

Article

An Analytical Technique to Solve the System of Nonlinear Fractional Partial Differential Equations

Rasool Shah ¹^[b], Hassan Khan ¹^[b], Poom Kumam ^{2,3,*}^[b] and Muhammad Arif ¹^[b]

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, Abdul Wali khan University, Mardan 23200, Pakistan; rasoolshah@awkum.edu.pk (R.S.); hassanmath@awkum.edu.pk (H.K.); marifmaths@awkum.edu.pk (M.A.)
- ² Center of Excellence in Theoretical and Computational Science (TaCS-CoE) & Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT), 126 Pracha Uthit Rd., Bang Mod, Thung Khru, Bangkok 10140, Thailand
- ³ Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan
- * Correspondence: poom.kum@kmutt.ac.th

Received: 21 April 2019; Accepted: 13 May 2019; Published: 2 June 2019



Abstract: The Kortweg–de Vries equations play an important role to model different physical phenomena in nature. In this research article, we have investigated the analytical solution to system of nonlinear fractional Kortweg–de Vries, partial differential equations. The Caputo operator is used to define fractional derivatives. Some illustrative examples are considered to check the validity and accuracy of the proposed method. The obtained results have shown the best agreement with the exact solution for the problems. The solution graphs are in full support to confirm the authenticity of the present method.

Keywords: Laplace–Adomian decomposition method; Fractional–order systems of non-linear partial differential equations; Caputo operator; Laplace transformation; Mittag–Leffler function

1. Introduction

In the study of nonlinear dispersive waves, Kortweg–de Vries (KdV) is an important class of differential equations. This class is derived by two great scientists Kortweg and de Vries in 1895 for describing long wave propagation on shallow water. Although KdV equations are studied from a decade, its physical behavior is still curious. The phenomena described by Russell can be expressed by the KdV equation successfully [1]. This equation plays an important role in various fields of science and technology, so a lot of research work has been devoted for this study [2]. Numerous physical problems in different fields of mechanics, biology, hydrodynamics and plasma physics are successfully modelled by a nonlinear coupled system of Partial Differential Equations (PDEs).

In nonlinear PDEs, the nonlinear term is completely responsible for the study of any physical problem [3]. The exact solution of nonlinear PDEs may not be calculated easily, therefore various analytical and numerical techniques have been suggested for the solution of such types of equations. The well-known analytical approaches for the solution of coupled systems of differential equations are iterative methods, perturbation methods and homotopy based methods, etc. Each approach has its own merits and demerits. Some approaches for the solution of coupled system of differential equations have been discussed successfully in [2].

Generalized Hirota–Satsuma coupled KdV equations have been solved, using the modified decomposition method [4]. An exact approach has been suggested for the solution of coupled KdV, using the homogenous balance method [5]. By using the differential transform method, the analytical solutions of coupled KdV have been studied in [6]. The homotopy analysis method have been



described in [7] for solving KdV equations. The exact solution of KdV has been investigated in [8] using the variational iteration method. The analytical solution for a generalized coupled system of Zakharov–Kuznetsov and KdV equations have been obtained in [9] using the modified extended tanh method.

In 1980, George Adomian has introduced a new mathematical technique, known as the adomian decomposition method (ADM) to solve nonlinear differential equations [10]. Similarly, another powerful technique for solving PDEs discovered by Pierre-Simon Laplace is known as the Laplace transform method, which transforms the original differential equations into an algebraic expression [11]. Among all these methods, the Laplace Adomian Decompositon Method (LADM) is an efficient analytical method to solve nonlinear fractional partial differential equations. LADM is the combination of two powerful techniques, Laplace transform and the Adomian Decomposition Method. Furthermore, the proposed method has no requirement of predefined size declaration like Runge–Kutta methods. Therefore, this technique is considered to be ideal for those equations that represent nonlinear models. Compared to other analytical techniques, LADM have less numbers of parameters; therefore, LADM is a perfect technique, requiring no discretization and linearization [12]. Non-linear Coupled PDE's and non-linear Blasius flow equation using Laplace decomposition method [13,14]. A comparison between the LADM and ADM for the analysis of FPDEs is discussed in [15]. The Kundu–Eckhaus Equation deals in the quantum field theory, and the analytical solution of this nonlinear PDEs has been derived in [10] using LADM. The multi-step Laplace Adomian decomposition method has been described in [16] for nonlinear fractional differential equations. Analysis of the fractional order smoke model has been studied successfully by using LADM [17]. Such as Fractional Order Epidemic Model of a Vector Born Disease [18], Multi dimensional of Navier–Stokes equation [19] and third-order dispersive FPDE's using LADM [20]. Motivated from the above studies, in this paper, we applied LADM to solve the system of fractional KdV equations [21].

2. Definitions and Preliminary Concepts

Definition 1. *R*-*L* fractional integral

$$I_x^{\gamma}g(x) = \begin{cases} g(x), & \text{if } \gamma = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^x (x-v)^{\gamma-1}g(v)dv & \text{if } \gamma > 0, \end{cases}$$

where Γ denote the gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma(\omega) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{\omega-1} dx \qquad \omega \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In this study, Caputo et al. [22] suggested a revised fractional derivative operator in order to overcome inconsistency measured in the Riemann–Liouville derivative [23]. The above mathematical statement described the Caputo fractional derivative operator of initial and boundary conditions for fractional as well as integer order derivatives.

Definition 2. *The Caputo operator of order* γ *for fractional derivative is given by the following mathematical expression for* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *,* x > 0*,* $g \in \mathbb{C}_t$ *,* $t \ge -1$:

$$D^{\gamma}g(x) = \frac{\partial^{\gamma}g(x)}{\partial t^{\gamma}} = \begin{cases} I^{n-\gamma} \left[\frac{\partial^{\gamma}g(x)}{\partial t^{\gamma}} \right], & \text{if } n-1 < \gamma \le n, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \frac{\partial^{\gamma}g(x)}{\partial t^{\gamma}}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we require the subsequent properties given in the next Lemma.

Lemma 1. If $n - 1 < \gamma \le n$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in \mathbb{C}_t$ with $t \ge -1$, then

$$\begin{split} I^{\gamma}I^{a}g(x) &= I^{\gamma+a}g(x), \qquad a, \gamma \geq 0. \\ I^{\gamma}x^{\lambda} &= \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\gamma+\lambda+1)}x^{\gamma+\lambda}, \qquad \gamma > 0, \lambda > -1, \quad x > 0. \\ I^{\gamma}D^{\gamma}g(x) &= g(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}g^{(k)}(0^{+})\frac{x^{k}}{k!}, \qquad for \quad x > 0, n-1 < \gamma \leq n. \end{split}$$

In the current study, the Caputo operator is reasonable as other fractional derivative operators have certain disadvantages. Further information about fractional derivatives are found in [24].

Definition 3. *The Laplace transform of* h(t)*,* t > 0 *is defined by*

$$H(s) = \mathcal{L}[h(t)] = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} h(t) dt$$

Definition 4. The Laplace transform in term of convolution is given by

$$\mathcal{L}[h_1 * h_2] = \mathcal{L}[h_1(t)] * \mathcal{L}[h_2(t)].$$

Here, $h_1 * h_2$ *, define the convolution between* h_1 *and* h_2 *,*

$$(h_1 * h_2)t = \int_0^\tau h_1(\tau)h_2(t-\tau)dt.$$

Fractional derivative in terms of Laplace transform is

$$\mathcal{L}\left(D_t^{\gamma}h(t)\right) = s^{\gamma}H(s) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}s^{\gamma-1-k}h^{(k)}(0), \qquad n-1 < \gamma < n,$$

where H(s) is the Laplace transform of h(t).

Definition 5. The Mittag–Leffler function, $E_{\gamma}(p)$ for $\gamma > 0$ is represented as

$$E_{\gamma}(p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^n}{\Gamma(\gamma n+1)} \qquad \gamma > 0, \quad p \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Theorem 1. Here, we will study the convergence analysis in the same manner as [25] of the LADM applied to the fractional-order Kortweg–de Vries. Let us consider the Hilbert space H which may define by $H = L^2((\alpha, \beta)X[0, T])$ the set of applications:

$$u: (\alpha, \beta)X[0, T] \rightarrow with \int_{(\alpha, \beta)X[0, T]} u^2(x, s) ds d\theta < +\infty.$$

Now, we consider the fractional-order Kortweg-de Vries in the above assumptions and let us denote

$$L(u) = \frac{\partial^{\gamma} u}{\partial t^{\gamma}}.$$

Then, the fractional dispersive PDE becomes in an operator form

$$L(u) = -\varphi \frac{\partial v(x,t)}{\partial x} - w \frac{\partial^3 v(x,t)}{\partial x^3}$$

The LADM is convergence, if the following two hypotheses are satisfied:

$$(H1)(L(u) - L(v), u - v) \ge k ||u - v||^2; k > 0, \forall u, v \in H.$$

H(2) may be M > 0, and there exists a constant C(M) > 0 such that, for $u, v \in H$ with $||u|| \le M$, $||v|| \le M$, we have $(L(u) - L(v), u - v) \le C(M) ||u - v|| ||w||$ for every $w \in H$.

3. Idea of Fractional Laplace-Adomian Decomposition Method

In this section, the Laplace–Adomian Decomposition Method is discussed for the solution of FPDEs:

$$D^{\gamma}u(x_1, t_1) + Lu(x_1, t_1) + Nu(x_1, t_1) = q(x_1, t_1), \quad x_1, t_1 \ge 0, \quad m - 1 < \gamma < m,$$
(1)

where $D^{\gamma} = \frac{\partial^{\gamma}}{\partial t_1^{\gamma}}$ the Caputo Operator $\gamma, m \in \mathbb{N}$, where L and N are linear and nonlinear functions, *q* is the source function.

The initial condition is

$$u(x_1, 0) = k(x_1), \quad 0 < \gamma \le 1, \quad t_1 > 0.$$
 (2)

Applying the Laplace transform to Equation (1), we have

$$\mathcal{L}[D^{\gamma}u(x_{1},t_{1})] + \mathcal{L}[Lu(x_{1},t_{1}) + Nu(x_{1},t_{1})] = \mathcal{L}[q(x_{1},t_{1})], \qquad (3)$$

and using the differentiation property of Laplace transform, we get

$$s^{\gamma} \mathcal{L} [u(x_{1}, t_{1})] - s^{\gamma - 1} u(x_{1}, 0) = \mathcal{L} [q(x_{1}, t_{1})] - \mathcal{L} [Lu(x_{1}, t_{1}) + Nu(x_{1}, t_{1})],$$

$$\mathcal{L} [u(x_{1}, t_{1})] = \frac{k(x_{1})}{s} + \frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} [q(x_{1}, t_{1})] - \frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} [Lu(x_{1}, t_{1}) + Nu(x_{1}, t_{1})].$$
(4)

The LADM solution $u(x_1, t_1)$ is represented by the following infinite series

$$u(x_1, t_1) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j(x_1, t_1),$$
(5)

and the nonlinear terms (if any) in the problem are defined by the infinite series of Adomian polynomials,

$$Nu(x_1, t_1) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j,$$
 (6)

$$A_j = \frac{1}{j!} \left[\frac{d^j}{d\lambda^j} \left[N \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^j u_j) \right] \right]_{\lambda=0}, \qquad j = 0, 1, 2...$$
(7)

Substituting Equations (5) and (6) into Equation (4), we get

$$\mathcal{L}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u(x_1, t_1)\right] = \frac{k(x_1)}{s} + \frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L}\left[q(x_1, t_1)\right] - \frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L}\left[M\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j(x_1, t_1) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j\right].$$
(8)

Applying the linearity of the Laplace transform,

$$\mathcal{L}[u_0(x_1,t_1)] = \frac{u(x_1,0)}{s} + \frac{1}{s^{\gamma}}\mathcal{L}[q(x_1,t_1)] = k(x_1,s),$$

$$\mathcal{L}\left[u_1(x_1,t_1)\right] = -\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}}\mathcal{L}\left[Lu_0(x_1,t_1) + A_0\right].$$

Generally, we can write

$$\mathcal{L}\left[u_{j+1}(x_1,t_1)\right] = -\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}}\mathcal{L}\left[Lu_j(x_1,t_1) + A_j\right], \quad j \ge 1.$$
(9)

Applying the inverse Laplace transform, in Equation (9)

$$u_0(x_1, t_1) = k(x_1, t_1)$$
$$u_{j+1}(x_1, t_1) = -\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[Lu_j(x_1, t_1) + A_j \right] \right].$$
(10)

4. Results

Example 1. Consider the nonlinear KdV system of time-fractional order

$$\frac{\partial^{\gamma} u}{\partial t_{1}^{\gamma}} = -a \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - 6au \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} + 6v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{1}},$$

$$\frac{\partial^{\gamma} v}{\partial t_{1}^{\gamma}} = -a \frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - 3au \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{1}}, \qquad 0 < \gamma < 1,$$
(11)

with initial condition

$$u(x_1,0) = \eta^2 \sec h^2(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}), \qquad v(x_1,0) = \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}}\eta^2 \sec h^2(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}).$$
(12)

For $\gamma = 1$, the exact solutions of the KdV system Equation (11) are given by

$$u(x_1, t_1) = \eta^2 \sec h^2 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2} - \frac{a\eta^3 t_1}{2}),$$

$$v(x_1, t_1) = \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}} \eta^2 \sec h^2 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2} - \frac{a\eta^3 t_1}{2}),$$
(13)

where the constant *a* is a wave velocity and η , α are arbitrary constants.

Taking Laplace transform of Equation (11),

$$\mathcal{L}\left[\frac{\partial^{\gamma} u}{\partial t_{1}^{\gamma}}\right] = \mathcal{L}\left[-a\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - 6au\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} + 6v\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{1}}\right],$$
$$\mathcal{L}\left[\frac{\partial^{\gamma} v}{\partial t_{1}^{\gamma}}\right] = \mathcal{L}\left[-a\frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - 3au\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{1}}\right],$$
$$s^{\gamma}\mathcal{L}\left[u(x_{1}, t_{1})\right] - s^{\gamma-1}\left[u(x_{1}, 0)\right] = \mathcal{L}\left[-a\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - 6au\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} + 6v\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{1}}\right],$$
$$s^{\gamma}\mathcal{L}\left[v(x_{1}, t_{1})\right] - s^{\gamma-1}\left[v(x_{1}, 0)\right] = \mathcal{L}\left[-a\frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - 3au\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{1}}\right].$$

Applying inverse Laplace transform

$$\begin{split} u(x_1,t_1) &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{u(x_1,0)}{s} + \frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-a \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_1^3} - 6au \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} + 6v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \right] \right], \\ v(x_1,t_1) &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{v(x_1,0)}{s} + \frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-a \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x_1^3} - 3au \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \right] \right], \\ u(x_1,t_1) &= \eta^2 \sec h^2 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) + \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-a \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_1^3} - 6au \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} + 6v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \right] \right], \\ v(x_1,t_1) &= \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}} \eta^2 \sec h^2 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) + \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-a \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x_1^3} - 3au \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \right] \right]. \end{split}$$

Using the ADM procedure, we get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j(x_1, t_1) &= \eta^2 \sec h^2(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) \\ &+ \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-a \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^3 u_j}{\partial x_1^3} - 6a \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j(u, u_{x_1}) + 6 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j(v, v_{x_1}) \right] \right], \\ &\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} v_j(x_1, t_1) = \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}} \eta^2 \sec h^2(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) + \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-a \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^3 v_j}{\partial x_1^3} - 3a \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C_j(u, v_{x_1}) \right] \right], \end{split}$$

where $A_j(u, u_{x_1})$, $B_j(v, v_{x_1})$ and $C_j(u, v_{x_1})$ are Adomian polynomials, represent nonlinear terms in above equations. The components of the above Adomian polynomials are given below:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{0}(u, u_{x_{1}}) &= u_{0} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}}, \\ A_{1}(u, u_{x_{1}}) &= u_{0} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} + u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}}, \\ A_{2}(u, u_{x_{1}}) &= u_{0} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} + u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} + u_{2} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}}. \\ B_{0}(v, v_{x_{1}}) &= v_{0} \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial x_{1}}, \\ B_{1}(v, v_{x_{1}}) &= v_{0} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} + v_{1} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}, \\ B_{2}(v, v_{x_{1}}) &= v_{0} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} + v_{1} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} + v_{2} \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial x_{1}}. \\ C_{0}(u, v_{x_{1}}) &= u_{0} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}, \\ C_{1}(u, v_{x_{1}}) &= u_{0} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} + u_{1} \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial x_{1}}, \\ C_{2}(u, v_{x_{1}}) &= u_{0} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} + u_{1} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} + u_{2} \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial x_{1}}. \\ u_{0}(x_{1}, t_{1}) &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{2}} \operatorname{sec} h^{2}(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2}), \\ v_{0}(x_{1}, t_{1}) &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{2}} \eta^{2} \operatorname{sec} h^{2}(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2}), \end{aligned}$$
(14)
$$u_{j+1}(x_{1}, t_{1}) &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-a \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{3} u_{j}}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - 6a \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_{j}(u, u_{x_{1}}) + 6 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_{j}(v, v_{x_{1}}) \right] \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$v_{j+1}(x_1,t_1) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}}\mathcal{L}\left[-a\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{\partial^3 v_j}{\partial x_1^3} - 3a\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}C_j(u,v_{x_1})\right]\right],$$

for j = 0, 1, 2, ...

$$\begin{split} u_{1}(x_{1},t_{1}) &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-a \frac{\partial^{3} u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - 6a u_{0} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}} + 6v_{0} \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial x_{1}} \right] \right], \\ u_{1}(x_{1},t_{1}) &= \eta^{5} a \tan h (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2}) \sec h^{2} (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2}) \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma+1}} \right] \\ &= \eta^{5} a \tan h (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2}) \sec h^{2} (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2}) \frac{t_{1}^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}, \\ v_{1}(x_{1},t_{1}) &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-a \frac{\partial^{3} v_{0}}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - 3a u_{0} \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial x_{1}} \right] \right], \\ v_{1}(x_{1},t_{1}) &= \frac{\eta^{5} a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \tan h (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2}) \sec h^{2} (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2}) \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma+1}} \right] \\ &= \frac{\eta^{5} a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \tan h (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2}) \sec h^{2} (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2}) \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma+1}} \right] \end{split}$$

The subsequent terms are

$$\begin{split} u_{2}(x_{1},t_{1}) &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-a \frac{\partial^{3} u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - 6au_{0} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} - 6au_{1} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}} + 6v_{0} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} + 6v_{1} \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial x_{1}} \right] \right], \\ &= \frac{\eta^{8} a^{2}}{2} \left[2 \cos h^{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2} \right) - 3 \right] \sec h^{4} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2} \right) \frac{t_{1}^{2\gamma}}{\Gamma(2\gamma+1)}, \\ v_{2}(x_{1},t_{1}) &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-a \frac{\partial^{3} u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - 3au_{0} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} - 3au_{1} \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial x_{1}} \right] \right], \\ &= \frac{\eta^{5} a^{\frac{5}{2}} \sqrt{2}}{4} \left[2 \cos h^{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2} \right) - 3 \right] \sec h^{4} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2} \right) \frac{t_{1}^{2\gamma}}{\Gamma(2\gamma+1)}. \\ u_{3}(x_{1},t_{1}) &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-a \frac{\partial^{3} u_{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - 6au_{0} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} - 6au_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} - 6au_{2} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}} \right] \\ &+ 6v_{0} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} + 6v_{1} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} + 6v_{2} \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial x_{1}} \right], \\ &= \frac{\sin h \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2} \right) t_{1}^{3\gamma} a^{3} \eta^{4}}{2\Gamma(3\gamma+1)\Gamma(\gamma+1)^{2} \cos h^{7} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2} \right)} \left[2\Gamma(\gamma+1)^{2} \cos h^{4} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2} \right) \\ &- 18\Gamma(\gamma+1)^{2} \cosh^{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2} \right) + 6\Gamma(2\gamma+1) \cos h^{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2} \right) + 18\Gamma(\gamma+1)^{2} - 9\Gamma(2\gamma+1) \right] \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2} \sin h \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2} \right) t_{1}^{3\gamma} a^{2} \eta^{11}}{4\Gamma(3\gamma+1)\Gamma(\gamma+1)^{2} \cos h^{7} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2} \right)} \left[2\Gamma(\gamma+1)^{2} \cosh^{4} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2} \right) \\ &- 18\Gamma(\gamma+1)^{2} \cos h^{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2} \right) + 6\Gamma(2\gamma+1) \cos h^{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_{1}}{2} \right) + 18\Gamma(\gamma+1)^{2} - 9\Gamma(2\gamma+1) \right]. \end{split}$$

The LADM solution for Example 1 is

$$u(x_1, t_1) = u_0(x_1, t_1) + u_1(x_1, t_1) + u_2(x_1, t_1) + u_3(x_1, t_1) + \dots,$$

$$v(x_1, t_1) = v_0(x_1, t_1) + v_1(x_1, t_1) + v_2(x_1, t_1) + v_3(x_1, t_1) + \dots,$$

$$\begin{split} u(x_1,t_1) &= \eta^2 \sec h^2 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) + \eta^5 a \tan h (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) \sec h^2 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) \frac{t_1^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \\ &+ \frac{\eta^8 a^2}{2} [2 \cos h^2 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) - 3] \sec h^4 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) \frac{t_1^{2\gamma}}{\Gamma(2\gamma+1)} \\ &+ \frac{\sin h (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) t_1^{3\gamma} a^3 \eta^4}{2\Gamma(3\gamma+1)\Gamma(\gamma+1)^2 \cos h^7 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2})} [2\Gamma(\gamma+1)^2 \cos h^4 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) \\ &- 18\Gamma(\gamma+1)^2 \cos h^2 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) + 6\Gamma(2\gamma+1) \cos h^2 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) \\ &+ 18\Gamma(\gamma+1)^2 - 9\Gamma(2\gamma+1)] + \dots, \end{split}$$

$$v(x_1,t_1) &= \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}} \eta^2 \sec h^2 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) + \frac{\eta^5 a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \tan h (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) \sec h^2 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) \frac{t_1^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \\ &+ \frac{\eta^8 a^2}{2} [2 \cos h^2 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) - 3] \sec h^4 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) \frac{t_1^{2\gamma}}{\Gamma(2\gamma+1)} \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{2} \sin h (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) t_1^{3\gamma} a^{\frac{7}{2}} \eta^{11}}{4\Gamma(3\gamma+1)\Gamma(\gamma+1)^2 \cos h^7 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2})} [2\Gamma(\gamma+1)^2 \cos h^4 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) \\ &- 18\Gamma(\gamma+1)^2 \cos h^2 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) + 6\Gamma(2\gamma+1) \cos h^2 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2}) \end{split}$$

For $\gamma = 1$, the exact solutions of the KdV system Equation (11) are given by

 $+ 18\Gamma(\gamma+1)^2 - 9\Gamma(2\gamma+1)] + \ldots,$

$$u(x_1, t_1) = \eta^2 \sec h^2 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2} - \frac{a\eta^3 t_1}{2}),$$

$$v(x_1, t_1) = \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}} \eta^2 \sec h^2 (\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\eta x_1}{2} - \frac{a\eta^3 t_1}{2}).$$
(17)

The numerical values of Example 1 show the accuracy and efficiency of the LADM at different values of x_1, t_1 in Table 1. In Figures 1 and 2 and Table 1, we consider fixed values $a = \eta = 0.5$, $\alpha = 1$ and fixed order $\gamma = 1$ for piecewise approximation values of x_1, t_1 in the domain $-10 \le x_1 \le 10$ and $0.20 \le t_1 \le 1$. Figure 1a,b represent the graphs of LADM solution at $\gamma = 1$, and error graphs a and b at $\gamma = 1$ in Figure 2 respectively of Example 1. It is clear from the Figure 1a,b that LADM solutions are in good agreement with the exact solution of the problems. There is a small difference from the solutions graph of the problem because the solution of the fractional-order problems creates a little deviation from the solution at the integer order problem. The a and b in Figure 2 show the variation of the error for different values of the variables x_1 and t_1 .

Table 1. Solution of LADM for different values of γ when $\eta = 0.001$ and Absolute Error (AE) of Example 1.

LADM		$\gamma = 0.55$		$\gamma = 1$		$Ex(\gamma = 1)$		AE	
x_1	t_1	<i>u</i> LADM	v_{LADM}	<i>u</i> LADM	v_{LADM}	u_{EX}	v_{EX}	$u_{EX} - u_{app}$	$v_{EX} - v_{app}$
-10	0.1	0.017635	0.002788	0.017654	0.002791	0.017661	0.002791	$7.449 imes 10^{-6}$	$4.928 imes10^{-7}$
	0.3	0.017613	0.002785	0.017637	0.002789	0.017659	0.002787	$2.238 imes10^{-5}$	$1.478 imes10^{-6}$
	0.5	0.017597	0.002783	0.017620	0.002786	0.017657	0.002784	$3.727 imes 10^{-5}$	$2.464 imes 10^{-6}$
0	0.1	0.196755	0.031107	0.196657	0.031093	0.196617	0.031096	$3.975 imes 10^{-5}$	2.629×10^{-6}
	0.3	0.196875	0.031123	0.196748	0.031106	0.196628	0.031114	$1.192 imes10^{-4}$	$7.889 imes10^{-6}$
	0.5	0.196961	0.031135	0.196839	0.031118	0.196640	0.031131	$1.987 imes10^{-4}$	$1.314 imes 10^{-5}$
10	0.1	0.002470	0.000390	0.002467	0.000390	0.002466	0.000390	$1.073 imes 10^{-6}$	$7.100 imes 10^{-8}$
	0.3	0.002473	0.000390	0.002470	0.000390	0.002466	0.000390	$3.221 imes 10^{-6}$	$2.131 imes10^{-7}$
	0.5	0.002475	0.000391	0.002472	0.000390	0.002467	0.000391	$5.368 imes10^{-6}$	$3.552 imes 10^{-7}$



Figure 1. The LADM solution of (**a**) $u(x_1, t_1)$ and (**b**) $v(x_1, t_1)$ of Example 1 *at* $\gamma = 1$.



Figure 2. The error plots of (a) $u(x_1, t_1)$ and (b) $v(x_1, t_1)$ of Example 1.

Example 2. Consider the nonlinear dispersive long wave system of time fractional order

$$\frac{\partial^{\gamma} u}{\partial t_{1}^{\gamma}} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u^{2}}{\partial x_{1}},$$

$$\frac{\partial^{\gamma} v}{\partial t_{1}^{\gamma}} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - \frac{\partial u v}{\partial x_{1}}, \qquad 0 < \gamma < 1,$$
(18)

with initial condition

$$u(x_1,0) = a[\tan h(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_1}{2}) + 1], \qquad v(x_1,0) = -1 + \frac{1}{2}a^2 \sec h^2(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_1}{2}).$$
(19)

Taking Laplace transform of Equation (17),

$$\mathcal{L}\left[\frac{\partial^{\gamma} u}{\partial t_{1}^{\gamma}}\right] = \mathcal{L}\left[-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial u^{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\right],$$

$$\mathcal{L}\left[\frac{\partial^{\gamma} v}{\partial t_{1}^{\gamma}}\right] = \mathcal{L}\left[-\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - \frac{\partial u v}{\partial x_{1}}\right],$$

$$s^{\gamma} \mathcal{L}\left[u(x_{1}, t_{1})\right] - s^{\gamma-1}\left[u(x_{1}, 0)\right] = \mathcal{L}\left[-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial u^{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\right],$$

$$s^{\gamma} \mathcal{L}\left[v(x_{1}, t_{1})\right] - s^{\gamma-1}\left[v(x_{1}, 0)\right] = \mathcal{L}\left[-\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - \frac{\partial u v}{\partial x_{1}}\right].$$

Applying inverse Laplace transform

$$u(x_1, t_1) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{u(x_1, 0)}{s} + \frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial x_1} \right] \right],$$

$$v(x_1, t_1) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{v(x_1, 0)}{s} + \frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_1^3} - \frac{\partial uv}{\partial x_1} \right] \right],$$

$$\begin{split} u(x_1,t_1) &= a[\tan h(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_1}{2}) + 1] + \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial x_1} \right] \right], \\ v(x_1,t_1) &= -1 + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \sec h^2 (\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_1}{2}) + \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_1^3} - \frac{\partial uv}{\partial x_1} \right] \right]. \end{split}$$

Using the ADM procedure, we get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j(x_1, t_1) &= a [\tan h(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_1}{2}) + 1] \\ &+ \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_1} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial u_j^2}{\partial x_1} \right] \right], \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} v_j(x_1, t_1) &= -1 + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \sec h^2 (\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_1}{2}) \\ &+ \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_1} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^3 u_j}{\partial x_1^3} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j(u, v)_{x_1} \right] \right], \end{split}$$

where $A_j(u, v)_{x_1}$ is Adomian polynomials, representing nonlinear terms in the above equations. The components of the above Adomian polynomials are given below

$$u_{0}(x_{1},t_{1}) = a[\tan h(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) + 1],$$

$$v_{0}(x_{1},t_{1}) = -1 + \frac{1}{2}a^{2} \sec h^{2}(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}),$$

$$u_{j+1}(x_{1},t_{1}) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}}\mathcal{L}\left[-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{\partial v_{j}}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{\partial u_{j}^{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\right]\right],$$

$$v_{j+1}(x_{1},t_{1}) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}}\mathcal{L}\left[-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{1}} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{\partial^{3}u_{j}}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}A_{j}(u,v)_{x_{1}}\right]\right],$$
(20)

10 of 16

for j = 0, 1, 2, ...

$$u_{1}(x_{1},t_{1}) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-\frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_{0}^{2}}{\partial x_{1}} \right] \right],$$

$$u_{1}(x_{1},t_{1}) = -\frac{a^{3}}{2} \sec h^{2} (\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma+1}} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{a^{2}}{2} \sec h^{2} (\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \frac{t^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)},$$

$$v_{1}(x_{1},t_{1}) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial^{3} u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - \frac{\partial (u_{0}v_{0})}{\partial x_{1}} \right] \right],$$

$$v_{1}(x_{1},t_{1}) = \frac{a^{4}}{2} \sin h (\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \sec h^{3} (\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma+1}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{a^{3}}{2} \sin h (\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \sec h^{3} (\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \frac{t^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}.$$
(21)

The subsequent terms are

$$\begin{split} u_{2}(x_{1},t_{1}) &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_{1}^{2}}{\partial x_{1}} \right] \right], \\ &= -\frac{a^{5}}{4} \sec h^{2} (\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \frac{t^{2\gamma}}{\Gamma(2\gamma+1)} + \frac{3a^{5}}{4} \sin h^{2} (\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \sec h^{4} (\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \frac{t^{2\gamma}}{\Gamma(2\gamma+1)} \\ &+ \frac{a^{7}}{4} \sin h (\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \sec h^{5} (\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \frac{\Gamma(2\gamma+1)t^{3\gamma}}{\Gamma(3\gamma+1)\Gamma(\gamma+1)^{2}} \\ v_{2}(x_{1},t_{1}) &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[-\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial^{3}u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} - \frac{\partial(u_{0}v_{1})}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial(u_{1}v_{0})}{\partial x_{1}} \right] \right], \\ &= \frac{a^{6}}{4} [2\cos h^{2} (\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) - 3] \sec h^{4} (\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \frac{t^{2\gamma}_{1}}{\Gamma(2\gamma+1)}. \end{split}$$

$$(22)$$

The LADM solution for Example 2 is

$$\begin{split} u(x_{1},t_{1}) &= u_{0}(x_{1},t_{1}) + u_{1}(x_{1},t_{1}) + u_{2}(x_{1},t_{1}) + u_{3}(x_{1},t_{1}) + ..., \\ v(x_{1},t_{1}) &= v_{0}(x_{1},t_{1}) + v_{1}(x_{1},t_{1}) + v_{2}(x_{1},t_{1}) + v_{3}(x_{1},t_{1}) + ..., \\ u(x_{1},t_{1}) &= a[\tan h(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) + 1] - \frac{a^{3}}{2} \sec h^{2}(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \frac{t^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \\ &- \frac{a^{5}}{4} \sec h^{2}(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \frac{t^{2\gamma}}{\Gamma(2\gamma+1)} + \frac{3a^{5}}{4} \sin h^{2}(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \sec h^{4}(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \frac{t^{2\gamma}}{\Gamma(2\gamma+1)} \\ &+ \frac{a^{7}}{4} \sin h(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \sec h^{5}(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \frac{\Gamma(2\gamma+1)t^{3\gamma}}{\Gamma(3\gamma+1)\Gamma(\gamma+1)^{2}} + ..., \\ v(x_{1},t_{1}) &= -1 + \frac{1}{2}a^{2} \sec h^{2}(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) + \frac{a^{4}}{2} \sin h(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \sec h^{3}(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \frac{t^{\gamma}_{1}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \\ &\frac{a^{6}}{4}[2\cos h^{2}(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) - 3] \sec h^{4}(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_{1}}{2}) \frac{t^{2\gamma}_{1}}{\Gamma(2\gamma+1)} + ..., \end{split}$$

For $\gamma = 1$, the exact solutions of the KdV system Equation (17) are given by

$$u(x_1, t_1) = a[\tan h(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_1}{2} - \frac{a^2t_1}{2}) + 1],$$

$$v(x_1, t_1) = -1 + \frac{1}{2}a^2 \sec h^2(\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{ax_1}{2} - \frac{a^2t_1}{2}),$$
(23)

Similarly, the numerical values of the Example 2 show the accuracy and efficiency of the LADM at different values of x_1 , t_1 in Table 2. In Figures 3 and 4 and Table 2, we consider fixed values $a = \eta = 0.5$, $\alpha = 1$ and fixed order $\gamma = 1$ for piecewise approximation values of x_1 , t_1 in the domain $-10 \le x_1 \le 10$ and $0.20 \le t_1 \le 1$. The *a* and *b* in Figure 3 represent the graphs of LADM solution at $\gamma = 1$, and error graphs *a* and *b* at $\gamma = 1$ in Figure 4, respectively, of Example 2. It is clear from the Figure 3a, b that LADM solutions are in good agreement with the exact solution of the problems. The small difference from the solutions graph of the problem because the solution of the fractional-order problems creates a little deviation from the solution at integer order problems. The a and b in Figure 4 show the variation of the error for different values of the variables x_1 and t_1 .

LADM		$\gamma = 0.55$		$\gamma = 1$		$Ex(\gamma = 1)$		AE	
<i>x</i> ₁	t_1	<i>u</i> _{LADM}	v_{LADM}	<i>u</i> _{LADM}	v_{LADM}	u_{EX}	v_{EX}	$u_{EX} - u_{app}$	$v_{EX} - v_{app}$
-10	0.1 0.3	0.010173 0.009571	-0.98953 -0.98982	0.010718 0.010200	$-0.98926 \\ -0.98951$	0.010718 0.010201	$-0.98939 \\ -0.98990$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.210 \times 10^{-7} \\ 6.351 \times 10^{-7} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.312 \times 10^{-4} \\ 3.848 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$
	0.5	0.009181	-0.99001	0.009707	-0.98975	0.009708	-0.99038	5.301×10^{-7}	$6.274 imes10^{-4}$
0	0.1 0.3 0.5	0.603381 0.586890 0.574744	-0.76294 -0.76158 -0.76081	0.616554 0.604564 0.592339	-0.76429 -0.76297 -0.76177	0.616566 0.604679 0.592666	-0.76358 -0.76095 -0.75858	$\begin{array}{c} 1.230\times 10^{-5}\\ 1.141\times 10^{-4}\\ 3.267\times 10^{-4}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.041 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.015 \times 10^{-3} \\ 3.188 \times 10^{-3} \end{array}$
10	0.1 0.3 0.5	0.995627 0.995404 0.995261	-0.99577 -0.99562 -0.99550	0.995829 0.995636 0.995454	-0.99589 -0.99579 -0.99567	0.995827 0.995614 0.995390	-0.99584 -0.99563 -0.99541	$\begin{array}{c} 2.502\times 10^{-6} \\ 2.271\times 10^{-5} \\ 6.364\times 10^{-5} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 5.088 \times 10^{-5} \\ 1.566 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.680 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$

Table 2. Solution of LADM for different value of γ when $\eta = 0.001$ and Absolute Error of Example 2.



Figure 3. The LADM solution of (a) $u(x_1, t_1)$ and (b) $v(x_1, t_1)$ of Example 2 *at* $\gamma = 1$.



Figure 4. The error plots of (**a**) $u(x_1, t_1)$ and (**b**) $v(x_1, t_1)$ of Example 2.

Example 3. Consider the nonlinear KdV of time-fractional order as given in [26]:

$$\frac{\partial^{\gamma} u}{\partial t_{1}^{\gamma}} = 6u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x_{1}^{3}}, \quad 0 < \gamma < 1,$$
(24)

with initial condition

$$u(x_1, 0) = -2 \sec h^2(x_1).$$
(25)

Taking Laplace transform of Equation (24),

$$\mathcal{L}\left[\frac{\partial^{\gamma} u}{\partial t_{1}^{\gamma}}\right] = \mathcal{L}\left[6u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x_{1}^{3}}\right],$$
$$s^{\gamma} \mathcal{L}\left[u(x_{1}, t_{1})\right] - s^{\gamma-1}\left[u(x_{1}, 0)\right] = \mathcal{L}\left[6u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x_{1}^{3}}\right],$$

Applying inverse Laplace transform

$$u(x_1,t_1) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\frac{u(x_1,0)}{s} + \frac{1}{s^{\gamma}}\mathcal{L}\left[6u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_1^3}\right]\right],$$

$$u(x_1,t_1) = -2 \sec h^2(x_1) + \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[6u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_1^3} \right] \right].$$

Using ADM procedure, we get

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j(x_1, t_1) = -2 \sec h^2(x_1) + \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[6 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} D_j(u, u_{x_1}) - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^3 u_j}{\partial x_1^3} \right] \right].$$

where $D_j(u, u_{x_1})$ are Adomian polynomials, representing nonlinear terms in the above equations. The components of above Adomian polynomials are given below:

$$D_{0}(u, u_{x_{1}}) = u_{0} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}},$$

$$D_{1}(u, u_{x_{1}}) = u_{0} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} + u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}},$$

$$D_{2}(u, u_{x_{1}}) = u_{0} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} + u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} + u_{2} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}},$$

$$u_{0}(x_{1}, t_{1}) = -2 \sec h^{2}(x_{1}),$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_{j}(x_{1}, t_{1}) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[6 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} D_{j}(u, u_{x_{1}}) - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{3} u_{j}}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} \right] \right],$$
(26)

for j = 0, 1, 2, ..

$$u_{1}(x_{1},t_{1}) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[6u_{0} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial^{3} u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} \right] \right],$$

$$u_{1}(x_{1},t_{1}) = -16 \sec h^{2}(x_{1}) \tan h^{2}(x_{1}) \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma+1}} \right]$$

$$= -16 \sec h^{2}(x_{1}) \tan h^{2}(x_{1}) \frac{t_{1}^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}.$$
(27)

The subsequent terms are

$$u_{2}(x_{1},t_{1}) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[6u_{0} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} + 6u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial^{3} u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} \right] \right],$$

$$u_{2}(x_{1},t_{1}) = -64 \sec h^{4}(x_{1})(-3 + 2\cos h^{2}(x_{1})) \frac{t^{2\gamma}}{\Gamma(2\gamma+1)},$$

$$u_{3}(x_{1},t_{1}) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} \left[6u_{0} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} + 6u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} + 6u_{2} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial^{3} u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} \right] \right],$$

$$u_{3}(x_{1},t_{1}) = -512 \sec h^{6} \tan h(x_{1})(-18\Gamma(\gamma+1)^{2}\cos h^{2} + 18\Gamma(\gamma+1)^{2} + 2\Gamma(\gamma+1)^{2}\cos h^{4} + 6\Gamma(2\gamma+1)\cos h^{2} - 9\Gamma(2\gamma+1)) \frac{t^{3\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)^{2}\Gamma(3\gamma+1)}.$$
(28)

The LADM solution for Example 3 is

$$u(x_1, t_1) = u_0(x_1, t_1) + u_1(x_1, t_1) + u_2(x_1, t_1) + u_3(x_1, t_1) + \dots,$$

$$\begin{split} u(x_1,t_1) &= -2\sec h^2(x_1) - 16\sec h^2(x_1)\tan h^2(x_1)\frac{t_1^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} - 64\sec h^4(x_1)(-3+2\cos h^2(x_1))\\ \frac{t^{2\gamma}}{\Gamma(2\gamma+1)} - 512\sec h^6\tan h(x_1)(-18\Gamma(\gamma+1)^2\cos h^2 + 18\Gamma(\gamma+1)^2 + 2\Gamma(\gamma+1)^2\cos h^4\\ &+ 6\Gamma(2\gamma+1)\cos h^2 - 9\Gamma(2\gamma+1))\frac{t^{3\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)^2\Gamma(3\gamma+1)}. \end{split}$$

The exact solution of $u(x_1, t_1)$ *is in a closed form as*

$$u(x_1, t_1) = -2 \sec h^2 (x_1 - 4t_1).$$
⁽²⁹⁾

5. Conclusions

In this research article, we applied the Laplace–Adomian Decomposition Method for the solution of the fractional KdV type system of partial differential equations. The fractional derivatives are represented by the Caputo operator. The results of the proposed method are obtained for both fractional and integer order problems successfully. The solutions of fractional order problems are convergent to the integer order problem as fractional order approaches to integer order. Moreover, the behavior of the method is explained through graphs of different numerical examples. The analysis has confirmed that the results obtained by this method are in good contact with the exact solutions for the problems.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.S. and H.K.; Methodology, M.A.; Software, R.S.; Validation, P.K. and M.A.; Formal Analysis, R.S.; Investigation, R.S. and P.K.; Resources, H.K. and P.K.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, R.S.; Writing—Review and Editing, H.K., and P.K.; Visualization, M.A.; Supervision, M.A., P.K.; Project Administration, P.K.; Funding Acquisition, P.K.

Funding: The project was supported by the Center of Excellence in Theoretical and Computational Science (TaCS-CoE), Faculty of Science, King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT).

Acknowledgments: This project was supported by the Theoretical and Computational Science (TaCS) Center under Computational and Applied Science for Smart Innovation Research Cluster (CLASSIC), Faculty of Science, KMUTT.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Helal, M.A.; Mehanna, M.S. A comparative study between two different methods for solving the general Kortweg–de Vries equation (GKdV). *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **2007**, *33*, 725–739. [CrossRef]
- 2. Jibran, M.; Nawaz, R.; Khan, A.; Afzal, S. Iterative Solutions of Hirota Satsuma Coupled KDV and Modified Coupled KDV Systems. *Math. Probl. Eng.* **2018**, *2018*, 9042039. [CrossRef]
- 3. Biazar, J.; Eslami, M. A new homotopy perturbation method for solving systems of partial differential equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2011**, *62*, 225–234. [CrossRef]
- 4. Kumar, A.; Pankaj, R.D. Laplace-Modified Decomposition Method for the Generalized Hirota-Satsuma Coupled KdV Equation. *Can. J. Basic Appl. Sci.* **2015**, *3*, 126–133
- 5. Wang, M.; Zhou, Y.; Li, Z. Application of a homogeneous balance method to exact solutions of nonlinear equations in mathematical physics. *Phys. Lett. A* **1996**, *216*, 67–75. [CrossRef]
- 6. Gokdogan, A.; Yildirim, A.; Merdan, M. Solving coupled-KdV equations by differential transformation method. *World Appl. Sci. J.* **2012**, *19*, 1823–1828.
- Jafari, H.; Firoozjaee, M.A. Homotopy analysis method for solving KdV equations. *Surv. Math. Its Appl.* 2010, 5, 89–98.
- 8. Mohamed, M.A.; Torky, M.S. Numerical solution of nonlinear system of partial differential equations by the Laplace decomposition method and the Pade approximation. *Am. J. Comput. Math.* **2013**, *3*, 175. [CrossRef]
- 9. Seadawy, A.R.; El-Rashidy, K. Water wave solutions of the coupled system Zakharov-Kuznetsov and generalized coupled KdV equations. *Sci. World J.* **2014**, 2014, 724759. [CrossRef]
- 10. González-Gaxiola, O. The Laplace–Adomian Decomposition Method Applied to the Kundu-Eckhaus Equation. *arXiv* **2017**, arXiv:1704.07730.
- 11. Alhendi, F.A.; Alderremy, A.A. Numerical Solutions of Three-Dimensional Coupled Burgers' Equations by Using Some Numerical Methods. *J. Appl. Math. Phys.* **2016**, *4*, 2011. [CrossRef]
- 12. Jafari, H.; Khalique, C.M.; Nazari, M. Application of the Laplace decomposition method for solving linear and nonlinear fractional diffusion–wave equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2011**, *24*, 1799–1805. [CrossRef]
- 13. Khan, M.; Hussain, M.; Jafari, H.; Khan, Y. Application of Laplace decomposition method to solve nonlinear coupled partial differential equations. *World Appl. Sci. J.* **2010**, *9*, 13–19.
- 14. Khan, M.; Hussain, M. Application of Laplace decomposition method on semi-infinite domain. *Numer. Algorithms* **2011**, *56*, 211–218. [CrossRef]
- 15. Mohamed, M.Z. Comparison between the Laplace Decomposition Method and Adomian Decomposition in Time-Space Fractional Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations. *Appl. Math.* **2018**, *9*, 448. [CrossRef]

- 16. Al-Zurigat, M. Solving nonlinear fractional differential equation using a multi-step Laplace Adomian decomposition method. *Ann. Univ. Craiova-Math. Comput. Sci. Ser.* **2012**, *39*, 200–210.
- 17. Haq, F.; Shah, K.; ur Rahman, G.; Shahzad, M. Numerical solution of fractional order smoking model via laplace Adomian decomposition method. *Alex. Eng. J.* **2018**, *57*, 1061–1069. [CrossRef]
- 18. Haq, F.; Shah, K.; Khan, A.; Shahzad, M.; Rahman, G. Numerical solution of fractional order epidemic model of a vector born disease by Laplace Adomian decomposition method. *Punjab Univ. J. Math.* **2017**, *49*, 13–22.
- 19. Mahmood, S.; Shah, R.; Arif, M. Laplace Adomian Decomposition Method for Multi Dimensional Time Fractional Model of Navier-Stokes Equation. *Symmetry* **2019**, *11*, 149. [CrossRef]
- 20. Shah, R.; Khan, H.; Arif, M.; Kumam, P. Application of Laplace–Adomian Decomposition Method for the Analytical Solution of Third-Order Dispersive Fractional Partial Differential Equations. *Entropy* **2019**, *21*, 335. [CrossRef]
- 21. Thabet, H.; Kendre, S.; Chalishajar, D. New analytical technique for solving a system of nonlinear fractional partial differential equations. *Mathematics* **2017**, *5*, 47. [CrossRef]
- 22. Miller, K.S.; Ross, B. An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations; Wiley-Interscience: New York, NY, USA, 1993.
- 23. Hilfer, R. Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics; World Sci. Publishing: River Edge, NJ, USA, 2000.
- 24. Podlubny, I. Fractional Differential Equations: An Introduction to Fractional Derivatives, Fractional Differential Equations, to Methods of Their Solution and Some of Their Applications; Elsevier: New York, NY, USA, 1998; Volume 198.
- 25. Naghipour, A.; Manafian, J. Application of the Laplace Adomian decomposition and implicit methods for solving Burgers' equation. *TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math.* **2015**, *6*, 68–77.
- 26. Kaya, D. An application of the decomposition method for the KdVB equation. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2004**, 152, 279–288. [CrossRef]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).