

Editorial

Synthetic Inhibitors of CDK4/6 Activities and Tumor Suppression: A Preface to the Special Issue

Chiaki Takahashi ^{1,*}  and Jun-ya Kato ^{2,*} 

¹ Division of Oncology and Molecular Biology, Cancer Research Institute, Kanazawa University, Ishikawa 920-1192, Japan

² Division of Biological Science, Graduate School of Science and Technology, Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Nara 630-0101, Japan

* Correspondence: chtakaha@staff.kanazawa-u.ac.jp (C.T.); jkato@bs.naist.jp (J.-y.K.)

The status of RB1 in cancer may help us determine the optimal therapeutic approach to patients. In cancers harboring deletions or mutations in the RB1 gene allele (RB1-negative cancers), many molecules, including CHK1, PLK, Aurora-A and B, SKP2, Ras and IL6, CCL2-CCR2 axis and associating genetic deletion of *SUCLA2* gene, have been proposed to be the therapeutic targets [1–4], whereas, for cancers retaining the intact *RB1* gene (RB1-positive cancers), synthetic inhibitors of CDK4/6 are theoretically applicable. Synthetic CDK4/6 inhibitors exert their anti-tumor effects by keeping RB1 in an unphosphorylated state for an extended time, causing not only cell cycle arrest in the G1 phase but also cellular senescence, apoptosis and enhanced immunogenicity [5]. Currently, palbociclib, abemaciclib and ribociclib have an indication in advanced breast cancers in combination with endocrine therapy, which was shown to double the disease-free survival of patients [6]. In addition to breast cancer, synthetic CDK4/6 inhibitors are in clinical trials for many other solid tumors. However, single administration of a synthetic CDK4/6 inhibitor often ended in sub-optimal results [7]. As in the case of breast cancer therapy, we are now in need of developing combination therapy using a CDK4/6 inhibitor together with a compound that would enhance the efficacy of this agent. To this aim, we further need to investigate the intrinsic or acquired resistant mechanisms to CDK4/6 inhibitors in various RB1-positive cancers, as has been investigated in breast cancers. The mechanism whereby breast cancer cells resist CDK4/6 inhibitors to date has been proposed to include loss of RB1, estrogen receptor (ER) or progression receptor (PR); overexpression of p16^{INK4A}, CDKs, cyclin E, E2Fs, WEE1 or MDM2; activation of FGFR, PI3K/AKT/mTOR, interferon (IFN) or IL6-STAT3 pathway; activating mutation in PI3KCA or loss-of-function mutation in FAT1; and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) [8]. More information from basic, translational and clinical studies may promote trials of CDK4/6 inhibitors in a wider variety of cancers.

This Special Issue will provide readers with new insights on possible intrinsic and acquired mechanisms whereby cancer cells become resistant to synthetic CDK4/6 inhibitors, and also valuable information on novel mechanisms whereby synthetic CDK4/6 inhibitors may exhibit their efficacy. We welcome the submission of original research papers or review articles on various aspects of synthetic CDK4/6 inhibitors and their roles in tumor suppression.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.



Citation: Takahashi, C.; Kato, J.-y. Synthetic Inhibitors of CDK4/6 Activities and Tumor Suppression: A Preface to the Special Issue. *Onco* **2021**, *1*, 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.3390/onco1010001>

Received: 17 December 2020

Accepted: 29 December 2020

Published: 8 January 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

References

1. Kohno, S.; Linn, P.; Nagatani, N.; Watanabe, Y.; Kumar, S.; Soga, T.; Takahashi, C. Pharmacologically targetable vulnerability in prostate cancer carrying *RB1-SUCLA2* deletion. *Oncogene* **2020**, *39*, 5690–5707. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Shamma, A.; Takegami, Y.; Miki, T.; Kitajima, S.; Noda, M.; Obara, T.; Okamoto, T.; Takahashi, C. Rb Regulates DNA damage response and cellular senescence through E2F-dependent suppression of N-ras isoprenylation. *Cancer Cell* **2009**, *15*, 255–269. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Kitajima, S.; Yoshida, A.; Kohno, S.; Li, F.; Suzuki, S.; Nagatani, N.; Nishimoto, Y.; Sasaki, N.; Muranaka, H.; Wan, Y.; et al. The RB-IL-6 axis controls self-renewal and endocrine therapy resistance by fine-tuning mitochondrial activity. *Oncogene* **2017**, *36*, 5145–5157. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Li, F.; Kitajima, S.; Kohno, S.; Yoshida, A.; Tange, S.; Sasaki, S.; Okada, N.; Nishimoto, Y.; Muranaka, H.; Nagatani, N.; et al. RB inactivation induces a protumoral microenvironment via enhanced CCL2 secretion. *Cancer Res.* **2019**, *79*, 3903–3915. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Goel, S.; DeCristo, M.J.; Watt, A.C.; BrinJones, H.; Sceneay, J.; Li, B.B.; Khan, N.; Ubellacker, J.M.; Xie, S.; Hoog, J.; et al. CDK4/6 inhibition triggers anti-tumour immunity. *Nature* **2017**, *548*, 471–475. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Iorfida, M.; Mazza, M.; Munzone, E. Fulvestrant in Combination with CDK4/6 Inhibitors for HER2- Metastatic Breast Cancers: Current Perspectives. *Breast Cancer Targets Ther.* **2020**, *12*, 45–56. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Schettini, F.; De Santo, I.; Rea, C.G.; De Placido, P.; Formisano, L.; Giuliano, M.; Arpino, G.; De Laurentiis, M.; Puglisi, F.; Del Mastro, L.; et al. CDK 4/6 Inhibitors as Single Agent in Advanced Solid Tumors. *Front. Oncol.* **2018**, *8*, 608. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. McCartney, A.; Migliaccio, I.; Bonechi, M.; Biagioni, C.; Romagnoli, D.; De Luca, F.; Galardi, F.; Risi, E.; De Santo, I.; Malorni, L.; et al. Mechanisms of Resistance to CDK4/6 Inhibitors: Potential Implications and Biomarkers for Clinical Practice. *Front. Oncol.* **2019**, *9*, 666. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]