



Article A Low-Spin Co^{II}/Nitroxide Complex for Distance Measurements at Q-Band Frequencies

Angeliki Giannoulis ^{1,*}, David B. Cordes ², Alexandra M. Z. Slawin ² and Bela E. Bode ^{2,3,*}

- Department of Chemical and Biological Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel
 EaStCHEM School of Chemistry, University of St Andrews, North Haugh, St Andrews KY16 9ST, UK;
- dbc21@st-andrews.ac.uk (D.B.C.); amzs@st-andrews.ac.uk (A.M.Z.S.)
- ³ Biomedical Sciences Research Complex, Centre of Magnetic Resonance, University of St Andrews, North Haugh, St Andrews KY16 9ST, UK
- * Correspondence: angeliki.giannoulis@weizmann.ac.il (A.G.); beb2@st-andrews.ac.uk (B.E.B.)

Abstract: Pulse dipolar electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy (PDS) is continuously furthering the understanding of chemical and biological assemblies through distance measurements in the nanometer range. New paramagnets and pulse sequences can provide structural insights not accessible through other techniques. In the pursuit of alternative spin centers for PDS, we synthesized a low-spin Co^{II} complex bearing a nitroxide (NO) moiety, where both the Co^{II} and NO have an electron spin *S* of 1/2. We measured Co^{II}-NO distances with the well-established double electron–electron resonance (DEER aka PELDOR) experiment, as well as with the five- and six-pulse relaxation-induced dipolar modulation enhancement (RIDME) spectroscopies at Q-band frequencies (34 GHz). We first identified challenges related to the stability of the complex in solution via DEER and X-ray crystallography and showed that even in cases where complex disproportionation is unavoidable, Co^{II}-NO PDS measurements are feasible and give good signal-to-noise (SNR) ratios. Specifically, DEER and five-pulse RIDME exhibited an SNR of ~100, and while the six-pulse RIDME exhibited compromised SNR, it helped us minimize unwanted signals from the RIDME traces. Last, we demonstrated RIDME at a 10 μ M sample concentration. Our results demonstrate paramagnetic Co^{II} to be a feasible spin center in medium magnetic fields with opportunities for PDS studies involving Co^{II} ions.

Keywords: RIDME; DEER; pulse dipolar spectroscopy (PDS); Co^{II}; nitroxide; distance measurements

1. Introduction

Pulse dipolar electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy (PDS) allows measuring the distance between two or more electron spins in the nanometer range by exploiting their magnetic dipole moment [1–3]. Typically, PDS measures the dipolar coupling, ω_{AB} , between two spins, which has an inverse cube root dependence on their distance (r_{AB}), according to equation [4]:

$$\omega_{AB} = \frac{\mu_0 \beta_e^2 g_A g_B}{4\pi \hbar r_{AB}^3} \left(1 - 3\cos^2 \vartheta \right) \tag{1}$$

where μ_0 is the permeability of the vacuum, β_e is the Bohr magneton, g_A , g_B are the g factors of the two electron spins, \hbar is the reduced Planck constant, and ϑ is the angle between the vector connecting the two spin centers and the external magnetic field. In this work, we focus on two PDS techniques, the double electron–electron resonance [2,5–7] (DEER/PELDOR) and relaxation-induced dipolar modulation enhancement [8] (RIDME) experiments (pulse sequences in Figure 1), to measure the distance between two different spin centers: that of paramagnetic Co^{II} and a nitroxide (NO) radical placed on a chemical model system.



Citation: Giannoulis, A.; Cordes, D.B.; Slawin, A.M.Z.; Bode, B.E. A Low-Spin Co^{II}/Nitroxide Complex for Distance Measurements at Q-Band Frequencies. *Magnetochemistry* **2022**, *8*, 43. https://doi.org/10.3390/ magnetochemistry8040043

Academic Editor: Zhenxing Wang

Received: 26 February 2022 Accepted: 5 April 2022 Published: 11 April 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).



Figure 1. PDS sequences used in the study: (a) 4-pulse DEER, (b) 5-pulse and (c) 6-pulse RIDME.

In DEER and RIDME, the dipolar coupling is measured with pulses applied at two or one microwave (mw) frequencies, respectively. In DEER, one set of spins is monitored on the observe frequency (ν_{obs}), while another set of spins is flipped on the pump frequency (ν_{pump}) (Figure 1a). If the distance to be measured involves two different types of spin centers, e.g., one paramagnetic metal ion (Cu^{II}, Gd^{III}, Mn^{II} with electron spin S = 1/2, 7/2, 5/2, respectively) and one organic radical (nitroxide or trityl radical, S = 1/2) the pulses are placed to observe one type of spin, while flipping the other type of spin. In order to obtain high effective sensitivity, one typically pumps the NO or trityl spin that exhibits narrow EPR spectrum, while observing the paramagnetic metal ion (see a comparison of their EPR linewidths in Table S1, Supplementary Materials). In RIDME, one type of spin is observed in the frequency v_{obs} , while the other type of spin is let to flip spontaneously by its longitudinal relaxation during the time interval $T_{\rm mix}$ [9] (Figure 1b,c). Therefore, RIDME performs well in systems involving a slow and a fast relaxing spin, such as a fast relaxing paramagnetic metal ion and a slower relaxing organic radical [10-18], but it has also been expanded to two paramagnetic metal centers [16,19-27], as well as between organic radicals [28–30]. The increased sensitivity of RIDME in such mixed systems stems from the inherent increased modulation depth (Δ) of the experiment as a result of a large amount of spontaneously flipped spins, in contrast to the limited excitation bandwidth by mw pulses in DEER [31]. Additionally, during RIDME, one can exploit working under close to critically coupling conditions as only one frequency needs to be accommodated in the resonator profile, in contrast to DEER, where an over-coupled resonator is required in order to accommodate two frequencies. Another advantage of RIDME is that it is free of orientation selection (OS) effects with respect to the flipped spin, assuming a homogeneous longitudinal relaxation of the paramagnetic metal center along the EPR spectrum, simply because spins from the entire EPR spectrum are flipped during T_{mix} . It should be also noted that in contrast to high-spin Gd^{III} [23,25,26] and Mn^{II} [15,24] ions, where RIDME affords overtone frequencies due to the excitation of EPR transitions between multiple spin manifolds, this is not the case for 1/2 spin metal ions, such as of Co^{II} studied here. Low-spin Co^{II}, like Cu^{II}, exhibits only one spin transition $(-1/2 \rightarrow +1/2)$; therefore, the analysis and interpretation of data is straightforward and similar to DEER. The major limitation of RIDME is the steep background signal decay that is particularly relevant for protonated samples [11,32] and, to a lesser extent, some systematic signals (artifacts) [16,30] appearing in the RIDME traces. While the first are related to sample concentration and the matrix used, the latter are related primarily to experimental parameters. Recently, Abdullin et al. introduced the six-pulse RIDME sequence (Figure 1c), which was shown to significantly minimize these unwanted signals [30].

DEER has been extensively used in structural biology in order to measure distances between two sites in a protein, a nucleic acid, or complexes thereof. In the majority of cases, the sites are site-specifically labeled with a paramagnetic center (most typically NO, Gd^{III} or Cu^{II} labels), or there are cases where naturally occurring paramagnets have been explored

(e.g., in metalloproteins) [33–37]. RIDME is far less explored for structural studies of biomolecules; however, methodological reports [11,12,15,16,18,20,21,23–27,29,30,32,38–43] involving various spin pairs, together with a few applications [14,19,22,44,45] on proteins, have been published.

In the present work, we report on the use of DEER and RIDME with a pair of Co^{II}/NO spins engineered into a chemical model system. Low-spin Co^{II} is a less explored metal ion than Cu^{II}, Gd^{III} or Mn^{II}, even though its favorable spectroscopic properties (S = 1/2 and not very fast relaxation [46]) make it suitable for PDS. Surprisingly, even though there have been developed ligands that bind to Cu^{II} [47–49], Gd^{III} [37] or Mn^{II} [50,51] ions serving as spin labels, no such ligand has been developed for Co^{II} for PDS. One challenge of Co^{II} is its broad EPR spectrum of \sim 75 mT at the X-band, though it is comparable to that of Cu^{II} (see Table S1, Supplementary Materials), whereas the synthesis of ligands that afford low-spin Co^{II} is probably the most challenging part. Additionally, Co^{II} with terpyridine ligands is known to behave as a spin crossover system above temperatures of 30 K [52,53]. So far, PDS studies on Co^{II} are sparse and involve methodological developments. The first report on CoII demonstrated, for the first time, the use of broadband (wideband uniform rate smooth truncation, WURST) pulses to improve the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of Co^{II}-NO DEER at the X-band by increasing the number of pumped Co^{II} spins [54]. In another report, three spin effects were deliberately manifested in a NO-spacer-Co^{II}-spacer-NO chemical model using WURST pulses that excited the entire NO EPR spectrum at X-band DEER measurements [46]. Additionally, OS effects in a Co^{II}/NO system were studied with W-band DEER and RIDME, where the g-anisotropy of NO was resolved and only the low g component of Co^{II} could be observed due to bandwidth limitations [10]. On the other hand, Co^{II} has been taken up by paramagnetic nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) studies involving high-spin Co^{II} (S = 3/2) bound to a protein via a thio-reactive EDTA ligand [55,56], via the doubleHis motif [57], via a ligand that binds with click chemistry to RNA [58] or by replacing their diamagnetic counterparts in metal-dependent proteins [59,60].

Here, we expand the methodology to Co^{II} -NO PDS at Q-band frequencies on a wellcharacterized Co^{II} /NO chemical model. Specifically, we employ and discuss the performance of the standard five-pulse RIDME, as well as its six-pulse variant, and of DEER. We show that five-pulse RIDME and DEER have comparable SNR, whereas the SNR of six-pulse RIDME is somewhat compromised. We further show that the artifacts of the five-pulse RIDME are minimized in the six-pulse experiment also for the Co^{II} /NO pair. Last, we show Co^{II} -NO RIDME measurements to be feasible on a 10 μ M sample.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis of the Chemical Model

The aim was to synthesize a chemical model system that bears a low-spin Co^{II} and a NO with the distance between NO and Co^{II} in the accessible distance range for PDS, minimizing through bond communication between the two spins, i.e., the spacer between the NO and Co^{ll} should not feature extended conjugation. Low-spin Co^{ll} is afforded by an octahedral geometry around the metal center with strong-field ligands, such as terpyridine. Thus, we coordinated the metal with two terpyridine-based ligands, one of which is functionalized with an NO at its end. To do so, we first synthesized a precursor, (terpyridine)Co^{II}Cl₂, from terpyridine and dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)Co^{II} (1a, see reaction in Scheme 1 and Figure S1, Supplementary Materials), in which Co^{ll} has a mononuclear pseudo-square pyramidal geometry found previously from X-ray structure determination [61]. Then, 1a was reacted with a previously characterized NO-labeled terpyridine ligand L [62] to form the target complex 1, which was isolated as a solid after precipitation with PF₆⁻ counter ions and characterized by mass spectrometry and elemental analysis (see Section 4 and Figure S2, Supplementary Materials). The ester bond in L is expected to disrupt the conjugation between NO and Co^{II} spins, as it was shown previously that the introduction of an ester bond afforded negligible conjugation between NO and



 Cu^{II} spins [63]. Additionally, in L_2Co^{II} complex, DEER [46] and RIDME [10] did not show through-bond communication between the spins.

Scheme 1. Synthetic procedure of precursor 1a and of target complex 1.

2.2. PDS on 1

Initial attempts to perform PDS distance measurements on 1 in various organic solvents or their mixtures failed due to poor solubility of the complex in organic media as well as 'bad glass' formation upon sample freezing and, subsequently, fast relaxation properties of the paramagnetic species [64]. Generally, we found that dissolving the complex in a small percentage of coordinating solvent and then adding the non-polar organic solvent improved solubility and helped the formation of 'good glass' upon sample freezing. The solvents that worked well here were DMF- d_7/C_7D_8 (1/9) for RIDME and DMF/2-MeTHF (1/9) for DEER samples. Deuteration in RIDME is necessary, as protons can significantly affect the background decay of the experiment, complicating data analysis [32]. Additionally, we found that weakly coordinating anions further improve the relaxation properties of the Co^{II}. Therefore, we proceeded to in situ exchanging the PF₆⁻ ions with the more weakly coordinating anion BPh₄⁻ [65] by adding excess of NaBPh₄ salt before addition of the solvents (see Section 4).

We performed Co^{II}-NO DEER at 15 K at Q-band frequencies in 1 mM solution of 1 (Figure 2). Slowing down the Co^{II} relaxation is crucial, as a fast transverse relaxation of Co^{II} would disfavor DEER when observing Co^{II} (see Figures S4–S6, Supplementary Materials for X- and Q-band relaxation data on 1). We initially performed the DEER by pumping NO and observing Co^{II} with the setup shown in Figure 2a, where the blue and red lines indicate the observe and pump positions, respectively, and Δv is the pump–probe frequency offset of 150 MHz (5.3 mT). The experiment exhibited a steep background decay due to pumping NO spins, which are in high concentrations, affording a modulation depth, Δ , of ~26% and a Co^{II}-NO distance of ~2.6 nm when using the DeerAnalysis [66] software. The distance is in agreement with the X-ray structure of L [62] and previous distance measurements on similar compounds [10,11,46], and Δ is close to the value on bis-nitroxide-labeled protein samples [67] under our spectrometer and experimental conditions, indicating that the majority of chemical species in the solution are complex **1**. The sensitivity (often synonymous with the SNR) was calculated (see Section 4 and Supplementary Materials, Table S4) to be 103. Throughout the text we refer to the SNR as the modulation-to-noise ratio, whereas the sensitivity corrected for different numbers of accumulated echoes and repetition rates is referred to as S_t (sensitivity per unit time, given in the Supplementary Materials, Table S4). We additionally performed a DEER measurement by pumping Co^{II} and observing NO spins using the same setup as in Figure 2a, with the pump-probe positions exchanged. In this case, the pump pulse excited only a small fraction of the broad Co^{II} EPR spectrum, affording a less pronounced background decay and a Δ of ~0.6%. Using this setup, the SNR was 11, i.e., 10 times lower than when pumping NO, as expected. Nonetheless, the measurement afforded again a ~2.6 nm Co^{ll}-NO distance

with high reliability. It should be mentioned that while Co^{II} -NO DEER is feasible at the Q-band, the corresponding Cu^{II} -NO measurements are challenging due to the large spectral separation of Cu^{II} and NO spins of ~20 mT exceeding the bandwidth of most setups [46].



Figure 2. Q-band Co^{II}-NO DEER and RIDME on 1 mM solutions of **1** at 15 K. (**a**) Echo-detected EPR spectrum of **1** at 15 K optimized for the Co^{II} (left) and a zoom-in on the spectral region where pulses were applied (right). The red and blue lines denote the position of the pump and observe pulses of the DEER experiment (setup: observe Co^{II} and pump NO) and the green line denotes the position where RIDME was performed; (**b**) primary PDS data with the gray line indicating the background decay, (**c**) background-corrected PDS data with the gray line indicating the fit and (**d**) distance distributions (black lines) with confidence intervals (gray shadowed areas) and reliability (colored bar below the distance distributions). The various experiments are indicated in the first row and the experimental parameters are given in Tables S2 and S3, Supplementary Materials. The Δ is indicated in (**c**) top row. Number of scans from top to bottom 15, 44, 1 and 1.

Here, we also tested directly whether the ligands exchange in solution by performing NO-NO DEER (Figure S7, SI). If ligand exchange occurs, the species expected to form in solution are L_2Co^{II} , (terpyridine)₂Co^{II} and 1 (in 1:1:2 ratio) and we should recover a NO-NO DEER oscillation, originating from L₂Co^{II} complexes. The NO-NO DEER was performed by observing and pumping NO with $\Delta v = 80$ MHz (2.9 mT) at 20 K under conditions optimized for the NO, i.e., with a repetition time of 20 ms. NO-NO DEER is known to perform optimally at 40-60 K [68,69]; however, here, a lower measurement temperature was necessary due to the paramagnetic relaxation enhancement of the NO spins by Co^{II}. Previously, we found that a temperature of 10–20 K is optimal for measuring NO-NO DEER in the presence of Co^{II} [46], in agreement with the X-band NO relaxation data (Supplementary Materials, Figure S5). The NO-NO DEER trace featured again a steep background decay due to the high concentration of NO spins and a Δ of ~17%. The NO-NO distance was found to be 5.2 nm, which is double the Co^{II}-NO distance originating from L_2Co^{ll} complexes, suggesting that partial ligand mixing occurred before freezing the sample. Moreover, ligand mixing was also observed on a similar Co^{II} complex that does not bear a NO group using X-ray structure determination (see details in Supplementary Materials). Both NO-NO DEER and the X-ray demonstrate the kinetic lability of terpyridine ligands with Co^{II} ions that might affect the performance of PDS on **1**.

We then proceeded to perform Co^{ll}-NO RIDME measurements on 1 mM solution of 1 at 15 K. The experiment was performed by observing at the maximum of the NO EPR spectrum (indicated with the green line on Figure 2a) to minimize OS effects and to obtain maximum sensitivity, whereas the Coll spin flip was achieved spontaneously during the T_{mix} . We performed both the five-pulse and the newly introduced six-pulse sequence. The most commonly used five-pulse RIDME is known to exhibit artifacts that alter the background and might affect the shape of the distance distribution [16,30]. These artifacts were found to appear at times $t = \tau_1$ and $t = \tau_2 - \tau_1$ [30], and the six-pulse RIDME was shown to significantly minimize them. On the downside, six-pulse RIDME can feature artifacts at $t = \tau_2 - 2\tau_1$, which, however, can be truncated during data analysis. As we worked at Q-band and the sample was dissolved in a deuterated matrix, each RIDME experiment was recorded as a unique measurement with τ -averaging over one period of the inverse deuterium Larmor frequency proving sufficient to remove unwanted electron spin echo envelope modulation (ESEEM), without the need to perform a reference measurement. The estimation of the $T_{\rm mix}$ was performed by the inversion recovery profile of Co^{II} spins, as well as from our previous data on a similar Co^{ll} complex [10]. The primary RIDME data were analyzed by fitting a fifth-order polynomial background function due to the pronounced background decay of RIDME. Δ was found to be ~36% and ~32% and the SNR to be 114 and 73 for the five- and six-pulse sequences, respectively. The modulation depth was less than the 45% expected for quantitative metal-NO pairs [11,16,44], indicating again partial ligand mixing in agreement with the Co^{II}-NO and NO-NO DEER data. The SNR of the five-pulse RIDME was similar to that of the DEER pumping NO (see Table S4, Supplementary Materials), whereas the six-pulse RIDME measurement exhibited lower SNR. The five-pulse sequence exhibited an artifact at $1.4-1.6 \mu s$, which we assign to the $\tau_2 - \tau_1$ artifact observed by Abdullin et al. [30]. This artifact was significantly smaller in the six-pulse RIDME measurement. The Co^{II}-NO distance distribution was again found to be centered at ~2.6 nm, in agreement with the DEER experiments and similar metal complexes [10,15,39,46].

Lastly, we proceeded to test the performance of Co^{II} -NO RIDME on a sample two orders of magnitude lower in concentration, i.e., on a 10 µM sample of **1** (Figure 3). Again, the five- and six-pulse RIDME sequences were performed on the maximum of the NO spectrum (green line on Figure 2a). As the sample concentration was significantly lower, we found the longitudinal relaxation (T_1) and other T_1 -related relaxation effects to be significantly slower, and we performed RIDME at 30 K, as we also did not see significant modulation at 15 K. In this sample, the RIDME experiment exhibited a reduced Δ of ~18% and ~13%, with SNR values of 29 and 1 for the five- and six-pulse sequences, respectively. The lower Δ of the 10 μ M sample might be due to the coordination of DMF- d_7 on the Co^{II} ion upon sample dilution or due to a suboptimal T_{mix} . The SNR of five-pulse RIDME on the 10 μ M sample was approximately four times lower than the 1 mM sample. Partially, the lower SNR can be attributed to the lower Δ of the 10 μ M sample. The rest of the loss in SNR comes from the lower sample concentration itself. Again, the five-pulse RIDME exhibited an artifact at short times (300 ns), as well as at ~1.1–1.5 μ s, which we tentatively assign to those observed by Abdullin et al. [30], as both were reduced in the six-pulse experiment. Overall, we could measure Co^{II}-NO RIDME on a 10 μ M sample of **1**, the SNR of which was compromised by the sample properties, nonetheless not affecting the reliable determination of the distance distribution, which is in agreement with the PDS data on the higher concentration sample.



Figure 3. Q-band RIDME on 10 μ M solution of **1** at 30 K. (a) Primary data with the gray line indicating the background decay, (b) background-corrected data with the gray line indicating the fit, (c) distance distributions (black lines) with confidence intervals (gray shadowed areas) and reliability (colored bar below the distance distributions). The experiments are indicated in the first row and the experimental parameters are given in Table S3, Supplementary Materials. Number of scans from top to bottom 141 and 90.

3. Conclusions

In this work, we reported the synthesis of a new Co^{II}/nitroxide complex for DEER and RIDME measurements at O-band frequencies. The tailor-made complex geometry afforded a low-spin Co^{II} with favorable spectroscopic properties for DEER and RIDME. Particularly, Co^{II} -NO RIDME was employed on a 10 μ M sample and the application of the six-pulse sequence helped us eliminate unwanted signals from the time traces. The overall SNR of five-pulse RIDME and DEER measurements were similar; however, Co^{II}-NO RIDME did not reach the potential of the Cu^{II}-NO pair, where applications down to 500 nM have been reported [22,44]. One limitation of the SNR comes from the sample properties. We have shown using X-ray crystallography on a similar Co^{II} complex, as well as with NO-NO DEER on 1, that a fraction of the complex disproportionates in coordinating solvents. The issue of complex disproportionation becomes resolved in a scenario where watersoluble ligands would be designed that bind CoII tighter than terpyridine. This would, in turn, allow improved RIDME performance. As reports on Co^{ll} ions in PDS are sparse, measurements at Q-band frequencies, becoming widely adopted by most EPR laboratories, allow further establishing Co^{II} for PDS. We further revealed some of the challenges that have to be met before this metal ion becomes a promising candidate for applications in biomolecular samples.

4. Methods

4.1. General Synthesis Conditions

All commercially available reagents were used as purchased: dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)Co^{II} (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), terpyridine (Alfa Aesar, Haverhill, MA, USA) and NH₄PF₆ (Acros Organics, Geel, Belgium), while the synthesis of ligand L has been reported previously [62]. Solvents were of laboratory-grade purity, reactions were performed in open air and rt refers to room temperature (20–25 °C). Infrared (IR) spectra were acquired on a Shimadzu Fourier transform IR Affinity-1 Infrared spectrometer. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were acquired on a 500 MHz Bruker Ascend spectrometer in the deuterated solvent stated. Chemical shifts are quoted in parts per million (ppm) and referenced to the residual solvent peak(s). Mass spectrometric data were acquired via atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) at the EPSRC National Facility for Mass Spectrometry, Swansea. Elemental analysis was performed in London Metropolitan University, where the solid samples were weighed using a Mettler Toledo high-precision scale and analyzed using ThermoFlash 2000.

4.2. Syntheses

(terpyridine)Co^{II}Cl₂ (**1a**): Dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)Co^{II} (0.665 g, 1.02 mmol) was suspended in toluene (150 mL) and stirred at 60 °C for 10 min, before a solution of terpyridine (0.246 g, 1.05 mmol) in toluene (50 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 120 °C under reflux conditions for 20 min, and **1a** was isolated via filtration (0.32 g, 0.89 mmol, 87%) as an intense green-blue solid. IR (v_{max} , neat) 638, 770, 1016, 1448, 1595 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, D₂O) δ 7.31 (2H, d), 8.18 (1H, s), 8.61 (1H, s), 9.05 (1H, s), 9.73 (4H, s); ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, D₂O) δ 55.11, 100.99, 110.05, 127.04, 127.32, 130.45, 143.13, 151.30, 156.12; HRMS (ASAP, +p APCI) C₁₅H₁₁Cl₁CoN₃ ([M - Cl]⁺): found 326.9968 (-2.1 ppm); C₁₅H₁₁Cl₂CoN₃ ([M]⁺): found 361.9647; calculated 361.9657 (-2.6 ppm); C₁₅H₁₁Cl₂CoN₃: found C, 49.59; H, 3.15; N, 11.43%; calculated C, 49.62; H, 3.05; N, 11.57%.

[(terpyridine)Co^{II}(L)](PF₆)₂ (1): **1a** (0.015 g, 0.04 mmol) was dissolved in CH₃OH (5 mL) and stirred at rt for 10 min before a solution of L [62] (0.02 g, 0.03 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) was added at once. The reaction mixture was left stirring at rt for 1.5 h and addition of excess NH₄PF₆ (0.018 g, 0.11 mmol) precipitated **1**, which was isolated via filtration (0.02 g, 0.016 mmol, 55%) as a dark-brown powder. IR (v_{max} , neat) 795, 1002, 1166, 1240, 1288, 1473, 1490, 1600, 1730 cm⁻¹; MS (MALDI – DCTB) C₅₉H₄₆N₇CoO₃ [(M – 2PF₆)⁺]: found 959.3; calculated 959.3; C₅₉H₄₆N₇CoO₃P₂F₆ [(M – PF₆)⁺]: found 1104; calculated 1104.3; C₅₉H₄₆N₇CoO₃P₂F₁₂: found C, 56.67; H, 3.83; N, 7.88%; calculated C, 56.70; H, 3.71; N, 7.84%.

BrPhTerpyridine: was synthesized according to procedures in the literature [70]. Here, mp 158–159 °C; Lit. [71] 158–160 °C; HRMS (FTMS, + p NSI) $C_{21}H_{15}Br_1N_3$ ([M + H]⁺): found 388.0448; calculated 388.0444 (+1.1 ppm).

[(terpyridine)Co^{II}(terpyridinePhBr)](BPh₄)₂ (**2**): **1a** (0.01 g, 0.03 mmol) was dissolved in CH₃OH (3 mL) and stirred at rt for 10 min before a solution of BrPhTerpyridine (0.01 g, 0.03 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) was added at once. The reaction mixture was left stirring at rt 16 h and the addition of excess NaBPh₄ (0.028 g, 0.08 mmol) precipitated **2**, which was isolated via filtration (0.02 g, 0.015 mmol, 50%) as a dark-brown powder. $C_{84}H_{65}BrCoB_2N_6$: found C, 76.35; H, 4.90; N, 6.51%; calculated C, 76.49; H, 4.97; N, 6.37%.

4.3. X-ray Crystallography

X-ray diffraction data for compound **2'** (see structure in Supplementary Materials) were collected at 173 K using a Rigaku MM-007HF High Brilliance RA generator/confocal optics with XtaLAB P100 diffractometer (Cu K α radiation (λ = 1.54187 Å)). Intensity data were collected using both ω and φ steps, accumulating area detector images spanning at least a hemisphere of a reciprocal space. Data were collected and processed (including cor-

rection for Lorentz, polarization and absorption) using CrystalClear [72]. The structure was solved using charge-flipping methods (Superflip [73]) and refined using full-matrix least-squares against F^2 (SHELXL-201/3 [74]). Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, and hydrogen atoms were refined using a riding model. The thin, platy crystals diffracted weakly at higher angles, even with long exposures. This weaker high-resolution data lead to elevated values of R_{int} , poor observed-to-unique data ratios and minor discrepancies in some bond lengths. The structure could nevertheless be unambiguously determined. All calculations were performed using the CrystalStructure [75] interface. Deposition number 2152412 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.

Crystal data. C₉₀H₆₈B₂Br₂CoN₆, M = 1473.93, monoclinic, a = 10.964(3), b = 16.030(4), c = 20.240(5) Å, $\beta = 94.417(4)^{\circ}$, U = 3546.7(16) Å³, T = 173 K, space group P2₁ (no. 4), Z = 2, 35733 reflections measured, 10694 unique ($R_{int} = 0.2457$), which were used in all calculations. The final R_1 [$I > 2\sigma(I$]] was 0.1015 and wR_2 (all data) was 0.3191.

4.4. EPR Sample Preparation

10 equivalents of NaBPh₄ were added to solid **1**, the solids were taken up in DMF (or DMF- d_7) and mixed thoroughly via pipetting until everything was dissolved, forming a transparent brown solution. Then, C_7D_8 or 2-MeTHF was added, the mixture was again mixed thoroughly, transferred to the EPR tube (3 mm outer diameter, OD) and frozen in liquid nitrogen. The final sample volume was 75 µL. The 10 µM sample was prepared by thawing the 1 mM sample and diluting it with a pre-mixed solution of DMF- d_7/C_7D_8 (1/9).

4.5. EPR Spectroscopy

All EPR data were recorded on a Bruker ELEXSYS E580 pulsed X-band (9.7 GHz) or Q-band (34.0 GHz) spectrometer including the second frequency option (E580–400U). Pulses were amplified by travelling wave tube (TWT) amplifiers (1 kW at X-band and 150 W at Q-band) from Applied Systems Engineering. An MD5 dielectric ring resonator (X-band) and a 3 mm cylindrical resonator ER 5106QT-2w in TE012 mode (Q-band) and standard flex line probe heads were used. The temperature was stabilized using a continuous flow via a variable temperature helium flow cryostat from Oxford Instruments (X-band) or a cryogen-free variable temperature cryostat from Cryogenic Ltd. (Q-band).

4.5.1. Echo-Detected EPR Spectrum

The echo-detected EPR (ED-EPR) spectrum was recorded at 15 K and optimized for the Co^{II} spin using the ($\pi/2 - \tau - \pi - \tau$ – echo) sequence monitoring the echo intensity while sweeping the magnetic field. Here, $\pi/2$, π pulses were set to 12, 24 ns, τ to 400 µs and repetition rate to 407 µs.

4.5.2. Inversion Recovery Data

Inversion recovery (I.R.) data were collected using the $(\pi - T - \pi/2 - \tau - \pi - \tau - echo)$ sequence monitoring the echo intensity as a function of the interval T. Co^{II} and NO X-band I.R. data were recorded in the temperature range 5–50 K at 340.0 mT and 345.3 mT, respectively, using $\pi/2$, π pulses of 20, 40 ns, inversion pulse of 20 ns and τ of 200 µs; the time increment and repetition time varied with the temperature. Co^{II} Q-band I.R. was recorded at 1160 mT at 30 K using $\pi/2$, π pulses of 12, 24 ns, respec-tively, 24 ns inversion pulse and τ of 800 µs, repetition time 1 ms and time increment 250 ns (see Figures S4–S6, Supplementary Materials).

4.5.3. Phase Memory Time Data

Phase memory time data were collected using a Hahn echo ($\pi/2 - \tau - \pi - \tau - echo$) sequence monitoring the echo intensity as a function of the interval τ . Co^{II} and NO X-band

phase memory time data were recorded in the temperature range 5–50 K at 340.0 mT and 345.3 mT, respectively using $\pi/2$, π pulses of 16, 32 ns, a starting τ of 120 µs and time increment of 12 ns; the repetition time varied with the temperature. Co^{II} phase memory time data exhibited strong Co^{II} ESEEM due to interaction of the un-paired electron with the nuclear spin of Co^{II} (I = 7/2), whereas NO data exhibited ¹H ESEEM. NO and Co^{II} Q-band phase memory times were recorded at 1213 and 1196 mT at 15 K using $\pi/2$, π pulses of 12, 14 ns (NO), 16, 32 ns (Co^{II}), a starting τ of 380 µs and time increment of 20 ns and repetition time 5 ms (see Figures S4–S6, Supplementary Materials).

4.5.4. Q-Band DEER and RIDME

All data were collected at the Q-band. Co^{II}-NO and NO-NO DEER were performed at 15 K and 20 K, respectively, with the 4-pulse sequence $(\pi/2(v_{obs}) - \tau_1 - \pi(v_{obs}) - (\tau_1 + t) - \pi(v_{pump}) - (\tau_2-t) - \pi(v_{obs}) - \tau_2 - \text{echo})$ [1,2,5], and RIDME was performed at 15 K (1 mM sample) and 30 K (10 µM sample) with the 5- $(\pi/2 - \tau_1 - \pi - (\tau_1 + t) - \pi/2 - T_{mix} - \pi/2 - (\tau_2 - t) - \pi - \tau_2 - \text{echo})$ [3] and 6- $(\pi/2 - \tau_1 - \pi - 2\tau_1 - \pi - (\tau_1 + t) - \pi/2 - T_{mix} - \pi/2 - (\tau_2 - t) - \pi - \tau_2 - \text{echo})$ [30] pulse sequences. RIDME was performed at the maximum intensity of the NO EPR spectrum. In RIDME, ²H ESEEM was suppressed with a 16-step τ averaging cycle [38], and 8-step or 32-step phase cycling (for 5- and 6-pulse RIDME, respectively) was used to eliminate unwanted echoes. All experimental parameters are given in Supplementary Materials, Tables S2 and S3.

4.5.5. Data Analysis

The primary DEER and RIDME data were transformed into distance distributions using the DeerAnalysis2018 [66] software and Tikhonov regularization with the L-curve [76] criterion. The background contributions to the primary DEER data were removed by fitting a background homogeneous to three dimensions for the DEER and fifth order polynomial function for the RIDME data using the default background start value giving the Δ values reported herein. An exception is the 5-pulse RIDME of 1 mM sample, where the background start was set manually to 100 ns, as default background start was unrealistic. In general, the RIDME data on 1 mM sample could also be fitted by fitting the dimensionality of the background or with different order polynomial functions, but as the 10 μ M data could only be fitted with fifth order polynomial function, we analyzed all RIDME data similarly. The regularization parameter was 10 for the RIDME and 1 and for the DEER data, respectively. The contributions of the background signal were evaluated within the validation tool of the DeerAnalysis2018 program. The validation was performed from 5% to 80% of the time traces for DEER and from 5% to 15% for RIDME, respectively, in 16 trials, and a white noise of level 1.5 in 10 trials was added. In the NO-NO DEER measurements, no noise was added during validation. For all validation procedures, only datasets within 15% of the best root-mean–square deviation were retained (i.e., default prune level 1.15), affording the confidence intervals (gray shadowed areas) of the plotted distance distributions. The color bars below the distance distributions denote the reliability of the distance as follows: green = shape reliable; yellow = mean and width reliable; orange = mean reliable; red = non-reliable. The data were also analyzed with two user-unbiased methods; first, DEERNet, which utilizes neuronal networks to predict the distance distribution [77] within Spinach [78] software in MATLAB R2020b. Second, with simultaneous comparative treatment employing neuronal network analysis and Tikhonov regularization (ComparativeDeerAnalyzer, CDA), which computes the distance distribution and its uncertainty using DeerAnalysis2021b in MATLAB R2020b. The DEERNet and CDA analysis results are given in Supplementary Materials, Figures S8-S20, respectively. CDA could not run for the 5-pulse RIDME measurement on the 10 μ M sample. The modulation depth values as calculated automatically from DEERNet and CDA are reported in Table S4, Supplementary Materials. All data are available in reference [79].

4.5.6. Calculation of Sensitivity

The sensitivity was calculated similarly to what was described previously [44]. Briefly, it was first calculated as modulation-to-noise ratio, i.e., Δ /noise level, where Δ was calculated automatically in CDA and the noise level was estimated using the imaginary part of phase-corrected and normalized time domain data, again calculated in CDA or, where mentioned, with a self-written MATLAB script. Then, this value was divided by the square root (sqrt) of (number of scans × shots-per-point × τ -averaging × phase cycle) to give the modulation-to-noise ratio normalized for the number of echoes, S_e . To account for different repetition rates, S_e was multiplied with the sqrt of the inverse repetition rate to yield the sensitivity per unit time (S_t). The sensitivity values are mentioned throughout the texts and are summarized in Supplementary Materials, Table S4.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/ 10.3390/magnetochemistry8040043/s1, Mass spectra, relaxation times data, experimental parameters, additional analysis. References [80–89] are cited in the supplementary materials.

Author Contributions: Synthesis, A.G.; sample preparation and EPR measurements, B.E.B. and A.G.; data analysis, B.E.B. and A.G.; X-ray structure determination, D.B.C. and A.M.Z.S.; manuscript writing, A.G. and B.E.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: AG was supported by the EPSRC-funded Centre for Doctoral Training in 'integrated magnetic resonance', iMR-CDT (EP/J500045/1) the time the research was conducted. BEB is supported by the Leverhulme Trust (RPG-2018–397) and equipment funding from BBSRC (BB/R013780/1 and BB/T017740/1).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Digital data underpinning the results presented in this manuscript.

Acknowledgments: We thank the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Service Centre, Swansea, for mass spectra and Steven Boyer for Elemental Analyses.

Conflicts of Interest: There are no conflict of interest to report.

References

- Milov, A.D.; Ponomarev, A.B.; Tsvetkov, Y.D. Electron Electron Double-Resonance in Electron-Spin Echo—Model Biradical Systems and the Sensitized Photolysis of Decalin. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1984, 110, 67–72. [CrossRef]
- Milov, A.D.; Salikhov, K.M.; Shirov, M.D. Application of Eldor in Electron-Spin Echo for Paramagnetic Center Space Distribution in Solids. *Fiz. Tverd. Tela* 1981, 23, 975–982.
- Kulik, L.V.; Dzuba, S.A.; Grigoryev, I.A.; Tsvetkov, Y.D. Electron dipole-dipole interaction in ESEEM of nitroxide biradicals. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2001, 343, 315–324. [CrossRef]
- 4. EPR Spectroscopy: Fundamentals and Methods; Goldfarb, D.; Stoll, S. (Eds.) Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1988.
- Pannier, M.; Veit, S.; Godt, A.; Jeschke, G.; Spiess, H.W. Dead-time free measurement of dipole-dipole interactions between electron spins. J. Magn. Reson. 2000, 142, 331–340. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Larsen, R.G.; Singel, D.J. Double electron-electron resonance spin-echo modulation—Spectroscopic measurement of electron-spin pair separations in orientationally disordered solids. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5134–5146. [CrossRef]
- Martin, R.E.; Pannier, M.; Diederich, F.; Gramlich, V.; Hubrich, M.; Spiess, H.W. Determination of End-to-End Distances in a Series of TEMPO Diradicals of up to 2.8 nm Length with a New Four-Pulse Double Electron Electron Resonance Experiment. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1998, 37, 2833–2837. [CrossRef]
- Milikisyants, S.; Scarpelli, F.; Finiguerra, M.G.; Ubbink, M.; Huber, M. A pulsed EPR method to determine distances between paramagnetic centers with strong spectral anisotropy and radicals: The dead-time free RIDME sequence. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2009, 201, 48–56. [CrossRef]
- 9. Konov, K.B.; Knyazev, A.A.; Galyametdinov, Y.G.; Isaev, N.P.; Kulik, L.V. Selective Hole-Burning in RIDME Experiment: Dead-Time Free Measurement of Dipolar Modulation. *Appl. Magn. Reson.* **2013**, *44*, 949–966. [CrossRef]
- 10. Giannoulis, A.; Motion, C.L.; Oranges, M.; Buhl, M.; Smith, G.M.; Bode, B.E. Orientation selection in high-field RIDME and PELDOR experiments involving low-spin Co^{II} ions. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *20*, 2151–2154. [CrossRef]
- Giannoulis, A.; Oranges, M.; Bode, B.E. Monitoring Complex Formation by Relaxation-Induced Pulse Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Distance Measurements. *Chemphyschem* 2017, 18, 2318–2321. [CrossRef]

- Abdullin, D.; Duthie, F.; Meyer, A.; Muller, E.S.; Hagelueken, G.; Schiemann, O. Comparison of PELDOR and RIDME for distance measurements between nitroxides and low-spin Fe(III) ions. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2015, *119*, 13534–13542. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jassoy, J.J.; Berndhauser, A.; Duthie, F.; Kuhn, S.P.; Hagelueken, G.; Schiemann, O. Versatile Trityl Spin Labels for Nanometer Distance Measurements on Biomolecules In Vitro and within Cells. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2017, 56, 177–181. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Russell, H.; Stewart, R.; Prior, C.; Oganesyan, V.S.; Gaule, T.G.; Lovett, J.E. DEER and RIDME Measurements of the Nitroxide-Spin Labelled Copper-Bound Amine Oxidase Homodimer from Arthrobacter Globiformis. *Appl. Magn. Reson.* 2021, 52, 995–1015. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Meyer, A.; Schiemann, O. PELDOR and RIDME Measurements on a High-Spin Manganese(II) Bisnitroxide Model Complex. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2016, 120, 3463–3472. [CrossRef]
- 16. Ritsch, I.; Hintz, H.; Jeschke, G.; Godt, A.; Yulikov, M. Improving the accuracy of Cu(II)-nitroxide RIDME in the presence of orientation correlation in water-soluble Cu(II)-nitroxide rulers. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *21*, 9810–9830. [CrossRef]
- Abdullin, D.; Brehm, P.; Fleck, N.; Spicher, S.; Grimme, S.; Schiemann, O. Pulsed EPR Dipolar Spectroscopy on Spin Pairs with one Highly Anisotropic Spin Center: The Low-Spin Fe^{III} Case. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2019, 25, 14388–14398. [CrossRef]
- 18. Boulon, M.E.; Fernandez, A.; Pineda, E.M.; Chilton, N.F.; Timco, G.; Fielding, A.J.; Winpenny, R.E.P. Measuring Spin ... Spin Interactions between Heterospins in a Hybrid [2] Rotaxane. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2017**, *56*, 3876–3879. [CrossRef]
- Astashkin, A.V.; Rajapakshe, A.; Cornelison, M.J.; Johnson-Winters, K.; Enemark, J.H. Determination of the Distance between the Mo(V) and Fe(III) Heme Centers of Wild Type Human Sulfite Oxidase by Pulsed EPR Spectroscopy. J. Phys. Chem. B 2012, 116, 1942–1950. [CrossRef]
- Azarkh, M.; Bieber, A.; Qi, M.; Fischer, J.W.A.; Yulikov, M.; Godt, A.; Drescher, M. Gd(III)-Gd(III) Relaxation-Induced Dipolar Modulation Enhancement for In-Cell Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Distance Determination. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2019, 10, 1477–1481. [CrossRef]
- 21. Breitgoff, F.D.; Keller, K.; Qi, M.; Klose, D.; Yulikov, M.; Godt, A.; Jeschke, G. UWB DEER and RIDME distance measurements in Cu(II)-Cu(II) spin pairs. *J. Magn. Reson.* **2019**, *308*, 106560. [CrossRef]
- 22. Ackermann, K.; Wort, J.L.; Bode, B.E. Nanomolar Pulse Dipolar EPR Spectroscopy in Proteins: Cu^{II}-Cu^{II} and Nitroxide-Nitroxide Cases. *J. Phys. Chem. B.* **2021**, *125*, 5358–5364. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Razzaghi, S.; Qi, M.; Nalepa, A.I.; Godt, A.; Jeschke, G.; Savitsky, A.; Yulikov, M. RIDME Spectroscopy with Gd(III) Centers. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2014, 5, 3970–3975. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Akhmetzyanov, D.; Ching, H.Y.; Denysenkov, V.; Demay-Drouhard, P.; Bertrand, H.C.; Tabares, L.C.; Policar, C.; Prisner, T.F.; Un, S. RIDME spectroscopy on high-spin Mn²⁺ centers. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2016, 18, 30857–30866. [CrossRef]
- Collauto, A.; Frydman, V.; Lee, M.D.; Abdelkader, E.H.; Feintuch, A.; Swarbrick, J.D.; Graham, B.; Otting, G.; Goldfarb, D. RIDME distance measurements using Gd(III) tags with a narrow central transition. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2016, *18*, 19037–19049. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Keller, K.; Mertens, V.; Qi, M.; Nalepa, A.I.; Godt, A.; Savitsky, A.; Jeschke, G.; Yulikov, M. Computing distance distributions from dipolar evolution data with overtones: RIDME spectroscopy with Gd(III)-based spin labels. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2017, 19, 17856–17876. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Salvadori, E.; Fusco, E.; Chiesa, M. Long-Range Spatial Distribution of Single Aluminum Sites in Zeolites. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2022**, *13*, 1283–1289. [CrossRef]
- Kuzhelev, A.A.; Krumkacheva, O.A.; Shevelev, G.Y.; Yulikov, M.; Fedin, M.V.; Bagryanskaya, E.G. Room-temperature distance measurements using RIDME and the orthogonal spin labels trityl/nitroxide. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2018, 20, 10224–10230. [CrossRef]
- Savitsky, A.; Dubinskii, A.A.; Zimmermann, H.; Lubitz, W.; Mobius, K. High-Field Dipolar Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) Spectroscopy of Nitroxide Biradicals for Determining Three-Dimensional Structures of Biomacromolecules in Disordered Solids. J. Phys. Chem. B 2011, 115, 11950–11963. [CrossRef]
- Abdullin, D.; Suchatzki, M.; Schiemann, O. Six-Pulse RIDME Sequence to Avoid Background Artifacts. *Appl. Magn. Reson.* 2021, 1–16. [CrossRef]
- 31. Astashkin, A.V. Mapping the Structure of Metalloproteins with RIDME. Methods Enzymol. 2015, 563, 251–284.
- 32. Keller, K.; Qi, M.; Gmeiner, C.; Ritsch, I.; Godt, A.; Jeschke, G.; Savitsky, A.; Yulikov, M. Intermolecular background decay in RIDME experiments. *Phys. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *21*, 8228–8245. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Goldfarb, D. Metal-Based Spin Labeling for Distance Determination. *Struct. Inf. Spin Labels Intrinsic Paramagn. Cent. Biosci.* 2012, 152, 163–204.
- 34. Ji, M.; Ruthstein, S.; Saxena, S. Paramagnetic metal ions in pulsed ESR distance distribution measurements. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2014, 47, 688–695. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schiemann, O.; Prisner, T.F. Long-range distance determinations in biomacromolecules by EPR spectroscopy. *Q. Rev. Biophys.* 2007, 40, 1–53. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Abdullin, D.; Schiemann, O. Pulsed Dipolar EPR Spectroscopy and Metal Ions: Methodology and Biological Applications. *Chempluschem* 2020, *85*, 353–372. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Giannoulis, A.; Feintuch, A.; Unger, T.; Amir, S.; Goldfarb, D. Monitoring the Conformation of the Sba1/Hsp90 Complex in the Presence of Nucleotides with Mn(II)-Based Double Electron-Electron Resonance. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2021, *12*, 12235–12241. [CrossRef]
- Keller, K.; Doll, A.; Qi, M.A.; Godt, A.; Jeschke, G.; Yulikov, M. Averaging of nuclear modulation artefacts in RIDME experiments. J. Magn. Reson. 2016, 272, 108–113. [CrossRef]
- Meyer, A.; Abdullin, D.; Schnakenburg, G.; Schiemann, O. Single and double nitroxide labeled bis(terpyridine)-copper(II): Influence of orientation selectivity and multispin effects on PELDOR and RIDME. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2016, 18, 9262–9271. [CrossRef]
- Meyer, A.; Jassoy, J.J.; Spicher, S.; Berndhauser, A.; Schiemann, O. Performance of PELDOR, RIDME, SIFTER, and DQC in measuring distances in trityl based bi- and triradicals: Exchange coupling, pseudosecular coupling and multi-spin effects. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2018, 20, 13858–13869. [CrossRef]
- 41. Dal Farra, M.G.; Richert, S.; Martin, C.; Larminie, C.; Gobbo, M.; Bergantino, E.; Timmel, C.R.; Bowen, A.M.; Di Valentin, M. Light-Induced Pulsed EPR Dipolar Spectroscopy on a Paradigmatic Hemeprotein. *Chemphyschem* **2019**, *20*, 931–935. [CrossRef]
- Doll, A.; Qi, M.; Godt, A.; Jeschke, G. CIDME: Short distances measured with long chirp pulses. J. Magn. Reson. 2016, 273, 73–82. [CrossRef]
- Wort, J.L.; Arya, S.; Ackermann, K.; Stewart, A.J.; Bode, B.E. Pulse Dipolar EPR Reveals Double-Histidine Motif Cu(II)-NTA Spin-Labeling Robustness against Competitor Ions. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2021, 12, 2815–2819. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wort, J.L.; Ackermann, K.; Giannoulis, A.; Stewart, A.J.; Norman, D.G.; Bode, B.E. Sub-Micromolar Pulse Dipolar EPR Spectroscopy Reveals Increasing Cu^{II}-labelling of Double-Histidine Motifs with Lower Temperature. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2019, 58, 11681–11685. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Astashkin, A.V.; Elmore, B.O.; Fan, W.H.; Guillemette, J.G.; Feng, C.J. Pulsed EPR Determination of the Distance between Heme Iron and FMN Centers in a Human Inducible Nitric Oxide Synthase. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12059–12067. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Giannoulis, A.; Ackermann, K.; Spindler, P.E.; Higgins, C.; Cordes, D.B.; Slawin, A.M.Z.; Prisner, T.F.; Bode, B.E. Nitroxidenitroxide and nitroxide-metal distance measurements in transition metal complexes with two or three paramagnetic centres give access to thermodynamic and kinetic stabilities. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2018, 20, 11196–11205. [CrossRef]
- 47. Wort, J.L.; Ackermann, K.; Norman, D.G.; Bode, B.E. A general model to optimise Cu(II) labelling efficiency of double-histidine motifs for pulse dipolar EPR applications. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2021**, *23*, 3810–3819. [CrossRef]
- Cunningham, T.F.; Putterman, M.R.; Desai, A.; Horne, W.S.; Saxena, S. The double-histidine Cu²⁺-binding motif: A highly rigid, site-specific spin probe for electron spin resonance distance measurements. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2015, 54, 6330–6334. [CrossRef]
- 49. Ghosh, S.; Lawless, M.J.; Rule, G.S.; Saxena, S. The Cu²⁺-nitrilotriacetic acid complex improves loading of alpha-helical double histidine site for precise distance measurements by pulsed ESR. *J. Magn. Reson.* **2018**, *286*, 163–171. [CrossRef]
- Yang, Y.; Gong, Y.J.; Litvinov, A.; Liu, H.K.; Yang, F.; Su, X.C.; Goldfarb, D. Generic tags for Mn(II) and Gd(III) spin labels for distance measurements in proteins. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2017, 19, 26944–26956. [CrossRef]
- Martorana, A.; Yang, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Li, Q.F.; Su, X.C.; Goldfarb, D. Mn(II) tags for DEER distance measurements in proteins via C-S attachment. *Dalton Trans.* 2015, 44, 20812–20816. [CrossRef]
- Pfrunder, M.C.; Whittaker, J.J.; Parsons, S.; Moubaraki, B.; Murray, K.S.; Moggach, S.A.; Sharma, N.; Micallef, A.S.; Clegg, J.; McMurtrie, J.C. Controlling Spin Switching with Anionic Supramolecular Frameworks. *Chem. Mater.* 2020, 32, 3229–3234. [CrossRef]
- Pavlov, A.A.; Denisov, G.L.; Kiskin, M.A.; Nelyubina, Y.V.; Novikov, V.V. Probing Spin Crossover in a Solution by Paramagnetic NMR Spectroscopy. *Inorg. Chem.* 2017, 56, 14759–14762. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Spindler, P.E.; Glaser, S.J.; Skinner, T.E.; Prisner, T.F. Broadband inversion PELDOR spectroscopy with partially adiabatic shaped pulses. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2013**, *52*, 3425–3429. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Gaponenko, V.; Altieri, A.S.; Li, J.; Byrd, R.A. Breaking symmetry in the structure determination of (large) symmetric protein dimers. *J. Biomol. NMR* **2002**, *24*, 143–148. [CrossRef]
- 56. Dvoretsky, A.; Gaponenko, V.; Rosevear, P.R. Derivation of structural restraints using a thiol-reactive chelator. *FEBS Lett.* 2002, 528, 189–192. [CrossRef]
- Bahramzadeh, A.; Huber, T.; Otting, G. Three-Dimensional Protein Structure Determination Using Pseudocontact Shifts of Backbone Amide Protons Generated by Double-Histidine Co²⁺-Binding Motifs at Multiple Sites. *Biochemistry* 2019, *58*, 3243–3250. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Seebald, L.M.; DeMott, C.M.; Ranganathan, S.; Asare-Okai, P.N.; Glazunova, A.; Chen, A.; Shekhtman, A.; Royzen, M. Cobaltbased paramagnetic probe to study RNA-protein interactions by NMR. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 2017, 170, 202–208. [CrossRef]
- 59. Zehnder, J.; Cadalbert, R.; Terradot, L.; Ernst, M.; Bockmann, A.; Guntert, P.; Meier, B.H.; Wiegand, T. Paramagnetic Solid-State NMR to Localize the Metal-Ion Cofactor in an Oligomeric DnaB Helicase. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 7745–7755. [CrossRef]
- 60. Balayssac, S.; Bertini, I.; Bhaumik, A.; Lelli, M.; Luchinat, C. Paramagnetic shifts in solid-state NMR of proteins to elicit structural information. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2008, 105, 17284–17289. [CrossRef]
- Sato, Y.; Nakayama, Y.; Yasuda, H. Controlled vinyl-addition-type polymerization of norbornene initiated by several cobalt complexes having substituted terpyridine ligands. J. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 744–750. [CrossRef]

- 62. Ackermann, K.; Giannoulis, A.; Cordes, D.B.; Slawin, A.M.Z.; Bode, B.E. Assessing dimerisation degree and cooperativity in a biomimetic small-molecule model by pulsed EPR. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 5257–5260. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Bode, B.E.; Plackmeyer, J.; Prisner, T.F.; Schiemann, O. PELDOR measurements on a nitroxide-labeled Cu(II) porphyrin: Orientation selection, spin-density distribution, and conformational flexibility. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2008, *112*, 5064–5073. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Eaton, G.R.; Eaton, S.S.; Barr, D.P.; Weber, R.T. Quantitative EPR. Quant. Epr. 2010, 1–185. [CrossRef]
- 65. Diaz-Torres, R.; Alvarez, S. Coordinating ability of anions and solvents towards transition metals and lanthanides. *Dalton Trans.* **2011**, *40*, 10742–10750. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jeschke, G.; Chechik, V.; Ionita, P.; Godt, A.; Zimmermann, H.; Banham, J.; Timmel, C.R.; Hilger, D.; Jung, H. DeerAnalysis2006—A comprehensive software package for analyzing pulsed ELDOR data. *Appl. Magn. Reson.* 2006, *30*, 473–498. [CrossRef]
- Ackermann, K.; Chapman, A.; Bode, B.E. A Comparison of Cysteine-Conjugated Nitroxide Spin Labels for Pulse Dipolar EPR Spectroscopy. *Molecules* 2021, 26, 7534. [CrossRef]
- 68. Jeschke, G. DEER distance measurements on proteins. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 2012, 63, 419–446. [CrossRef]
- 69. Jeschke, G.; Polyhach, Y. Distance measurements on spin-labelled biomacromolecules by pulsed electron paramagnetic resonance. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *9*, 1895–1910. [CrossRef]
- Siemeling, U.; Vor der Bruggen, J.; Vorfeld, U.; Stammler, A.; Stammler, H.G. Large scale synthesis of 4'-(4-bromophenyl)-2,2': 6',2"-terpyridine and nature of the mysterious green by-product. *Z. Naturforsch. B* 2003, 58, 443–446. [CrossRef]
- 71. Mutai, T.; Arita, S.; Araki, K. Phenyl-substituted 2,2': 6',2"-terpyridine as a new series of fluorescent compounds—Their photophysical properties and fluorescence tuning. *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans.* 2 2001, 7, 1045–1050. [CrossRef]
- 72. CrystalClear-SM Expert v2.1; Rigaku Americas: The Woodlands, TX, USA; Rigaku Corporation: Tokyo, Japan, 2015.
- 73. Palatinus, L.; Chapuis, G. SUPERFLIP—A computer program for the solution of crystal structures by charge flipping in arbitrary dimensions. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2007**, *40*, 786–790. [CrossRef]
- Sheldrick, G.M. Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C Struct. Chem. 2015, 71, 3–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. CrystalStructure v4.3.0; Rigaku Americas: The Woodlands, TX, USA; Rigaku Corporation: Tokyo, Japan, 2018.
- Chiang, Y.W.; Borbat, P.P.; Freed, J.H. The determination of pair distance distributions by pulsed ESR using Tikhonov regularization. J. Magn. Reson. 2005, 172, 279–295. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 77. Worswick, S.G.; Spencer, J.A.; Jeschke, G.; Kuprov, I. Deep neural network processing of DEER data. *Sci. Adv.* **2018**, *4*, eaat5218. [CrossRef]
- 78. Hogben, H.J.; Krzystyniak, M.; Charnock, G.T.; Hore, P.J.; Kuprov, I. Spinach-a software library for simulation of spin dynamics in large spin systems. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2011, 208, 179–194. [CrossRef]
- 79. Giannoulis, A.; Cordes, D.B.; Slawin, A.M.Z.; Bode, B.E. *A Low-Spin Co(II)/Nitroxide Complex for Distance Measurements at Q-Band Frequencies (Dataset)*; University of St Andrews Research Portal: St Andrews, UK, 2022. [CrossRef]
- Scarpelli, F.; Bartucci, R.; Sportelli, L.; Guzzi, R. Solvent effect on librational dynamics of spin-labelled haemoglobin by ED- and CW-EPR. *Eur. Biophys. J.* 2011, 40, 273–279. [CrossRef]
- Kuzhelev, A.A.; Krumkacheva, O.A.; Ivanov, M.Y.; Prikhod'ko, S.A.; Adonin, N.Y.; Tormyshev, V.M.; Bowman, M.K.; Fedin, M.V.; Bagryanskaya, E.G. Pulse EPR of Triarylmethyl Probes: A New Approach for the Investigation of Molecular Motions in Soft Matter. J. Phys. Chem. B 2018, 122, 8624–8630. [CrossRef]
- 82. Bahrenberg, T.; Rosenski, Y.; Carmieli, R.; Zibzener, K.; Qi, M.; Frydman, V.; Godt, A.; Goldfarb, D.; Feintuch, A. Improved sensitivity for W-band Gd(III)-Gd(III) and nitroxide-nitroxide DEER measurements with shaped pulses. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2017, 283, 1–13. [CrossRef]
- 83. Bretschneider, M.; Spindler, P.E.; Rogozhnikova, O.Y.; Trukhin, D.V.; Endeward, B.; Kuzhelev, A.A.; Bagryanskaya, E.; Tormyshev, V.M.; Prisner, T.F. Multiquantum Counting of Trityl Radicals. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2020**, *11*, 6286–6290. [CrossRef]
- Reginsson, G.W.; Kunjir, N.C.; Sigurdsson, S.T.; Schiemann, O. Trityl Radicals: Spin Labels for Nanometer-Distance Measurements. Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 13580–13584. [CrossRef]
- 85. Akhmetzyanov, D.; Schops, P.; Marko, A.; Kunjir, N.C.; Sigurdsson, S.T.; Prisner, T.F. Pulsed EPR dipolar spectroscopy at Q- and G-band on a trityl biradical. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *17*, 24446–24451. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Giannoulis, A.; Yang, Y.; Gong, Y.J.; Tan, X.; Feintuch, A.; Carmieli, R.; Bahrenberg, T.; Liu, Y.; Su, X.C.; Goldfarb, D. DEER distance measurements on trityl/trityl and Gd(III)/trityl labelled proteins. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2019, 21, 10217–10227. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 87. van Amsterdam, I.M.; Ubbink, M.; Canters, G.W.; Huber, M. Measurement of a Cu-Cu distance of 26 A by a pulsed EPR method. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2003**, *42*, 62–64. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 88. Sameach, H.; Ghosh, S.; Gevorkyan-Airapetov, L.; Saxena, S.; Ruthstein, S. EPR Spectroscopy Detects Various Active State Conformations of the Transcriptional Regulator CueR. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2019**, *58*, 3053–3056. [CrossRef]
- 89. Henderson, I.M.; Hayward, R.C. Kinetic stabilities of bis-terpyridine complexes with iron(II) and cobalt(II) in organic solvent environments. *J. Mater. Chem.* 2012, 22, 21366–21369. [CrossRef]