definition and the corresponding proposition are important in the context of fixed point theory.

Definition 7. [30] The self-mappings $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ are weakly compatible if $f(g(x))=g(f(x))$, whenever $f(x)=g(x)$.

Proposition 2. [30] Let $T$ and $S$ be weakly compatible self-maps of a nonempty set $X$. If they have a unique point of coincidence $w=f(u)=g(u)$, then $w$ is the unique common fixed point of $f$ and $g$.

In this paper we shall use the following result to prove that certain Picard sequences are Cauchy. The proof is completely identical with the corresponding in [31] (see also [25]).

Lemma 1. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in a b-metric-like space $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$ with coefficient $s \geq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{b l}\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \lambda b_{b l}\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\lambda, 0 \leq \lambda<\frac{1}{s}$, and each $n=1,2, \ldots$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a $b_{b l}$-Cauchy sequence in $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$ such that $\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} b_{b l}\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)=0$.

Remark 2. It is worth noting that the previous lemma holds in the context of b-metric-like spaces for each $\lambda \in[0,1)$. For more details see $[6,32]$.

## 2. Main Results

In line with Jachymski [33], let $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$ be a $b$-metric-like space and $\mathcal{D}$ denote the diagonal of the Cartesian product $X \times X$. Consider a directed graph $G$ such that the set $V(G)$ of its vertices coincides with $X$, and the set $E(G)$ of its edges contains all loops, i.e., $E(G) \supseteq \mathcal{D}$. We also assume that $G$ has
no parallel edges, so we can identify $G$ with the pair $(V(G), E(G))$. Moreover, we may treat $G$ as a weighted graph by assigning the distance between its vertices to each edge (see [33]).

By $G^{-1}$ we denote the conversion of a graph $G$, i.e., the graph obtained from $G$ by reversing the direction of edges. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(G^{-1}\right)=\{(x, y) \in X \times X:(y, x) \in E(G)\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The letter $\widetilde{G}$ denotes the undirected graph obtained from $G$ by ignoring the direction of edges. Actually, it will be more convenient for us to treat $\widetilde{G}$ as a directed graph for which the set of its edges is symmetric under the convention

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\widetilde{G})=E(G) \cup E\left(G^{-1}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x$ and $y$ are vertices in a graph $G$, then a path in $G$ from $x$ to $y$ of length $N(N \in \mathbb{N})$ is a sequence $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ of $N+1$ vertices such that $x_{0}=x, x_{N}=y$ and $\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right) \in E(G)$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$. A graph $G$ is connected if there is a path between any two vertices. $G$ is weakly connected if $\widetilde{G}$ is connected.

Recently, some results have appeared providing sufficient conditions for a self mapping of $X$ to be a Picard operator when $(X, d)$ is endowed with a graph. The first result in this direction was given by Jachymski [33]. Moreover, see [34-36].

Definition 8. [33] We say that a mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ is a Banach $G$-contraction or simply a G-contraction if $f$ preserves edges of $G$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for all } x, y \in X:(x, y) \in E(G) \text { implies }(f(x), f(y)) \in E(G) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f$ decreases the weights of edges of $G$ as for all $x, y \in X$, there exists $\lambda \in(0,1)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y) \in E(G) \text { implies } d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \lambda d(x, y) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 9. [37] A mapping $g: X \rightarrow X$ is called orbitally continuous, if given $x \in X$ and any sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$ of positive integers,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{k_{n}}(x) \rightarrow y \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \text { implies } g\left(g^{k_{n}}(x)\right) \rightarrow g(y) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 10. [33] A mapping $g: X \rightarrow X$ is called $G$-continuous, if for any given $x \in X$ and any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ with the properties that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the pair $\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \in E(G)$ and that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ it follows that $g\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow g(x)$.

Definition 11. [33] A mapping $g: X \rightarrow X$ is called orbitally $G$-continuous, if given $x, y \in X$ and any sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$ of positive integers for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{k_{n}} x \rightarrow y \text { and }\left(g^{k_{n}}(x), g^{k_{n}+1}(x)\right) \in E(G) \text { implies } g\left(g^{k_{n}}(x)\right) \rightarrow g(y) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this section, we consider self-mappings $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ with $f(X) \subset g(X)$. Let $x_{0} \in X$ be an arbitrary point, then there exists $x_{1} \in X$ such that $z_{0}=f\left(x_{0}\right)=g\left(x_{1}\right)$. By repeating this step we can build a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ such that $z_{n}=f\left(x_{n}\right)=g\left(x_{n+1}\right)$ and the following property:

The property $G_{f, g\left(x_{n}\right)}$. If $\left\{g\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $X$ such that $\left(g\left(x_{n}\right), g\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right) \in E(G)$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $g\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow x$, then there is a subsequence $\left\{g\left(x_{n_{i}}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\left\{g\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\left(g\left(x_{n_{i}}\right), x\right) \in E(G)$ for all $i \geq 1$. Note that the property $G_{f, g\left(x_{n}\right)}$ depends only on the pair of mappings $f$ and $g$, and does not depend on the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. Here, we use notation $G_{g f}$ in the following
sense: $x \in X$ belongs to $G_{g f}$ if and only if there exists a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $X$ such that $x_{0}=x$, $f\left(x_{n-1}\right)=g\left(x_{n}\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\left(g\left(x_{n}\right), g\left(x_{m}\right)\right) \in E(G)$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, we present the first result of this section.
Theorem 1. (Hardy-Rogers) Let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be self-mappings defined on a b-metric-like space $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$ (with coefficient $s \geq 1$ ) endowed with a graph $G$, and which satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
s^{q} b_{b l}(f(x), f(y)) \leq & c_{1} b_{b l}(g(x), g(y))+c_{2} b_{b l}(g(x), f(x))+c_{3} b_{b l}(g(y), f(y)) \\
& +c_{4} b_{b l}(g(x), f(y))+c_{5} b_{b l}(g(y), f(x)), \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ where $q \geq 2, c_{i} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, 5$ and either

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}+2 c_{4}+2 c_{5}<\frac{1}{s} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}+2 c_{2}+2 c_{3}+c_{4}+c_{5}<\frac{1}{s} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $f(X) \subset g(X)$ and at least one of $f(X), g(X)$ is $b_{b l}$-complete subspace of $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$. Then:
(i) If the pair $(f, g)$ has property $G_{f, g\left(x_{n}\right)}$ and $G_{g f} \neq \varnothing$, then $f$ and $g$ have a point of coincidence in $X$.
(ii) If $x$ and $y$ in $X$ are points of coincidence of $f$ and $g$ such that $(x, y) \in E(G)$, then $x=y$. Hence, points of coincidence of $f$ and $g$ are unique in X. Moreover, if the pair $(f, g)$ is weakly compatible, then $f$ and $g$ have a unique common fixed point in $X$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $G_{g f} \neq \varnothing$, there exists $x_{0} \in G_{g f}$. Since $f(X) \subset g(X)$, there exists $x_{1} \in X$ such that $f\left(x_{0}\right)=g\left(x_{1}\right)$, again we can find $x_{2} \in X$ such that $f\left(x_{1}\right)=g\left(x_{2}\right)$. Repeating this step, we can build a sequence $z_{n}=f\left(x_{n}\right)=g\left(x_{n+1}\right)$ such that $\left(z_{n}, z_{m}\right) \in E(G)$. If $z_{k}=z_{k+1}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f\left(x_{k+1}\right)=g\left(x_{k+1}\right)$ is a point of coincidence of $f$ and $g$. Therefore, let $z_{n} \neq z_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Condition (9), we can get that

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{b l}\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right) \leq & s^{q} b_{b l}\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)=s^{q} b_{b l}\left(f\left(x_{n}\right), f\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right) \\
\leq & c_{1} b_{b l}\left(g\left(x_{n}\right), g\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right)+c_{2} b_{b l}\left(g\left(x_{n}\right), f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+c_{3} b_{b l}\left(g\left(x_{n+1}\right), f\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right) \\
& +c_{4} b_{b l}\left(g\left(x_{n}\right), f\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right)+c_{5} b_{b l}\left(g\left(x_{n+1}\right), f\left(x_{n}\right)\right) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $z_{n}=f\left(x_{n}\right)=g\left(x_{n+1}\right)$ then Condition (12) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{b l}\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right) \leq & c_{1} b_{b l}\left(z_{n-1}, z_{n}\right)+c_{2} b_{b l}\left(z_{n-1}, z_{n}\right)+c_{3} b_{b l}\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right) \\
& +c_{4} b_{b l}\left(z_{n-1}, z_{n+1}\right)+c_{5} b_{b l}\left(z_{n}, z_{n}\right) \\
\leq & c_{1} b_{b l}\left(z_{n-1}, z_{n}\right)+c_{2} b_{b l}\left(z_{n-1}, z_{n}\right)+c_{3} b_{b l}\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)+s c_{4} b_{b l}\left(z_{n-1}, z_{n}\right) \\
& +s c_{4} b_{b l}\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)+2 s c_{5} b_{b l}\left(z_{n-1}, z_{n}\right) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

or equivalently:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{b l}\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right) \leq \lambda b_{b l}\left(z_{n-1}, z_{n}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=\frac{c_{1}+c_{2}+s c_{4}+2 s c_{5}}{1-c_{3}-s c_{4}}$. Since, $c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}+s c_{4}+2 s c_{5} \leq s c_{1}+s c_{2}+s c_{3}+2 s c_{4}+2 s c_{5}<1$, it follows that $\lambda<1$. Therefore, by Remark 2 of Lemma 1, the sequence $z_{n}=f\left(x_{n}\right)=g\left(x_{n+1}\right)$ is a $b_{b l}$-Cauchy sequence. The $b_{b l}$-completeness of $f(X)$ leads to $u \in f(X) \subset g(X)$ such that $z_{n} \rightarrow u=g(v)$ for some $v \in X$. As $z_{0} \in G_{g f}$, this implies that $\left(z_{n}, z_{m}\right) \in E(G)$ for $n, m=1,2, \ldots$ and so $\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right) \in E(G)$.

By property $G_{f, g\left(x_{n}\right)}$, there is a subsequence $\left\{z_{n_{i}}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\left(z_{n_{i}}, u\right) \in E(G)$. Applying $\left(b_{b l} 3\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{b l}(f(v), g(v)) \leq & s b_{b l}\left(f(v), f\left(x_{n_{i}}\right)\right)+s b_{b l}\left(f\left(x_{n_{i}}\right), g(v)\right) \\
\leq & s^{q} b_{b l}\left(f(v), f\left(x_{n_{i}}\right)\right)+s b_{b l}\left(f\left(x_{n_{i}}\right), g(v)\right) \\
\leq & c_{1} b_{b l}\left(g(v), g\left(x_{n_{i}}\right)\right)+c_{2} b_{b l}(g(v), f(v))+c_{3} b_{b l}\left(g\left(x_{n_{i}}\right), f\left(x_{n_{i}}\right)\right) \\
\leq & +c_{4} b_{b l}\left(g(v), f\left(x_{n_{i}}\right)\right)+c_{5} b_{b l}\left(g\left(x_{n_{i}}\right), f(v)\right)+s b_{b l}\left(f\left(x_{n_{i}}, g(v)\right)\right) \\
= & c_{1} b_{b l}\left(g(v), z_{n_{i}-1}\right)+c_{2} b_{b l}(g(v), f(v))+c_{3} b_{b l}\left(z_{n_{i}-1}, z_{n_{i}}\right) \\
& +c_{4} b_{b l}\left(g(v), z_{n_{i}}\right)+c_{5} b_{b l}\left(z_{n_{i}-1}, f(v)\right)+s b_{b l}\left(z_{n_{i}}, g(v)\right) . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $b_{b l}\left(z_{n_{i}-1}, f(v)\right) \leq s b_{b l}\left(z_{n_{i}-1}, g(v)\right)+s b_{b l}(g(v), f(v))$, Condition (15) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-c_{2}-c_{5} s\right) b_{b l}(f(v), g(v)) \\
\leq & c_{1} b_{b l}\left(g(v), z_{n_{i}-1}\right)+c_{3} b_{b l}\left(z_{n_{i}-1}, z_{n_{i}}\right)+c_{4} b_{b l}\left(g(v), z_{n_{i}}\right) \\
& +c_{5} s b_{b l}\left(z_{n_{i}-1}, g(v)\right)+s b_{b l}\left(z_{n_{i}}, g(v)\right) . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the limit in Condition (16) as $i \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain that $b_{b l}(f(v), g(v))=0$, because $c_{2}+c_{5} s \leq$ $c_{1} s+c_{2} s+c_{3} s+2 c_{4} s+2 c_{5} s<1$. That is, $f(v)=g(v)=u$ is a point of coincidence for the mappings $f$ and $g$, i.e., (i) is proved in the case if $f(X)$ is $b_{b l}$-complete. The proof for the case if $g(X)$ is $b_{b l}$-complete is similar.
(ii) Assume that $x$ and $y$ are two different points of coincidence of $f$ and $g$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$. This means that there are different points $x_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ from $X$ such that: $f\left(x_{1}\right)=g\left(x_{1}\right)=x$ and $f\left(y_{1}\right)=g\left(y_{1}\right)=y$. Now, according to Condition (9) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
s b_{b l}(x, y) \leq & s^{q} b_{b l}(x, y)=s^{q} b_{b l}\left(f\left(x_{1}\right), f\left(y_{1}\right)\right) \\
\leq & c_{1} b_{b l}\left(g\left(x_{1}\right), g\left(y_{1}\right)\right)+c_{2} b_{b l}\left(g\left(x_{1}\right), f\left(y_{1}\right)\right)+c_{3} b_{b l}\left(g\left(y_{1}\right), f\left(y_{1}\right)\right) \\
& +c_{4} b_{b l}\left(g\left(x_{1}\right), f\left(y_{1}\right)\right)+c_{5} b_{b l}\left(g\left(y_{1}\right), f\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \\
= & c_{1} b_{b l}(x, y)+c_{2} b_{b l}(x, y)+c_{3} b_{b l}(y, y) \\
& +c_{4} b_{b l}(x, y)+c_{5} b_{b l}(y, x) \\
\leq & \left(c_{1}+c_{2}+2 c_{3} s+c_{4}+c_{5}\right) b_{b l}(y, x) \\
\leq & \left(c_{1} s+2 c_{2} s+2 c_{3} s+c_{4} s+c_{5} s\right) b_{b l}(y, x)<b_{b l}(y, x) . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, if $x \neq y$ we get a contradiction.
If $f$ and $g$ are weakly compatible, then by Proposition $2 f$ and $g$ have a unique common fixed point.

Example 1. Let $X=[0,+\infty)$ and $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be the mappings such that

$$
f(x)=e^{x}-1 \quad \text { and } \quad g(x)=e^{4 x}-1
$$

Consider b-metric-like space $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$ under the distance $b_{b l}(x, y)=(x+y)^{2}$ with coefficient $s=2$, and the graph $G=(V, E)$ with $V=X$ and $E=\{(x, x): x \in X\} \cup\{(0, x): x \in X\}$. Assume that $c_{1}=\frac{1}{4}$ and $c_{2}=c_{3}=c_{4}=c_{5}=\frac{1}{25}$ for which Inequalities (10) and (11) hold. Note that $(g(x), g(y)) \in E$ if and only if $x=y, x \geq 0$ or $x=0, y>0$ or $y=0, x>0$. For $q=2$ let us check whether Condition (9) holds in these cases.

Case 1: $x=y, x \geq 0$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1} b_{b l}(g(x), g(x))+c_{2} b_{b l}(g(x), f(x))+c_{3} b_{b l}(g(x), f(x))+c_{4} b_{b l}(g(x), f(x))+c_{5} b_{b l}(g(x), f(x)) \\
= & c_{1}\left(e^{4 x}-1+e^{4 x}-1\right)^{2}+\left(c_{2}+c_{3}+c_{4}+c_{5}\right)\left(e^{4 x}-1+e^{x}-1\right)^{2} \\
= & 4 c_{1}\left(e^{x}-1\right)^{2}\left(e^{3 x}+e^{2 x}+e^{x}+1\right)^{2}+\left(c_{2}+c_{3}+c_{4}+c_{5}\right)\left(e^{x}-1\right)^{2}\left(e^{3 x}+e^{2 x}+e^{x}+2\right)^{2} \\
\geq & 4 c_{1}\left(e^{x}-1\right)^{2} 4^{2}+\left(c_{2}+c_{3}+c_{4}+c_{5}\right)\left(e^{x}-1\right)^{2} 5^{2}=\left(\frac{1}{4} \cdot 64+\frac{4}{25} \cdot 25\right)\left(e^{x}-1\right)^{2} \\
> & 4\left(e^{x}-1+e^{x}-1\right)^{2}=s^{q} b_{b l}(f(x), f(x)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2: $x=0, y>0($ similarly for $y=0, x>0)$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1} b_{b l}(g(0), g(y))+c_{2} b_{b l}(g(0), f(0))+c_{3} b_{b l}(g(y), f(y))+c_{4} b_{b l}(g(0), f(y))+c_{5} b_{b l}(g(y), f(0)) \\
= & c_{1}\left(e^{4 y}-1\right)^{2}+c_{2}(0+0)^{2}+c_{3}\left(e^{4 y}-1+e^{y}-1\right)^{2}+c_{4}\left(e^{y}-1\right)^{2}+c_{5}\left(e^{4 y}-1\right)^{2} \\
= & \left(c_{1}+c_{5}\right)\left(e^{y}-1\right)^{2}\left(e^{3 y}+e^{2 y}+e^{y}+1\right)^{2}+c_{3}\left(e^{y}-1\right)^{2}\left(e^{3 y}+e^{2 y}+e^{y}+2\right)^{2}+c_{4}\left(e^{y}-1\right)^{2} \\
> & \left(c_{1}+c_{5}\right)\left(e^{y}-1\right)^{2} 4^{2}+c_{3}\left(e^{y}-1\right)^{2} 5^{2}+c_{4}\left(e^{y}-1\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{29}{100} \cdot 16+\frac{1}{25} \cdot 25+\frac{1}{25}\right)\left(e^{y}-1\right)^{2} \\
> & 4\left(e^{y}-1\right)^{2}=s^{q} b_{b l}(f(0), f(y)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $f$ and $g$ satisfy Condition (9) for all $x, y \in X$ such that $(g(x), g(y)) \in E$.
Moreover, there is $x_{1}=\frac{x_{0}}{4}$ such that $g\left(x_{1}\right)=f\left(x_{0}\right), x_{2}=\frac{x_{0}}{4^{2}}$ such that $g\left(x_{2}\right)=f\left(x_{1}\right)$, and so on. In this way, we can built the sequence $x_{n}=\frac{x_{0}}{4^{n}}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g\left(x_{n}\right)=f\left(x_{n-1}\right)$. For $x_{0} \neq 0$ it is clear that $\left(g\left(x_{n}\right), g\left(x_{m}\right)\right) \notin E$. For $x_{0}=0, x_{n}=0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ is obtained. Thus, the constant sequence $x_{n}=0$ is only convergent sequence such that $\left(g\left(x_{n}\right), g\left(x_{m}\right)\right)=(0,0) \in E$, and for each subsequence $\left(g\left(x_{n_{i}}\right)\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\left(g\left(x_{n}\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ holds $\left(g\left(x_{n_{i}}\right), 0\right)=(0,0) \in E$. This means that $x_{0} \in G_{g f} \neq \varnothing$ and the pair $(f, g)$ possesses the property $G_{f, g\left(x_{n}\right)}$.

It is obvious that $f(X) \subset g(X)$ and $g(X)=X$ is $b_{b l}$-complete. Since the mappings $f$ and $g$ are weakly compatible at $x=0(f(0)=g(0)$ implies $g(f(0))=f(g(0)))$, all conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. So, 0 is the unique common fixed point of mappings $f$ and $g$ in $X$.

Example 2. Now consider the same b-metric-like space $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$ endowed with the graph $G$ as in Example 1, and the mappings $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
e^{x}-1, & x \neq 0 \\
1, & x=0
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad g(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
e^{4 x}-1, & x \neq 0 \\
2, & x=0
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

In this case we have $G_{g f}=\varnothing$. Namely, for $x_{0}=0, x_{n}=\frac{1}{4^{n}} \ln 2, n \in \mathbb{N}$ is now obtained, and $\left(g\left(x_{n}\right), g\left(x_{m}\right)\right) \notin E$. Hence, the conditions of Theorem 1 are not satisfied. Moreover, we can easily see that the mappings $f$ and $g$ have no coincidence point nor common fixed points.

As corollaries of our Theorem 1, we obtain the next results in the context of $b$-metric-like spaces endowed with a graph:

Corollary 1. (Jungck) Let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be self-mappings defined on a b-metric-like space $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$ (with coefficient $s \geq 1$ ) endowed with a graph $G$, and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{q} b_{b l}(f(x), f(y)) \leq c_{1} b_{b l}(g(x), g(y)) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ when $c_{1}<\frac{1}{s}$. Suppose that $f(X) \subset g(X)$ and at least one of $f(X), g(X)$ is a $b_{b l}$-complete subspace of $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$. Then
(i) If the property $G_{f, g\left(x_{n}\right)}$ is satisfied and $G_{g f} \neq \varnothing$, then $f$ and $g$ have a point of coincidence in $X$.
(ii) If $x$ and $y$ in $X$ are points of coincidence of $f$ and $g$ such that $(x, y) \in E(G)$, then $x=y$. Hence, points of coincidence of $f$ and $g$ are unique in X. Moreover, if the pair $(f, g)$ is weakly compatible, then $f$ and $g$ have a unique common fixed point in $X$.

Corollary 2. (Kannan) Let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be self-mappings defined on a b-metric-like space $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$ (with coefficient $s \geq 1$ ) endowed with a graph $G$, and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{q} b_{b l}(f(x), f(y)) \leq c_{2} b_{b l}(g(x), f(x))+c_{3} b_{b l}(g(y), f(y)) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ when

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}+c_{3}<\frac{1}{2 s} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $f(X) \subset g(X)$ and at least one of $f(X), g(X)$ is a $b_{b l}$-complete subspace of $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$. Then
(i) If the property $G_{f, g\left(x_{n}\right)}$ is satisfied and $G_{g f} \neq \varnothing$, then $f$ and $g$ have a point of coincidence in $X$.
(ii) If $x$ and $y$ in $X$ are points of coincidence of $f$ and $g$ such that $(x, y) \in E(G)$, then $x=y$. Hence, points of coincidence of $f$ and $g$ are unique in X. Moreover, if the pair $(f, g)$ is weakly compatible, then $f$ and $g$ have a unique common fixed point in $X$.

Corollary 3. (Chatterjea) Let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be self-mappings defined on a b-metric-like space $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$ (with coefficient $s \geq 1$ ) endowed with a graph $G$, and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{q} b_{b l}(f(x), f(y)) \leq c_{4} b_{b l}(g(x), f(y))+c_{5} b_{b l}(g(y), f(x)) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ when

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{4}+c_{5}<\frac{1}{2 s} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $f(X) \subset g(X)$ and at least one of $f(X), g(X)$ is a $b_{b l}$-complete subspace of $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$. Then
(i) If the property $G_{f, g\left(x_{n}\right)}$ is satisfied and $G_{g f} \neq \varnothing$, then $f$ and $g$ have a point of coincidence in $X$.
(ii) If $x$ and $y$ in $X$ are points of coincidence of $f$ and $g$ such that $(x, y) \in E(G)$, then $x=y$. Hence, points of coincidence of $f$ and $g$ are unique in X. Moreover, if the pair $(f, g)$ is weakly compatible, then $f$ and $g$ have a unique common fixed point in $X$.

Corollary 4. (Reich) Let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be self-mappings defined on a b-metric-like space $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$ (with coefficient $s \geq 1$ ) endowed with a graph $G$, and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{q} b_{b l}(f(x), f(y)) \leq c_{1} b_{b l}(g(x), g(y))+c_{2} b_{b l}(g(x), f(x))+c_{3} b_{b l}(g(y), f(y)) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ when

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}+2 c_{2}+2 c_{3}<\frac{1}{s} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $f(X) \subset g(X)$ and at least one of $f(X), g(X)$ is a $b_{b l}$-complete subspace of $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$. Then
(i) If the property $G_{f, g\left(x_{n}\right)}$ is satisfied and $G_{g f} \neq \varnothing$, then $f$ and $g$ have a point of coincidence in $X$.
(ii) If $x$ and $y$ in $X$ are points of coincidence of $f$ and $g$ such that $(x, y) \in E(G)$, then $x=y$. Hence, points of coincidence of $f$ and $g$ are unique in X. Moreover, if the pair $(f, g)$ is weakly compatible, then $f$ and $g$ have a unique common fixed point in $X$.

Now, we announce our last result in this section in the context of $b$-metric-like spaces endowed with the graph. The proof is similar enough with the corresponding proof of Theorem 1 and therefore we omit it.

Theorem 2. (Das-Naik-Ćirić) Let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be self-mappings defined on a b-metric-like space $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$ (with coefficient $s \geq 1$ ) endowed with a graph $G$, and satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
s^{q} b_{b l}(f(x), f(y)) \leq & \lambda \max \left\{b_{b l}(g(x), g(y)), b_{b l}(g(x), f(x)), b_{b l}(g(y), f(y)),\right. \\
& \left.b_{b l}(g(x), f(y)), b_{b l}(g(y), f(x))\right\} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ when $\lambda \in\left[0, \frac{1}{s}\right)$. Suppose that $f(X) \subset g(X)$ and at least one of $f(X), g(X)$ is a $b_{b l}$-complete subspace of $\left(X, b_{b l}\right)$. Then
(i) If the property $G_{f, g\left(x_{n}\right)}$ is satisfied and $G_{g f} \neq \varnothing$, then $f$ and $g$ have a point of coincidence in $X$.
(ii) If $x$ and $y$ in $X$ are points of coincidence of $f$ and $g$ such that $(x, y) \in E(G)$, then $x=y$. Hence, points of coincidence of $f$ and $g$ are unique in X. Moreover, if the pair $(f, g)$ is weakly compatible, then $f$ and $g$ have a unique common fixed point in $X$.
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