

Article



The Impact of Firm Risk and the COVID-19 Crisis on Working Capital Management Strategies: Evidence from a Market Affected by Economic Uncertainty

Hossein Tarighi^{1,*}, Grzegorz Zimon^{2,*}, Mohammad Javad Sheikh³ and Mohammad Sayrani⁴

- ¹ Department of Accounting, Attar Institute of Higher Education, Mashhad 9177939579, Iran
- ² Department of Finance, Banking, and Accountancy, The Faculty of Management, Rzeszow University of Technology, 35-959 Rzeszow, Poland
- ³ Faculty of Financial Sciences, Kharazmi University, Tehran 1491115719, Iran; mjsheikh2002@gmail.com
- ⁴ Faculty of Industrial Engineering, Management and Accounting, Shahab Danesh University, Qom 3711687764, Iran; msayrani@gmail.com
- * Correspondence: hossein.tarighi@outlook.com (H.T.); gzimon@prz.edu.pl (G.Z.)

Abstract: The present study aims to investigate the impact of the COVID-19 crisis and firm risk on working capital management policies among manufacturing firms listed on the Tehran Stock Exchange (TSE). The study sample consists of 1200 observations and 200 companies listed on the TSE over a six-year period from 2016 to 2021; furthermore, the statistical method used to test the hypotheses is ordinary least squares (OLS). The results show that the COVID-19 pandemic has led managers to increase current assets to total assets ratio (CATAR), current ratio (CR), quick ratio (QR), net working capital (NWC), cash to current assets (CTCA) ratio, while it has caused a decrease in operational cycle (OC), days account receivables (DAR), and current liabilities to total assets ratio (CLTAR). Furthermore, we find that the higher the company's risk, the more managers are motivated to embrace the working capital investment policy, net working capital, cash to current assets ratio, and cash conversion efficiency (CCE). In general, our findings indicate that during times of crisis, Iranian companies tend to adopt conservative working capital policies to ensure sufficient liquidity to respond appropriately to unforeseen events. In this study, the theory of liquidity preference aligns with the observed behavior of firms in response to the COVID-19 crisis and firm risk, where the emphasis on liquidity and short-term financial stability becomes paramount.

Keywords: COVID-19 crisis; firm risk; working capital management; WC conservative policy; WC aggressive policy; Tehran Stock Exchange

1. Introduction

In the current complex business environment, where technology is rapidly advancing and competition is becoming more intricate, effective working capital management has become a crucial task for managers (Zimon and Tarighi 2021; Ahmad et al. 2022; Patil and Prabhu 2024). Especially in critical times, the first mistake in adopting the working capital management strategy by managers can be the last mistake and lead to the collapse of a company's business future (Zimon and Tarighi 2021). Economic chaos around the world has taught great lessons to company managers and transformed their attitude towards working capital management (Salehi et al. 2019; Akgün and Karataş 2021; Simon et al. 2021; Ahmad et al. 2022). According to the theory of liquidity preference proposed by John Maynard Keynes, in times of uncertainty or crisis, firms tend to hold more liquid assets to ensure their ability to meet short-term obligations and manage risks effectively (Bibow 2013). On the other hand, in the scenario where working capital management policies are geared towards maximizing profitability rather than maintaining high levels of liquidity, the trade-off theory provides a theoretical framework to support this strategic



Citation: Tarighi, Hossein, Grzegorz Zimon, Mohammad Javad Sheikh, and Mohammad Sayrani. 2024. The Impact of Firm Risk and the COVID-19 Crisis on Working Capital Management Strategies: Evidence from a Market Affected by Economic Uncertainty. *Risks* 12: 72. https:// doi.org/10.3390/risks12040072

Academic Editor: Alex Weissensteiner

Received: 4 February 2024 Revised: 22 March 2024 Accepted: 15 April 2024 Published: 22 April 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). decision-making approach. The trade-off theory acknowledges that there is a balance between risk and return, and firms may choose to adopt more aggressive working capital management policies to optimize profitability even if it means sacrificing some liquidity (Vo and Ngo 2023).

Efficient working capital management refers to corporate managers' tendency to make necessary adjustments to their short-term assets and liabilities to pay maturing debts on time and arrange fixed assets for profitability, which is considered the cornerstone of companies' survival in today's competitive world (Akbar et al. 2021). Keep in mind that business performance can be significantly damaged by taking too many risks (Arif and Nauman Anees 2012; Kassi et al. 2019; Onsongo et al. 2020), and having too much liquidity can decrease the amount of capital available for long-term projects (Chen 2011; Yang et al. 2019). That is why one of the main concerns and challenges for managers is to be able to balance profitability and liquidity according to the economic conditions of the market in which their company operates (Akbar et al. 2021). According to Emery's (1984) argument, depending on the operating cash flows generated by a firm's assets, liquidity management is a constant manner. This means that liquidity management is not a one-time task, but an ongoing process that requires monitoring and adjustment based on the cash flows generated by the firm's assets to ensure financial stability and meet obligations effectively. However, there is still a gap in the research literature on the relationship between company risk and working capital management (Akbar et al. 2021), especially in the emerging market of Iran, where companies are at high risk. Given that there is a very close relationship between firm risk and working capital policy (Akbar et al. 2021), this research is one of the first to attempt to test whether firm risk has led Iranian managers' attitude towards working capital management policy to be affected substantially. Particularly in the Iranian market, firms' total risk in the manufacturing sector has been affected by the most unprecedented economic sanctions in the last decade. Economic sanctions can negatively affect the mentality and sentiments of investors and creditors in the capital markets, particularly those prone to emotional decision-making; thus, this can damage the financing of companies (Abakah et al. 2024). As for Iran's market, it can be stressed that during the last decade, Iran has faced the most severe economic sanctions, which have caused its manufacturing sector to struggle with many problems (Salehi et al. 2019; Moradi et al. 2021; Tarighi et al. 2023). In summary, economic sanctions against Iran have increased the total risk for firms in the manufacturing sector by limiting access to capital, impacting strategic sectors, forcing adjustments in capital structure, increasing import and logistics costs, and decreasing profitability (Moradi et al. 2021; Ghasseminejad and Jahan-Parvar 2021; Farzanegan and Batmanghelidj 2024; Tarighi et al. 2023; Shamsi 2023). Raw material shortages and difficulties in securing foreign currency have led to the suspension of many production units in Iran's manufacturing sector. Soaring inflation, reduced consumer purchasing power, and a decline in demand for intermediate goods have exacerbated the downturn in sales within the manufacturing sector. Besides, the government's contractionary approach to the industrial sector, along with its interventions, has contributed to the stagnation and lack of sustainable growth in manufacturing in Iran (Farzanegan and Batmanghelidj 2024). The industry sector has been more vulnerable than other segments due to its high dependence on exports and imports from abroad (Kelishomi and Nistico 2022). In other words, due to the characteristics of manufacturing firms in Iran that face severe risks, this research has comprehensively used most of the working capital management strategies to gain a better understanding of whether Iranian managers are inclined to adopt policies that would allow them to hold more cash, which could cover transactional costs and meet unforeseen contingencies, or whether they believe that the higher a firm's total risk, the higher the expected return, and seek strategies to reduce their liquidity and expand their business operations. It seems that the results of this research can make a significant contribution to the research literature in the field of working capital management, because on the one hand, if the company's risk leads to the adoption of working capital management strategies in such a way that liquidity is a priority, managers

have perceived the economic conditions governing the market as a threat and move in line with the liquidity preference theory. However, if working capital management is managed in a way that supports operational actions and profitability, they may have perceived it as an opportunity and taken steps towards the trade-off theory.

The coronavirus pandemic that began in late 2019 prompted governments to adopt severe security measures, such as social distancing, stay-at-home orders, and social quarantine. These measures had fatal effects on all sectors of the economy, particularly disrupting the operational activities of manufacturing companies (Ahmad et al. 2022; Tarighi et al. 2023). The COVID-19 pandemic had several repercussions, including the decline of financial markets, sharp decreases in domestic consumption, spillovers of fragile demand to other sectors and economies through trade and production linkages, severe declines in business sales, and liquidity shortages (Shen et al. 2020; Zimon and Tarighi 2021; Tan et al. 2022; Zhao et al. 2023). The COVID-19 crisis, with its unique challenges, is completely different from the prior financial crunches in terms of severity, making enhancement even more difficult because it exposed company managers to a lack of liquidity (Tarkom 2022; He et al. 2022b). So far, several studies have investigated the impact of the COVID-19 crunch on energy (Jia et al. 2021; Shaikh 2022), interest rates (Lee et al. 2023; Garcia et al. 2023), exchange rates (Jamal and Bhat 2022; Jawad and Naz 2023), prices of gold and oil (Paramati et al. 2023; Cui et al. 2023; Terraza et al. 2024), unemployment rates (Davidescu et al. 2021), etc. Despite COVID-19 being of great importance in determining working capital management strategies, empirical evidence in different markets can rarely be found (Tarkom 2022). In fact, researchers worldwide have primarily focused on the role of COVID-19 at the macro level, while paying little attention to the financial challenges faced by firms, particularly in the area of working capital management (Ahmad et al. 2022). This gap is especially noticeable in the research literature from underdeveloped nations, such as Iran, which differs significantly from other markets in all aspects. As stated earlier, in Iran's inflationary economy, the cost of goods is increasing due to rising raw material prices, which are in turn affected by economic sanctions. Additionally, the purchasing power of consumers has decreased significantly, leading to a decrease in the demand for goods produced by Iranian manufacturing companies (Moradi et al. 2021; Tarighi et al. 2023). On the other hand, Iranian managers seem to have enough reasons to adopt strategies in the direction of profitability. For example, Iranian managers are primarily evaluated based on profitability, motivating them to make their firms profitable in order to receive the best possible reward and maintain their position (Zimon et al. 2021). Moreover, in times of financial crisis, managers often have a natural inclination to present a positive image of their company's financial condition to restore the confidence of investors and creditors (Zimon et al. 2021; Lassoued and Khanchel 2021; Usheva and Vagner 2021; Tarighi et al. 2023). Accordingly, the second goal of this research is to determine whether the COVID-19 crisis has had a significant impact on working capital management strategies in an emerging market called Iran. To put it another way, the main question is whether companies operating in the Iranian marketaimed to expand their business activities and increase profitability to achieve maximum rewards and maintain their position during the coronavirus crisis, or whether theyadopted a conservative approach and focused solely on their liquidity to respond effectively to unforeseeable events during the COVID-19 pandemic. Overall, the results of our study contribute to the literature on working capital management in the following ways.First, although companies may adopt different working capital management strategies depending on the economic conditions of each country, our research warns that in high-uncertainty markets, it is advisable for companies to adopt conservative working capital strategies to deal with unpredictable future events. Furthermore, our research suggests that evaluating the impact of external shocks on working capital management can be a useful tool for policy makers and regulators to implement appropriate protective measures.

The rest of the aforementioned study is organized as follows. The second section presents the theoretical underpinnings and discusses the strand of literature related to

WCM. Section 3 elaborates on the data and methodology, while Section 4 encapsulates data analysis. The final part relates to the research conclusion and discussion.

2. Literature Review

The selection of an appropriate working capital strategy can optimize operating costs and financial liquidity, providing companies with a competitive advantage and promoting sustainable growth (Zimon and Tarighi 2021). According to the existing research literature on WCM, there are two policies: working capital investment strategy and working capital financing policy (Zimon and Tarighi 2021; Demiraj et al. 2022; Ahmad et al. 2022). Investment policy primarily focuses on determining the levels of current assets, while financing policy pays more attention to current liabilities (Ahmad et al. 2022). Another important point is that both working capital investment policy and working capital financing strategy can be sub-categorized into aggressive and conservative (Nazir and Afza 2009; Aktas et al. 2015; Ahmad et al. 2022; Demiraj et al. 2022; Zimon et al. 2024). The adoption of an aggressive investment policy is more common among firms that require greater liquidity to increase operational flexibility and do not prioritize profitability. In contrast, a conservative working capital investment policy aims to increase sales by developing the production process and building a good relationship with customers, but at the cost of high-interest expenses and a cut in shareholders' worth (Baños-Caballero et al. 2014; Demiraj et al. 2022; Ahmad et al. 2022). As for the working capital financing strategy, when companies adopt an aggressive strategy and resort to short-term debt to finance their current assets, they expose themselves to more risk because they have a shorter time to settle them until the maturity date, although it is less expensive (Nazir and Afza 2009). Conversely, conservative companies tend to use long-term debt, which is less risky and allows them to have sufficient time to settle, despite incurring higher interest costs (Alrahamneh et al. 2020; Ahmad et al. 2022). According to the trade-off theory, companies try to optimize their working capital policies by weighing the costs and benefits (Ahmad et al. 2022; Dash et al. 2023; Kayani et al. 2023). Since the onset of the COVID-19 crisis, many CFOs have shifted from aggressive to conservative working capital policies (Prša 2020; Arnaldi et al. 2021; Yousaf and Bris 2021; Zimon and Tarighi 2021; Mazanec 2022). This change has led to improved financial security for companies during times of crisis, but at the cost of increased operational expenditures (Zimon et al. 2024). Overall, the economic conditions of each market can probably be one of the factors influencing companies' choice of working capital policy (Filbeck and Krueger 2005; Enqvist et al. 2014; Dash et al. 2023).

In a comprehensive review of most of the research conducted to date, it can be seen that most of the attention of scholars has been focused on the important role of working capital policy in the financial performance of companies (Kayani et al. 2019; Prasad et al. 2019). Working capital management is crucial for companies to mitigate the risk of bankruptcy, particularly in challenging and harsh situations, because it plays a significant role in maintaining financial liquidity, profitability, and cost control (Akbar et al. 2021; Akgün and Karataş 2021; Gajdosikova et al. 2022; Hashmi and Iqbal 2022; Zimon et al. 2024). It should be noted that the importance of a working capital management policy is more pronounced in economic downturns than in economic prosperity (Enqvist et al. 2014), for these events can result in mismanagement and finally loss of financial liquidity (Salehi et al. 2019; Zimon and Tarighi 2021; Akgün and Karataş 2021). The COVID-19 pandemic has been one of the most challenging issues of recent decades, affecting both developed and emerging markets (Didier et al. 2021; Achim et al. 2022; Tarighi et al. 2023; Zimon et al. 2024). In fact, this systematic risk arising from the COVID-19 crisis has prompted managers to think more deeply about working capital policies and to have more appropriate flexibility in critical conditions to maintain their business continuity (Zimon and Tarighi 2021). In the current complex and challenging business environment, where companies face unpredictable risks, managers have a crucial responsibility to make decisions about their companies' working capital policies (Salehi et al. 2019), especially during the COVID-19 pandemic, in which even the slightest error in working capital decisions can result in

losing ground to competitors in the marketplace (Zimon and Tarighi 2021). The COVID-19 pandemic disrupted economic activities in the market, resulting in a decrease in the value of companies' assets (Almaghrabi 2022; Tarkom 2022; Hassan et al. 2023). During times of crisis, banks and financial institutions may be hesitant to provide loans to companies with poor liquidity (Ivashina and Scharfstein 2010; Didier et al. 2021; Tarighi et al. 2023). In fact, less investment is made not only due to a lack of creativity and innovation in the market but also because of insufficient allocation of financial resources by banks (Zimon and Tarighi 2021). This ultimately leads to an increase in the cost of capital for firms and damages the net present value of budgeted projects (Tandoh 2020). Even though uncertainty in the macroeconomy and future cash flows can be a big obstacle to the willingness to invest and enter new markets and risk taking (Handley and Limao 2015), investing during periods of economic ambiguity can be more lucrative because it offers more investment opportunities rather than greater risk (Zimon and Tarighi 2021). As a result, the adoption of short-term strategies, such as working capital management, has become more necessary than ever. Working capital management is related to current assets, short-term liabilities, operational revenues, and costs (Zimon and Dankiewicz 2020; Tarkom 2022); managers need to pay a lot of attention on establishing an optimal level of their working capital to continue their business activities in the market (Zimon and Tarighi 2021). Apparently, excessive liquidity on the one hand specifies the accumulation of idle funds that do not fetch any profits for the firm, and on the other hand, insufficient liquidity might damage the firm's goodwill, worsen the firm's credit ratings, and make the firm go bankrupt (Panigrahi 2014). Efficient management of working capital actually means that firms must maintain the necessary liquidity to run their operations while avoiding overinvestment in short-term assets for maximum profitability (Nazir and Afza 2009; Demiraj et al. 2022).

Although the COVID-19 pandemic has been a significant economic crisis in the last decades that has affected the liquidity of companies, there has been little research investigating its impact on the working capital policies of companies (Demiraj et al. 2022; Ahmad et al. 2022), particularly in an emerging market called Iran, which has encountered greater economic uncertainty due to sanctions. Several studies have been carried out in different continents on the impact of the COVID-19 crisis on working capital strategies, with different results depending on the economic environment in each region. For instance, in the Polish market, Zimon et al. (2022) found that SMEs in the renewable energy market adopted conservative strategies during COVID-19 to improve their liquidity security. Specifically, the share of accounts receivable in their current assets was significantly reduced. As such, Zimon and Tarighi (2021) figured out that the COVID-19 pandemic crisis did not change working capital management strategies in Polish SMEs operating in group purchasing organizations (GPOs). Among Slovak enterprises, the inventory turnover period, receivables collection period and accounts payable period worsened during COVID-19 (Gajdosikova et al. 2022). Using data from 218 listed Romanian firms in various industries, Achim et al. (2022) showed that efficient management of ratios of WC and liquidity contributed to firms' survival during the COVID-19 crunch. By using data obtained from the automotive industry in Europe, Demiraj et al. (2022) proved that excessive levels of inventory impaired profitability by locking up valuable cash reserves during the COVID-19 pandemic. By analyzing 2542 publicly traded US firms, Tarkom (2022) discovered that the severe conditions of the COVID-19 pandemic made US companies inefficient in managing working capital, leading them to have higher CCC levels. This negative effect was moderated by firms receiving government incentives (deferred taxes and investment tax credit). Of course, this negative effect was mitigated by companies receiving government incentives (deferred taxes and investment tax credits). Through an analysis of Indian manufacturing firms, Pant et al. (2023) found that these firms suffered financially post-COVID-19, since they significantly lacked the working capital to run day-to-day operations. In another study conducted in the Indian context, Kumar and Olasiuk (2024) showed a negative relationship between the cash conversion cycle (CCC) and leverage ratio with ROA during the challenging environment of the COVID-19 pandemic and confirmed that corporate profitability

6 of 33

improves when firms reduce leverage, favor equity-based financing, and seek to increase the current ratio. Satoto et al. (2022) also analyzed data from manufacturing companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange between 2017 and 2021. They found differences in inventory turnover and receivables turnover before and during the COVID-19 pandemic, but no differences in cash turnover and net working capital. In Bangladesh, problems such as product expiry, shortage of working capital, and limited operations of distributors have been identified as short-term consequences of the COVID-19 crisis, while corporate return on investment and the contribution of the firms to the gross domestic product may be at risk in the longer term (Chowdhury et al. 2022). Finally, using data from Compustat, Lin et al. (2023) found that the COVID-19 pandemic caused a significant increase in firms' operating cycle lengths.

Risk is a form of uncertainty regarding future expectations that can be controlled and managed by adopting appropriate policies that contribute to the maximization of corporate shareholder wealth (Damodaran 2014; Nirino et al. 2022). Apart from the coronavirus crunch, which is only a type of systematic risk (Tarighi et al. 2023), the company's total risk includes both systematic and unsystematic risks (Nirino et al. 2022). The idiosyncratic risk is related to the characteristics of the company and rooted in endogenous aspects, whereas systematic risk, also known as undiversifiable risk, refers to the risk inherent to the entire market (Nguyen et al. 2020; Battisti et al. 2020; Nirino et al. 2022). The conditions of the Iranian market are such that it has been affected by systematic risk due to severe economic sanctions, and companies also face specific risks related to themselves due to their characteristics (Moradi et al. 2021). This is why companies need an optimal level of working capital to deal with uncertainty caused by market inefficiencies, such as transaction costs, ordering costs, information costs, and production limitations (Akbar et al. 2021). In a country like Iran, where many of its manufacturing companies are facing problems in obtaining the necessary raw materials and financial resources, and on the other hand, the demand for products has decreased significantly due to the decline in people's purchasing power, predicting the inflows and outflows has become difficult. Considering these inadequacies, firms either choose to settle their debts promptly (Akbar et al. 2021) or delay payments to suppliers, obtain short-term financing on unfavorable terms, or even sell their assets (Emery 1984). Managers managing working capital based on accurate financial information, actual economic facts, practical work experience, and a rational approach can have a positive impact (Baker et al. 2007; Zheng et al. 2022), while uncertainty conditions create a different scenario. Companies' exposure to risk influences their working capital policies (Akbar et al. 2021). By considering a firm's total risk as a key factor in determining the cost of a firm's capital (Nirino et al. 2022), working capital management appears to act as a barrier to risk and can contribute to firm value (Le 2019). Working capital management is of particular importance in both firms with less access to capital and those that expand their investments during periods of economic recovery (Le 2019). Since cash and cash equivalents directly affect financing operations and payouts and allow firms to capitalize on potentially money-spinning prospects that may appear in the future and have more flexibility against unpredicted events (Martínez-Sola et al. 2018; Chen et al. 2020; Haj-Salem and Hussainey 2021; Zimon and Tarighi 2021; Habib and Dalwai 2023), it is recognized as one of most important elements of WC (Akbar et al. 2021; Zimon et al. 2024).

Drawing on resource-based theory, firms can gain a competitive advantage if they can use resources effectively, which acts as a robust safeguard against external environmental vulnerabilities and enhances internal strong points (Habib and Mourad 2022; Habib 2023). In order to use these resources efficiently and achieve a sustainable competitive advantage, companies need to manage their working capital appropriately (Zimon et al. 2024). As stated previously, the trade-off theory also suggests that financial managers can reach the optimal level for liquidity holding when there is a rational balance between the costs and benefits associated with it (Ahmad et al. 2022; Habib and Dalwai 2023; Zimon et al. 2024). For example, when the duty is used to incur debt, businesses must deal with the challenges of tax cuts and liquidation costs. However, in accordance with the pecking order theory,

Myers and Majluf (1984) argued that there is no optimal level of cash holdings. In fact, based on the logic of the pecking order theory, when companies encounter a lack of liquidity, they first try to rely on the internal resources of funds. Also, when businesses grow financially stable and advantageous, they look less for externally created assets because they have enough internal assets to support their undertakings (Myers and Majluf 1984). However, if external financing becomes necessary, companies may consider using debt as a more reasonable option compared to equity, which has high issuance costs (Zimon et al. 2024). Based on the compromise theory, in terms of profitability, more productive enterprises should imply a larger duty-serving limit and a more accessible wage to shield, which will result in a higher obligation proportion (Myers 2001). Uncertainty is often viewed as both a threat and an opportunity (Rubino 2018; Qazi et al. 2020; Akbar et al. 2021), and this largely depends on how well the company's total risk is assessed (Ruiz-Canela López 2021). Razi et al. (2021) suggest that operational risk can be controlled and managed by implementing effective policies; WC management is one of the most fundamental solutions (Akbar et al. 2021). However, companies with excess working capital sometimes have to pay higher interest costs and bear more operational risk (Kieschnick et al. 2013; De Almeida and Eid 2014; Chalmers et al. 2020). In general, taking all of the above into account, a firm's total risk can be one of the influencing factors in the choice of working capital strategies. In other words, the appropriate level of working capital for companies varies across markets and is significantly influenced by prevailing economic conditions and firm-specific dangers. As a result, managers adopt strategies that enable them to manage these risks effectively. In this regard, Le (2019) not only found a negative correlation between net working capital and risk, but also realized that managers must make a trade-off between their objectives for profitability and risk control.

3. Research Methodology

The study sample consists of 200 manufacturing firms listed on the Tehran Stock Exchange (TSE) over a six-year period from 2016 to 2021. The data-gathering method is descriptive-correlation research and targets the applied research category in terms of purpose. When a set of cross-sectional variables is selected randomly over a period, an investigation deals with panel data (Tarighi et al. 2023). Accordingly, the data collected for multiple firms over a specific period in the present study are referred to as longitudinal, pooled, or panel data. As the panel data contain both time-series and sectional aspects, the use of appropriate statistical explanatory models that describe the specifications of the variables is more difficult than the models used in sectional and time-series data (Moradi et al. 2021; Tarighi et al. 2023). All statistical tests in this research were conducted using Eviews 12 software and based on the latest econometric principles. First, the normality of the distribution of observations was evaluated using the Jarque–Bera test. In the second step, the F-Limer (Chow) test was used to evaluate the suitability of the research model for the ordinary least squares (OLS) or panel data method. If needed, the Hausman test was conducted to determine whether panel data should be estimated with fixed effects or random effects. The Durbin-Watson and Breusch-Godfrey tests were alsoused to investigate the problems of serial autocorrelation among residuals. The variance inflation factor (VIF) was employed to analyze the severity of multicollinearity, whereas the white test was carried out to explore heteroskedasticity issues as well.

3.1. Research Sample

The study sample of this research is all the manufacturing companies listed on the TSE during the period 2016–2021. In this study, to determine the sample size, the systematic elimination method was used based on the following criteria:

- The audited financial information of each of the companies under study must be available.
- The financial periods of companies should be finished at the end of the solar year (20 March).

- The companies should not have changed their fiscal year during the study period, and they should not have had more than six months' trading halts.
- Building on the research time (2016–2021), the company should be listed on the Tehran Stock Exchange before the year 2016, and its name is not removed from the listed companies by the end of 2021.
- The type of business activity should be productive; hence, our sample excludes investment companies, leasing companies, credit institutions, financial institutions, and banks, as they have different reporting and ownership structures.

Taking into account the conditions listed in Table 1 that have been used in many local studies (Salehi et al. 2020; Moradi et al. 2021; Tarighi et al. 2023; Dashtbayaz et al. 2023), a sample size of 200 manufacturing firms was selected.

Table 1. The study limitations.

| Limitations | Firms |
|--|-------|
| All listed firms on the Tehran Stock Exchange (TSE) by the end of March 2021 | 483 |
| Investment firms, leasing, credit, and financial institutions, and banks | (88) |
| Companies that have more than six months' trading halt or have changed | (116) |
| fiscal year during the period under study | (110) |
| Companies whose information is not available or have been removed from | (79) |
| the stock exchange | (r) |
| The remaining firms in the sample | 200 |

3.2. Research Model and Variables

For more than a decade, the Iranian market has been subject to severe economic sanctions, which have severely disrupted the efficiency of manufacturing companies (Moradi et al. 2021; Tarighi et al. 2023; Farzanegan and Batmanghelidj 2024). The World Bank's Iran Economic Monitor (IEM) report also stated that Iran's manufacturing sector faces the risk of escalating social tensions and strikes. These sanctions have made it difficult for Iranian firms to access international markets, which has led to a decline in their competitiveness and profitability. The sanctions have made risk and compliance management more complex and difficult for firms and organizations. In summary, economic sanctions can increase a firm's total risk by hurting its financial performance, decreasing its leverage and increasing its cash holdings, impacting specific industries, affecting employment and production, and introducing external risks to the economy (Ghasseminejad and Jahan-Parvar 2021; Farzanegan and Batmanghelidj 2024; Roudari et al. 2023). Companies are generally exposed to two risks, systematic and idiosyncratic, and the combination of both risks refers to a firm's total risk (Ross et al. 2016; Le 2019; Nirino et al. 2022). In addition, the coronavirus crisis as a systematic risk has also messed up the cycle of economic activities worldwide, making it difficult for companies to obtain sufficient liquidity (Zimon and Tarighi 2021). Due to the special importance of these two serious consequences, the present study employs the COVID-19 crisis and a firm's total risk as independent variables. Actually, the main purpose of this research is to investigate the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic as well as a firm's total risk on working capital management strategies in an emerging market called Iran. To achieve the goal of this research, the following research model was designed:

```
WC M_{it} = a_0 + a_1 \text{COIVD19}_{it} + a_2 \text{Firm Risk}_{it} + a_3 \text{Firm Size}_{it} + a_4 \text{Firm Age}_{it} + a_5 \text{Sale Growth}_{it} + a_6 \text{Tobin } Q_{it} + a_7 \text{ Tangibility}_{it} + a_8 \text{Dividends}_{it} + a_9 \Delta \text{GDP}_{it} + Year Index + Industry Index + \varepsilon_{it}
```

Here, WCM is defined as our dependent variable. By reviewing the existing literature, it can be found that various researchers have used the cash conversion cycle (CCC) (Nobanee et al. 2011; Amponsah-Kwatiah and Asiamah 2021; Sawarni et al. 2023; Rahman et al. 2024), net working capital (NWC) (El-Ansary and Al-Gazzar 2021; Bugshan et al. 2023; Sargon 2024), receivables and inventory turnover (Knauer and Wöhrmann 2013; Zimon and Tarighi 2021; Zimon et al. 2024), liabilities turnover (Zimon and Tarighi 2021; Kouaib and Bu Haya 2024), and other important ratios as a measure for evaluating working capital management (WCM). The noteworthy point is that repetitive use of a few proxies for WCM in most prior studies have been identified (Prasad et al. 2019). This study is among the first to comprehensively explore various working capital management strategies to gain a deeper understanding of liquidity, profitability, efficiency, and related concepts. In this study, we used different working capital strategies, including current assets to total assets ratio (CATAR), current liabilities to total assets ratio (CLTAR), current ratio (CR), quick ratio (QR), net working capital (NWC), cash to current assets (CTCA) ratio, cash-to-sales (CTS) ratio, cash conversion cycle (CCC), cash conversion efficiency (CCE), operational cycle (OC), days account receivables (DAR), days inventory (DI), and days account payables (DAP). In other words, these ratios and metrics are essential tools for managing working capital and ensuring a company's short-term financial health and operational efficiency. By monitoring and analyzing these ratios, businesses can make informed decisions about spending, investment, and resource allocation to optimize their working capital management strategies.

With respect to control variables, different items, such as GDP, firm size, firm age, sales growth, dividends, and tangible assets, are regarded as the control variables. In general, each variable was chosen in this study based on its theoretical relationship with working capital management strategies. For example, to evaluate the influence of economic sanctions on the Iranian market, the gross domestic product (GDP) can be used; for the more severe the economic sanctions are, the more GDP is likely to fall (Moradi et al. 2021). As the economic conditions of each market can affect the riskiness for the firm and the type of working capital management strategy employed (Nazir and Afza 2009; Akbar et al. 2021), we controlled for the effect of GDP as a macroeconomic variable. As far as we know, firms in emerging markets may face unique challenges, such as market uncertainty and limited access to financing, which can impact their working capital management strategies (Abuzayed 2012). Smaller firms may have limited resources and struggle to maintain optimal levels of working capital, and they may face higher costs of borrowing and be more cautious in taking on debt, while larger firms may have more resources to manage their debt (Mansoori and Muhammad 2012; Li et al. 2014). Given that firm size can affect the operating and market risk of firms and their WC management policy (Akbar et al. 2021), firm size is considered a control variable. Since firm age is a measure of a firm's creditworthiness to its suppliers of debt and equity and can affect the cost of granting trade credit (Niskanen and Niskanen 2006), it relates to WC management (Baños-Caballero et al. 2010; Singh and Kumar 2017). It appears that a company's age and experience may reduce the impact of systematic and operational risks, which in turn may affect its choice of working capital strategy (Akbar et al. 2021). Furthermore, companies with a higher sales rate may benefit from adopting a strategy that focuses on investing in working capital (Abuhommous 2017; Rodeiro-Pazos et al. 2023). Growth opportunities allow a company to fund growth without taking on additional external debt that comes with interest costs, improving its creditworthiness and making it easier to obtain loans and other forms of credit. For example, to accelerate sales growth, firms may offer credit to customers, which can increase the amount of investment in receivables (Singh and Kumar 2017), or firms need to build up inventories to anticipate future sales (Kieschnick et al. 2006). As a result, higher sales growth reduces the risk for the firm and can affect WC management policy (Akbar et al. 2021). Tobin's Q is a measure of a firm's investment opportunities (Tarkom 2022). It should be noted that a higher Tobin's Q is associated with a firm's stock being more valuable than the replacement cost of its assets, which can lead to increased operating risk and systematic risk (Lin et al. 2018; Akbar et al. 2021; Lim and Mali 2023). This may suggest that the market is overvaluing the company or market, which could lead to increased competition and potential overvaluation or mispricing. This, in turn, could increase the potential default risk for investors and potentially affect credit ratings. As a result, companies may need to adjust their working capital management policies to ensure that they have sufficient liquidity to meet their obligations and maintain investor confidence. Additionally, a higher Tobin's Q

ratio may indicate that the company or market is experiencing a period of overvaluation, which could be a sign of a potential bubble or an extended period of undervaluation (Hoyt and Liebenberg 2011). In this case, companies may need to be more cautious in their working capital management policies to avoid overinvesting in assets that may not be sustainable in the long term. Finally, a higher Tobin's Q ratio may indicate that the market is pricing future growth potential or intangible assets that are not reflected in the company's book value. In this case, companies may need to adjust their working capital management policies to ensure that they are investing in the right assets and effectively managing their cash flow to support future growth (Bolton et al. 2011; Hingurala Arachchi et al. 2017). Hence, for the aforementioned reasons, since Tobin's Q ratio is related to operational and market risks and affects the working capital of firms (Singh and Kumar 2017; Akbar et al. 2021), this research has controlled for the effect of Tobin's Q index on working capital management policy. In addition, according to Fazzari and Petersen (1993), investing in tangible fixed assets will reduce the funds available for working capital in times of financial constraints. Various studies have also highlighted the role of tangible assets of a firm in WC management (Wasiuzzaman and Arumugam 2013; Singh and Kumar 2017; Tarkom 2022; Lefebvre 2023). Finally, in an inflationary economy and an unbridled market, one of the ways to attract more investors' trust is to convey a good picture of firms' financial position to the outside by paying dividends (Salehi et al. 2019). When a company declares a dividend, it must record a liability on the balance sheet; thus, this accounting treatment reflects the short-term financial obligation created by the declaration of the dividend and its effect on the company's working capital position (Karimi 2020). A higher dividend payout ratio may lead to a reduction in retained earnings, affecting a company's ability to finance operations and investments and potentially requiring more efficient working capital management to ensure sufficient liquidity. The dividend ratio can influence working capital management policies by affecting liquidity, profitability, and the balance between distributing profits to shareholders and retaining earnings for future investments (Oladipupo and Ibadin 2013; Ikunyua 2020; Yakubu 2021). In short, Table 2 shows how to calculate and define all the variables in this research.

| Variable | Туре | Measurement |
|----------|-----------|---|
| CATAR | Dependent | The working capital investment policy is calculated by current assets to total assets ratio (CATAR) (Nazir and Afza 2009; Ahmad et al. 2022). |
| CLTAR | Dependent | The working capital financing policy is measured by current liabilities to total assets ratio (CLTAR) (Nazir and Afza 2009; Ahmad et al. 2022). |
| CR | Dependent | Current ratio (CR) is calculated by dividing current assets by current liabilities (Akbar et al. 2021; Zimon and Tarighi 2021; Hashmi and Iqbal 2022; Naz et al. 2022). |
| QR | Dependent | Quick ratio (QR) is obtained from the sum of cash, receivables, and short-term investments divided by debts (Akbar et al. 2021; Zimon and Tarighi 2021; Zhang and Xi 2024). |
| NWC | Dependent | Net working capital (NWC) ratio is equal to current assets minus current liabilities divided by total assets (Le 2019; Ahmad et al. 2022). |
| CTCA | Dependent | It represents cash to current assets ratio (Akbar et al. 2021). |
| CTS | Dependent | It shows cash-to-sales ratio (Akbar et al. 2021). |
| CCE | Dependent | It represents the ratio of operating cash flow to sales (Naz et al. 2022) |
| CCC | Dependent | The cash conversion cycle (CCC) equals the sum of days inventory outstanding and days sales outstanding minus days payables (Singh and Kumar 2017; Le 2019; Tarkom 2022; Hashmi and Iqbal 2022; Zimon et al. 2024). |
| OC | Dependent | The operating cycle (OC) is the time it takes for a business to receive inventory, sell the inventory, and collect cash from the sale of the inventory (Zimon and Tarighi 2021; Chen et al. 2023; Lin et al. 2023). |

Table 2. Definition of the variables.

| Variable | Туре | Measurement |
|-----------------|-------------|--|
| DAR | Dependent | Days account receivables (DAR) is obtained from the ratio of account receivables to sales multiplied by 365 (Le 2019; Akbar et al. 2021; Zimon and Tarighi 2021; Hashmi and Iqbal 2022). |
| DI | Dependent | Days inventory (DI) is obtained from the ratio of inventory to sales multiplied by 365 (Le 2019; Akbar et al. 2021; Zimon and Tarighi 2021; Hashmi and Iqbal 2022). |
| DAP | Dependent | Days account payables (DAP) is obtained from the ratio of account payables to sales multiplied by 365 (Le 2019; Akbar et al. 2021; Zimon and Tarighi 2021; Hashmi and Iqbal 2022). |
| COVID-19 crisis | Independent | COVID-19 is a dummy variable that equals one if it is during the COVID-19 pandemic, and zero otherwise (Zheng et al. 2022; Tarkom 2022; Tarighi et al. 2023; Almustafa et al. 2023). |
| Firm Risk | Independent | Firm risk is equal to the annualized standard deviation of a firm's daily stock returns (Coles et al. 2006; Aktas et al. 2015; Le 2019; Gupta and Chaudhary 2023; Almustafa et al. 2023; Cho et al. 2024). |
| Firm Size | Control | The natural logarithm of a company's total assets (Singh and Kumar 2017; Moradi et al. 2021; Akbar et al. 2021; Tarkom 2022; Zimon et al. 2024). |
| Firm Age | Control | The number of years of company activity (Singh and Kumar 2017; Le 2019; Akbar et al. 2021; Hashmi and Iqbal 2022; Naz et al. 2022; Almustafa et al. 2023). |
| Sale Growth | Control | It is the rate of change in sales from the previous year to the current year (Singh and Kumar 2017; Akbar et al. 2021; Tarkom 2022; Naz et al. 2022). |
| Tobin Q | Control | The ratio of Tobin Q equals to the market value of equity to book value of total assets (Akbar et al. 2021; Tarkom 2022; Ahmad et al. 2022). |
| Tangibility | Control | Tangibility shows the ratio of property, plant, and equipment to total assets (Singh and Kumar 2017; Tarkom 2022). |
| Dividends | Control | Dividends represent the payments a firm makes to its shareholders as a return on the company's profits (Le 2019; Buertey et al. 2024; Al-Hiyari et al. 2024). |
| ΔGDP | Control | It is equal to the current year's gross domestic product (GDP) minus the previous year's GDP divided by the previous year's GDP (Nazir and Afza 2009; Akbar et al. 2021; Moradi et al. 2021; Ahmad et al. 2022; Angosto-Fernández and Ferrández-Serrano 2024). |

Table 2. Cont.

4. Results

4.1. Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive statistics quantitatively describe the features of a dataset (Ding et al. 2021; Dashtbayaz et al. 2023). Table 3 presents the descriptive statistics results, including the mean, median, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum.

From an econometric point of view, since probability of the Jarque–Bera test for all variables is more than five percent, it can be concluded that the normal distribution of observations is fulfilled. What stands out from Table 3 is that, on average, the gross domestic product (GDP) of the Iranian market has grown by almost 1% a year, and these small changes are partly due to the uncertain economic environment arising from sanctions. The heavy shadow of these severe economic sanctions can be felt on Iranian manufacturing companies, as it has reached a firm's overall risk of 0.3262 on average. Fluctuations in the Iranian market are so intense that a minimum value of -74% and a maximum of 155% can be found in sales growth. The result of Tobin's Q ratio is completely different and shows that ideal investment opportunities have been created. During economic crises, more profitable investment opportunities are found in exchange for accepting more risk (Zimon and Tarighi 2021). Since the Tobin Q index is 2.14, and greater than 1, it is a clear example of the existence of investment opportunities for companies. Given that the average value of Tobin's Q is greater than 1, the shares of Iranian companies appear to be more

expensive than the replacement cost of their assets, implying that the stocks are overvalued. As for WC policies, the average of the two variables CATAR and CLTAR is 0.65 and 0.45, respectively, indicating that Iranian firms are more inclined towards a working capital investment policy. It should be noted that 45% of the assets of sample firms are financed by external debt, for the interest rate in Iran has been constant at around 18% for most of the recent years. Furthermore, the mean value of DI is 93 days, which is quite large and reveals that the sample firms are facing problems converting their inventory into sales. Moreover, on average, sample firms collect their receivables in 125 days, which indicates a lack of necessary liquidity in the market. As such, these enterprises make payments to the creditors in 77 days, which has taken less time compared to receivables collection and inventory conversion. On average, ample firms take 141 days to convert their working capital accounts into cash, which is a very long time. Regarding cash ratios, firms keep 6% of their assets in cash on average and maintain 4.4% of sales value in the form of cash. Finally, the sample companies have an average of more than 4 decades of continuous activity in the market.

Table 3. Descriptive statistics.

| Variable | Mean | Median | S. Deviation | Max | Min | Prob. Jarque-Bera |
|-------------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Firm Risk | 0.3262 | 0.3067 | 0.1341 | 0.4974 | 0.0028 | 0.1860 |
| CCE | 0.1377 | 0.1159 | 0.3489 | 0.5474 | -0.2661 | 0.2703 |
| CCC | 141.3017 | 111.1320 | 118.4974 | 511.2899 | -215.8709 | 0.3599 |
| OCFTTA | 0.1094 | 0.0934 | 0.1433 | 0.4297 | -0.2109 | 0.6951 |
| CR | 1.4936 | 1.4054 | 2.1706 | 3.3203 | 0.2093 | 0.5017 |
| QR | 0.8246 | 0.7487 | 2.0965 | 2.2232 | 0.0700 | 0.4248 |
| CATS | 0.8142 | 0.7262 | 2.2349 | 2.0759 | 0.0461 | 0.1309 |
| CTCA | 0.0611 | 0.0468 | 0.0826 | 0.2143 | 0 | 0.0752 |
| CTS | 0.0445 | 0.0345 | 0.1274 | 0.1593 | 0 | 0.1823 |
| DAR | 124.9108 | 94.9612 | 180.8803 | 445.2167 | 0 | 0.7165 |
| DI | 92.5264 | 85.1588 | 226.3007 | 259.4266 | 0 | 0.1372 |
| DAP | 77.2270 | 68.1559 | 218.6438 | 237.9055 | 0.9871 | 0.0801 |
| OC | 233.9864 | 199.2639 | 356.0947 | 656.6924 | 14.7019 | 0.1634 |
| NWC | 0.2015 | 0.2045 | 0.2401 | 0.7336 | -0.3655 | 0.8308 |
| CATAR | 0.6492 | 0.6667 | 0.2090 | 0.9863 | 0.0284 | 0.4216 |
| CLTAR | 0.4503 | 0.4499 | 0.2082 | 1.0254 | 0.0134 | 0.6197 |
| Firm Size | 15.0434 | 14.9285 | 1.5971 | 19.0027 | 11.3610 | 0.2536 |
| Firm Age | 41.7667 | 43 | 13.6939 | 71 | 10 | 0.5031 |
| GROWTH | 0.4049 | 0.3701 | 1.1898 | 1.5500 | -0.7400 | 0.2453 |
| Tobin Q | 2.1416 | 1.5997 | 4.7525 | 7.4833 | 0.1245 | 0.3357 |
| Dividends | 0.0772 | 0.0678 | 0.1231 | 0.2903 | -0.1378 | 0.1404 |
| Tangibility | 0.2426 | 0.2014 | 0.1897 | 0.7724 | 0 | 0.0701 |
| ΔGDP | 0.0110 | -0.0361 | 0.2628 | 0.4978 | -0.3261 | 0.7209 |

4.2. F-Limer and Hausman Tests

In finance and accounting research, when data on several companies are collected for a specific period of time, we generally deal with longitudinal data (pooled or panel), which makes it necessary to first determine the type of model assessment (Salehi et al. 2020; Moradi et al. 2020; Tarighi et al. 2022; Arianpoor and Mehrfard 2023). According to the principles of econometrics, the first step should be to perform the F-Limer (Chow) test to determine precisely whether the research model should be estimated using ordinary least squares (OLS) or panel data. The null hypothesis (H0) in the Chow test assumes that the coefficients estimated for individual cross-sections and individual masses are not different, which means that there is no need to estimate the model using panel data (De Jager 2008; Moradi et al. 2021; Zimon et al. 2021; Tarighi et al. 2022; Ajam et al. 2023). If the null hypothesis of this test is confirmed, there is no need to perform the Hausman test; otherwise, the Hausman test must be performed in the second step to determine whether a fixed effects panel or a random effects panel should be used (Tarighi et al. 2022). In general, the outcomes of the F-Limer test for all research models of this study show that the *p*-value of the H0 is greater than five percent, highlighting the fact that the OLS method is accepted and it is no longer necessary to do the Hausman test.

4.3. Heteroskedasticity and Multicollinearity Tests

The absence of heteroskedasticity is another key assumption of a regression model (Tarighi et al. 2022). When heteroscedasticity is present, consistent estimation results of coefficients that are not effective will be produced (Zimon et al. 2021; Moradi et al. 2021). To examine the issue of heteroskedasticity, this study takes the white test. As a result, given that the *p*-value of the white test for all models in this research is more than 5%, it can be concluded that there is no heteroskedasticity problem. Additionally, the variance inflation factor (VIF) can be used to explore the severity of multicollinearity in a regression analysis. It should be noted that when the VIF of the estimated model coefficients is less than 10, no linearity problem can be concluded (Thompson et al. 2017; Zimon et al. 2021; Tarighi et al. 2022; Na et al. 2024). According to the results obtained from the VIF in the last column of Table 4, it can be understood that the linearity problem does not exist in this study.

Table 4. The results of the first model.

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | T-Statistic | <i>p</i> -Value | MM-Estimation | VIF |
|----------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| С | 1.2110 | 0.0449 | 26.9376 | 0.0294 ** | 0.9956 *** | |
| COVID-19 | 0.0504 | 0.0109 | 4.6170 | 0.0000 *** | 0.8014 *** | 1.6621 |
| Firm Risk | 0.6088 | 0.3060 | 1.9895 | 0.0468 * | 0.1145 ** | 1.1045 |
| Firm Size | -0.0269 | 0.0026 | -10.0038 | 0.0497 * | -0.0008 * | 1.2328 |
| Firm Age | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.8447 | 0.3984 | 0.0301 | 1.0283 |
| Sale Growth | -0.0053 | 0.0034 | -1.5626 | 0.1183 | -0.0029 | 1.0307 |
| Tobin Q | -0.0014 | 0.0009 | -1.5652 | 0.1177 | -0.0132 | 1.2411 |
| Tangibility | -0.7910 | 0.0214 | -36.9029 | 0.0218 ** | -0.9940 *** | 1.0370 |
| Dividends | 0.0834 | 0.0332 | 2.5117 | 0.0121 *** | 0.0419 * | 1.0491 |
| GDP | 0.0027 | 0.0181 | 0.1525 | 0.8787 | 0.0044 | 1.4288 |
| Year Index | Yes | | | | | |
| Industry Index | Yes | | | | | |

Dependent Variable: CATAR; Method: OLS Regression; Adjusted R-squared: 0.5378; F-statistic: 4.621; Prob. (F-statistic): 0.0000; Probability of F-Limer Test: 0.3911; Probability of Heteroskedasticity Test (White): 0.2039; Durbin–Watson Stat: 1.8396; Probability of Breusch–Godfrey Test: 0.1827. Note: Confidence level (*): 95%; confidence level (*): 95%; confidence level (*): 99% (***).

4.4. Serial Correlation Test

According to one of the most important assumptions of a regression model, error sentences should not be correlated meaningfully (Zimon et al. 2021; Tarighi et al. 2023). When errors are correlated with each other, serial autocorrelation occurs (Nuţă et al. 2024). Durbin–Watson and Breusch–Godfrey tests are usually used to explore the issue of serial autocorrelation among residuals (Savin and White 1977; Muhammad et al. 2021; Tarighi et al. 2022; Li et al. 2023). In this study, the Durbin–Watson test is used to investigate the low level of serial autocorrelation (Lag 1) in residuals, whereas the Breusch–Godfrey test is done to examine higher levels of serial autocorrelation (Lag 2). Overall, as the outputs of the Durbin–Watson test in the research models are between 1.5 and 2.5, the error terms do not have serial autocorrelation (Lag 1). We also did not find any problem with the serial autocorrelation (Lag 2) in the residuals due to the *p*-value of the Breusch–Godfrey tests being more than five percent.

4.5. Robustness Test

Robustness tests are a critical component of empirical research, particularly in the fields of finance and accounting. Robustness tests in EViews encompass a broader range of methods and tools, including robust regression techniques such as M-estimation, S-estimation, and MM-estimation, as well as stability diagnostics, leverage plots, influence

statistics, and formal outlier detection algorithms. These tests are designed to assess the reliability and validity of research findings by examining how sensitive the results are to changes in assumptions, models, or data. In essence, robustness tests aim to ensure that the conclusions drawn from a study are not dependent on specific conditions or assumptions. Overall, EViews software offers a range of robust regression techniques, including M-estimation, S-estimation, and MM-estimation that enhance the robustness of empirical analyses by mitigating the impact of outliers on regression estimates (Qu 2021). These methods play a crucial role in ensuring the validity and stability of research findings in econometrics and applied economics. In the robust regression approach, if the dependent variable has an outlier observation, M-estimation is used, and when the independent variables have an outlier observation, S-estimation is used. Additionally, if both independent and dependent variables have an outlier observation, MM-estimation can be employed. In this study, we used the MM-estimation technique to see if there is a difference between the MM-estimation results and our research findings. Hence, since no important difference was found between MM-estimation results and our research findings, it can be concluded that outliers in the data do not significantly impact the regression estimates and indicate the absence of influential outliers affecting the results. Accordingly, the results of this study are reliable because, under different circumstances, when the effects of outliers were minimized, the results did not differ from the research findings.

4.6. The Results of the Research Models

As previously stated, each working capital policy has its own benefits and costs, in line with the trade-off theory (Ahmad et al. 2022; Kayani et al. 2023). When firms adopt an aggressive working capital investment policy, they obtain sufficient liquidity but at the cost of low sales, while a conservative working capital investment strategy can lead to an improvement in sales and profitability but at the cost of high interest expenses and insufficient liquidity (Nazir and Afza 2009; Aktas et al. 2015; Ahmad et al. 2022). Similarly, an aggressive working capital financing policy is highly risky but at the cost of low interest expenses, while conservative working capital financing protects liquidity but reduces profitability due to the cost of high interest expenses (Ahmad et al. 2022). As a result, managers of companies ultimately decide whether to prioritize sufficient liquidity or to prioritize sales and profitability based on prevailing economic conditions in each market (Merville and Tavis 1973; Filbeck and Krueger 2005; Nazir and Afza 2009; Dash et al. 2023). During the past decade, the Iranian market has faced severe economic sanctions, which have increased company risk strikingly (Moradi et al. 2021; Tarighi et al. 2023). Meanwhile, the COVID-19 pandemic has imposed severe limitations and risks on manufacturing companies across various industries (Didier et al. 2021; Achim et al. 2022; Tarkom 2022; Tarighi et al. 2023; Hassan et al. 2023; Zimon et al. 2024). In the first step, the aim of this research is to investigate whether the COVID-19 pandemic and firm risk in Iran's emerging market have led to significant changes in managers' adoption of working capital investment and financing policies. In general, the results of the first and second models are shown in Table 4 and Table 5, respectively.

What stands out from the research model summary is that the model of this research should be estimated based on the ordinary least squares (OLS) regression model thanks to the F-Limer (Chow) test results. Furthermore, our evidence proves that there is no heteroskedasticity problem because the *p*-value of the white test is 0.2039 and more than five percent; besides, the linearity problem also does not exist, for the VIF of the estimated model coefficients in the last column is less than 10. Regarding the issue of serial auto-correlation among residuals, we can note that as the output of the Durbin–Watson test in this research model is 1.8396 (between 1.5 and 2.5), the error terms do not have serial autocorrelation (Lag 1), while the *p*-value of the Breusch–Godfrey test being 0.1827 and more than five percent does not confirm any signal of the serial autocorrelation (Lag 2) in the residuals. Finally, since the *p*-value calculated for the F-statistic is zero and less than 0.05, the significance of the whole model can be confirmed at the 5 percent error level.

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | T-Statistic | <i>p</i> -Value | MM-Estimation |
|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| С | 0.6832 | 0.0582 | 11.7199 | 0.0691 | 0.8349 * |
| COVID-19 | -0.0526 | 0.0141 | -3.7169 | 0.0002 *** | -0.0452 |
| Firm Risk | -0.3067 | 0.3968 | -0.7731 | 0.4395 | -1.5599 |
| Firm Size | -0.0035 | 0.0034 | -1.0229 | 0.3065 | -0.0057 |
| Firm Age | -0.0003 | 0.0003 | -0.9162 | 0.3597 | -0.0001 |
| Sale Growth | -0.0157 | 0.0044 | -3.5542 | 0.0003 | -0.0239 |
| Tobin Q | -0.0069 | 0.0012 | -5.7454 | 0.0297 ** | -0.0121 *** |
| Tangibility | -0.2297 | 0.0277 | -8.2671 | 0.0424 * | -0.3852 *** |
| Dividends | -0.6478 | 0.0430 | -15.0408 | 0.0180 *** | -1.6791 *** |
| GDP | 0.0524 | 0.0235 | 2.2279 | 0.0260 * | 0.0618 |
| Year Index | Yes | | | | |
| Industry Index | Yes | | | | |

Table 5. The results of the second model.

Dependent Variable: CLTAR; Method: OLS Regression; Adjusted R-squared: 0.4902; F-statistic: 16.7795; Prob. (F-statistic): 0.0001; Probability of F-Limer Test: 0.1203; Probability of Heteroskedasticity Test (White): 0.4295; Durbin–Watson Stat: 1.9304; Probability of Breusch–Godfrey Test: 0.5368. Note: Confidence level (*): 95%; confidence level (*): 98%; confidence level: 99% (***).

The results show that both the COVID-19 crisis and firm risk have made Iranian managers more willing to adopt the working capital investment policy, which is in line with a conservative approach. This implies that to manage and control the threats associated with a firm's risks and the unpredictable COVID-19 crisis, companies prefer to adopt an investment working capital strategy, leading to ensuring sufficient financial flexibility to react appropriately. Due to their wider share distribution and ownership profile, larger firms have less information asymmetry and more access to funding options, as well as stronger growth prospects (Sulong et al. 2013). Therefore, they are predicted to require less liquidity and may not prioritize the adoption of a WC investment strategy. Consistent with our expectations, our findings confirm a negative relation between firm size and the CATAR variable, for larger businesses have more access to information resources to create economically added value and are less subject to liquidity shortage. In addition, there is a negative correlation between tangibility and WC investment policy. This means that when faced with financial constraints, investing more in tangible fixed assets will inevitably decrease the funds available for working capital (Fazzari and Petersen 1993; Baños-Caballero et al. 2010; Singh and Kumar 2017). Finally, our evidence strongly confirms that the ratio of current assets to total assets increases as companies pay dividends to their shareholders.

As for WC financing policy, we found that COVID-19 substantially decreased the ratio of current liabilities to total assets, while the destructive effects of company risk were not statistically significant. Actually, our findings are consistent with the idea that firms prioritize precautionary measures and maintain financial flexibility in the face of the unprecedented and unpredictable impact of the pandemic on their operations and financial stability (Haque and Varghese 2021). The COVID-19 pandemic has increased cash flow risk across the supply chain, making it difficult for firms to determine whether producers are able to operate at full capacity or whether buyers actually want the goods produced. This heightened uncertainty may have led firms to avoid working capital financing to reduce their exposure to cash flow risk. Firms may be reducing their current liabilities to avoid the risk of default and financial distress, which can be exacerbated by the economic challenges posed by the pandemic (Qadri et al. 2023). However, the lack of significance of the firm risk coefficient may be due to effective risk management strategies implemented by firms to ensure their financial obligations remain stable even in the face of increased risks. Sound financial planning and management practices could also have helped firms maintain their current liabilities unaffected by firm risk. Furthermore, the increase in current assets due to firm risk may have provided firms with additional liquidity, allowing them to cover their short-term obligations without the need to adjust their current liabilities. Regarding the control variables, we also found a negative association between Tobin's Q index and CLTAR. In fact, the more growth opportunities companies have, the less current liabilities they use. This may be because they are better able to secure financing through the issuance of shares, internal cash generation, or long-term borrowing. Moreover, using fewer current liabilities can indicate effective capital management and reduce financial risk. Also, evidence shows a positive relationship between GDP and CLTAR. This could be attributed to the fact that a stronger economic situation enables companies to acquire facilities and credit from banks and external sources with ease, thereby allowing them to make more investments. Additionally, the use of current liabilities can help companies take advantage of short-term investment opportunities and increase profitability.

Despite the difficulties arising from the complicated connection between profitability and liquidity, especially in times of financial crisis, one of the key factors for a company's success is the ability to effectively manage financial liquidity. The liquidity ratioconsists of two parts, namely the current ratio and the quick ratio (Zimon and Tarighi 2021). The liquidity ratio indicates a company's ability to meet its financial obligations (Akbar et al. 2021; Dziwok and Karaś 2021; Wiśniewski 2022). Thus, we seek to investigate whether the effects of the COVID-19 crisis and firm risk have led to drastic changes in the liquidity policies of manufacturing firms in Iran. Accordingly, the results of the third and fourth models are presented in Table 6 and Table 7, respectively.

Table 6. The results of the third model.

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | T-Statistic | <i>p</i> -Value | MM-Estimation |
|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| С | 1.9800 | 0.6606 | 2.9971 | 0.0027 *** | 0.9702 *** |
| COVID-19 | 0.3861 | 0.1604 | 2.4065 | 0.0162 *** | 0.9327 ** |
| Firm Risk | 1.8324 | 4.4967 | 0.4075 | 0.6837 | 2.4198 |
| Firm Size | -0.0303 | 0.0395 | -0.7655 | 0.4440 | -0.0249 |
| Firm Age | 0.0084 | 0.0043 | 1.9515 | 0.0512 | 0.0007 |
| Sale Growth | 0.1738 | 0.0500 | 3.4717 | 0.0005 *** | 0.0149 * |
| Tobin Q | 0.0258 | 0.0137 | 1.8768 | 0.0607 | 0.0174 |
| Tangibility | -1.9647 | 0.3149 | -6.2376 | 0.0025 *** | -0.5444 *** |
| Dividends | 2.5456 | 0.4880 | 5.2155 | 0.0013 *** | 4.5315 *** |
| GDP | -0.4013 | 0.2668 | -1.5038 | 0.1328 | -0.2435 |
| Year Index | Yes | | | | |
| Industry Index | Yes | | | | |

Dependent Variable: CR; Method: OLS Regression; Adjusted R-squared: 0.3802; F-statistic: 22.5288; Prob. (F-statistic): 0.0003; Probability of F-Limer Test: 0.4382; Probability of Heteroskedasticity Test (White): 0.6480; Durbin–Watson Stat: 2.1305; Probability of Breusch–Godfrey Test: 0.2639. Note: Confidence level (*): 95%; confidence level (*): 99% (***).

The coronavirus crisis, a type of systematic and unpredictable risk, has caused a significant increase in the current ratio of companies. However, there has been no significant effect on the quick ratio. The current ratio includes all current assets, while the quick ratio only contains highly liquid assets. Therefore, the quick ratio is considered more conservative than the current ratio. It can be inferred that, given the country's poor economic conditions, Iranian companies have adopted a moderately conservative policy. This policy includes not only cash but also other current assets, such as inventory and accounts receivable, which can aid in the growth of companies. Looking at the details, it can be understood that companies with superior sales growth and higher dividend payouts to shareholders tend to have better short-term liquidity ratios. On the other hand, a negative association between the tangible assets of firms and quick and current ratios has been seen. In other words, tangible fixed assets, such as equipment or other physical assets, are not easily convertible into cash. Therefore, an increase in tangible fixed assets may cause an increase in non-current assets and a decrease in the current ratio. This indicates that these firms are using more of their fixed assets for investment, which may reflect management approaches or specific industry conditions.

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | T-Statistic | <i>p</i> -Value | MM-Estimation |
|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| С | 0.0386 | 0.6234 | 0.0619 | 0.9506 | 0.1165 |
| COVID-19 | 0.1128 | 0.1342 | 0.8403 | 0.4008 | 0.6714 |
| Firm Risk | 0.4455 | 4.2922 | 0.1038 | 0.9173 | 2.0925 |
| Firm Size | 0.0452 | 0.0379 | 1.1929 | 0.2331 | 0.0575 |
| Firm Age | 0.0082 | 0.0043 | 1.8955 | 0.0582 | 0.0030 |
| Sale Growth | 0.1318 | 0.0500 | 2.6344 | 0.0085 *** | 0.0436 ** |
| Tobin Q | 0.0192 | 0.0127 | 1.5017 | 0.1334 | 0.0112 |
| Tangibility | -1.8150 | 0.3075 | -5.9014 | 0.0005 *** | -0.3341 ** |
| Dividends | 1.8783 | 0.4749 | 3.9548 | 0.0000 *** | 2.2619 * |
| GDP | -0.3863 | 0.2619 | -1.4750 | 0.1404 | -0.1407 |
| Year Index | Yes | | | | |
| Industry Index | Yes | | | | |

Table 7. The results of the fourth model.

Dependent Variable: QR; Method: OLS Regression; Adjusted R-squared: 0.2104; F-statistic: 21.9157; Prob. (F-statistic): 0.0024; Probability of F-Limer Test: 0.1703; Probability of Heteroskedasticity Test (White): 0.3615; Durbin–Watson Stat: 2.0031; Probability of Breusch–Godfrey Test: 0.4164. Note: Confidence level (*): 95%; confidence level (*): 99% (***).

The net working capital (NWC) ratio is an important financial metric that helps assess a company's ability to meet its short-term obligations and fund its operations (Wang et al. 2020; El-Ansary and Al-Gazzar 2021; Sargon 2024). The net working capital ratio is often used in conjunction with other financial ratios, such as the current ratio or quick ratio, to assess a company's liquidity and short-term financial health. In addition to the current and quick ratios, this study also considers net working capital (NWC) as another measure of short-term financial health. This study aims to investigate whether firm risk and the COVID-19 pandemic have put pressure on financial managers to make substantial changes in the NWC strategy. Therefore, the results of the fifth model are shown in Table 8.

Table 8. The results of the fifth model.

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | T-Statistic | <i>p</i> -Value | MM-Estimation |
|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| С | 0.5278 | 0.0568 | 9.2921 | 0.0097 *** | 0.6125 *** |
| COVID-19 | 0.1030 | 0.0137 | 7.4685 | 0.04342 * | 0.7395 * |
| Firm Risk | 0.9155 | 0.3866 | 2.3676 | 0.0180 *** | 0.6947 ** |
| Firm Size | -0.0233 | 0.0034 | -6.8674 | 0.0015 *** | -0.0286 *** |
| Firm Age | 0.0006 | 0.0003 | 1.6082 | 0.1080 | 1.2301 |
| Sale Growth | 0.0103 | 0.0043 | 2.4107 | 0.0160 *** | 0.0241 ** |
| Tobin Q | 0.0055 | 0.0011 | 4.6572 | 0.0000 *** | 0.0050 *** |
| Tangibility | -0.5612 | 0.0270 | -20.7217 | 0.0045 *** | -0.3777 *** |
| Dividends | 0.7312 | 0.0419 | 17.4232 | 0.0282 ** | 2.0297 *** |
| GDP | -0.0497 | 0.0229 | -2.1658 | 0.0305 * | -0.0947 *** |
| Year Index | Yes | | | | |
| Industry Index | Yes | | | | |

Dependent Variable: NWC; Method: OLS Regression; Adjusted R-squared: 0.7109; F-statistic: 42.6503; Prob. (F-statistic): 0.0001; Probability of F-Limer Test: 0.4233; Probability of Heteroskedasticity Test (White): 0.6824; Durbin–Watson Stat: 2.2481; Probability of Breusch–Godfrey Test: 0.1955. Note: Confidence level (*): 95%; confidence level (*): 99% (***).

Both the coronavirus crisis and a firm's total risk have forced managers to adopt a higher NWC policy that can both meet their short-term financial obligations and provide sufficient resources to continue operations. The reason why companies have adopted a higher NWC policy during the COVID-19 pandemic can be due to the need for firms to fund their operational needs, address liquidity constraints, and protect against future cash flow shocks. The pandemic has resulted in reduced corporate revenues and increased cash flow fluctuations, which have had an adverse impact on economic activity (He et al. 2022b). In such uncertain economic conditions, companies have faced challenges such as declining profits, liquidity constraints, and the need to continue paying employees, debtors,

and suppliers despite reduced revenues, leading companies to maintain higher levels of working capital to fund their operational needs and ensure liquidity. Besides, to safeguard against future negative cash flow shocks and to address the limited access to credit for companies with higher default probabilities, firms tend to create and maintain larger liquidity buffers consisting of cash and short-term assets (Demary et al. 2021). This focus on liquidity and cash management contributed to the higher net working capital ratios observed during the COVID-19 pandemic. As for firm risk, one of the most important reasons why high-risk companies adopt a policy based on a high net working capital ratio can be that it may signal to investors and lenders that the firm is managing its risks effectively and is less likely to default on its debt obligations, which can lead to a higher credit rating. It is also necessary to mention this key point that the net working capital ratio can be influenced by various factors, such as industry, business cycle, size, and growth stage (Çelik et al. 2016). Considering the severe economic sanctions that have had destructive effects on all manufacturing industries in the Iranian market, those firms operating in industries with high risk or facing cyclical challenges seem to have chosen higher net working capital ratios to manage their risks and maintain financial stability. With respect to the control variables, it can be noted that there is a negative linkage between firm size and NWC. It looks like larger firms generally have better access to capital and can more easily obtain loans to finance their operations. As a result, these firms may have more resources to manage their cash flow and invest in growth opportunities. Also, by diversifying their operations, larger firms can better manage their cash flow and reduce the impact of fluctuations in specific industries or markets on their overall financial performance. We also find that sale growth and Tobin's Q are positively correlated with net working capital. Firms that experience superior sales growth may have greater opportunities to invest in growth projects and expand their operations (Li et al. 2014). A higher net working capital ratio can help these firms fund their growth initiatives and maintain a healthy balance between current assets and current liabilities. Firms with a higher Tobin's Q are often perceived to have higher growth and profit potential. This may lead to increased competition and the need for additional investment to capture market share, which can be supported by a higher net working capital ratio. It should also not be neglected that firms with higher Tobin's Q have more market power and seek to navigate the challenges posed by the pandemic and ensure their financial resilience. Given that tangible assets can generate cash flow through sales and other revenue streams and reduce the need for additional working capital to fund short-term obligations, our outputs witness a negative relationship between tangibility and NWC.

Cash to current assets (CTCA) ratio is another working capital strategy that reflects financial stability. Financial stability can be demonstrated by larger cash reserves relative to current assets, which can also help firms meet unexpected liquidity requirements (Akbar et al. 2021). Therefore, the sixth model of this study attempts to analyze whether the COVID-19 pandemic and firm risk could have encouraged Iranian firms to allocate more cash to their current assets. Consequently, the results of the sixth model in Table 9 are provided. Furthermore, a larger cash-to-sales (CTS) ratio indicates the availability of idle funds. Therefore, a negative correlation is expected between firm risk and market risk (Akbar et al. 2021). In Table 10, the seventh model of this study also aims to examine whether both the market risk arising from COVID-19 and the firm risk due to economic sanctions have caused firms to keep sufficient cash to generate sales to minimize these possible damages.

Whether during COVID-19 or when facing greater risk, firms are keen on having larger cash balances to be less shocked by unexpected events. Our results support the liquidity preference theory, which suggests that firms improve their liquidity position and financial flexibility to navigate through uncertain times. Furthermore, the positive relationship between property, plant, and equipment (PPE) and cash to current assets (CTCA) ratio can be elucidated by the illiquidity of PPE assets compared to other current assets. This tie-up of capital in illiquid assets can impact a company's ability to access cash quickly to meet short-term obligations or unexpected cash needs. As a result, companies with a higher concentration of PPE in their asset mix may exhibit a higher CTCA ratio, reflecting a larger proportion of cash relative to their current assets. Considering all its merits, it should also be considered that businesses that keep larger cash volumes are deemed ineffective because idle cash does not earn any return (Akbar et al. 2021). When the country's economy is performing well and there is an increase in GDP, companies are less threatened by risks and have less desire to maintain a high ratio of CTCA. Exactly the negative and significant relationship between GDP and the CTCA ratio is a confirmation of such reasoning in the Iranian market.

Table 9. The results of the sixth model.

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | T-Statistic | <i>p</i> -Value | MM-Estimation |
|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| С | 0.0247 | 0.0252 | 0.9786 | 0.3279 | 0.0362 |
| COVID-19 | 0.0167 | 0.0061 | 2.7223 | 0.0065 *** | 0.4037 *** |
| Firm Risk | 0.3800 | 0.1719 | 2.2103 | 0.0272 * | 0.0119 * |
| Firm Size | 0.0006 | 0.0015 | 0.4416 | 0.6787 | 0.0003 |
| Firm Age | -0.0001 | 0.0001 | -0.8403 | 0.4008 | -0.0025 |
| Sale Growth | 0.0012 | 0.0019 | 0.6573 | 0.5111 | 0.0024 |
| Tobin Q | 0.0027 | 0.0005 | 5.2760 | 0.0002 *** | 0.0008 ** |
| Tangibility | 0.0705 | 0.0120 | 5.8556 | 0.0384 * | 0.0714 *** |
| Dividends | 0.0496 | 0.0186 | 2.6601 | 0.0079 *** | 0.0793 ** |
| GDP | -0.0264 | 0.0102 | -2.5912 | 0.0096 *** | -0.0193 * |
| Year Index | Yes | | | | |
| Industry Index | Yes | | | | |

Dependent Variable: CTCA; Method: OLS Regression; Adjusted R-squared: 0.5611; F-statistic: 17.4111; Prob. (F-statistic): 0.0005; Probability of F-Limer Test: 0.1109; Probability of Heteroskedasticity Test (White): 0.1831; Durbin–Watson Stat: 1.6429; Probability of Breusch–Godfrey Test: 0.3752. Note: Confidence level (*): 95%; confidence level (*): 98%; confidence level: 99% (***).

Table 10. The results of the seventh model.

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | T-Statistic | <i>p</i> -Value | MM-Estimation |
|----------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| С | 0.0992 | 0.0397 | 2.4985 | 0.0125 * | 0.0291 |
| COVID-19 | 0.0077 | 0.0096 | 0.8075 | 0.4196 | 0.0657 |
| Firm Risk | 0.2419 | 0.2703 | 0.8951 | 0.3708 | 0.0087 |
| Firm Size | -0.0032 | 0.0023 | -1.3477 | 0.1779 | -0.0006 |
| Firm Age | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.0579 | 0.9562 | 0.0304 |
| Sale Growth | -0.0035 | 0.0030 | -1.1792 | 0.2385 | -0.0019 |
| Tobin Q | 0.0044 | 0.0008 | 5.3885 | 0.0007 *** | 0.0725 ** |
| Tangibility | -0.0069 | 0.0189 | -0.3681 | 0.7128 | -0.0286 |
| Dividends | -0.0351 | 0.0293 | -1.1975 | 0.2313 | -0.0574 |
| GDP | -0.0234 | 0.0160 | -1.4647 | 0.1432 | -0.0179 |
| Year Index | Yes | | | | |
| Industry Index | Yes | | | | |

Dependent Variable: CTS; Method: OLS Regression; Adjusted R-squared: 0.1294; F-statistic: 45.7703; Prob. (F-statistic): 0.0361; Probability of F-Limer Test: 0.5135; Probability of Heteroskedasticity Test (White): 0.3981; Durbin–Watson Stat: 1.7566; Probability of Breusch–Godfrey Test: 0.1634. Note: Confidence level (*): 95%; confidence level (*): 99% (***).

During the COVID-19 pandemic and times of increased variability in operating income, the need for cash relative to sales for firms has remained unchanged. In summary, the unchanged cash-to-sales ratio for firms during the COVID-19 pandemic can be attributed to precautionary motives, public policy support, reduced investment, and the impact of the pandemic on firm performance. For instance, firms have accumulated cash and cash equivalents as a precautionary measure against future uncertainties and potential negative cash flow shocks (Demary et al. 2021). This strategy helps them maintain a healthy cash buffer to ensure business continuity during challenging times. Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic has led to unprecedented public policy support in the form of financial assistance

programs, low interest rates, and various relief measures. These actions have enabled firms to maintain their cash holdings, even as they have depleted some of the newly accumulated cash buffer in 2022 (Bräuning et al. 2023). Firms in countries strongly affected by COVID-19 have shown reduced sensitivity to cash flow during the crisis (He et al. 2022a). This may be due to the uncertainty surrounding the pandemic and its impact on economic activity, leading firms to adopt a more cautious approach to investment. Furthermore, firms with better financial performance (higher Tobin's Q) are found to have a higher cash-to-sales ratio. The main reason for this is that firms with a higher Tobin's Q index are perceived to have high growth and profit potential, which may lead to increased competition and the need for additional investment to capture market share. Therefore, these firms may need to maintain a higher cash-to-sales ratio to ensure that they have sufficient funds to invest in growth opportunities and maintain their competitive advantage. Additionally, companies with higher Tobin's Q may face greater idiosyncratic risks or uncertainties associated with their growth strategies. Holding more cash can act as a buffer against these risks, providing a safety net for the firm in times of economic downturns or unexpected events. Maintaining a higher cash-to-sales ratio can also be a strategic choice to enhance shareholder value. By having ample cash reserves, firms can signal financial stability, attract investors, and potentially support stock prices, aligning with the interests of shareholders.

The cash conversion cycle (CCC) and cash conversion efficiency (CCE) are both measures of a company's efficiency in managing its working capital. The CCC measures the average length of time firms' funds are tied up in the cycle of raw material purchase, sale of inventories, and collection of sales, while the CCE is a metric that expresses the time it takes for a business to convert its stock or inventory into cash flows from sales. In summary, the CCC focuses on the entire cash cycle from inventory to sales to cash (Akbar et al. 2021; Ahmad et al. 2022; Tarkom 2022; Zheng et al. 2022), while the CCE specifically looks at the time it takes to convert inventory into cash flows from sales (Naz et al. 2022). Market and operating risks can both influence a company's cash conversion cycle by affecting sales, inventory management, accounts receivable collection, and payment to suppliers, ultimately impacting the efficiency of converting resources into cash flows (Akbar et al. 2021), leading to a longer CCC and CCE. Therefore, in Tables 11 and 12, this research aims to analyze whether COVID-19 and corporate risk have led to changes in CCE and CCC strategies.

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | T-Statistic | <i>p</i> -Value | MM-Estimation |
|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| С | -0.2205 | 0.1099 | -2.0066 | 0.0449 * | -0.1829 *** |
| COVID-19 | -0.0462 | 0.0267 | -1.7310 | 0.0836 | -0.3066 |
| Firm Risk | 1.5994 | 0.7133 | 2.2421 | 0.0251 * | 0.9859 ** |
| Firm Size | 0.0227 | 0.0063 | 3.6173 | 0.0003 *** | 0.0160 |
| Firm Age | 0.0001 | 0.0007 | 0.1668 | 0.8674 | 0.0005 |
| Sale Growth | 0.0011 | 0.0083 | 0.1327 | 0.8944 | 0.0260 |
| Tobin Q | -0.0026 | 0.0022 | -1.1829 | 0.2370 | -0.0776 |
| Tangibility | 0.0294 | 0.0524 | 0.5617 | 0.5744 | 0.1939 |
| Dividends | 0.2173 | 0.0812 | 2.6767 | 0.0075 *** | 0.9771 *** |
| GDP | 0.0237 | 0.0444 | 0.5339 | 0.5934 | 0.0370 |
| Year Index | Yes | | | | |
| Industry Index | Yes | | | | |

Table 11. The results of the eighth model.

Dependent Variable: CCE; Method: OLS Regression; Adjusted R-squared: 0.2419; F-statistic: 7.4825; Prob. (F-statistic): 0.0001; Probability of F-Limer Test: 0.0934; Probability of Heteroskedasticity Test (White): 0.1685; Durbin–Watson Stat: 2.0151; Probability of Breusch–Godfrey Test: 0.2734. Note: Confidence level (*): 95%; confidence level (*): 98%; confidence level: 99% (***).

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | T-Statistic | <i>p</i> -Value | MM-Estimation |
|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| С | 228.9236 | 158.6942 | 1.4425 | 0.1493 | 83.4037 |
| COVID-19 | -71.4062 | 38.5494 | -1.8523 | 0.0642 | -94.0537 |
| Firm Risk | -487.5368 | 1080.1943 | -0.4513 | 0.6518 | -225.3658 |
| Firm Size | 2.4714 | 9.5079 | 0.2599 | 0.7949 | 0.5516 |
| Firm Age | 2.3501 | 1.0438 | 2.2514 | 0.0245 * | 0.9830 ** |
| Sale Growth | 11.6254 | 12.0282 | 0.9665 | 0.3339 | 14.5332 |
| Tobin Q | -2.2099 | 3.3042 | -0.6688 | 0.5037 | -0.2300 |
| Tangibility | -537.5857 | 75.6634 | -7.1049 | 0.0032 *** | -149.5488 * |
| Dividends | 85.3092 | 117.2461 | 0.7260 | 0.4669 | 326.1353 |
| GDP | 62.6433 | 64.1056 | 0.9771 | 0.3286 | 21.4430 |
| Year Index | Yes | | | | |
| Industry Index | Yes | | | | |

Table 12. The results of the ninth model.

Dependent Variable: CCC; Method: OLS Regression; Adjusted R-squared: 0.1406; F-statistic: 28.1326; Prob. (F-statistic): 0.0017; Probability of F-Limer Test: 0.3799; Probability of Heteroskedasticity Test (White): 0.2519; Durbin–Watson Stat: 1.9068; Probability of Breusch–Godfrey Test: 0.3204. Note: Confidence level (*): 95%; confidence level (*): 98%; confidence level: 99% (***).

The outputs from Table 11 witness a positive association between firm risk and CCE strategy in the Iranian context. The ratio of cash conversion efficiency (CCE) may increase when firms face more risks due to the need for higher cash reserves to mitigate potential challenges. Firms with higher systematic risk and operational dangers may choose to maintain a higher ratio of cash to mitigate the impact of worse contractual terms on their lines of credit (Cardella et al. 2021). However, it is important to note that the optimal level of CCE depends on the company's specific circumstances and financial management strategy. We also see a positive connection between firm size and the CCE ratio. It appears that larger firms may have higher receivables that can be converted into cash immediately, contributing to a higher CCE ratio. Larger firms may hold higher cash reserves as a strategic preparation for substantial purchases or as a defense against potential risks, which can lead to a higher CCE ratio. Firms with higher dividends have a higher CCE ratio due to the need for more cash retention, financing growth initiatives, managing the cost of capital, and potential challenges in growing dividends.

Neither the coronavirus pandemic nor the company's risk has had a significant impact on the CCC ratio of companies. In essence, when the COVID-19 pandemic and firm risk do not affect the cash conversion cycle significantly, it suggests that the company's internal operational efficiency and management of working capital components are robust enough to withstand external fluctuations without impacting the conversion of resources into cash flows. In this study, firm age is linked to CCC positively, and this connection may be influenced by factors such as financing and trade credit practices, access to external finance, growth stability, and industry-specific characteristics (Wang 2019). Moreover, firms with more tangible assets may have lower inventory holding costs, which can lead to a lower CCC ratio (Attari and Raza 2012). In fact, a higher property, plant, and equipment (PPE) ratio can lead to lower production lead times by enabling companies to have the necessary equipment and resources readily available, which can streamline the production process. With adequate PPE, companies can reduce delays caused by equipment shortages or breakdowns, leading to more efficient production timelines and a decrease in the cash conversion cycle (CCC).

Operational cycle (OC) is the time it takes for a company to complete its operations, from purchasing raw materials to selling finished goods. In this regard, Lin et al. (2023) believe that the COVID-19 pandemic can disrupt firms' operational cycles by introducing demand shocks, supply chain disruptions, economic uncertainty, and challenges in receivables management, all of which collectively contribute to a lengthening of the operating cycle for many businesses. However, by analyzing the operational cycle, businesses can

make informed decisions about production, inventory management, and sales strategies to optimize their working capital efficiency (Zimon and Tarighi 2021). This research aims to investigate whether the COVID-19 crisis, which caused problems in inventory provision and production of goods, compelled Iranian managers to make fundamental changes in their operating cycles to optimize efficiency. Additionally, the tenth model of this research attempts to determine whether companies with higher risk consider their operating cycles more extensively. The results of Table 13 are provided below.

Table 13. The results of the tenth model.

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | T-Statistic | <i>p</i> -Value | MM-Estimation |
|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| С | 508.7579 | 199.3773 | 2.5517 | 0.0108 *** | 217.4062 * |
| COVID-19 | -97.3974 | 48.4320 | -2.0110 | 0.0445 * | -35.9394 ** |
| Firm Risk | -367.9780 | 1357.1146 | -0.2711 | 0.7863 | -163.2572 |
| Firm Size | -1.4582 | 11.9445 | -0.1220 | 0.9028 | -1.2436 |
| Firm Age | 1.5837 | 1.3114 | 1.2076 | 0.2274 | 0.7496 |
| Sale Growth | -2.1824 | 15.1118 | -0.1444 | 0.8851 | -6.9811 |
| Tobin Q | -1.8297 | 4.1512 | -0.4407 | 0.6594 | -1.2534 |
| Tangibility | -604.4707 | 95.0607 | -6.3587 | 0.0001 *** | -171.8864 * |
| Dividends | -433.6266 | 147.3035 | -2.9437 | 0.0033 *** | -72.8910 * |
| GDP | 83.6595 | 80.5399 | 1.0387 | 0.2991 | 4.3623 |
| Year Index | Yes | | | | |
| Industry Index | Yes | | | | |

Dependent Variable: OC; Method: OLS Regression; Adjusted R-squared: 0.2274; F-statistic: 16.7571; Prob. (F-statistic): 0.0000; Probability of F-Limer Test: 0.1205; Probability of Heteroskedasticity Test (White): 0.1869; Durbin–Watson Stat: 1.9852; Probability of Breusch–Godfrey Test: 0.5302. Note: Confidence level (*): 95%; confidence level (**): 98%; confidence level: 99% (***).

Inconsistent with our expectations, the results highlight the fact that the occurrence of COVID-19 caused firms to lower their operational cycle (OC) ratio. Although COVID-19 presented significant challenges, it led to a decrease in the operational cycle of Iranian firms. This means that some companies have been able to adapt, innovate, and optimize their operations, resulting in increased efficiency and reduced time to convert resources into cash flows. When Iranian firms faced systematic risk because of the coronavirus pandemic, they tried to lower the ratio of operational cycle (OC) to mitigate the impact of market fluctuations on their financial performance Although companies with higher risks have also done such work, it was not statistically significant. Similarly, tangibility and dividends variables have a negative effect on OC strategy. It can be interpreted that lowering the ratio of the operational cycle (OC) can benefit firms with more tangible assets by enabling more efficient use of assets, improving cash flow management, and reducing reliance on debt (Harc 2015). Companies that pay more dividends to their shareholders prefer to minimize their OC ratio, as it allows them to have sufficient cash flow to meet their dividend responsibilities without relying heavily on external financing or troublemaking their operational activities. Additionally, a lower operational cycle can signal to investors that the company is professionally managing its working capital, which may help keep investor confidence and support the payment of dividends (Bushuru 2015).

Days account receivables (DAR) represents the average time customers take to repay a business for products or services purchased, reflecting credit and collection process efficiency. Higher DAR can increase the likelihood of bad debts, which increases operational and market risk (Akbar et al. 2021). Accordingly, this study is trying to examine whether companies reduce their credit sales at a time when they are threatened with more risks and during the Corona crisis when the lack of liquidity is more annoying. Days inventory (DI) represents the average time it takes for a company to convert its inventory into cash, also known as the inventory conversion period. Days inventory is an essential metric in working capital management, as it helps businesses optimize their cash flow and minimize the risk of inventory obsolescence. The days inventories are expected to be positively linked to firm risk (Akbar et al. 2021). Therefore, we are curious to determine whether the COVID-19 crisis and firm risk have led financial managers to make significant changes in their DI policy. Days account payables (DAP) represents the average time it takes for a company to pay its suppliers for goods or services purchased on credit, reflecting the efficiency of the company's accounts payable management. Managing accounts payables is essential for businesses to optimize their cash flow and maintain good relationships with suppliers. The most important point is that a larger DAP will provide liquidity to the firm for a longer time, thus resulting in a lower perceived risk of a short-term liquidity crunch (Akbar et al. 2021). Due to this characteristic, in the last step we are going to analyze whether the pandemic and firm risk have led Iranian companies to decide to increase their DAP strategy to improve their liquidity or not. In general, the results of the three variables of DAR, DI, and DAP are presented in Table 14, Table 15 and Table 16, respectively.

| Table 14. The results of the eleventh model. |
|--|
|--|

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | T-Statistic | <i>p</i> -Value | MM-Estimation |
|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| С | 222.9547 | 174.8243 | 1.2753 | 0.2024 | 68.9389 |
| COVID-19 | -109.6422 | 42.4677 | -2.5817 | 0.0099 *** | -61.5318 ** |
| Firm Risk | -152.8394 | 1189.9882 | -0.1284 | 0.8978 | -243.4361 |
| Firm Size | 5.4631 | 10.4743 | 0.5215 | 0.6020 | 2.8606 |
| Firm Age | 1.7832 | 1.1499 | 1.5507 | 0.1212 | 0.2720 |
| Sale Growth | 11.8444 | 13.2508 | 0.8938 | 0.3715 | 2.4010 |
| Tobin Q | -4.1093 | 3.6400 | -1.1289 | 0.2591 | -1.1088 |
| Tangibility | -465.9497 | 83.3541 | -5.5900 | 0.0010 *** | -62.1644 *** |
| Dividends | -261.3908 | 129.1633 | -2.0237 | 0.0432 * | -110.5439 *** |
| GDP | 86.6852 | 70.6215 | 1.2274 | 0.2198 | 27.0311 |
| Year Index | Yes | | | | |
| Industry Index | Yes | | | | |

Dependent Variable: DAR; Method: OLS Regression; Adjusted R-squared: 0.2053; F-statistic: 17.7032; Prob. (F-statistic): 0.0003; Probability of F-Limer Test: 0.4296; Probability of Heteroskedasticity Test (White): 0.1305; Durbin–Watson Stat: 2.3153; Probability of Breusch–Godfrey Test: 0.1622. Note: Confidence level (*): 95%; confidence level (*): 99% (***).

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | T-Statistic | <i>p</i> -Value | MM-Estimation |
|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| С | 285.8032 | 70.8837 | 4.032 | 0.0000 *** | 166.9564 *** |
| COVID-19 | 12.2448 | 17.2188 | 0.7111 | 0.4771 | 28.0153 |
| Firm Risk | -215.1388 | 482.4888 | -0.4458 | 0.6557 | -338.5868 |
| Firm Size | -6.9214 | 4.2469 | -1.6297 | 0.1034 | -5.1729 * |
| Firm Age | -0.1994 | 0.4662 | -0.4277 | 0.6688 | -0.2395 |
| Sale Growth | -14.0269 | 5.3726 | -2.6108 | 0.0091 *** | -12.0779 *** |
| Tobin Q | 2.2795 | 1.4758 | 1.5445 | 0.1227 | 0.03771 |
| Tangibility | -138.5210 | 33.7965 | -4.0986 | 0.0000 *** | -26.9621 * |
| Dividends | -172.2357 | 52.3701 | -3.2888 | 0.0010 *** | -15.6827 * |
| GDP | -3.0256 | 28.6339 | -0.1056 | 0.9158 | -7.2087 |
| Year Index | Yes | | | | |
| Industry Index | Yes | | | | |

Dependent Variable: DI; Method: OLS Regression; Adjusted R-squared: 0.1893; F-statistic: 9.7493; Prob. (F-statistic): 0.0000; Probability of F-Limer Test: 0.0781; Probability of Heteroskedasticity Test (White): 0.3750; Durbin–Watson Stat: 2.0824; Probability of Breusch–Godfrey Test: 0.2593. Note: Confidence level (*): 98%; confidence level: 99% (***).

The COVID-19 crisis had a negative and significant effect on the DAR variable. In addition to economic sanctions against Iran's settings, the pandemic caused financial distress among businesses (Alipour 2011; Moradi et al. 2021), leading to cost-cutting measures, such as reducing DAR. Besides, COVID-19 accelerated the adoption of digital technologies, including e-invoicing and online payment systems, and this shift in payment methods can contribute to a reduction in DAR. Consistent with the financial theory of working capital

management (McInnes 2000), the negative association between the variables of dividends and tangibility with DAR can be explained fully. In fact, firms with more dividends try to have lower DAR as they prioritize collecting payments from customers more efficiently to distribute dividends to shareholders. Additionally, Iranian firms with higher tangible assets tend to have lower DAR, for they have more collateral to secure loans and can negotiate better credit terms with suppliers, allowing them to manage their cash flow more effectively.

T-Statistic Variable Coefficient Std. Error p-Value **MM-Estimation** С 279.8343 85.8249 3.2605 0.0011 *** 66.7982 *** COVID-19 -25.991120.8482 -1.24660.2127 -47.9354Firm Risk 119.5587 584.1905 0.2046 0.8378 99.1869 Firm Size -3.92965.1421 -0.76420.4448 -1.0754Firm Age -0.76630.5645-1.35740.1748-0.1164-0.1705 *** Sale Growth -13.80796.5051 -2.12260.0339 * Tobin Q 0.3801 1.7869 0.2127 0.8315 1.0185 Tangibility -66.884940.9203 -1.63450.1024 -13.5514Dividends -518.9359-8.18390.0025 *** -254.8943 *** 63.4090 GDP 21.0162 34.6696 0.6061 0.5445 18.6221 Year Index Yes Industry Index Yes

Table 16. The results of the thirteenth model.

Dependent Variable: DAP; Method: OLS Regression; Adjusted R-squared: 0.1341; F-statistic: 37.8430; Prob. (F-statistic): 0.0053; Probability of F-Limer Test: 0.4995; Probability of Heteroskedasticity Test (White): 0.1603; Durbin–Watson Stat: 2.1762; Probability of Breusch–Godfrey Test: 0.6693. Note: Confidence level (*): 98%; confidence level: 99% (***).

The coronavirus pandemic had no significant effect on the DI variable. Since the COVID-19 pandemic led to global supply chain disruptions, causing many businesses to reevaluate their inventory management practices, Iranian firms may have adjusted their inventory levels to mitigate the impact of these disruptions, resulting in a lack of significant change in days inventory. Also, as COVID-19 created uncertainty in consumer demand, making it challenging for firms to accurately forecast their inventory needs, Iranian firms may have maintained their inventory levels to buffer against demand fluctuations, leading to a limited impact on days inventory. However, no significant change in days inventory was found in the Iranian market. Iranian enterprises may have chosen to keep their days inventory stable to accommodate potential fluctuations in customer demand, thereby avoiding stockouts or excess inventory during uncertain times. As for the control variables, the negative connection between sales growth and DI may be because of the desire to enhance liquidity, improve operational efficiency, and reduce the costs of firms. Similarly, the more companies pay dividends, the more their policies are adopted to turn their inventory into cash in a shorter time. Furthermore, we found that firms with more tangible assets decrease the inventory conversion period, for they have smaller costs of financial distress than firms with fewer tangible assets (Harc 2015). Iranian manufacturing companies that have a high proportion of tangible assets use these assets to produce their goods. These firms may also have already found a stable source of return, which provides them with more internally generated funds and discourages them from turning to external financing.

The COVID-19 pandemic and firm risk did not have any significant impact on the DAP variable. Iranian firms may have faced challenges in changing their DAP due to international sanctions, which could have limited their access to financial services and credit. The COVID-19 crisis led to a global economic slowdown, which may have affected Iranian firms' ability to change their DAP. Iranian companies appear to have focused on conserving cash and maintaining their liquidity rather than actively managing their DAP. Finally, there is a negative linkage between sales growth and dividends and DAP.

Companies that have experienced better sales growth have sought to reduce DAP because timely accounts payable processing can lead to stronger supplier relationships, which may encourage suppliers to offer more favorable payment terms and discounts. As sales grow, companies may need to strike a delicate balance between their inflow and outflow, ensuring that they can collect payments from customers while also paying suppliers on time. The same scenario was experienced by the Iranian manufacturing companies that paid more dividends. Firstly, paying dividends can signal to creditors that the company is financially stable and can afford to share its profits with shareholders, and this financial stability may encourage creditors to extend more favorable credit terms. Secondly, companies that pay dividends may be perceived more favorable yb investors, as they are sharing their profits and providing a steady stream of income. This positive perception can lead to better access to capital and lower financing costs, which can indirectly help companies manage their DAP. Thirdly, dividends are subject to taxes, and companies may choose to pay out dividends to reduce their tax burden. By doing so, they can free up cash that can be used to pay off accounts payable and reduce their DAP.

5. Conclusions

Overall, the research literature indicates that the COVID-19 pandemic has led to significant changes in working capital management strategies for manufacturing firms, with many facing challenges related to cash flow, operational costs, and profitability (Zimon and Tarighi 2021; Tarkom 2022; Hamshari et al. 2022; Wadesango et al. 2022; Pant et al. 2023). In this study, our findings confirm that the COVID-19 crisis caused Iranian manufacturing companies to adopt working capital investment policies and also seek to increase the current ratio (CR) and cash to current assets (CTCA) ratio, while avoiding the policies of WC financing, operating cycle (OC), and receivables turnover. It is important to maintain a balanced approach to financial management during these challenging times. In fact, the COVID-19 crisis in the Iranian market led Iranian companies to primarily employ conservative working capital strategies because they tend to maintain a stronger financial position and improve their liquidity, which is crucial for surviving the economic uncertainty caused by the pandemic. The Iranian market is also known as a high-risk market due to severe economic sanctions, leading to an increase in a firm's total risk. In this regard, the results show that there is a positive association between a firm's total risk and WC investment policy, net working capital (NWC), cash to current assets (CTCA) ratio, and cash conversion efficiency (CCE). Similar to the COVID-19 crisis, the higher the firm risk, the more conservative the strategies that Iranian companies adopt. As a whole, our findings are consistent with the liquidity preference theory, providing a sound rationale for the adjustments in financial ratios observed in response to the COVID-19 crisis and firm risk, reflecting a strategic shift towards enhancing liquidity, managing risks, and ensuring financial resilience. Upon examining the details, it becomes apparent that certain companyspecific characteristics, as well as other factors, play a significant role in determining the chosen working capital strategy. For instance, there is a negative relation between GDP with quick ratio (QR) and net working capital (NWC), while GDP affects WC financing strategy positively. This implies that in markets with a strong economic situation, companies require less liquidity and tend to adopt more aggressive working capital strategies to improve their profitability.

The more tangible assets Iranian manufacturing companies have, the less they use WC investment and financing strategies, current ratio, quick ratio, net working capital, operating cycle, and cash conversion cycle, because tangible assets, such as property, plant, and equipment, are not easily liquidated and may not be fully available to meet short-term liabilities. However, due to the liquidity challenges associated with PPE, firms with higher tangible assets have increased their cash to current assets ratio and cash-to-sales ratio to compensate for the lower liquidity of these assets and ensure they can meet their short-term obligations. Actually, this conservative approach helps companies navigate through periods of low cash inflows or unexpected expenses, safeguarding their ability to

function effectively and meet financial commitments. Furthermore, since there is a positive connection between sale growth and current ratio, quick ratio, and net working capital, firms that have experienced better sale growth seem to adopt a conservative approach and prioritize financial stability and risk aversion over expansion. On the other hand, the negative effect of sale growth on days inventory (DI) and days accounts payables (DAP) is because optimizing the firm's liquidity and minimizing risk in the face of increased sales activity. In other words, higher sales growth can lead to the need for more working capital to support increased operations. By decreasing the days inventory ratio, a firm can minimize the amount of capital tied up in inventory, thus freeing up cash for other uses. Similarly, decreasing the days account payables ratio allows the firm to pay off its payables more quickly, which can enhance its creditworthiness and reduce the risk of a short-term liquidity crunch. Finally, larger Iranian firms have smaller net working capital ratios and a higher ratio of operating cash flow to sales due to their stronger financial position, greater resources, and expertise in optimizing operations, resulting in increased efficiency and reduced need for working capital. Furthermore, larger firms can achieve economies of scale in their operations, enabling them to generate more cash from sales.

On the whole, the research findings have theoretical and practical applications for various market groups. First, regulators and policymakers in both developing and developed markets can use these findings to assess the impact of external shocks on firms' working capital management and consider appropriate support measures or policy adjustments. Moreover, creditors can utilize these insights to reassess their credit risk models and lending criteria, considering the changes in working capital investment strategies and operating cycle duration observed in Iranian manufacturing firms. Our findings also give the message to companies operating in other emerging markets that they can adopt conservative working capital strategies to maintain a stronger financial position and improve liquidity during economic uncertainty. However, the specific strategies adopted by companies may vary depending on the economic conditions and policies of each country. Future researchers could conduct comparative studies across different markets, both developing and developed, to assess the generalizability of the impact of external shocks on working capital management strategies. This could provide valuable insights into the commonalities and differences in the responses of firms to crises across various market contexts. We also recommend that researchers consider conducting longitudinal analyses to track the evolution of working capital management strategies in response to prolonged external shocks, such as the COVID-19 pandemic. This will offer a deeper understanding of how firms adapt their strategies over time and the lasting implications of such adaptations. Most importantly, given that the current study period in Iran coincides with a financial crisis resulting from sanctions, and that the calculation of variables may differ between studies, future scholars are advised to exercise caution when generalizing or comparing the results presented in this investigation.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.T., G.Z., M.J.S. and M.S.; methodology, H.T., G.Z., M.J.S. and M.S.; software, H.T., G.Z., M.J.S. and M.S.; validation, H.T. and G.Z.; formal analysis, H.T., G.Z., M.J.S. and M.S.; investigation, H.T., G.Z., M.J.S. and M.S.; resources, H.T., G.Z., M.J.S. and M.S.; data curation, H.T., G.Z., M.J.S. and M.S.; writing—original draft preparation, H.T., G.Z., M.J.S. and M.S.; writing—review and editing, H.T., G.Z., M.J.S. and M.S.; visualization, H.T., G.Z., M.J.S. and M.S.; visualization, H.T., G.Z., M.J.S. and M.S.; writing—review and editing, H.T., G.Z., M.J.S. and M.S.; visualization, H.T., G.Z. and M.S.; visua

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: The database utilized for this research was sourced from the Securities and Exchange Organization of Iran (SEO) platform, accessible at https://codal.ir/ (accessed on 15 April 2024).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Abakah, Emmanuel Joel Aikins, Mohammad Abdullah, Imran Yousaf, Aviral Kumar Tiwari, and Yanshuang Li. 2024. Economic sanctions sentiment and global stock markets. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money* 91: 101910. [CrossRef]
- Abuhommous, Alaa Adden A. 2017. Net working capital and firm growth. International Review of Management and Marketing 7: 131.
- Abuzayed, Bana. 2012. Working capital management and firms' performance in emerging markets: The case of Jordan. *International Journal of Managerial Finance* 8: 155–79. [CrossRef]
- Achim, Monica Violeta, Ioana Lavinia Safta, Viorela Ligia Văidean, Gabriela Mihaela Mureșan, and Nicolae Sorin Borlea. 2022. The impact of COVID-19 on financial management: Evidence from Romania. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja* 35: 1807–32. [CrossRef]
- Ahmad, Muhammad, Rabia Bashir, and Hamid Waqas. 2022. Working capital management and firm performance: Are their effects same in covid 19 compared to financial crisis 2008? *Cogent Economics & Finance* 10: 2101224. [CrossRef]
- Ajam, Nima, Reza Moghaddasi, and Amir Mohammadinejad. 2023. Impact of globalization on CO2 emission in the agricultural sector: Case study of selected developed countries. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 195: 1138. [CrossRef]
- Akbar, Ahsan, Minhas Akbar, Marina Nazir, Petra Poulova, and Samrat Ray. 2021. Does working capital management influence operating and market risk of firms? *Risks* 9: 201. [CrossRef]
- Akgün, Ali Ihsan, and Ayyüce Memiş Karataş. 2021. Investigating the relationship between working capital management and business performance: Evidence from the 2008 financial crisis of EU-28. *International Journal of Managerial Finance* 17: 545–67. [CrossRef]
- Aktas, Nihat, Ettore Croci, and Dimitris Petmezas. 2015. Is working capital management value-enhancing? Evidence from firm performance and investments. *Journal of Corporate Finance* 30: 98–113. [CrossRef]
- Al-Hiyari, Ahmad, Mohamed Chakib Kolsi, Abdalwali Lutfi, and Amer Saadi Shakkour. 2024. Information asymmetry and dividend payout in an emerging market: Does corporate governance quality matter? *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity* 10: 100188. [CrossRef]
- Alipour, Mohammad. 2011. Working capital management and corporate profitability: Evidence from Iran. World Applied Sciences Journal 12: 1093–99.
- Almaghrabi, Khadija S. 2022. COVID-19 and the cost of bond debt: The role of corporate diversification. *Finance Research Letters* 46: 102454. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Almustafa, Hamza, Quang Khai Nguyen, Jia Liu, and Van Cuong Dang. 2023. The impact of COVID-19 on firm risk and performance in MENA countries: Does national governance quality matter? *PLoS ONE* 18: e0281148. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alrahamneh, Luay, Ei Yet Chu, and Meenchee Hong. 2020. The implications of debt financing on working capital management efficiency: Evidence from MENA emerging markets. *International Journal of Industrial Management* 8: 62–67. [CrossRef]
- Amponsah-Kwatiah, Kofi, and Michael Asiamah. 2021. Working capital management and profitability of listed manufacturing firms in Ghana. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management* 70: 1751–71. [CrossRef]
- Angosto-Fernández, Pedro L., and Victoria Ferrández-Serrano. 2024. Market risk exposure determinants during the COVID-19 outbreak: Between competitiveness and inequality. *International Journal of Emerging Markets. ahead-of-print*. [CrossRef]
- Arianpoor, Arash, and Niloufar Mehrfard. 2023. The impact of managerial attributes on cash holding and investment efficiency and the mediator role of cash holding. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research* 14: 610–28. [CrossRef]
- Arif, Ahmed, and Ahmed Nauman Anees. 2012. Liquidity risk and performance of banking system. Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance 20: 182–95. [CrossRef]
- Arnaldi, Arnaldo, Barbara Novak, Remo Roscigno, and Wu Zhang. 2021. Working capital management and profitability: Empirical evidence. *International Journal of Business Management and Economic Research* 12: 1911–17.
- Attari, Muneeb Ahmad, and Kashif Raza. 2012. The optimal relationship of cash conversion cycle with firm size and profitability. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences* 2: 189. [CrossRef]
- Baker, Malcolm, Richard S. Ruback, and Jeffrey Wurgler. 2007. Behavioral corporate finance. In *Handbook of Empirical Corporate Finance*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 145–86. [CrossRef]
- Baños-Caballero, Sonia, Pedro J. García-Teruel, and Pedro Martínez-Solano. 2010. Working capital management in SMEs. Accounting & Finance 50: 511–27. [CrossRef]
- Baños-Caballero, Sonia, Pedro J. García-Teruel, and Pedro Martínez-Solano. 2014. Working capital management, corporate performance, and financial constraints. *Journal of Business Research* 67: 332–38. [CrossRef]
- Battisti, Enrico, Luigi Bollani, Nicola Miglietta, and Antonio Salvi. 2020. The impact of leverage on the cost of capital and market value: Evidence from Sharīʿah-compliant firms. *Management Research Review* 43: 1081–96. [CrossRef]
- Bibow, Jorg. 2013. Keynes on Monetary Policy, Finance and Uncertainty: Liquidity Preference Theory and the Global Financial Crisis. London: Routledge. [CrossRef]
- Bolton, Patrick, Hui Chen, and Neng Wang. 2011. A unified theory of Tobin's q, corporate investment, financing, and risk management. *The Journal of Finance* 66: 1545–78. [CrossRef]
- Bräuning, Falk, Jose L. Fillat, and Gustavo Joaquim. 2023. Firms' Cash Holdings and Monetary Policy Transmission. Federal Reserve Bank of Boston Research Paper Series Current Policy Perspectives Paper, 97115. Available online: https://ssrn.com/abstract=46 01192 (accessed on 13 October 2023).

- Buertey, Samuel, Richard Ramsawak, Raymond K. Dziwornu, and Yong-Seok Lee. 2024. Corporate social responsibility and dividend payout policy in extraordinary time: Empirical study of South Africa. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management* 31: 514–27. [CrossRef]
- Bugshan, Abdullah, Faisal Alnori, and Husam Ananzeh. 2023. Corporate net working capital: Evidence from Shariah compliance. International Journal of Emerging Markets. ahead-of-print. [CrossRef]
- Bushuru, Reuben Wanjala. 2015. The Relationship between Working Capital Management and Dividend Payout Ratio of Firms Listed in Nairobi Securities Exchange. Doctoral dissertation, Egerton University, Njoro, Kenya. Available online: http://41.89.96.81: 8080/xmlui/handle/123456789/2013 (accessed on 30 October 2015).
- Cardella, Laura, Douglas Fairhurst, and Sandy Klasa. 2021. What determines the composition of a firm's cash reserves? *Journal of Corporate Finance* 68: 101924. [CrossRef]
- Çelik, Reşit, Bahar Bilen, and Ömer Bilen. 2016. The impacts of changes in macro-economic data on net working capital: The case of turkey's industrial sector. *Procedia Economics and Finance* 38: 122–34. [CrossRef]
- Chalmers, David K., Luca Sensini, and Amit Shan. 2020. Working capital management (wcm) and performance of SMEs: Evidence from India. *International Journal of Business and Social Science* 11: 57–63. [CrossRef]
- Chen, Hanwen, Daoguang Yang, Joseph H. Zhang, and Haiyan Zhou. 2020. Internal controls, risk management, and cash holdings. Journal of Corporate Finance 64: 101695. [CrossRef]
- Chen, Lujie, Taiyu Li, Fu Jia, and Tobias Schoenherr. 2023. The impact of governmental COVID-19 measures on manufacturers' stock market valuations: The role of labor intensity and operational slack. *Journal of Operations Management* 69: 404–25. [CrossRef]
- Chen, Naiwei. 2011. Securities laws, control of corruption, and corporate liquidity: International evidence. *Corporate Governance: An International Review* 19: 3–24. [CrossRef]
- Cho, Sang Jun, Changhwan Choi, and Chune Young Chung. 2024. Firm information and risk: Evidence from the role of 10-K report readability. *Bulletin of Economic Research* 76: 488–507. [CrossRef]
- Chowdhury, Md Tarek, Aditi Sarkar, Sanjoy Kumar Paul, and Md Abdul Moktadir. 2022. A case study on strategies to deal with the impacts of COVID-19 pandemic in the food and beverage industry. *Operations Management Research* 15: 166–78. [CrossRef]
- Coles, Jeffrey L., Naveen D. Daniel, and Lalitha Naveen. 2006. Managerial incentives and risk-taking. *Journal of Financial Economics* 79: 431–68. [CrossRef]
- Cui, Moyang, Wing-Keung Wong, Worakamol Wisetsri, Fatma Mabrouk, Iskandar Muda, Zeyun Li, and Marria Hassan. 2023. Do oil, gold and metallic price volatilities prove gold as a safe haven during COVID-19 pandemic? Novel evidence from COVID-19 data. *Resources Policy* 80: 103133. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Damodaran, Aswath. 2014. Applied Corporate Finance. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons.
- Dash, Sakti Ranjan, Maheswar Sethi, and Rabindra Kumar Swain. 2023. Financial condition, working capital policy and profitability: Evidence from Indian companies. *Journal of Indian Business Research* 15: 318–55. [CrossRef]
- Dashtbayaz, Mahmoud Lari, Amjed Hameed Mezher, Khalid Haitham Khalid Albadr, and Bashaer Khudhair Abbas Alkafaji. 2023. The relationship between intellectual capital and audit fees. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 16: 93. [CrossRef]
- Davidescu, Adriana AnaMaria, Simona-Andreea Apostu, and Liviu Adrian Stoica. 2021. Socioeconomic effects of COVID-19 pandemic: Exploring uncertainty in the forecast of the Romanian unemployment rate for the period 2020–23. *Sustainability* 13: 7078. [CrossRef]
- De Almeida, Juliano Ribeiro, and William Eid, Jr. 2014. Access to finance, working capital management and company value: Evidences from Brazilian companies listed on BM&FBOVESPA. *Journal of Business Research* 67: 924–34. [CrossRef]
- De Jager, Phillip. 2008. Panel data techniques and accounting research. *Meditari: Research Journal of the School of Accounting Sciences* 16: 53–68. [CrossRef]
- Demary, Markus, Stefan Hasenclever, and Michael Hüther. 2021. Why the COVID-19 pandemic could increase the corporate saving trend in the long run. *Intereconomics* 56: 40–44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Demiraj, Rezart, Suzan Dsouza, and Mohammad Abiad. 2022. Working capital management impact on profitability: Pre-pandemic and pandemic evidence from the european automotive industry. *Risks* 10: 236. [CrossRef]
- Didier, Tatiana, Federico Huneeus, Mauricio Larrain, and Sergio L. Schmukler. 2021. Financing firms in hibernation during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Financial Stability* 53: 100837. [CrossRef]
- Ding, Wenzhi, Ross Levine, Chen Lin, and Wensi Xie. 2021. Corporate immunity to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Financial Economics* 141: 802–30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dziwok, Ewa, and Marta A. Karaś. 2021. Systemic illiquidity noise-based measure—A solution for systemic liquidity monitoring in frontier and emerging markets. *Risks* 9: 124. [CrossRef]
- El-Ansary, Osama, and Heba Al-Gazzar. 2021. Working capital and financial performance in MENA region. *Journal of Humanities and Applied Social Sciences* 3: 257–80. [CrossRef]
- Emery, Gary W. 1984. A pure financial explanation for trade credit. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 19: 271–85. [CrossRef] Enqvist, Julius, Michael Graham, and Jussi Nikkinen. 2014. The impact of working capital management on firm profitability in different
- business cycles: Evidence from Finland. Research in International Business and Finance 32: 36–49. [CrossRef]
- Farzanegan, Mohammad Reza, and Esfandyar Batmanghelidj. 2024. Understanding Economic Sanctions on Iran: A Survey. *The Economists' Voice* 20: 197–226. [CrossRef]

- Fazzari, Steven M., and Bruce C. Petersen. 1993. Working capital and fixed investment: New evidence on financing constraints. *The RAND Journal of Economics* 328–42. [CrossRef]
- Filbeck, Greg, and Thomas M. Krueger. 2005. An analysis of working capital management results across industries. *American Journal of Business* 20: 11–20. [CrossRef]
- Gajdosikova, Dominika, Katarina Valaskova, Tomas Kliestik, and Veronika Machova. 2022. COVID-19 pandemic and its impact on challenges in the construction sector: A case study of Slovak enterprises. *Mathematics* 10: 3130. [CrossRef]
- Garcia, Pablo, Pascal Jacquinot, Črt Lenarčič, Matija Lozej, and Kostas Mavromatis. 2023. Global models for a global pandemic: The impact of COVID-19 on small euro area economies. *Journal of Macroeconomics* 77: 103551. [CrossRef]
- Ghasseminejad, Saeed, and Mohammad R. Jahan-Parvar. 2021. The impact of financial sanctions: The case of Iran. *Journal of Policy Modeling* 43: 601–21. [CrossRef]
- Gupta, Hemendra, and Rashmi Chaudhary. 2023. An Analysis of Volatility and Risk-Adjusted Returns of ESG Indices in Developed and Emerging Economies. *Risks* 11: 182. [CrossRef]
- Habib, Ahmed Mohamed. 2023. Do business strategies and environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance mitigate the likelihood of financial distress? A multiple mediation model. *Heliyon* 9: e17847. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Habib, Ahmed Mohamed, and Nahia Mourad. 2022. Analyzing the efficiency of working capital management: A new approach based on DEA-Malmquist technology. In *Operations Research Forum*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, vol. 3, p. 32. [CrossRef]
- Habib, Ahmed Mohamed, and Tamanna Dalwai. 2023. Does the efficiency of a firm's intellectual capital and working capital management affect its performance? *Journal of the Knowledge Economy* 1–37. [CrossRef]
- Haj-Salem, Issal, and Khaled Hussainey. 2021. Risk disclosure and corporate cash holdings. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 328. [CrossRef]
- Hamshari, Yaser Mohd, Mohammad Ahmad Alqam, and Haitham Yousef Ali. 2022. The impact of the corona epidemic on working capital management for jordanian companies listed on the amman stock exchange. *Cogent Economics & Finance* 10: 2157541. [CrossRef]
- Handley, Kyle, and Nuno Limao. 2015. Trade and investment under policy uncertainty: Theory and firm evidence. American Economic Journal: Economic Policy 7: 189–222. [CrossRef]
- Haque, Sharjil M., and Mr Richard Varghese. 2021. *The COVID-19 Impact on Corporate Leverage and Financial Fragility*. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund.
- Harc, Martina. 2015. The relationship between tangible assets and capital structure of small and medium-sized companies in Croatia. *Ekonomski Vjesnik/Econviews: Review of Contemporary Business, Entrepreneurship and Economic Issues* 28: 213–24.
- Hashmi, Muhammad Arsalan, and Muhammad Sikander Iqbal. 2022. Impact of working capital management on firm profitability and liquidity: The moderating role of family ownership. *Accounting Research Journal* 35: 676–97. [CrossRef]
- Hassan, Tarek A., Stephan Hollander, Laurence Van Lent, Markus Schwedeler, and Ahmed Tahoun. 2023. Firm-level exposure to epidemic diseases: COVID-19, SARS, and H1N1. *The Review of Financial Studies* 36: 4919–64. [CrossRef]
- He, Zhiguo, Stefan Nagel, and Zhaogang Song. 2022a. Treasury inconvenience yields during the COVID-19 crisis. *Journal of Financial Economics* 143: 57–79. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- He, Zhongda, Sandy Suardi, Kai Wang, and Yang Zhao. 2022b. Firms' COVID-19 pandemic exposure and corporate cash policy: Evidence from China. *Economic Modelling* 116: 105999. [CrossRef]
- Hingurala Arachchi, Ama, Wasantha Perera, and Ratnam Vijayakumaran. 2017. The Impact of Working Capital Management on Firm Value: Evidence from a Frontier Market. *Asian Journal of Finance & Accounting* 9: 15. Available online: https://ssrn.com/abstract=3192797 (accessed on 25 June 2018).
- Hoyt, Robert E., and Andre P. Liebenberg. 2011. The value of enterprise risk management. *Journal of Risk and Insurance* 78: 795–822. [CrossRef]
- Ikunyua, Mercy. 2020. Relationship between Working Capital Management and Dividend Payout of Manufacturing Firms Listed at the Nairobi Securities Exchange. Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya. Available online: http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke/handle/11295/154539 (accessed on 1 November 2020).
- Ivashina, Victoria, and David Scharfstein. 2010. Bank lending during the financial crisis of 2008. *Journal of Financial Economics* 97: 319–38. [CrossRef]
- Jamal, Aamir, and Mudaser Ahad Bhat. 2022. COVID-19 pandemic and the exchange rate movements: Evidence from six major COVID-19 hot spots. *Future Business Journal* 8: 17. [CrossRef]
- Jawad, Muhammad, and Munazza Naz. 2023. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on macroeconomic aspects. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity* 9: 100126. [CrossRef]
- Jia, Zhijie, Shiyan Wen, and Boqiang Lin. 2021. The effects and reacts of COVID-19 pandemic and international oil price on energy, economy, and environment in China. *Applied Energy* 302: 117612. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Karimi, Gitari. 2020. Effect of Working Capital Management on the Dividend Payout of Firms Listed at the Nairobi Securities Exchange. Doctoral dissertation, UoN, Callaghan, Australia.
- Kassi, Diby François, Dilesha Nawadali Rathnayake, Pierre Axel Louembe, and Ning Ding. 2019. Market risk and financial performance of non-financial companies listed on the Moroccan stock exchange. *Risks* 7: 20. [CrossRef]
- Kayani, Umar Nawaz, Christopher Gan, Tonmoy Choudhury, and Ahmad Arslan. 2023. Working capital management and firm performance: Evidence from emerging African markets. *International Journal of Emerging Markets. ahead-of-print*. [CrossRef]

- Kayani, Umar Nawaz, Tracy-Anne De Silva, and Christopher Gan. 2019. A systematic literature review on working capital managementan identification of new avenues. *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets* 11: 352–66. [CrossRef]
- Kelishomi, Ali Moghaddasi, and Roberto Nisticò. 2022. Employment effects of economic sanctions in Iran. *World Development* 151: 105760. [CrossRef]
- Kieschnick, Robert, Mark LaPlante, and Rabih Moussawi. 2006. Corporate working capital management: Determinants and consequences. International Journal of Managerial Finance 3: 164–77.
- Kieschnick, Robert, Mark Laplante, and Rabih Moussawi. 2013. Working capital management and shareholders' wealth. *Review of Finance* 17: 1827–52. [CrossRef]
- Knauer, Thorsten, and Arnt Wöhrmann. 2013. Working capital management and firm profitability. *Journal of Management Control* 24: 77–87. [CrossRef]
- Kouaib, Amel, and Mohammed Ibrahim Bu Haya. 2024. Firm Performance of Saudi Manufacturers: Does the Management of Cash Conversion Cycle Components Matter? *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 17: 16. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, Sanjeev, and Hanna Petrivna Olasiuk. 2024. Working capital management and firm profitability: Insights and strategies in the context of economic uncertainty and the COVID-19 pandemic. Paper present at the 46th EBES Conference, Rome, Italy, January 10–12; Available online: https://apeiron.iulm.it/bitstream/10808/54804/1/46th%20EBES%20Conference%20Program%20and% 20Abstract%20Book.pdf (accessed on 14 November 2023).
- Lassoued, Naima, and Imen Khanchel. 2021. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on earnings management: An evidence from financial reporting in European firms. *Global Business Review* 09721509211053491. [CrossRef]
- Le, Ben. 2019. Working capital management and firm's valuation, profitability and risk: Evidence from a developing market. *International Journal of Managerial Finance* 15: 191–204. [CrossRef]
- Lee, Chi-Chuan, Chien-Chiang Lee, and Yizhong Wu. 2023. The impact of COVID-19 pandemic on hospitality stock returns in China. International Journal of Finance & Economics 28: 1787–800. [CrossRef]
- Lefebvre, Vivien. 2023. Working capital management of IPO firms. Managerial Finance 49: 808–28. [CrossRef]
- Li, Chuan-guo, Hui-min Dong, Shou Chen, and Yan Yang. 2014. Working capital management, corporate performance, and strategic choices of the wholesale and retail industry in China. *The Scientific World Journal* 2014: 953945. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, Xuetao, Feilan Wang, Muna Al-Razgan, Emad Mahrous Awwad, Shamansurova Zilola Abduvaxitovna, Zeyun Li, and Jianfeng Li. 2023. Race to environmental sustainability: Can structural change, economic expansion and natural resource consumption effect environmental sustainability? A novel dynamic ARDL simulations approach. *Resources Policy* 86: 104044. [CrossRef]
- Lim, Hyoung-Joo, and Dafydd Mali. 2023. Does Market Performance (Tobin's Q) Have A Negative Effect on Credit Ratings? Evidence from South Korea. *Asia-Pacific Financial Markets* 31: 1–28. [CrossRef]
- Lin, Bing-Xuan, Devendra Kale, and Arati Kale. 2023. Did COVID-19 pandemic affect firms' operating cycle? *Applied Economics* 1–20. [CrossRef]
- Lin, Xiaoji, Chong Wang, Neng Wang, and Jinqiang Yang. 2018. Investment, Tobin'sq, and interest rates. *Journal of Financial Economics* 130: 620–40. [CrossRef]
- Mansoori, Dr Ebrahim, and Datin Muhammad. 2012. Determinants of working capital management: Case of Singapore firms. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting* 3: 15–23.
- Martínez-Sola, Cristina, Pedro J. García-Teruel, and Pedro Martínez-Solano. 2018. Cash holdings in SMEs: Speed of adjustment, growth and financing. *Small Business Economics* 51: 823–42. [CrossRef]
- Mazanec, Jaroslav. 2022. Working Capital Management as Crucial Tool for Corporate Performance in the Transport Sector: A Case Study of Slovakia and the Czech Republic. *Mathematics* 10: 2584. [CrossRef]
- McInnes, Angelique N. 2000. Working Capital Management: Theory and Evidence from New Zealand Listed Limited Liability Companies. Doctoral dissertation, Lincoln University, Haymarket, Australia.
- Merville, Larry J., and Lee A. Tavis. 1973. A generalized model for capital investment. The Journal of Finance 28: 109–18. [CrossRef]
- Moradi, Mahdi, Andrea Appolloni, Grzegorz Zimon, Hossein Tarighi, and Maede Kamali. 2021. Macroeconomic factors and stock price crash risk: Do managers withhold bad news in the crisis-ridden Iran market? *Sustainability* 13: 3688. [CrossRef]
- Moradi, Mahdi, Mahdi Salehi, Hossein Tarighi, and Mahdi Saravani. 2020. Audit adjustments and corporate financing: Evidence from Iran. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies* 10: 521–43. [CrossRef]
- Muhammad, Said, Ximei Kong, Shahab E. Saqib, and Nicholas J. Beutell. 2021. Entrepreneurial income and wellbeing: Women's informal entrepreneurship in a developing context. *Sustainability* 13: 10262. [CrossRef]
- Myers, Stewart C. 2001. Capital structure. Journal of Economic Perspectives 15: 81–102. [CrossRef]
- Myers, Stewart C., and Nicholas S. Majluf. 1984. Corporate financing and investment decisions when firms have information that investors do not have. *Journal of Financial Economics* 13: 187–221. [CrossRef]
- Na, Mula, Xingpeng Liu, Zhijun Tong, Bilige Sudu, Jiquan Zhang, and Rui Wang. 2024. Analysis of water quality influencing factors under multi-source data fusion based on PLS-SEM model: An example of East-Liao River in China. Science of The Total Environment 907: 168126. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Naz, Muhammad Azeem, Rizwan Ali, Ramiz Ur Rehman, and Collins G. Ntim. 2022. Corporate governance, working capital management, and firm performance: Some new insights from agency theory. *Managerial and Decision Economics* 43: 1448–61. [CrossRef]

- Nazir, Mian Sajid, and Talat Afza. 2009. Impact of Aggressive Working Capital Management Policy on Firms' Profitability. *IUP Journal* of Applied Finance 15: 20–30.
- Nguyen, Thang Cong, Tan Ngoc Vu, Duc Hong Vo, and Michael McAleer. 2020. Systematic risk at the industry level: A case study of Australia. *Risks* 8: 36. [CrossRef]
- Nirino, Niccolò, Enrico Battisti, Alberto Ferraris, Stefano Dell'Atti, and Massimiliano Farina Briamonte. 2022. How and when corporate social performance reduces firm risk? The moderating role of corporate governance. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management* 29: 1995–2005. [CrossRef]
- Niskanen, Jyrki, and Mervi Niskanen. 2006. The determinants of corporate trade credit policies in a bank-dominated financial environment: The case of Finnish small firms. *European Financial Management* 12: 81–102. [CrossRef]
- Nobanee, Haitham, Modar Abdullatif, and Maryam AlHajjar. 2011. Cash conversion cycle and firm's performance of Japanese firms. *Asian Review of Accounting* 19: 147–56. [CrossRef]
- Nuţă, Florian Marcel, Ali Sharafat, Olivier Joseph Abban, Itbar Khan, Muhammad Irfan, Alina Cristina Nuţă, Alex Boadi Dankyi, and Muhammad Asghar. 2024. The relationship among urbanization, economic growth, renewable energy consumption, and environmental degradation: A comparative view of European and Asian emerging economies. *Gondwana Research* 128: 325–39. [CrossRef]
- Oladipupo, Adesina Olugoke, and Peter Okoeguale Ibadin. 2013. Does Working Capital Management Matter in Dividend Policy Decision. Empirical Evidence from Nigeria. *Financial Research* 4: 140–45. [CrossRef]
- Onsongo, Susan Kerubo, Stephen M. A. Muathe, and Lucy Wamugo Mwangi. 2020. Financial risk and financial performance: Evidence and insights from commercial and services listed companies in Nairobi securities exchange, Kenya. *International Journal of Financial Studies* 8: 51. [CrossRef]
- Panigrahi, C. M. Ashok. 2014. Impact of Negative Working Capital on Liquidity and Profitability: A Case Study of ACC Limited. Paper presented at the International Conference, Prestige Institute of Management & Research, Indore during, Indore, India, January 30–31; pp. 30–31. [CrossRef]
- Pant, Pushpesh, Pradeep Rathore, Krishna kumar Dadsena, and Bhaskar Shandilya. 2023. Working capital and firm performance: Role of COVID-19 disruption. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management* 73: 1137–66. [CrossRef]
- Paramati, Sudharshan Reddy, Hussein Abedi Shamsabadi, and Harshavardhan Reddy Kummitha. 2023. How did gold prices respond to the COVID-19 pandemic? *Applied Economics Letters* 30: 2987–93. [CrossRef]
- Patil, Chintan, and Vittaldas Prabhu. 2024. Supply chain cash-flow bullwhip effect: An empirical investigation. *International Journal of Production Economics* 267: 109065. [CrossRef]
- Prasad, Punam, Sivasankaran Narayanasamy, Samit Paul, Subir Chattopadhyay, and Palanisamy Saravanan. 2019. Review of literature on working capital management and future research agenda. *Journal of Economic Surveys* 33: 827–61. [CrossRef]
- Prša, Darija. 2020. The impact of working capital management on the profitability of Croatian manufacturing SMEs. *Ekonomski* vjesnik/Econviews: Review of Contemporary Business, Entrepreneurship and Economic Issues 33: 371–82.
- Qadri, Syed Usman, Zhiqiang Ma, Mohsin Raza, Mingxing Li, Safwan Qadri, Chengang Ye, and Haoyang Xie. 2023. COVID-19 and financial performance: Pre and post effect of COVID-19 on organization performance; A study based on South Asian economy. *Frontiers in Public Health* 10: 1055406. [CrossRef]
- Qazi, Abroon, Irem Dikmen, and M. Talat Birgonul. 2020. Mapping uncertainty for risk and opportunity assessment in projects. Engineering Management Journal 32: 86–97. [CrossRef]
- Qu, Li. 2021. A new approach to estimating earnings forecasting models: Robust regression MM-estimation. *International Journal of Forecasting* 37: 1011–30. [CrossRef]
- Rahman, Molla Ramizur, Arun Kumar Misra, and Satish Kumar. 2024. A financial supply chain on corporate working capital and interbank lines of credit. *International Review of Financial Analysis* 91: 102965. [CrossRef]
- Razi, Nazila, Elizabeth More, and Gensheng Shen. 2021. Risk implications for the role of budgets in implementing post-acquisition systems integration strategies. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 323. [CrossRef]
- Rodeiro-Pazos, David, Sara Fernández-López, Raúl Rios-Rodríguez, and Adrián Dios-Vicente. 2023. Working capital management and firm sales growth: Evidence from fish processing industry. *Agribusiness* 39: 1254–78. [CrossRef]
- Ross, Stephen A., Randolph Westerfield, Jeffrey F. Jaffe, and Bradford D. Jordan. 2016. Corporate Finance, 11th ed. Toronto: Mcgraw Hill. Roudari, Soheil, Farzaneh Ahmadian-Yazdi, Seyed Hadi Arabi, and Shawkat Hammoudeh. 2023. Sanctions and Iranian stock market: Does the institutional quality matter? Borsa Istanbul Review 23: 919–35. [CrossRef]
- Rubino, Michele. 2018. A comparison of the main ERM frameworks: How limitations and weaknesses can be overcome implementing IT governance. *International Journal of Business and Management* 13: 203–14. [CrossRef]
- Ruiz-Canela López, José. 2021. How can enterprise risk management help in evaluating the operational risks for a telecommunications company? *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 139. [CrossRef]
- Salehi, Mahdi, Mahmoud Lari Dasht Bayaz, Somayeh Hassanpour, and Hossein Tarighi. 2020. The effect of managerial overconfidence on the conditional conservatism and real earnings management. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research* 11: 708–20. [CrossRef]
- Salehi, Mahdi, Nadia Mahdavi, Saeed Zarif Agahi Dari, and Hossein Tarighi. 2019. Association between the availability of financial resources and working capital management with stock surplus returns in Iran. *International Journal of Emerging Markets* 14: 343–61. [CrossRef]

- Sargon, Boren. 2024. Board structure and net working capital: Evidence from FTSE all share index companies. *Applied Economics* 1–14. [CrossRef]
- Satoto, Shinta Heru, Hasa Nurrohim K. P., and Sri Budiwati W. S. 2022. Working Capital Management Before and During the COVID-19 Pandemic and their Effect on Profitability in Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting Research (IJEBAR)* 6: 2119–26.
- Savin, Nathan E., and Kenneth J. White. 1977. The Durbin-Watson test for serial correlation with extreme sample sizes or many regressors. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society* 45: 1989–96. [CrossRef]
- Sawarni, Kumar Sanjay, Sivasankaran Narayanasamy, and Purna Chandra Padhan. 2023. Impact of earnings management on working capital management efficiency. *Finance Research Letters* 54: 103778. [CrossRef]
- Shaikh, Imlak. 2022. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the energy markets. Economic Change and Restructuring 55: 433-84. [CrossRef]
- Shamsi, Javad. 2023. Unravelling the Complexities of Sanctions. VoxEU. Available online: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/id/eprint/120743 (accessed on 14 November 2023).
- Shen, Huayu, Mengyao Fu, Hongyu Pan, Zhongfu Yu, and Yongquan Chen. 2020. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on firm performance. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade* 56: 2213–30. [CrossRef]
- Simon, Sunday, Norfaiezah Sawandi, Satish Kumar, and Magdi El-Bannany. 2021. Economic downturns and working capital management practices: A qualitative enquiry. *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets* 13: 529–47. [CrossRef]
- Singh, Harsh Pratap, and Satish Kumar. 2017. Working capital requirements of manufacturing SMEs: Evidence from emerging economy. *Review of International Business and Strategy* 27: 369–85. [CrossRef]
- Sulong, Zunaidah, John C. Gardner, Amariah Hanum Hussin, Zuraidah Mohd Sanusi, and Carl B. Mcgowan. 2013. Managerial Ownership, leverage and audit quality impact on firm performance: Evidence from the Malaysian ace market. *Accounting & Taxation* 5: 59–70.
- Tan, Xiaoyu, Shiqun Ma, Xuetong Wang, Chao Feng, and Lijin Xiang. 2022. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the global dynamic spillover of financial market risk. *Frontiers in Public Health* 10: 963620. [CrossRef]
- Tandoh, Jacob Kplorla. 2020. Working Capital Management and Economics Policy Uncertainty. South Dakota State University. Available online: https://openprairie.sdstate.edu/etd/4100 (accessed on 23 November 2020).
- Tarighi, Hossein, Zeynab Nourbakhsh Hosseiny, Maryam Akbari, and Elaheh Mohammadhosseini. 2023. The Moderating Effect of the COVID-19 Pandemic on the Relation between Corporate Governance and Firm Performance. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 16: 306. [CrossRef]
- Tarighi, Hossein, Zeynab Nourbakhsh Hosseiny, Mohammad Reza Abbaszadeh, Grzegorz Zimon, and Darya Haghighat. 2022. How do financial distress risk and related party transactions affect financial reporting quality? Empirical evidence from Iran. *Risks* 10: 46. [CrossRef]
- Tarkom, Augustine. 2022. Impact of COVID-19 exposure on working capital management: The moderating effect of investment opportunities and government incentives. *Finance Research Letters* 47: 102666. [CrossRef]
- Terraza, Virginie, Aslı Boru İpek, and Mohammad Mahdi Rounaghi. 2024. The nexus between the volatility of Bitcoin, gold, and American stock markets during the COVID-19 pandemic: Evidence from VAR-DCC-EGARCH and ANN models. *Financial Innovation* 10: 22. [CrossRef]
- Thompson, Christopher Glen, Rae Seon Kim, Ariel M. Aloe, and Betsy Jane Becker. 2017. Extracting the variance inflation factor and other multicollinearity diagnostics from typical regression results. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology* 39: 81–90. [CrossRef]
- Usheva, Mariana, and Ladislav Vagner. 2021. Earnings Management as a Tool of Bankruptcy Prevention during Global Pandemic of COVID-19. In SHS Web of Conferences. Les Ulis: EDP Sciences, vol. 92, p. 02063. [CrossRef]
- Vo, Thi Quy, and Ngoc Cuong Ngo. 2023. Does working capital management matter? A comparative case between consumer goods firms and construction firms in Vietnam. *Cogent Business & Management* 10: 2271543. [CrossRef]
- Wadesango, Ongayi, Victor Jones, Lovemore Sitsha, and Newman Wadesango. 2022. The Impact of COVID-19 Lockdown on Working Capital Management and Profitability of Firms: A Case Study of Lesley Bakers PVT LTD. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues 12: 78. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Baolian. 2019. The cash conversion cycle spread. Journal of Financial Economics 133: 472–97. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Zanxin, Minhas Akbar, and Ahsan Akbar. 2020. The interplay between working capital management and a firm's financial performance across the corporate life cycle. *Sustainability* 12: 1661. [CrossRef]
- Wasiuzzaman, Shaista, and Veeri Chettiar Arumugam. 2013. Determinants of working capital investment: A study of Malaysian PublicListed firms. *Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal* 7: 63–83. [CrossRef]
- Wiśniewski, Jerzy Witold. 2022. Financial liquidity and debt recovery efficiency forecasting in a small industrial enterprise. *Risks* 10: 66. [CrossRef]
- Yakubu, Ibrahim Nandom. 2021. The effect of working capital management on dividend policy: An empirical analysis of listed firms in Ghana. *International Journal of Industrial Management* 9: 25–31. [CrossRef]
- Yang, Junhong, Alessandra Guariglia, and Jie Michael Guo. 2019. To what extent does corporate liquidity affect M&A decisions, method of payment and performance? Evidence from China. Journal of Corporate Finance 54: 128–52. [CrossRef]
- Yousaf, Muhammad, and Petr Bris. 2021. Effects of working capital management on firm performance: Evidence from the EFQM certified firms. *Cogent Economics & Finance* 9: 1958504. [CrossRef]

- Zhang, Weiwei, and Bin Xi. 2024. The effect of carbon emission trading on enterprises' sustainable development performance: A quasi-natural experiment based on carbon emission trading pilot in China. *Energy Policy* 185: 113960. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, Linhai, Ehsan Rasoulinezhad, Tapan Sarker, and Farhad Taghizadeh-Hesary. 2023. Effects of COVID-19 on global financial markets: Evidence from qualitative research for developed and developing economies. *The European Journal of Development Research* 35: 148–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zheng, Xiaotian, Youcheng Zhou, and Sajid Iqbal. 2022. Working capital management of SMEs in COVID-19: Role of managerial personality traits and overconfidence behavior. *Economic Analysis and Policy* 76: 439–51. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zimon, Grzegorz, Ahmed Mohamed Habib, and Daniela Haluza. 2024. Does the quality management system affect working capital management efficiency? Evidence from Polish firms. *Cogent Business & Management* 11: 2292787. [CrossRef]
- Zimon, Grzegorz, and Hossein Tarighi. 2021. Effects of the COVID-19 global crisis on the working capital management policy: Evidence from Poland. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 169. [CrossRef]
- Zimon, Grzegorz, Andrea Appolloni, Hossein Tarighi, Seyedmohammadali Shahmohammadi, and Ebrahim Daneshpou. 2021. Earnings management, related party transactions and corporate performance: The moderating role of internal control. *Risks* 9: 146. [CrossRef]
- Zimon, Grzegorz, and Robert Dankiewicz. 2020. Trade credit management strategies in SMEs and the COVID-19 pandemic—A case of Poland. *Sustainability* 12: 6114. [CrossRef]
- Zimon, Grzegorz, Hossein Tarighi, Mahdi Salehi, and Adam Sadowski. 2022. Assessment of financial security of SMEs operating in the renewable energy industry during COVID-19 pandemic. *Energies* 15: 9627. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.