

Article

Characterization of Plant Growth-Promoting Traits and Inoculation Effects on *Triticum durum* of Actinomycetes Isolates under Salt Stress Conditions

Rihab Djebaili ^{1,2}, Marika Pellegrini ^{2,*}, Massimiliano Rossi ³, Cinzia Forni ³, Maria Smati ¹, Maddalena Del Gallo ^{2,*} and Mahmoud Kitouni ¹

¹ Laboratory of Microbiological Engineering and Applications, Chaâbat Erssas Campus, University of Brothers Mentouri Constantine 1, Ain El Bey Road, 25000 Constantine, Algeria; djebaili.rihab@umc.edu.dz (R.D.); mariasmati87@gmail.com (M.S.); mahmoudkitouni@yahoo.fr (M.K.)

² Department of Life, Health and Environmental Sciences, University of L'Aquila, Coppito, 67010 L'Aquila, Italy

³ Department of Biology, University of Rome "Tor Vergata", 00133 Roma, Italy; massimiliano87rossi@hotmail.com (M.R.); forni@uniroma2.it (C.F.).

* Correspondence: marika.pellegrini@univaq.it (M.P.); maddalena.delgallo@univaq.it (M.D.G.)

Citation: Djebaili, R.; Pellegrini, M.; Rossi, M.; Forni, C.; Smati, M.; Del Gallo, M.; Kitouni, M. Characterization of Plant Growth-Promoting Traits and Inoculation Effects on *Triticum durum* of Actinomycetes Isolates under Salt Stress Conditions. *Soil Syst.* **2021**, *5*, 26. <https://doi.org/10.3390/soilsystems5020026>

Academic Editor: Holger Heuer

Received: 3 March 2021

Accepted: 8 April 2021

Published: 10 April 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors.

Submitted for possible open access

publication under the terms and

conditions of the Creative Commons

Attribution (CC BY) license

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).




Figure S1. Comparison of shoot and root length obtained for the inoculated (D14-T45) and uninoculated plants (CNT) without salt stress induction (A—0 M NaCl L⁻¹) and under different salt stress concentrations (B—0.25 M NaCl L⁻¹; C—0.5 M NaCl L⁻¹; D—0.75 M NaCl L⁻¹; E—1 M NaCl L⁻¹) (n = 3).




Figure S2. Comparison of shoot and root ash-free dry weight (AFDW) obtained for the inoculated (D14-T45) and uninoculated plants (CNT) without salt stress induction (A—0 M NaCl L⁻¹) and under different salt stress concentrations (B—0.25 M NaCl L⁻¹; C—0.5 M NaCl L⁻¹; D—0.5 M NaCl L⁻¹; E—0.75 M NaCl L⁻¹; F—1 M NaCl L⁻¹) (n = 3).




Figure S3. Comparison of Chlorophylls a, b and total (Chl a, b and tot) obtained for the inoculated (D14-T45) and uninoculated plants (CNT) without salt stress induction (A–0 M NaCl L⁻¹) and under different salt stress concentrations (B–0.25 M NaCl L⁻¹; C–0.5 M NaCl L⁻¹; D–0.5 M NaCl L⁻¹; E–0.75 M NaCl L⁻¹; F–1 M NaCl L⁻¹) (n = 3).




Figure S4. Comparison of proline accumulation obtained for the inoculated (D14-T45) and uninoculated plants (CNT) without salt stress induction (A—0 M NaCl L⁻¹) and under different salt stress concentrations (B—0.25 M NaCl L⁻¹; C—0.5 M NaCl L⁻¹; D—0.5 M NaCl L⁻¹; E—0.75 M NaCl L⁻¹; F—1 M NaCl L⁻¹) (n = 3).