Table 1S. Coefficients of correlation (Pearson) found between the variables of the Optimized Descriptive Profile (ODP).

Variables	Smell intensity	Smell complexity	Mouth Thermal perception	Body	Astringency	Persistence	Evolution of the wine in the glass
Smell complexity	0.016	1					
Mouth thermal perception	0.445	0.892	1				
Body	0.013	0.812	0.731	1			
Astringency	0.348	0.826	0.928	0.855	1		
Persistence	-0.139	0.692	0.559	0.974	0.741	1	
Evolution of the wine in the glass	0.011	0.996	0.876	0.775	0.780	0.650	1
Duration of the wine fragrance	0.518	0.843	0.946	0.664	0.796	0.485	0.852

Note: Values in bold are different from 0 with a significance level $\alpha = 0.05$.

Table 2S. Coefficients of correlation (Pearson) found between the variables (attributes) of the emotional tasting sheet.

Variables	Color	Initial Impression	Smell Intensity	Complexity	Expectation for the mouth	Impression in relation to odor	Thermal perception	Body	Astringency	Persistence	Overall taste evaluatio n	Evolution of the wine in the glass	Duration of the wine fragrance
Initial Impression	0.908												
Smell Intensity	-0.292	-0.488											
Complexity	-0.626	-0.880	0.763										
Expectation for the mouth	0.927	0.990	-0.362	-0.816									
Impression in relation to	0.820	0.864	-0.680	-0.804	0.805								
odor													
Thermal perception	-0.184	-0.534	0.868	0.871	-0.430	-0.533							
Body	-0.281	-0.606	0.898	0.909	-0.502	-0.626	0.993						
Astringency	-0.362	-0.710	0.453	0.863	-0.675	-0.525	0.781	0.781					
Persistence	-0.078	-0.403	0.876	0.763	-0.279	-0.575	0.928	0.932	0.685				
Overall taste evaluation	0.817	0.964	-0.677	-0.949	0.917	0.931	-0.691	-0.761	-0.755	-0.621			
Evolution of the wine in	0.393	0.224	0.736	0.187	0.359	-0.111	0.573	0.551	0.005	0.708	-0.026		
the glass													
Duration of the wine	0.104	-0.153	0.918	0.558	-0.014	-0.396	0.842	0.835	0.369	0.911	-0.393	0.918	
fragrance													
Global evaluation	0.853	0.992	-0.571	-0.934	0.967	0.861	-0.639	-0.702	-0.769	-0.505	0.981	0.123	-0.263

Notes: Values in bold are significant (p<0.05)

Table 3S. Coefficients of correlation (Pearson) determination found between the variables (ODP x Consumers).

Variables	Smell Intensity	Complexity	Thermal perception	Body	Astringency	Persistence	Evolution of the	Duration of the
		Complexity	Therman perception				wine in the glass	wine fragrance
Color	0.099	0.152	0.058	0.016	0.008	0.029	0.176	0.016
Initial Impression	0.132	0.479	0.236	0.086	0.169	0.066	0.481	0.120
Smell Intensity	0.300	0.690	0.975	0.399	0.821	0.241	0.657	0.861
Complexity	0.015	0.856	0.628	0.475	0.604	0.397	0.807	0.437
Expectation for the mouth	0.221	0.353	0.127	0.041	0.088	0.035	0.355	0.044
Impression in relation to odor	0.001	0.589	0.450	0.064	0.196	0.025	0.659	0.419
Thermal perception	0.034	0.842	0.838	0.830	0.947	0.703	0.747	0.659
Body	0.035	0.894	0.880	0.753	0.921	0.618	0.814	0.703
Astringency	0.200	0.704	0.302	0.657	0.389	0.695	0.648	0.207
Persistence	0.107	0.874	0.886	0.764	0.796	0.621	0.846	0.895
Overall taste evaluation	0.030	0.717	0.472	0.205	0.338	0.151	0.726	0.341
Evolution of the wine in the glass	0.745	0.188	0.546	0.271	0.481	0.158	0.174	0.639
Duration of the wine fragrance	0.439	0.550	0.879	0.548	0.816	0.381	0.511	0.876
Global evaluation	0.097	0.589	0.332	0.167	0.273	0.134	0.576	0.187

Notes: Values in bold are significant (p<0.05)

Figure S1. Final emotional tasting sheet and CATA list of attributes produced after the completion and analysis of the focus group discussions and that was further used in the consumer panel.

		TAS	STING SH	EET				
Name: Male () /Female ()) Agee:		
SCALE 1 - 2 - 3 -	4-5			Wine nº:				
You have receive	ed a sample of wine	. Please OBS	SERVE, C	HECK and PROVE t	the sample	and indicate h	ıov	
,	or disliked, using the	e scales belo	w, which	vary from 1 to 5, ac	ccording to	the description	n o	
each attribute:								
			Color					
Color		Dislike	(1) to Like	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)				
		Olfactory	Evaluatio	on (Nose)				
Initial Impression	า	Distaste	(1) to Att	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)				
Intensity (Odor)		Weak (1) to Stron	g (5)		(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)		
Complexity		Easy (1)	to Difficu	lt to describe (5)		(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)		
Expectation for the	Low (1)	to High (5	5)		(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)			
		Taste Ev	aluation	(Mouth)				
Impression in rel	ation to odor		Disappoi	nting (1) to Surprising	ly good (5)	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	
Taste Perception	Fresh (1) to Hot (5)		Fresh (1)		(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)			
	Light (1) to Full-bod	ied (5)	Light (1)	(1) (2) (3) (4) (
	Smooth (1) to Rough	(5)	Smooth ((1) (2) (3) (4) (5)				
Final Perception	Short (1) to Long (5))	Short (1) to Long (5)			(1) (2) (3) (4) (
1 inui 1 erception	Unpleasant (1) to Pl	easant (5)	Unpleasa	nt (1) to Pleasant (5)		(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)		
	1		1					
		Final Ol	factory Ev	aluation				
Evolution of the	Unchanged	d (1) to Eve	olving (5)	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)				
Duration of the v	Short (1) to	Short (1) to Long (5)			(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)			
						() () () ()		
		Glol	bal Evalua	tion				
D	islike a lot () Dislike a	little () Do 1	10t like nor	dislike () Like a little	() Like a lo	t ()		
Below are severa	l emotional terms. Ch	eck all the te	erms that o	characterize this wine	e.			
Pleasant ()	Aggressive ()	Joyful ()		Passionate ()	Desiral	ole ()		
Daring ()	Warm ()	Chewable (()	Disappointing ()	Unplea	sant ()		
Elegant ()	Amusing ()	Cloying ()		Relaxed ()	Overw	helming ()		
Euphoric ()	Interesting ()	Light ()		Exhilarating ()	Melano	rholic ()		
Peaceable ()	Greedy ()	Sensual ()		Surprising ()	Sickeni	ng ()		