

Proceeding Paper

Development of an Enzyme-Coated Microcantilever-Based Biosensor for Specific Detection of Short-Chain Alcohols [†]

Alexandre Margarido ¹, Livia Regina Manzine ², Fernando M. Araujo-Moreira ³ , Renato Vitalino Gonçalves ⁴ and Paulo Sergio de Paula Herrmann ^{1,*}

¹ National Nanotechnology Laboratory for Agribusiness, Embrapa Instrumentation, São Carlos 13560-970, Brazil; alexandre_margarido@yahoo.com.br

² Molecular Biotechnology Group, São Carlos Physics Institute, University of São Paulo, São Carlos 13566-590, Brazil; liviamanzine@yahoo.com.br

³ Department of Physics, Federal University of São Carlos, São Carlos 13565-905, Brazil; faraujo@df.ufscar.br

⁴ Crystal Growth and Ceramics Group (IFSC-USP)/Center for Development of Ceramic Materials, São Carlos 13566-590, Brazil; rgoncalves@ifsc.usp.br

* Correspondence: paulo.herrmann@embrapa.br

[†] Presented at the 8th International Symposium on Sensor Science, 17–28 May 2021; Available online: <https://i3s2021dresden.sciforum.net/>.

Abstract: This paper describes the development of a biosensor designed for the enzymatic detection of short-chain alcohols. The biorecognition element, alcohol dehydrogenase, was immobilized on self-assembled monolayers deposited on top of silicon nitride microcantilevers. The self-assembly process was performed by surface activation using 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane, followed by glutaraldehyde and biomolecule binding. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and atomic force microscopy were used. The biosensor showed a lower response time and sensibility from 0.03 to 1.2 mL/L. Its selectivity was analyzed through exposure to pure and mixed volatile solvents. Sensor sensibility was higher in the presence of short-chain alcohols and practically null involving other polar or nonpolar solvents.

Keywords: biosensor; microcantilever; alcohol dehydrogenase



check for
updates

Citation: Margarido, A.; Manzine, L.R.; Araujo-Moreira, F.M.; Gonçalves, R.V.; Herrmann, P.S.d.P. Development of an Enzyme-Coated Microcantilever-Based Biosensor for Specific Detection of Short-Chain Alcohols. *Eng. Proc.* **2021**, *6*, 75. <https://doi.org/10.3390/I3S2021Dresden-10175>

Academic Editors: Gianauelio Cuniberti and Larysa Baraban

Published: 21 May 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Alcohols are important compounds in medicine, biotechnology and mainly in the food industry, in which some procedures may involve fermentation and distillation. However, in some cases, the volatilized concentration of alcohols can reach toxic levels, causing inflammation of the nasal and conjunctiva mucous membrane, skin irritation and poisoning, besides being highly flammable. Given these circumstances, monitoring the volatilized alcohol concentration in the air is important [1,2]. In nature, the detection of methanol can signal plant immunity, with potential application in plant phenotyping [3].

Detection of small quantities of VOC in a gaseous medium requires a sensitive sensor. In this context, the development of microcantilever (μC)-based biosensors has been an efficient solution [4,5]. Microcantilevers are mechanical probes with a special format used to measure small forces, and different probes are employed for investigations with atomic force microscopes (AFM) [6].

The initial application of a microcantilever as a sensor involved a mass-sensitive balance, which acted as a microresonator, reaching resolutions in the order of picograms and allowing the detection of individual virus particles [7]. Microcantilevers with a high Q factor—in the order of 10,000—and with high-frequency operation—around 1.5 MHz—allow a resolution of theoretical mass of around 20 ag/Hz [8]. Usually, sensors translate the change in a physical property into measurable electrical signals; however, in this study, the mechanical response of a μC was examined through AFM. The immobilization of biomolecules, such as enzymes, in a sensor promotes the affinity and high selectivity of catalytically active proteins for the

detection of a specific target, and studies applying alcohol dehydrogenase enzyme immobilization have been reported for the detection of alcohols using amperometric [1,9,10] and voltammetric sensors [11,12]. The enzymatic biosensors offer a combination of performance and analytical features not available in other bioanalytical systems [13]. Microcantilevers can be coated on both sides or just one side with a biosensitive layer in a process called functionalization, making it possible to detect the mass variation in the set by changes in the resonant frequency. This variation of the technique is commonly used in liquid media [14].

1.1. Microcantilever Surface Activation

The chemical modification or activation of microcantilever surfaces for the attachment of biomolecules is commonly performed using reagents such as 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES) and alkanethiols such as 11-amino-1-undecanethiol hydrochloride (THIOL) [15–17].

1.2. Immobilization of Biomolecules on Microcantilever Surfaces

Immobilization of biomolecules on microcantilever surfaces can be seen as closely related to the immobilization methods used to fabricate electrodes; these procedures are gathered under the generic term “chemically modified devices” [15].

In this paper, we present a specific enzymatic biosensor that uses a signal transduction based on mechanical displacement, which differs from commonly commercially available voltammetric and resistive sensors.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Reagents

All chemicals and buffer components were used as received. The solvent was provided by J.T. Baker and other products such as APTES, triethylamine and glutaraldehyde (GLD) were used as received from Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA.

2.2. Microcantilevers (μCs)

Silicon nitride microcantilevers used were HA_NC model (NT-MDT) with stems at both ends, with (A) being the shortest and (B) the longest, as Table 1 shows.

Table 1. Physical characteristics of the microcantilevers used in this study.

Characteristic	A	B	Typical Dispersion
Length, L (μm)	94	124	± 2
Width, W (μm)	34	34	± 3
Thickness, H (μm)	1.85	1.85	± 0.15
Force constant (N/m)	12	3.5	$\pm 20\%$
Resonant frequency (kHz)	235	140	$\pm 10\%$

2.2.1. Microcantilever Functionalization

Surface Activation

Microcantilevers were subjected to heat treatment at 500 °C for eight hours, subsequently washed with piranha solution and then extensively washed with milli-Q water to remove excess solution. After this process, the microcantilevers were subjected to an activation procedure through vaporization of 40 μL of APTES and 40 μL of triethylamine in a nitrogen atmosphere for one hour [16].

Biomolecule Binding

The functionalization through the formation of the self-assembled monolayer (SAM) activated with APTES [16,18,19] was performed with alcohol dehydrogenase enzyme stock solution (0.25 mg/mL) dissolved in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 8.6.

2.3. Instrumentation (Scanning Probe Microscopy (AFM))

The frequency response of the microcantilevers during excitation was measured using a Veeco Dimension V AFM.

2.4. X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)

The XPS spectra were acquired for identifying and quantifying all the chemical elements on the surface of the sample (μC), using a spectrometer from Scienta Omicron.

3. Results and Discussion

In Figure 1a, we present a comparison of the response of a bare (Control) and functionalized μC when exposed to vapor of 10 μL of ethanol; the figure shows the short response time of the biosensor of less than 1 s (A); in (B), it shows the influence of the surface tension and depicts the adsorption as well as the total recovery of the bioactive layer after 10 min (C). Figure 1c shows the sensibility ranging from 0.03 to 1.2 mL/L. To verify the reproducibility of the measurement (Figure 1b), it was carried out three times, using the same experimental conditions given in Figure 1a.




Figure 1. (a) Comparison of the response of a bare (control) (●) and a functionalized (biosensor) (■) μC exposed to 10 μL of ethanol vapor; (b) Three different biosensors with steam-powered APTES; (c) Resonant frequency variation as a function of ethanol concentration from 0 to 1.2 mL/L.

The selectivity was analyzed through exposure to pure and mixed volatile solvents (Figure 2). The sensor's sensibility was higher in the presence of short-chain alcohols (methanol, ethanol and propanol), ranging from 0.45 to 0.85 kHz, and practically null involving other polar or nonpolar solvents.




Figure 2. Selectivity test with different VOCs at concentration range from 0 to 0.3 mL/L (black bars) and 0.4 to 1.2 mL/L (gray bars).

4. Conclusions

The functionalization of microcantilevers with alcohol dehydrogenase enzyme immobilized in self-assembled monolayers allowed the construction of a biosensor for the selective detection of short-chain alcohols, at ambient conditions, even in the presence of a mixture of VOCs. The biosensor was evaluated using AFM, with dynamic mode and contact mode, to obtain 3D images of the surface, as well as XPS to identify and quantify all the chemical elements on the surface of the μC . The biosensor developed showed less susceptibility to humidity and temperature variations, presenting high quality, a faster response time, and high selectivity, sensitivity and durability.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.M. and P.S.d.P.H.; methodology, A.M., P.S.d.P.H., L.R.M., R.V.G. and F.M.A.-M.; software, A.M.; validation, A.M., L.R.M., R.V.G. and P.S.d.P.H.; formal analysis, A.M., R.V.G. and P.S.d.P.H.; investigation, P.S.d.P.H., L.R.M., R.V.G. and F.M.A.-M.; resources, P.S.d.P.H. and L.R.M.; writing—original draft preparation, A.M. and P.S.d.P.H.; writing—review and editing, A.M. and P.S.d.P.H.; project administration, P.S.d.P.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was financially supported by Embrapa Instrumentation, National Laboratory of Nanotechnology for Agribusiness (NLNanoAgr), project grant number 01.14.03.001.03.00, and the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) (141267/2013-5) for the scholarship.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) (141267/2013-5) for its financial support, Embrapa Instrumentation for the infrastructure used to run the experiments, Professor Renato Vitalino Gonsalves of the Crystal Growth and Ceramics Group (IFSC-USP)/Center for Development of Ceramic Materials (CDFM—FAPESP process 2013/07296-2) for XPS measurements and the Graduate Program in Biotechnology (PPGBiotec) at the Federal University of São Carlos.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

1. Cai, C.X.; Xue, K.H.; Zhou, Y.M.; Yang, H. Amperometric biosensor for ethanol based on immobilization of alcohol dehydrogenase on a nickel hexacyanoferrate modified microband gold electrode. *Talanta* **1997**, *44*, 339–347. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Ponce, G.; Miranda, J.C.C.; Maciel, R.; de Andrade, R.R.; Wolf, M.R.M. Energy and Yield Evaluation of an Alcohols and Hydrocarbons Production Plant using Rh-based Catalysts with Different Promoters. In Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on Process Systems Engineering (PSE)/25th European Symposium on Computer Aided Process Engineering (ESCAPE), Copenhagen, Denmark, 31 May–4 June 2015.
3. Komarova, T.V.; Sheshukova, E.V.; Dorokhov, Y.L. Cell wall methanol as a signal in plant immunity. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 101. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Steffens, C.; Leite, F.; Bueno, C.; Manzoli, A.; Herrmann, P. Atomic Force Microscopy as a Tool Applied to Nano/Biosensors. *Sensors* **2012**, *12*, 8278–8300. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Manai, R.; Scorsone, E.; Rousseau, L.; Ghassemi, F.; Abreu, M.; Lissorgues, G.; Tremillon, N.; Ginisty, H.; Arnault, J.; Tuccori, E.; et al. Grafting odorant binding proteins on diamond bio-MEMS. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2014**, *60*, 311. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Albrecht, T.R.; Akamine, S.; Carver, T.E.; Quate, C.F. Microfabrication of cantilever styli for the atomic force microscope. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A* **1990**, *8*, 3386. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Gupta, A.; Akin, D.; Bashir, R. Single virus particle mass detection using microresonators with nanoscale thickness. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2004**, *84*, 1976. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Finot, E.; Passian, A.; Thundat, T. Measurement of Mechanical Properties of Cantilever Shaped Materials. *Sensors* **2008**, *8*, 3497–3541. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Miyamoto, S.; Murakami, T.; Saito, A.; Kimura, J. Development of an amperometric alcohol sensor based on immobilized alcohol dehydrogenase and entrapped NAD⁺. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **1991**, *6*, 563. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Çolak, Ö.; Arslan, F. Amperometric biosensing of ethanol based on integration of alcohol dehydrogenase with a Pt/PPy-PVS/MB electrode. *Turk. J. Chem.* **2015**, *39*, 84. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Alpat, S.; Telefoncu, A. Development of an Alcohol Dehydrogenase Biosensor for Ethanol Determination with Toluidine Blue O Covalently Attached to a Cellulose Acetate Modified Electrode. *Sensors* **2010**, *10*, 748–764. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Liu, Y.; Yin, F.; Long, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Yao, S. Study of the immobilization of alcohol dehydrogenase on Au-colloid modified gold electrode by piezoelectric quartz crystal sensor, cyclic voltammetry, and electrochemical impedance techniques. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2003**, *258*, 75–81. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Halánek, J. Special Issue “Enzymatic Biosensors”. Available online: https://www.mdpi.com/journal/sensors/special_issues/enzymatic-biosensors (accessed on 15 May 2021).
14. Berger, R.; Delamarche, E.; Lang, H.P.; Gerber, C.; Gimzewski, J.K.; Meyer, E.; Guntherodt, H.J. Surface stress in the self-assembly of alkanethiols on gold probed by a force microscopy technique. *Appl. Phys. A Mater.* **1998**, *66*, S55–S59. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Lojou, E.; Bianco, P. Application of the electrochemical concepts and techniques to amperometric biosensor devices. *J. Electroceramics* **2006**, *16*, 79–91. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Margarido, A. Desenvolvimento de biossensor baseado em microcantilever funcionalizado com biomoléculas para a detecção de alcoóis de cadeia curta. In *Programa de Pós Graduação em Biotecnologia*; Universidade Federal de São Carlos: São Carlos, Brazil, 2016; p. 141.
17. Rastegar, A.J.; Vosgueritchian, M.; Doll, J.; Mallon, J.; Pruitt, B.L. Nanomechanical Actuation of a Silicon Cantilever Using an Azo Dye, Self-Assembled Monolayer. *Langmuir* **2013**, *29*, 7118–7124. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
18. Vashist, S.K.; Lam, E.; Hrapovic, S.; Male, K.B.; Luong, J.H. Immobilization of Antibodies and Enzymes on 3-Aminopropyltriethoxysilane-Functionalized Bioanalytical Platforms for Biosensors and Diagnostics. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 11083–11130. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
19. Oliveira, G.S.; Leite, F.L.; Amarante, A.M.; Franca, E.F.; Cunha, R.A.; Briggs, J.M.; Freitas, L.C. Molecular modeling of enzyme attachment on AFM probes. *J. Mol. Graph. Model.* **2013**, *45*, 128. [[CrossRef](#)]