

Table S1. Results of the pH measurements after 8 and 24 h fermentation of the three substrates made with red rice (RR), barley (B) and buckwheat (B_w) carried out by the 23 strains of lactic acid bacteria.

Strain		RR		B		B_w	
		8h	24h	8h	24h	8h	24h
BZ2	<i>Limosilactobacillus fermentum</i>	5.33 ± 0.00 ^{ab}	4.72 ± 0.36 ^{abcd}	4.35 ± 0.04 ^{ab}	4.12 ± 0.18 ^a	4.78 ± 0.18 ^d	4.43 ± 0.17 ^{cde}
BZ5	<i>Limosilactobacillus fermentum</i>	5.37 ± 0.02 ^{ab}	4.68 ± 0.15 ^{abcd}	4.40 ± 0.19 ^{ab}	4.06 ± 0.13 ^a	4.46 ± 0.38 ^d	4.42 ± 0.21 ^{cde}
BZ10	<i>Lacticaseibacillus paracasei</i>	5.74 ± 0.64 ^{ab}	4.39 ± 0.12 ^{abcd}	5.25 ± 0.26 ^{ab}	3.82 ± 0.12 ^a	5.55 ± 0.54 ^{abcd}	4.01 ± 0.28 ^{de}
BZ21	<i>Lacticaseibacillus casei</i>	5.55 ± 0.06 ^{ab}	4.46 ± 0.39 ^{abcd}	5.54 ± 0.06 ^{ab}	4.33 ± 1.04 ^a	5.42 ± 0.38 ^{abcd}	3.77 ± 0.05 ^e
BZ22	<i>Lacticaseibacillus paracasei</i>	5.65 ± 0.29 ^{ab}	4.55 ± 0.13 ^{abcd}	5.21 ± 0.22 ^{ab}	4.06 ± 0.29 ^a	5.35 ± 0.37 ^{abcd}	4.08 ± 0.09 ^{de}
BZ26	<i>Lentilactobacillus parabuchneri</i>	5.86 ± 0.12 ^{ab}	4.52 ± 0.28 ^{abcd}	5.05 ± 0.43 ^{ab}	4.22 ± 0.33 ^a	7.17 ± 0.16 ^a	4.99 ± 0.43 ^{cde}
BZ28	<i>Lentilactobacillus parabuchneri</i>	6.12 ± 0.63 ^{ab}	4.84 ± 0.14 ^{abcd}	5.58 ± 1.15 ^{ab}	4.77 ± 1.51 ^a	7.05 ± 0.18 ^{ab}	6.70 ± 0.30 ^{ab}
BZ30	<i>Lentilactobacillus parabuchneri</i>	6.71 ± 0.42 ^{ab}	5.39 ± 0.63 ^a	6.03 ± 0.61 ^{ab}	5.17 ± 0.59 ^a	6.31 ± 1.37 ^{abcd}	5.28 ± 1.57 ^{bcd}
BZ31	<i>Lentilactobacillus parabuchneri</i>	5.42 ± 0.61 ^{ab}	4.45 ± 0.23 ^{abcd}	4.77 ± 0.11 ^{ab}	3.91 ± 0.12 ^a	5.30 ± 0.69 ^{abcd}	4.22 ± 0.03 ^{de}
BZ32	<i>Lentilactobacillus buchneri</i>	5.54 ± 0.20 ^{ab}	4.66 ± 0.18 ^{abcd}	5.55 ± 0.37 ^{ab}	4.16 ± 0.19 ^a	6.82 ± 0.49 ^{abc}	5.85 ± 1.18 ^{abc}
BZ33	<i>Lacticaseibacillus casei</i>	5.30 ± 0.14 ^b	3.97 ± 0.15 ^d	5.23 ± 0.75 ^{ab}	3.82 ± 0.23 ^a	5.53 ± 0.08 ^{abcd}	3.71 ± 0.10 ^e
BZ34	<i>Lacticaseibacillus casei/paracasei</i>	5.15 ± 0.02 ^b	4.11 ± 0.04 ^{cd}	5.17 ± 0.33 ^{ab}	3.69 ± 0.15 ^a	5.38 ± 0.02 ^{abcd}	3.73 ± 0.11 ^e
BZ35	<i>Lacticaseibacillus casei</i>	6.05 ± 0.14 ^{ab}	4.26 ± 0.29 ^{bcd}	5.92 ± 0.77 ^{ab}	4.29 ± 0.48 ^a	5.85 ± 0.47 ^{abcd}	4.13 ± 0.24 ^{de}
BZ36	<i>Lentilactobacillus parabuchneri</i>	5.17 ± 0.66 ^b	4.38 ± 0.13 ^{abcd}	5.25 ± 0.61 ^{ab}	3.98 ± 0.12 ^a	5.31 ± 0.39 ^{abcd}	4.33 ± 0.08 ^{de}
BZ37	<i>Lentilactobacillus parabuchneri</i>	5.39 ± 0.41 ^{ab}	4.53 ± 0.23 ^{abcd}	5.34 ± 0.00 ^{ab}	4.04 ± 0.24 ^a	5.17 ± 0.59 ^{bcd}	4.29 ± 0.08 ^d
BZ38	<i>Lentilactobacillus parabuchneri</i>	5.28 ± 0.61 ^b	4.47 ± 0.22 ^{abcd}	5.03 ± 0.32 ^{ab}	4.08 ± 0.21 ^a	5.09 ± 0.35 ^{cd}	4.34 ± 0.05 ^{cde}
BZ39	<i>Pediococcus parvulus</i>	6.94 ± 0.30 ^a	5.31 ± 1.12 ^{ab}	5.94 ± 0.71 ^{ab}	4.47 ± 0.95 ^a	6.94 ± 0.14 ^{abc}	4.46 ± 0.21 ^{de}
BZ43	<i>Loigolactobacillus coryniformis</i>	5.45 ± 0.02 ^{ab}	4.61 ± 0.14 ^{abcd}	4.22 ± 0.01 ^b	4.01 ± 0.18 ^a	4.74 ± 0.13 ^d	4.28 ± 0.29 ^d
BZ44	<i>Loigolactobacillus coryniformis</i>	5.69 ± 0.47 ^{ab}	4.40 ± 0.27 ^{abcd}	4.57 ± 0.26 ^{ab}	3.98 ± 0.42 ^a	5.19 ± 0.66 ^{bcd}	4.11 ± 0.16 ^d
BZ47	<i>Lacticaseibacillus casei/paracasei</i>	5.44 ± 0.24 ^{ab}	4.11 ± 0.27 ^{cd}	5.11 ± 0.06 ^{ab}	3.83 ± 0.12 ^a	5.22 ± 0.27 ^{bcd}	3.72 ± 0.04 ^e
DSM 20021	<i>Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus</i>	5.70 ± 0.27 ^{ab}	3.88 ± 0.10 ^d	6.13 ± 0.13 ^a	3.92 ± 0.07 ^a	6.93 ± 0.16 ^{abc}	6.97 ± 0.23 ^a
DSM 20617	<i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i>	5.47 ± 0.11 ^{ab}	5.09 ± 0.15 ^{abc}	5.32 ± 0.00 ^{ab}	4.28 ± 0.02 ^a	5.20 ± 0.14 ^{bcd}	4.58 ± 0.40 ^{cde}
DSM 25784	<i>Weissella oryzae</i>	6.47 ± 0.88 ^{ab}	5.41 ± 0.05 ^a	5.47 ± 0.71 ^{ab}	5.15 ± 1.08 ^a	5.89 ± 0.52 ^{abcd}	4.95 ± 0.19 ^{cde}

Means ± standard deviations of the duplicate independent experiments are shown.

The different letters in the same column indicate significant differences according to Tukey–Kramer's (HSD) test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

Figure S1. Characteristic colour of prototypes of fermented yogurt-like beverages produced with red rice (a), barley (b) and buckwheat (c).

