The General Least Square Deviation OWA Operator Problem

Dug Hun Hong [1],* [ID] **and Sangheon Han** [2] [ID]

1   Department of Mathematics, Myongji University, Yongin 449-728, Kyunggido, Korea
2   Department of Managment, Nagoya University of Commerce & Business, 4-4 Sagamine Komenoki, Nisshin 470-0193, Aichi, Japan; han-sh@nucba.ac.jp
*   Correspondence: dhhong@mju.ac.kr; Tel.: +82-010-2524-2712

Abstract: A crucial issue in applying the ordered weighted averaging (OWA) operator for decision making is the determination of the associated weights. This paper proposes a general least convex deviation model for OWA operators which attempts to obtain the desired OWA weight vector under a given orness level to minimize the least convex deviation after monotone convex function transformation of absolute deviation. The model includes the least square deviation (LSD) OWA operators model suggested by Wang, Luo and Liu in Computers & Industrial Engineering, 2007, as a special class. We completely prove this constrained optimization problem analytically. Using this result, we also give solution of LSD model suggested by Wang, Luo and Liu as a function of n and α completely. We reconsider two numerical examples that Wang, Luo and Liu, 2007 and Sang and Liu, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 2014, showed and consider another different type of the model to illustrate our results.

Keywords: decision making; OWA operator; operator weights; degree of orness; absolute disparity; least convex deviation model

1. Introduction

Yager [1,2] introduced the concept of ordered weighted averaging (OWA) operator. It is an important issue to the application and theory of OWA operators to determine the weights of the operators. Previous studies have proposed a number of approaches for obtaining the associated weights in different areas such as date mining, decision making, neural networks, approximate reasoning, expert systems, fuzzy system and control [1–20]. A number of approaches have been proposed for the identification of associated weights, including exponential smoothing [6], quantifier guided aggregation [19,20] and learning [20]. O'Hagan [9] proposed another approach that determines a special class of OWA operators having maximal entropy for the OWA weights; this approach is algorithmically based on the solution of a constrained optimization problem. Hong [10] provided new method supporting the minimum variance problem. Fullér and Majlender [7,8] suggested a minimum variance approach to obtain the minimal variability OWA weights and proved that the maximum entropy model could be transformed into a polynomial equation that could be proved analytically. Liu and Chen [13] proposed a parametric geometric approach that can be used to obtain maximum entropy weights. Wang and Parkan [18] suggested a new method which generates the OWA operator weights by minimizing the maximum difference between any two adjacent weights. They transferred the minimax disparity problem to a linear programming problem, obtained weights for some special values of orness, and proved the dual property of OWA. Liu [12] proved that the minimax disparity OWA problem of Wang and Parkan [18] and the minimum variance problem of Fullér and Majlender [7] would always produce the same weight vector. Emrouznejad and Amin [5]

gave an alternative disparity problem to identify the OWA operator weights by minimizing the sum of the deviation between two distinct OWA weights. Amin and Emrouznejad [3,4] proposed an extended minimax disparity model. Hong [11] proved this open problem in a mathematical sense. Recently, Wang et al. [18] suggested a least square deviation model for obtaining OWA operator weights, which is nonlinear and was proved by using LINGO program for a given degree of orness. Sang and Liu [17] proved this constrained optimization problem analytically, using the method of Lagrange multipliers. Liu [14] stidied the general minimax disparity OWA operator optimization problem which includes a minimax disparity OWA operator optimization model and a general convex OWA operator optimization problem which includes the maximum entropy [7] and minimum variance OWA problem [8,10,15]. Liu [15] suggested a general optimization model for determining ordered weighted averaging (OWA) operators and three specific models for generating monotonic and symmetric OWA operators.

In this paper, we propose a general least convex deviation model for OWA operators which attempts to obtain the desired OWA weight vector under a given orness level to minimize the least convex deviation after monotone convex function transformation of absolute deviation. The model includes the least square deviation (LSD) OWA operators model suggested by Wang et al. [1]. We completely prove the optimization problem mathematically and consider the same numerical examples that Wang et al. [1] and Sang and Liu [17] presented in their illustration of the application of the least square deviation model. We also determine the solution OWA operator weights not for some discrete value of α but for all orness levels $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ as a function of α.

2. The Least Convex Deviation Model

Yager [2] introduced an aggregation technique based on the ordered weighted averaging (OWA) operators. An OWA operator of dimension n is a mapping $F : R^n \to R$ that has an associated weighting vector $W = (w_1, \cdots, w_n)^T$ with properties $w_1 + \cdots + w_n = 1$, $0 \leq w_i \leq 1$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$, and

$$F(a_1, \cdots, a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i b_i,$$

where b_j is the jth largest element of a collection of the aggregated objects $\{a_1, \cdots, a_n\}$. In [2], Yager introduced a measure of "orness" associated with the weighting vector W of an OWA operator, which is defined as

$$orness(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_i.$$

Wang and Parkan [17] proposed a minimax disparity OWA operator optimization problem:

$$\text{Minimize} \quad \max_{i \in \{1, \cdots, n-1\}} |w_i - w_{i+1}|$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad orness(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_i = \alpha, \ 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1,$$

$$w_1 + \cdots + w_n = 1, 0 \leq w_i, i = 1, \cdots, n.$$

The minimax disparity approach obtains OWA operator weights based on the minimization of the maximum difference between any two adjacent weights. Recently, Liu [14] considered the general minimax disparity OWA operator optimization problem as follows.

$$\text{Minimize} \quad \max_{i \in \{1, \cdots, n-1\}} |F'(w_i) - F'(w_{i+1})|$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad orness(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_i = \alpha, \ 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1,$$

$$w_1 + \cdots + w_n = 1, 0 \leq w_i, i = 1, \cdots, n.$$

where F is a strictly convex function on $[0, \infty)$ and is at least two order differentiable.

Liu [14] also considered a general convex OWA operator optimization problem with given orness level:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{Minimize} \quad & V_W = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(w_i) \\
\text{subject to} \quad & orness(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_i = \alpha, \ 0 < \alpha < 1, \\
& w_1 + \cdots + w_n = 1, 0 \le w_i, i = 1, \cdots, n.
\end{aligned}
\tag{1}
$$

where F is a strictly convex function on $[0, 1]$ and is at least two order differentiable.

When $F(x) = x \ln x$, (1) becomes the maximum entropy OWA operator problem that was discussed in [7,12]. $F(x) = x^2$ in (1) corresponds to minimum variance OWA operator problem [8,10]. When $F(x) = x^p, p > 1$, (1) becomes the OWA problem of Rényi entropy [15].

Wang et al. [1] have introduced the following least squares deviation (LSD) method as an alternative approach to determine the OWA operator weights.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{Minimize} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (w_{i+1} - w_i)^2 \\
\text{subject to} \quad & orness(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_i = \alpha, \ 0 \le \alpha \le 1, \\
& w_1 + \cdots + w_n = 1, 0 \le w_i, i = 1, \cdots, n.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2}
$$

They solved this problem by using LINGO or MATLAB software package. Recently, Sang and Liu [17] solved this constrained optimization problem analytically by using the method of Lagrange multipliers. The general least convex deviation model for OWA operators attempts to obtain the desired OWA weight vector under a given orness level to minimize the least convex deviation after monotone convex function transformation of absolute deviation, which includes the least square deviation (LSD) problem as a special case.

We now propose the general least convex deviation model with a given orness level as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{Minimize} \quad & F(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F\left(|w_{i+1} - w_i|\right) \\
\text{subject to} \quad & orness(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_i = \alpha, \ 0 \le \alpha \le 1, \\
& w_1 + \cdots + w_n = 1, 0 \le w_i, i = 1, \cdots, n,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3}
$$

where F is a strictly convex function on $[0, 1]$, and F' is continuous on $[0, 1)$ such that $F'(0) = 0$.

The followings are well-known propositions which can be easily checked.

Proposition 1. *If $orness(W) = 1$, then $W = (1, 0, \cdots, 0)$ is the only feasible solution of the model (3). For $orness(W) = 0$, $W = (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$ is the only feasible solution of the model (3). Since $F(W) = 0$ if and only if $W = (1/n, \cdots, 1/n)$, we have that if $orness(W) = 1/2$, then $W = (1/n, \cdots, 1/n)$ is the only optimum solution of the model (3).*

Proposition 2. *If $W^* = (w_1^*, \cdots, w_n^*)$ is an optimal solution of the model (3) for a given level of $orness(W) = \alpha$, then $\hat{W}^* = (\hat{w}_1^*, \cdots, \hat{w}_n^*)$, where $w_i^* = \hat{w}_{n-i+1}^*, i = 1, \cdots, n$ is an optimal solution of the model (3) for $orness(W) = 1 - \alpha$, and vice versa. Hence, for any $\alpha > 1/2$, we can consider the model (3) for degree of orness $(1 - \alpha)$, and then take the reverse of that optimal solution.*

By Proposition 1 and 2, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$.

3. Optimal Solution of the Least Convex Deviation Problem

In this section, we consider the mathematical proof of the optimization problem (3). We need the following lemmas to find optimal solution of the model (3).

Lemma 1. *Let $\{w_i\}$ be the set of nonnegative weighting vectors where $w_i = a$ for $i = 1, \cdots, k_0, w_i = b$ for $i = k_0 + 1, \cdots, n - 1, a < b = w_{n-1} > w_n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_i = \alpha, \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1$. If $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, then there exists the set $\{w_i^*\}$ of nonnegative weighting vectors such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_i^* = \alpha, \ 0 \leq w_i^* \leq w_{i+1}^* \leq 1, i = 1, \cdots, n - 1, \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i^* = 1$, and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F(|w_{i+1}^* - w_i^*|) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F(|w_{i+1} - w_i|).$$

Proof. We note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \frac{n-i}{n-1} a + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} b = \alpha$$

and

$$k_0 a + (n - k_0 - 1)b + w_n = 1.$$

Consider $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ ($\delta > 0$ depends on $\epsilon > 0$) such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \frac{n-i}{n-1}(a + \epsilon) + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1}(b - \delta) = \alpha, \tag{4}$$

and define a function $H(\epsilon)$ on $\epsilon \geq 0$ by

$$H(\epsilon) = k_0(a + \epsilon) + (n - k_0)(b - \delta).$$

Then $H(\epsilon)$ ia continuous and

$$H(0) = k_0 a + (n - k_0)b > k_0 a + (n - k_0 - 1)b + w_n = 1.$$

Let $a + \epsilon' = b - \delta' = a'$ for some $\epsilon' > 0$ and $\delta' > 0$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} a' = \frac{na'}{2} = \alpha$$

so that $a' = 2\alpha/n$. Now since $0 < \alpha < 1/2$,

$$H(\epsilon') = k_0(a + \epsilon') + (n - k_0)(b - \delta') = k_0 a' + (n - k_0)a' = na' = 2\alpha < 1$$

and then there exist ϵ^* and δ^* such that $0 < \epsilon^* < \epsilon'$ and $0 < \delta^* < \delta'$ and

$$H(\epsilon^*) = k_0(a + \epsilon^*) + (n - k_0)(b - \delta^*) = 1,$$

and, by (4),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \frac{n-i}{n-1}(a + \epsilon^*) + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1}(b - \delta^*) = \alpha.$$

Let

$$w_i^* = \begin{cases} a + \epsilon^*, & i = 1, \cdots, k_0 \\ b - \delta^*, & i = k_0 + 1, \cdots, n. \end{cases}$$

Then since $a < a + \epsilon^* < b - \delta^* < b$ and F is strictly increasing, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F(|w_{i+1}^* - w_i^*|) &= F((b-a) - (\epsilon^* + \delta^*)) \\
&< F(b-a) \\
&= F(|w_{k_0+1} - w_{k_0}|) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F(|w_{i+1} - w_i|).
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2. *Let $\{w_i\}$ be the set of nonnegative weighting vectors such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_i = \alpha, \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1$. If $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, then there exists the set $\{w_i^*\}$ of nonnegative weighting vectors such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_i^* = \alpha, \ 0 \leq w_i^* \leq w_{i+1}^* \leq 1, \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i^* = 1$ and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F(|w_{i+1}^* - w_i^*|) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F(|w_{i+1} - w_i|).$$

Proof. Let $w_{(i)}$ be the i-th smallest weighting vector of $\{w_i\}$. Then we have

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_{(i)}.$$

Hence there exists some $w'_{(k_0)}$ such that $w_{(k_0)} \leq w'_{(k_0)} \leq w_{(k_0+1)}$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w'_{(k_0)} + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_{(i)} = \alpha \tag{5}$$

where $1 \leq k_0 \leq n$. Since

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{(i)} \leq k_0 w'_{(k_0)} + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^{n} w_{(i)},$$

we consider two possible cases;

$$k_0 w'_{(k_0)} + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^{n} w_{(i)} = 1$$

or

$$k_0 w'_{(k_0)} + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^{n} w_{(i)} > 1.$$

First we suppose that

$$k_0 w'_{(k_0)} + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^{n} w_{(i)} = 1$$

and let

$$
w_i^* = \begin{cases} w'_{(k_0)}, & i = 1, \cdots, k_0 \\ w_{(i)}, & i = k_0 + 1, \cdots, n. \end{cases}
$$

Since $w_{(i)} \leq w_i^*, i = 1, 2, \cdots n$ and $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n w_{(i)} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^*$, we have that $w_{(i)} = w_i^*, i = 1, 2, \cdots n$ and then $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_i^* = \alpha, \ 0 \leq w_i^* \leq w_{i+1}^* \leq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^* = 1$. Since F is nondecreasing on $[0, \infty)$,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F(|w_{i+1} - w_i|) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F(|w_{(i+1)} - w_{(i)}|) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F(|w_{i+1}^* - w_i^*|).
$$

Now we suppose that

$$
k_0 w'_{(k_0)} + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^n w_{(i)} > 1. \tag{6}
$$

We note that for $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$, there exists $0 \leq h(\epsilon) = \delta \leq 1$ such that

$$
H_1(\epsilon, \delta) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \frac{n-i}{n-1}[(1-\epsilon)w'_{(k_0)} + \epsilon w_{(k_0+1)}] + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^n \frac{n-i}{n-1}[(1-\delta)w_{(i)} + \delta w_{(k_0+1)}] = \alpha. \tag{7}
$$

Then h is an increasing continuous function of ϵ and we have three possible cases as $\epsilon \uparrow 1$.; (Case 1) $h(\epsilon_0) = 1 : H_1(\epsilon_0, 1) = \alpha$ for some $0 < \epsilon_0 < 1$, (Case 2) $h(1) = 1 : H_1(1, 1) = \alpha$, and (Case 3) $h(1) = \delta_0 : H_1(1, \delta_0) = \alpha$ for some $0 < \delta_0 < 1$.

We define a function $H(\epsilon)$ on $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$ by

$$
H(\epsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_0}[(1-\epsilon)w'_{(k_0)} + \epsilon w_{(k_0+1)}] + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^n [(1-\delta)w_{(i)} + \delta w_{(k_0+1)}]
$$

such that $H_1(\epsilon, \delta) = \alpha$. Then H is continuous and, then by (6), we have

$$
H(0) = k_0 w'_{(k_0)} + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^n w_{(i)} > 1. \tag{8}
$$

(Case 1) $H_1(\epsilon_0, 1) = \alpha$ for some $0 < \epsilon_0 < 1$;
From (7), we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \frac{n-i}{n-1}[(1-\epsilon_0)w'_{(k_0)} + \epsilon w_{(k_0+1)}] + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^n \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_{(k_0+1)} = \alpha.
$$

There are two possible cases, that is,

$$
H(\epsilon_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_0}[(1-\epsilon_0)w'_{(k_0)} + \epsilon_0 w_{(k_0+1)}] + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^n w_{(k_0+1)} \leq 1 \tag{9}
$$

or

$$
H(\epsilon_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_0}[(1-\epsilon_0)w'_{(k_0)} + \epsilon_0 w_{(k_0+1)}] + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^n w_{(k_0+1)} > 1.
$$

First, suppose that

$$H(\epsilon_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_0}[(1-\epsilon_0)w'_{(k_0)} + \epsilon_0 w_{(k_0+1)}] + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^{n} w_{(k_0+1)} \le 1.$$

Then, from (8) and (9), there exist $0 < \epsilon^* \le \epsilon_0$ and $0 < \delta^* \le 1$ such that

$$H(\epsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_0}[(1-\epsilon^*)w'_{(k_0)} + \epsilon^* w_{(k_0+1)}] + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^{n} (1-\delta^*)w_{(i)} + \delta^* w_{(k_0+1)} = 1.$$

Put

$$w_i^* = \begin{cases} [(1-\epsilon^*)w'_{(k_0)} + \epsilon^* w_{(k_0+1)}], & i = 1, \cdots, k_0 \\ (1-\delta^*)w_{(i)} + \delta^* w_{(k_0+1)}, & i = k_0 + 1, \cdots, n. \end{cases}$$

Then we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{n-i}{n-1}w_i^* = \alpha$, $0 \le w_i^* \le w_{i+1}^* \le 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i^* = 1$. And since F is nondecreasing on $[0,\infty)$, by construction of w_i^* for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F(|w_{i+1} - w_i|) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F(|w_{(i+1)} - w_{(i)}|)$$

$$\ge F|w_{(k_0+1)} - w_{(k_0)}| + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^{n-1} F((1-\delta^*)|w_{(i+1)} - w_{(i)}|)$$

$$\ge \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F(|w_{i+1}^* - w_i^*|).$$

Second, suppose that

$$H(\epsilon_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_0}[(1-\epsilon_0)w'_{(k_0)} + \epsilon_0 w_{(k_0+1)}] + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^{n} w_{(k_0+1)} > 1, \tag{10}$$

and let $a = (1-\epsilon_0)w'_{(k_0+1)} + \epsilon_0 w_{(k_0+1)}$, $b = w_{(k_0+1)}$ and $w_n = 1 - (\sum_{i=1}^{k_0}[(1-\epsilon_0)w'_{(k_0+1)} + \epsilon_0 w_{(k_0+1)}] + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^{n-1} w_{(k_0+1)})$. Then $a < b > w_n$ and from Lemma 1, we obtain $w_i^*, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{n-i}{n-1}w_i^* = \alpha$, $0 \le w_i^* \le w_{i+1}^* \le 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i^* = 1$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{i=n-1} F(|w_{i+1}^* - w_i^*|) \le \sum_{i=1}^{i=n-1} F(|w_{i+1} - w_i|)$.
(Case 2) $H_1(1,1) = \alpha$;
From (7),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k_0}\frac{n-i}{n-1}w_{(k_0+1)} + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^{n}\frac{n-i}{n-1}w_{(k_0+1)} = \alpha,$$

hence

$$w_{(k_0+1)} = \frac{2\alpha}{n} < \frac{1}{n}.$$

We note that

$$H(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{(k_0+1)} = 2\alpha < 1. \tag{11}$$

Since $H(0) > 1$ and $H(1) < 1$ from (8) and (11), there exist $0 < \epsilon^* < 1, 0 < \delta^* < 1$ such that

$$H(\epsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_0}[(1-\epsilon^*)w'_{(k_0)} + \epsilon^* w_{(k_0+1)}] + \sum_{i=k_0+1}^{n} (1-\delta^*)w_{(i)} + \delta^* w_{(k_0+1)} = 1.$$

Hence we obtain $w_i^*, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ by putting

$$
w_i^* = \begin{cases} (1 - \epsilon^*)w'_{(k_0)} + \epsilon^* w_{(k_0+1)}, & i = 1, \cdots, k_0 \\ (1 - \delta^*)w_{(i)} + \delta^* w_{(k_0+1)}, & i = k_0 + 1, \cdots, n \end{cases}
$$

such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_i^* = \alpha$, $0 \le w_i^* \le w_{i+1}^* \le 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i^* = 1$. And, just like (Case 1), we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F(|w_{i+1} - w_i|) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F(|w_{i+1}^* - w_i^*|).
$$

(Case 3) $H_1(1, \delta_0) = \alpha$ for some $0 < \delta_0 < 1$;
From (7), we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k_0+1} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_{(k_0+1)} + \sum_{i=k_0+2}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} [(1 - \delta_0)w_{(i)} + \delta_0 w_{(k_0+1)}] = \alpha. \tag{12}
$$

There are two possible cases, that is,

$$
H(1) = (k_0 + 1)w_{(k_0+1)} + \sum_{i=k_0+2}^{n} [(1 - \delta_0)w_{(i)} + \delta w_{(k_0+1)}] \le 1
$$

or

$$
H(1) = (k_0 + 1)w_{(k_0+1)} + \sum_{i=k_0+2}^{n} [(1 - \delta_0)w_{(i)} + \delta w_{(k_0+1)}] > 1.
$$

But if $H(1) \le 1$, then it is easy to obtain desired $w_i^*, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ by the similar arguments to the above. Hence we consider the case

$$
H(1) = (k_0 + 1)w_{(k_0+1)} + \sum_{i=k_0+2}^{n} [(1 - \delta_0)w_{(i)} + \delta w_{(k_0+1)}] > 1. \tag{13}
$$

Now (12) and (13) are exactly the same as (5) and (6) regarding $w_{(k_0+1)}$ as $w'_{(k_0)}$ and $(1 - \delta)w_{(i)} + \delta w_{(k_0+1)}$ as $w_{(i)}, i = k_0 + 2, \cdots, n$ in (5) and (6). If we use the same arguments as above finite number of times, then we finally have the following situation; there exist $w_i'', i = 1, \cdots, n$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_{(n-2)}'' + \frac{1}{n-1} w_{(n-1)}'' = \alpha.
$$

and

$$
(n-2)w_{(n-2)}'' + w_{(n-1)}'' + w_{(n)}'' > 1.
$$

If we put $a = w_{(n-2)}''$, $b = w_{(n-1)}''$ and $w_n = 1 - [(n-2)w_{(n-2)}'' + w_{(n-1)}'']$ in Lemma 1, then we obtain the desired result of $w_i^*, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ by using Lemma 1 again. We complete the proof. $\square$

The following result is immediately from Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. *The model (3) is equivalent to the following model:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\text{Minimize} && \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F(w_{i+1} - w_i) \\
&\text{subject to} && orness(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_i = \alpha, \ 0 \le \alpha \le 1/2, \\
& && w_1 + \cdots + w_n = 1, 0 \le w_i, i = 1, \cdots, n, \\
& && w_i \le w_{i+1}, i = 1, \cdots, n-1,
\end{aligned} \tag{14}
$$

where F is a strictly convex function on $[0, \infty)$, and F' is continuous on $[0, 1)$ such that $F'(0) = 0$.

Lemma 4. *If we put $w_i = \sum_{k=1}^{i} x_k, i = 1, \cdots, n$, then the model (14) is transformed into the following model:*

$$\text{Min} \qquad V_W = \sum_{k=2}^{n} F(x_k)$$
$$\text{subject to} \quad orness(W) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(n-k)(n-k+1)}{2(n-1)} x_k = \alpha, \ \ 0 \le \alpha \le 1/2,$$
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (n-k+1) x_k = 1, \tag{15}$$
$$0 \le x_k, k = 1, \cdots, n, .$$

where F is a strictly convex function on $[0, 1]$ with continuous first differentiability of F such that $F'(0) = 0$.

We now prove the optimization problem of model (3). We note that F is strictly convex if and only if F' is strictly increasing.

Theorem 1. *Let F be a strictly convex function on $[0, 1]$ and F' be continuous on $[0, 1)$ such that $F'(0) = 0$. Then the optimal solution for the model (3) with given orness level $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ is as follow:*
In case of $w_1^* = x_1^* = 0$, it is the weighting function $w_i^* = \sum_{k=1}^{i} x_k^*$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ with

$$x_k^* = \begin{cases} (F')^{-1}(a^*(n-k)(n-k+1) + b^*(n-k+1)), & k \in H \\ 0, & k \notin H \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

where a^*, b^* are determined by the constraints:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{k \in H} \frac{(n-k)(n-k+1)}{2(n-1)} x_k^* = \alpha \\ \sum_{k \in H} (n-k+1) x_k^* = 1 \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

and $H = \{k | a^*(n-k)(n-k+1) + b^*(n-k+1) > 0\}$.
In case of $w_1^* = x_1^* > 0$, it is the weighting function $w_i^* = \sum_{k=1}^{i} x_k^*$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ with

$$x_k^* = (F')^{-1} \left(\frac{c^*(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1} \right), k = 2, 3, \cdots, n \tag{18}$$

and

$$x_1^* = \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n} (n-k+1) x_k^* \right) \tag{19}$$

where c^* is determined by the constraints such that

$$1 - 2\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1} x_k^*. \tag{20}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4, we consider the following model (15) to get x_k^* for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

$$\text{Minimize} \quad V_W = \sum_{k=2}^{n} F(x_k)$$
$$\text{subject to} \quad orness(W) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(n-k)(n-k+1)}{2(n-1)} x_k = \alpha, \ \ 0 < \alpha < 1/2,$$
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (n-k+1) x_k = 1, 0 \le x_k, k = 1, \cdots, n.$$

There are two possible cases such as (case 1) $w_1^* = x_1^* = 0$ or (2) $w_1^* = x_1^* > 0$.
(Case 1) $w_1^* = x_1^* = 0$.
Let $x_k^* = max\{(F')^{-1}(a^*(n-k)(n-k+1) + b^*(n-k+1)), 0\}$
such that

$$\sum(n-k)(n-k+1)x_k^* = 2(n-1)\alpha \tag{21}$$
$$\sum(n-k+1)x_k^* = 1 \tag{22}$$

and let x_k for $k = 1, \cdots, n$ be a vector such that

$$\sum(n-k)(n-k+1)x_k = 2(n-1)\alpha \tag{23}$$
$$\sum(n-k+1)x_k = 1, 0 \leq x_k, k = 1, \cdots, n. \tag{24}$$

We also note that

$$F'(x_k^*) = \begin{cases} 0, & k \notin H \\ a^*(n-k)(n-k+1) + b^*(n-k+1), & k \in H \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

and we put $x_k = x_k^* + \beta_k$ for $k = 1, \cdots, n$. Then, noting that $x_k = \beta_k$, $k \notin H$, we have

$$\sum_{k \notin H}(n-k+1)x_k + \sum_{k \in H}(n-k+1)\beta_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n}(n-k+1)\beta_k = 0 \tag{26}$$

from (22) and (24) because

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \sum_{k=1}^{n}(n-k+1)x_k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n}(n-k+1)(x_k^* + \beta_k) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n}(n-k+1)x_k^* + \sum_{k=1}^{n}(n-k+1)\beta_k \\
&= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n}(n-k+1)\beta_k.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have, from (21) and (23)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k \notin H}(n-k)(n-k+1)x_k + \sum_{k \in H}(n-k)(n-k+1)\beta_k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n}(n-k)(n-k+1)\beta_k = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

because

$$
\begin{aligned}
2(n-1)\alpha &= \sum_{k=1}^{n}(n-k)(n-k+1)x_k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n}(n-k)(n-k+1)(x_k^*+\beta_k) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n}(n-k)(n-k+1)x_k^* + \sum_{k=1}^{n}(n-k)(n-k+1)\beta_k \\
&= 2(n-1)\alpha + \sum_{k=1}^{n}(n-k)(n-k+1)\beta_k.
\end{aligned}
$$

We now show that

$$
\sum_{k=2}^{n}F(x_k) \geq \sum_{k=2}^{n}F(x_k^*).
$$

Since $F(y) - F(y_0) \geq F'(y_0)(y - y_0)$ (the equality holds if and only if $y = y_0$), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=2}^{n}F(x_k) - \sum_{k=2}^{n}F(x_k^*) &= \sum_{k=2}^{n}F(x_k^*+\beta_k) - \sum_{k=2}^{n}F(x_k^*) \\
&\geq \sum_{k=2}^{n}F'(x_k^*)\beta_k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n}F'(x_k^*)\beta_k \\
&= \sum_{k\in H}\beta_k[a^*(n-k)(n-k+1) + b^*(n-k+1)] \\
&= a^*\sum_{k\in H}(n-k)(n-k+1)\beta_k + b^*\sum_{k\in H}(n-k+1)\beta_k \\
&= a^*[-\sum_{k\notin H}(n-k)(n-k+1)x_k] + b^*[-\sum_{k\notin H}(n-k+1)x_k] \\
&= -\sum_{k\notin H}x_k[a^*(n-k)(n-k+1) + b^*(n-k+1)] \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality comes from the fact that $F'(x_1^*) = F'(0) = 0$, the third equality comes from (25), the fifth equality comes from (26) and (27) and the second inequality comes from the fact that $a^*(n-k)(n-k+1) + b^*(n-k+1) \leq 0$ for $k \notin H$. The equality holds if and only if $\beta_i = 0$, $i = 2, \cdots, n$. This completes the Case 1.

(Case 2) $w_1^* = x_1^* > 0$.

Let

$$
x_k^* = (F')^{-1}\left(\frac{c^*(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1}\right), k = 2, 3, \cdots, n \tag{28}
$$

and

$$
x_1^* = \frac{1}{n}\left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n}(n-k+1)x_k^*\right) \tag{29}
$$

where c^* is determined by the constraints such that

$$1 - 2\alpha \quad = \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1} x_k^*. \tag{30}$$

Then from (29),

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (n-k+1)x_k^* = 1. \tag{31}$$

We note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 - 2\alpha \quad &= \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1} x_k^* \\
&= \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} (n-k+1)x_k^* - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(n-k)(n-k+1)}{2(n-1)} x_k^*.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^{n}(n-k+1)x_k^* = 1$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (n-k)(n-k+1)x_k^* = 2(n-1)\alpha. \tag{32}$$

and then x_k^* for $k = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ satisfies constraints of the model (15). We now show that x_k^* for $k = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ is the optimal solution of the model (15). Let x_k for $k = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ be a vector such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (n-k)(n-k+1)x_k = 2(n-1)\alpha \tag{33}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (n-k+1)x_k = 1, x_k > 0. \tag{34}$$

Then from (33) and (34),

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 - 2\alpha \quad &= \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} (n-k+1)x_k - 2 \sum_{k=m}^{n} \frac{(n-k)(n-k+1)}{2(n-1)} x_k \\
&= \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1} x_k.
\end{aligned}
\tag{35}
$$

If we put $x_k = x_k^* + \beta_k, k = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1} \beta_k = 0 \tag{36}$$

because

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 - 2\alpha \quad &= \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1} x_k \\
&= \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1} (x_k^* + \beta_k) \\
&= \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1} x_k^* + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1} \beta_k \\
&= \quad 1 - 2\alpha + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1} \beta_k
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first equality comes from (35) and the last equality comes from (30). Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=2}^{n} F(x_k) - \sum_{k=2}^{n} F(x_k^*) &= \sum_{k=2}^{n} F(x_k^* + \beta_k) - \sum_{k=2}^{n} F(x_k^*) \\
&\geq \sum_{k=2}^{n} F'(x_k^*)\beta_k \\
&= c^* \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1}\beta_k \\
&= c^* \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1}\beta_k \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality comes from (28) and the fourth equality comes from (36). The equality holds if and only if $\beta_i = 0$ for $i = 2, \cdots, n$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Note 1. Observe that $H = \{k \mid a^*(n-k) + b^* > 0\}$ is either $\{1, 2, \cdots, m-1\}$ or $\{m, m+1, \cdots, n\}$ for some $m \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. By Lemma 2, the solution OWA operator weights for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$ has the form

$$
W^* = \left(0, 0, \cdots, 0, w_m^*, w_{m+1}^*, \cdots, w_n^*\right).
$$

Then $H = \{m, m+1, \cdots, n\}$ and by , $w_m^* < w_{m+1}^* < \cdots, < w_n^*$. We also note that $w_1^* = x_1^* > 0 \Leftrightarrow H = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, and $w_1^* = 0 \Leftrightarrow H = \{m, m+1, \cdots, n\}$ for some $m \geq 2$.

As a special case of model (3), we consider the following model for $p > 1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{Minimize} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (w_{i+1} - w_i)^p \\
\text{subject to} \quad & orness(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-1} w_i = \alpha, \ 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \\
& w_1 + \cdots + w_n = 1, 0 \leq w_i, i = 1, \cdots, n.
\end{aligned}
\tag{37}
$$

Note 2. Let $S_m(\alpha)$ be a subset of $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ on which the optimal solution for the model (37) with given orness level $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ has the form of $(0, \cdots, 0, w_m^*, w_{m+1}^*, \cdots, w_n^*)$, $0 < w_m^*, \cdots, w_n^*$. If $x_m^* = w_m^*$ is a linear function of α with positive slope, then we define $J_n(m)$ by $\{J_n(m) < \alpha\} = \{\alpha \mid x_m^* = w_m^* > 0\}$. We also have

$$
S_m(\alpha) = \{\alpha \mid x_m^* = w_m^* > 0\} \cap \{\alpha \mid x_{m-1}^* = w_{m-1}^* > 0\}^c = \{J_n(m) < \alpha \leq J_n(m-1)\}.
$$

From now on we have the closed form of the exact optimal solutions of the LSD OWA model specifically as a function of n and α.

Corollary 1 ([17]). *The optimal solution for the model (37) with given orness level $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ when $p = 2$ and $w_1^* = x_1^* > 0$ is the weighting function $w_i^* = \sum_{k=1}^{i} x_k^*$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, where*

$$
x_1^* = \frac{10(n^2 - n)\alpha - 3n^2 + 5n + 2}{2n(n^2 + 1)}
$$

and

$$
x_k^* = \frac{15(1 - 2\alpha)(k-1)(n-k+1)}{2n(n^3 + n^2 + n + 1)}, \quad k = 2, \cdots, n
$$

on $J_n(1) = \frac{3n^2-5n-2}{10n(n-1)} < \alpha < 1/2$.

Proof. By the Equation (20) in with $F(x) = x^2$ and $(F')^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{2}x$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 - 2\alpha &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1}(F')^{-1}\left(\frac{c^*(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1}\right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1}\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{c^*(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1}\right) \\
&= \frac{c^*n(n^3+n^2+n+1)}{60(n-1)},
\end{aligned}
$$

then we have

$$
c^* = \frac{60(n-1)(1-2\alpha)}{n(n^3+n^2+n+1)}.
$$

Then by, Equation (18)

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_k^* &= (F')^{-1}\left(\frac{c^*(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\frac{c^*(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\frac{60(n-1)(1-2\alpha)}{n(n^3+n^2+n+1)}\frac{(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1} \\
&= \frac{30(1-2\alpha)(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n(n^3+n^2+n+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k = 2, \cdots, n$ and hence by Equation (19)

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_1^* &= \frac{1}{n}\left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n}(n-k+1)x_k^*\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n}\frac{30(1-2\alpha)(k-1)(n-k+1)^2}{n(n^3+n^2+n+1)}\right) \\
&= \frac{10(n^2-n)\alpha - 3n^2 + 5n + 2}{2n(n^2+1)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $x_1^* = w_1^* > 0$, noting that x_1^* is a linear function of α with positive slope,

$$
\frac{(n-2)(3n+1)}{10n(n-1)} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}.
$$

So that $w_i^* = \sum_{k=1}^{i} x_k^*$, $i = 1,2,\cdots,n$ is the optimal solution for the model (37) for $J_n(1) = \frac{3n^2-5n-2}{10n(n-1)} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. $\square$

Corollary 2 ([17]). *The optimal solution for the model (38) with given orness level $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ when $p = 2$ and $H = \{m, m+1, \cdots, n\}$ for $m \in \{2, \cdots, n\}$ is the weighting function $w_i^* = \sum_{k=m}^{i} x_k^*$, $i = m, m+1, \cdots, n$,*
   with

$$
x_1^* = x_2^* = \cdots = x_{m-1}^* = 0,
$$

$$
x_k^* = \frac{a^*(n-k)(n-k+1) + b^*(n-k+1)}{2}, k = m, \cdots, n \tag{38}
$$

where

$$a^* = \frac{A(n,m,\alpha)}{B(n,m)} \quad and \quad b^* = \frac{C(n,m,\alpha)}{D(n,m)},$$

$$A(n,m,\alpha) = -480\alpha(n-1)(2n-2m+3) + 120(n-m)(3n-3m+5)$$
$$B(n,m) = (n-m)(n-m+1)(n-m+2)(n-m+3)\left(3(n-m)^2 + 9(m-n) + 8\right)$$
$$C(n,m,\alpha) = -240\alpha(n-1)(3n-3m+5) + 96\left(3(n-m)^2 + 6(n-m) + 1\right)$$
$$D(n,m) = (n-m+1)(n-m+2)(n-m+3)\left(3(n-m)^2 + 9(m-n) + 8\right)$$

on $J_n(m) < \alpha \le J_n(m-1)$, $m = 2, \cdots, n-1$
  with

$$J_n(0) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad J_n(m) = \frac{(n-m-1)(3n-3m+4)}{10(n-m+1)(n-1)}. \tag{39}$$

Proof. Let $H = \{m, m+1, \cdots, n\}$ be given for $m \in \{2, \cdots, n\}$ and $F(x) = x^2$, $(F')^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{2}x$ in the Equation (16) of Theorem 1. If

$$\sum_{k=m}^{n} \frac{(n-k)(n-k+1)}{2(n-1)} \frac{1}{2}(a^*(n-k)(n-k+1) + b^*(n-k+1)) = \alpha$$

and

$$\sum_{k=m}^{n} (n-k+1)\frac{1}{2}(a^*(n-k)(n-k+1) + b^*(n-k+1)) = 1,$$

then we have

$$a^* = \frac{A(n,m,\alpha)}{B(n,m)}, \quad b^* = \frac{C(n,m,\alpha)}{D(n,m)}$$

where

$$A(n,m,\alpha) = -480\alpha(n-1)(2n-2m+3) + 120(n-m)(3n-3m+5)$$
$$B(n,m) = (n-m)(n-m+1)(n-m+2)(n-m+3)\left(3(n-m)^2 + 9(m-n) + 8\right)$$
$$C(n,m,\alpha) = -240\alpha(n-1)(3n-3m+5) + 96\left(3(n-m)^2 + 6(n-m) + 1\right)$$
$$D(n,m) = (n-m+1)(n-m+2)(n-m+3)\left(3(n-m)^2 + 9(m-n) + 8\right).$$

Hence we have

$$x_k^* = \frac{a^*(n-k)(n-k+1) + b^*(n-k+1)}{2}, \quad m \le k \le n.$$

Since $x_m^* = w_m^*$ is the linear function of α with positive slope, we have $\{J_n(m) < \alpha\} = \{\alpha \mid x_m^* > 0\}$, so that

$$J_n(m) = \frac{(n-m-1)(3n-3m+4)}{10(n-m+1)(n-1)}.$$

This completes the proof. □

From Corollary 1, x_m^* is a linear function of α on each interval $(J_n(i), J_n(i-1)]$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$. It is also easy to check that x_m^* is continuous as a function of α. Hence we have the following property.

Proposition 3. *Let $w_m^* = f_m(\alpha), m = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, as a function of α, be the optimal solution for the model (37) with given orness level $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ when $p = 2$. Then $w_m^* = f_m(\alpha)$ is continuous and piecewise linear.*

4. Numerical Examples

We consider the same numerical example that Wang et al. [1] presented in their illustration of the application of the least square deviation model for $n = 5$. Wang et al. [18] determined the OWA operator weights satisfying discrete degrees of orness: $\alpha = 0, 0.1, \cdots, 0.9, 1$. But, in this example, we determine the solution OWA operator weights as a continuous function of α for all orness level $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ using our results.

Example 1 ([3]). *Suppose that $p = 2$ and $n = 5$. Then, from Theorem 1 and Equation (39) of Corollary 2,*

$$J_5(0) = \frac{1}{2}, J_5(1) = \frac{6}{25}, J_5(2) = \frac{13}{80}, J_5(3) = \frac{1}{12}, J_5(4) = 0.$$

In case of $(J_5(1), J_5(0)] = (\frac{6}{25}, \frac{1}{2}]$, we substituting n with 5 and k with $1, 2, \cdots, 5$ in equations of Theorem 1. Then

$$x_1^* = \frac{-12 + 50\alpha}{65}, x_2^* = \frac{2 - 4\alpha}{13}, x_3^* = \frac{3 - 6\alpha}{13}, x_4^* = \frac{3 - 6\alpha}{13}, x_5^* = \frac{2 - 4\alpha}{13}.$$

Thus the optimal solution of the problem is

$$w_1^* = \frac{-12 + 50\alpha}{65}, w_2^* = \frac{-2 + 30\alpha}{65}, w_3^* = \frac{1}{5}, w_4^* = \frac{28 - 30\alpha}{65}, w_5^* = \frac{38 - 50\alpha}{65}.$$

In case of $(J_5(2), J_5(1)] = (\frac{13}{80}, \frac{6}{25}]$, we substituting n with 5 and k with $2, \cdots, 5$ in Equation (38) of Corollary 2. Then

$$x_1^* = 0, x_2^* = \frac{-26 + 160\alpha}{155}, x_3^* = \frac{33 - 60\alpha}{155}, x_4^* = \frac{57 - 160\alpha}{155}, x_5^* = \frac{46 - 140\alpha}{155}.$$

Thus the optimal solution of the problem is

$$w_1^* = 0, w_2^* = \frac{-26 + 160\alpha}{155}, w_3^* = \frac{7 + 100\alpha}{155}, w_4^* = \frac{64 - 60\alpha}{155}, w_5^* = \frac{110 - 200\alpha}{155}.$$

Similarly, we can obtain optimal solutions as a linear function of α on each intervals $(J_5(3), J_5(2)] = (\frac{1}{12}, \frac{13}{80}]$ and $(J_5(4), J_5(3)] = (0, \frac{1}{12}]$, as on $(J_5(3), J_5(2)] = (\frac{1}{12}, \frac{13}{80}]$, the optimal solution is

$$w_1^* = 0, w_2^* = 0, w_3^* = \frac{-3 + 36\alpha}{19}, w_4^* = \frac{6 + 4\alpha}{19}, w_5^* = \frac{16 - 40\alpha}{19},$$

and on $(J_5(4), J_5(3)] = (0, \frac{1}{12}]$, the optimal solution is

$$w_1^* = 0, w_2^* = 0, w_3^* = 0, w_4^* = 4\alpha, w_5^* = 1 - 4\alpha.$$

In terms of Proposition 2, if the orness level $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, the optimal solutions $\hat{W}^* = (\hat{w}_1^*, \cdots, \hat{w}_n^*)$ is the dual of the optimal solutions $W^* = (w_1^*, \cdots, w_n^*)$ with $1 - \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\hat{w}_i^* = w_{n-i+1}^*$.

Table 1 shows the OWA operator weights determined by model (37) with $n = 5$ and $p = 2$ as a continuous piecewise linear function of $0 \le \alpha \le 1/2$.

Table 1. The LSD solution OWA operator weights.

W	Orness$(W) = \alpha$			
	$0 \le \alpha \le \frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{12} < \alpha \le \frac{13}{80}$	$\frac{13}{80} < \alpha \le \frac{6}{25}$	$\frac{6}{25} < \alpha \le \frac{1}{2}$
w_1^*	0	0	0	$\frac{-12+50\alpha}{65}$
w_2^*	0	0	$\frac{-26+160\alpha}{155}$	$\frac{-2+30\alpha}{65}$
w_3^*	0	$\frac{-3+36\alpha}{19}$	$\frac{7+100\alpha}{155}$	$\frac{1}{5}$
w_4^*	4α	$\frac{6+4\alpha}{19}$	$\frac{64-60\alpha}{155}$	$\frac{28-30\alpha}{65}$
w_5^*	$1-4\alpha$	$\frac{16-40\alpha}{19}$	$\frac{46-140\alpha}{155}$	$\frac{38-50\alpha}{65}$

We next consider the same numerical example that Sang and Liu [17] presented in their illustration of the application of the least square deviation model for $n = 10$. Sang and Liu [17] determined the OWA operator weights satisfying discrete degrees of orness: $\alpha = 0, 0.1, \cdots, 0.9, 1$. But, in this example, we determine the solution OWA operator weights $w_k^*, k = 1, 2, \cdots, 10$ as a function of α for all orness level $0 \le \alpha \le 1$.

Example 2 ([17]). *Suppose that $p = 2$ and $n = 10$. Then, from Corollary 1 and Equation (39) of Corollary 2, we have*

$$J_{10}(0) = \frac{1}{2}, J_{10}(1) = \frac{62}{225}, J_{10}(2) = \frac{98}{405}, J_{10}(3) = \frac{5}{24}, J_{10}(4) = \frac{11}{63},$$

$$J_{10}(5) = \frac{19}{135}, J_{10}(6) = \frac{8}{75}, J_{10}(7) = \frac{13}{180}, J_{10}(8) = \frac{1}{27}, J_{10}(9) = 0.$$

In case of $(J_{10}(1), J_{10}(0)] = (\frac{62}{225}, \frac{1}{2}]$, we substitute k with $1, 2, \cdots, 10$ in equations of Corollary 1. Then

$$x_1^* = \frac{-62+225\alpha}{505}, x_2^* = \frac{27-54\alpha}{1111}, x_3^* = \frac{48-96\alpha}{1111}, x_4^* = \frac{63-126\alpha}{1111}, x_5^* = \frac{72-144\alpha}{1111},$$
$$x_6^* = \frac{75-150\alpha}{1111}, x_7^* = \frac{72-144\alpha}{1111}, x_8^* = \frac{63-126\alpha}{1111}, x_9^* = \frac{48-96\alpha}{1111}, x_{10}^* = \frac{27-54\alpha}{1111}.$$

Thus the optimal solution of the problem is

$$w_1^* = -\frac{62}{505} + \frac{45\alpha}{101}, w_2^* = -\frac{547}{5555} + \frac{441\alpha}{1111}, w_3^* = -\frac{307}{5555} + \frac{345\alpha}{1111}, w_4^* = \frac{8}{5555} + \frac{219\alpha}{1111},$$

$$w_5^* = \frac{368}{5555} + \frac{75\alpha}{1111}, w_6^* = \frac{743}{5555} - \frac{75\alpha}{1111}, w_7^* = \frac{1103}{5555} - \frac{219\alpha}{1111}, w_8^* = \frac{1418}{5555} - \frac{345\alpha}{1111},$$

$$w_9^* = \frac{1658}{5555} - \frac{441\alpha}{1111}, w_{10}^* = \frac{163}{505} - \frac{45\alpha}{101}.$$

In case of $(J_{10}(2), J_{10}(1)] = (\frac{98}{405}, \frac{62}{225}]$, we substitute k with $2, \cdots, 10$ in Equation (38) of Corollary 2. Then

$$x_1^* = 0, x_2^* = \frac{243\alpha}{748} - \frac{147}{1870}, x_3^* = \frac{3\alpha}{22} - \frac{1}{55}, x_4^* = -\frac{21\alpha}{1496} + \frac{329}{11220},$$

$$x_5^* = -\frac{189\alpha}{1496} + \frac{239}{3740}, x_6^* = -\frac{75\alpha}{374} + \frac{16}{187}, x_7^* = -\frac{177\alpha}{748} + \frac{529}{5610},$$

$$x_8^* = -\frac{351\alpha}{1496} + \frac{337}{3740}, x_9^* = -\frac{291\alpha}{1496} + \frac{273}{3740}, x_{10}^* = -\frac{87\alpha}{748} + \frac{241}{5610}.$$

Thus the optimal solution of the problem is

$$w_1^* = 0, w_2^* = \frac{243\alpha}{748} - \frac{147}{1870}, w_3^* = \frac{345\alpha}{748} - \frac{181}{1870}, w_4^* = \frac{669\alpha}{1496} - \frac{757}{11220},$$

$$w_5^* = \frac{60\alpha}{187} - \frac{2}{561}, w_6^* = \frac{45\alpha}{374} + \frac{46}{561}, w_7^* = -\frac{87\alpha}{748} + \frac{989}{5610},$$

$$w_8^* = -\frac{525\alpha}{1496} + \frac{2989}{11220}, w_9^* = -\frac{6\alpha}{11} + \frac{56}{165}, w_{10}^* = -\frac{45\alpha}{68} + \frac{13}{34}.$$

Similarly, we can obtain optimal solutions as a linear function of α on each intervals such as $(J_{10}(3), J_{10}(2)] = (\frac{5}{24}, \frac{98}{405}], (J_{10}(4), J_{10}(3)] = (\frac{11}{63}, \frac{5}{24}], (J_{10}(5), J_{10}(4)] = (\frac{19}{135}, \frac{11}{63}], (J_{10}(6), J_{10}(5)] = (\frac{8}{75}, \frac{19}{135}], (J_{10}(7), J_{10}(6)] = (\frac{13}{180}, \frac{8}{75}], (J_{10}(8), J_{10}(7)] = (\frac{1}{27}, \frac{13}{180}]$ *and* $(J_{10}(9), J_{10}(8)] = (0, \frac{1}{27}].$

Example 3. *In this example we consider a different type of the model (37) when $p = 3/2$ and $n = 10$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Minimize} &&\sum_{i=1}^{i=9}(w_{i+1} - w_i)^{\frac{3}{2}}\\
&\text{subject to} \quad &&orness(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{10} \frac{n-i}{9}w_i = \alpha, \ 0 \le \alpha \le 1,\\
&&&w_1 + \cdots + w_n = 1, 0 \le w_i, i = 1, \cdots, 10.
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

We determine the solution OWA operator weights $w_k^*, k = 1, 2, \cdots, 10$ as a function of α on $(J_{10}(1), 1/2]$. If $p = 3/2$ then $F(x) = x^{\frac{3}{2}}$, and then $(F')^{-1}(x) = \frac{4}{9}x^2$. By the Equation (20) in with $F(x) = x^{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $(F')^{-1}(x) = \frac{4}{9}x^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - 2\alpha &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1}(F')^{-1}\left(\frac{c^*(k-1)(n-k+1)}{n-1}\right)\\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{10} \frac{(k-1)(10-k+1)}{10-1}\frac{4}{9}\left(\frac{c^*(k-1)(10-k+1)}{10-1}\right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $c^* = \frac{27}{47630}\sqrt{-142890\alpha + 71445}$, we have

$$x_k^* = \frac{4}{9}\left(\frac{c^*(k-1)(10-k+1)}{10-1}\right)^2 = -\frac{3}{23815}(2\alpha - 1)(k-1)^2(k-11)^2$$

for $k = 2, \cdots, 10$ in Equation (18) of and

$$x_1^* = \frac{1}{10}\left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{10}(n-k+1)x_k^*\right) = -\frac{238}{2165} + \frac{909}{2165}\alpha.$$

in Equation (19) of .

Since $x_1^* = w_1^* > 0$,

$$J_{10}(1) = \frac{238}{909} < \alpha < 1/2.$$

Thus the optimal solution of the problem (40) in case of $(J_{10}(1), 1/2] = (\frac{238}{909}, 1/2]$ is

$$w_1^* = -\frac{238}{2165} + \frac{909\alpha}{2165}, w_2^* = -\frac{475}{4763} + \frac{9513\alpha}{23815}, w_3^* = -\frac{1607}{23815} + \frac{7977\alpha}{23815}, w_4^* = -\frac{284}{23815} + \frac{5331\alpha}{23815},$$

$$w_5^* = \frac{1444}{23815} + \frac{375\alpha}{4763}, w_6^* = \frac{3319}{23815} - \frac{375\alpha}{4763}, w_7^* = \frac{5047}{23815} - \frac{5331\alpha}{23815}, w_8^* = \frac{1274}{4763} - \frac{7977\alpha}{23815},$$

$$w_9^* = \frac{7138}{23815} - \frac{9513\alpha}{23815}, w_{10}^* = \frac{671}{2165} - \frac{909\alpha}{2165}.$$

By similar method in the proof of Corollary 2, we have

$$J_{10}(m) = -\frac{(m-9)(4m^3 - 133m^2 + 1480m - 5516)}{126(m-11)(m^2 - 22m + 122)}, \quad m = 1, 2, \cdots, 9.$$

Since $0.2 \in (J_{10}(3), J_{10}(2)] = (0.198, 0.230]$,

$$a^* = 0.022, \quad b^* = -0.157$$

and from Equation (16) in ,

$$x_k^* = \frac{4}{9}\left(a^*(10-k)(11-k) + b^*(11-k)\right)^2, k = 3, 4, \cdots, 10,$$

that is

$$x_1^* = x_2^* = 0, x_3^* = 0.0001, x_4^* = 0.012, x_5^* = 0.033, x_6^* = 0.051$$

$$x_7^* = 0.058, x_8^* = 0.050, x_9^* = 0.032, x_{10}^* = 0.011$$

so that the optimal solution is

$$w_1^* = w_2^* = 0, w_3^* = 0.0001, w_4^* = 0.012, w_5^* = 0.046, w_6^* = 0.097$$

$$w_7^* = 0.155, w_8^* = 0.205, w_9^* = 0.237, w_{10}^* = 0.248.$$

Similarly for $0.1 \in (J_{10}(7), J_{10}(6)] = (0.070, 0.103]$, *we have*

$$a^* = -0.099, \quad b^* = 0.385,$$

and from Equation (16) in ,

$$x_1^* = \cdots = x_6^* = 0, x_7^* = 0.056, x_8^* = 0.140, x_9^* = 0.145, x_{10}^* = 0.066$$

so that the optimal solution is

$$w_1^* = \cdots = w_6^* = 0, w_7^* = 0.056, w_8^* = 0.196, w_9^* = 0.341, w_{10}^* = 0.407.$$

5. Conclusions

This paper proposes a general least convex deviation model for obtaining OWA operator weights, with orness as its control parameter. This general model includes the least squares deviation (LSD) method by Wang et al. [1] as a special class. We completely proved this constrained optimization problem mathematically. Using this result, we also give solution of LSD model suggested by Wang, Luo and Liu as a function of *n* and *α* completely. We considered the same numerical examples that Wang et al. [1] and Sang and Liu [17], and presented the exact optimal solutions as a function of *n* and *α* completely.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.H.H; methodology, D.H.H; formal analysis, D.H.H; investigation, D.H.H and S.H.; writing–review and editing, D.H.H and S.H.; funding acquisition, D.H.H.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Wang, Y.M.; Luo, Y.; Liu, X. Two new models for determining OWA operater weights. *Comput. Ind. Eng.* **2007**, *52*, 203–209. [CrossRef]

2. Yager, R.R. Ordered weighted averaging aggregation operators in multi-criteria decision making. *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.* **1988**, *18*, 183–190. [CrossRef]

3. Amin, G.R.; Emrouznejad, A. An extended minimax disparity to determine the OWA operator weights. *Comput. Ind. Eng.* **2006**, *50*, 312–316. [CrossRef]

4. Amin, G.R. Notes on priperties of the OWA weights determination model. *Comput. Ind. Eng.* **2007**, *52*, 533–538. [CrossRef]

5. Emrouznejad, A.; Amin, G.R. Improving minimax disparity model to determine the OWA operator weights. *Inf. Sci.* **2010**, *180*, 1477–1485. [CrossRef]

6. Filev, D.; Yager, R.R. On the issue of obtaining OWA operator weights. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **1988**, *94*, 157–169. [CrossRef]

7. Fullér, R.; Majlender, P. An analytic approach for obtaining maximal entropy OWA operators weights. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2001**, *124*, 53–57. [CrossRef]

8. Fullér, R.; Majlender, P. On obtaining minimal variability OWA operator weights. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2003**, *136*, 203–215. [CrossRef]

9. O'Hagan, M. Aggregating template or rule antecedents in real-time expert systems with fuzzy set logic. In Proceedings of the 22nd annual IEEE Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems, Computers, Pacific Grove, CA, USA, 31 October–2 November 1988; pp. 681–689.

10. Hong, D.H. A note on the minimal variability OWA operator weights. *Int. J. Uncertain. Fuzziness Knowl.-Based Syst.* **2006**, *14*, 747–752. [CrossRef]

11. Hong, D.H. On proving the extended minimax disparity OWA problem. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2011**, *168*, 35–46. [CrossRef]

12. Liu, X. The solution equivalence of minimax disparity and minimum variance problems for OWA operators. *Int. J. Approx. Reason.* **2007**, *45*, 68–81. [CrossRef]

13. Liu, X.; Chen, L. On the properties of parametric geometric OWA operator. *Int. J. Approx. Reason.* **2004**, *35*, 163–178. [CrossRef]

14. Liu, X. A general model of parameterized OWA aggregation with given orness level. *Int. J. Approx. Reason.* **2008** *48*, 598–627. [CrossRef]

15. Liu, X. Models to determine parameterized ordered weighted averaging operators using optimization criteria. *Inf. Sci.* **2012** *190*, 27–55. [CrossRef]

16. Majlender, P. OWA operators with maximal Rényi entropy. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2005**, *155*, 340–360. [CrossRef]

17. Sang, X.; Liu, X. An analytic approach to obtain the least square deviation OWA operater weights. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2014**, *240*, 103–116. [CrossRef]

18. Wang, Y.M.; Parkan, C. A minimax disparity approach obtaining OWA operator weights. *Inf. Sci.* **2005** *175*, 20–29. [CrossRef]

19. Yager, R.R. Families of OWA operators. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **1993**, *59*, 125–148. [CrossRef]

20. Yager, R.R.; Filev, D. Induced ordered weighted averaging operators. *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. Part B* **1999**, *29*, 141–150. [CrossRef] [PubMed]