

Table S1. Nature and parameters of DOM from various sources.

DOM Source	Sample type	DOC content	EEM-PARAFAC			Surrogate parameters of DOM/Fluorescence indices					Reference	
			Ex/Em (nm)	Components	Proportion/order	SUVA ₂₅₄	Slope R	FI	HIX	BIX		
Grassland amended with compost produced from urban green-waste	soil	Soil solution	13.58–83.34 mg L ⁻¹	330–350/420–440 240–260/ 420–480 270–280/ 300–340	C1 - humic-like substances C2 - fulvic acid-like C3 - protein like	C1 > C2 > C3	N/A	N/A	1.3	17.0–15.4	0.7	[1]
Agricultural soil and gravel aquifer systems	Extract with 2M KCl	6.90–14.2 mg L ⁻¹	330–340 /410–460 270–290/ 320–360 270–280/ 294–302	C1- fulvic-like C2 - tryptophan like C3 - tyrosine-like	C1 > C2 > C3	NA	0.46–0.61	1.42	9.06	0.54	[2]	
Crop straws	Extract with water	779–857 mg L ⁻¹	NA	NA	NA	0.728	N/A	1.5–1.7	0.23–0.27		[3]	
Agricultural watersheds	Runoff	2.50–9.8 mg L ⁻¹		C1 - humic-like C2 - protein-like	C1 > C2	N/A	0.01–0.02	N/A	N/A		[4]	
Paddy soils amended with biochar produced from wheat straw	Extract with water	0.06–1.45 g kg ⁻¹	245 (265)/38 0 nm 220/410(420) 260(280)/440(480)	C1 - tryptophan-like C2 - UVA humic acid-like C3 - UVC humic acid-like	11–38% 42–48% 19–48%	0.11–4.58	3.69–5.95	1.82–2.46	0.82–2.46	0.29–0.84	[5]	
Various cropped and natural Chinese soils	Extract with water	0–0.36 mg g ⁻¹	265/460 230/400 320(250)/400 230(275)/330 220/330	C1 - fulvic-like C2 - fulvic-like C3 - humic-like C4 - tryptophan-like C5 - peptide-like	16–48% 15–41% 9–24% 7–24% 0–25%	1.10–2.05	N/A	1.57–1.91	0.70–0.92	0.61–0.88	[6]	
Farmland amended soils with water	Extract with water	83.99–144.2	425/522	C1 - fulvic acid-like C2 - sub-	C2 > C1 >C3	N/A	N/A	0.84–0.96	0.99–1.03	5.11–6.10	[7]	

wheat straw biochar		7 mg kg ⁻¹		stances							
			350/426	C2 - humic acid-like substances							
			205/324	C3 - tryptophan-like substances							
Loamy cropland soil	Runoff and fracture flow	0.40–84 mg L ⁻¹	270(380)/480	C1 - terrestrial/ autochthonous UVA humic-like	N/A	2.9–10	N/A	1.52–1.61	0.87–0.91	N/A	[8]
			250(320)/410	C2 - anthropogenic UVA humic-like							
Semiarid agricultural soil	Extract with Water	12.85–27.90 mg L ⁻¹	<240–250/390–440	C1 - terrestrial humic-like	C4 > C2 > C3 > C1	0.76–1.96	N/A	N/A	2.22–2.98	N/A	[9]
			<240–275/455–540	C2 - terrestrial humic-like							
			<240/303–312	C3 - monolignol-like							
			<240/303–312	C4 - protein-/Tannin-like							
Agricultural soils amended with wheat straw	Extract with water	0.13–0.34 g kg ⁻¹	340/435	C1 - UVA/UVC humic-like	42–67%	1.04–7.30	1.0–3.30	1.12–1.3	1.04–1.14	N/A	[10]
			280(420)/520	C2 - fulvic acid-like	26–32%						
			215(270)/340	C3 - tryptophan-like	6–27%						
Wheat straw-derived biochar	Extract with water, alkaline and acid	435–5000 mg kg ⁻¹	340/426	C1 - terrestrial humic-like	10–61%	0.2–78.9	1.8–4.4	N/A	0.99–1.92	N/A	[11]
			395/474	C2 - high molecular weight humic-like	20–66.4%						
			465/518	C3 - fulvic acid-like	9–37.1%						
			380(518)/590	C4 - humic-like	7–17.8%						
			300(375)/490	C5 - UVA humic acid-like	~10.80%						

			200/294	C6 - trypto-phan-like	5–12.5%							
Soil and sediments of agricultural land	Extract with water	6.90–30.23 mg L ⁻¹	270/460	C1 - traditional humic-like	N/A	3.07–4.70	N/A	1.50–1.70	7.00–11.10	0.50–0.65	[12]	
			250/400	C2 - traditional humic-like	N/A							
			220/426	C3 - hu-mic-like	N/A							
Soils of riparian buffer wetland	Extract with water	0.07–0.27 g kg ⁻¹	250–260/420–460	Peak -humic-like	A	N/A	N/A	1.79–2.54	>4	0.60–0.70	[13]	
			270–290/320–350	Peak -Protein-like	B							
			320–360/400–450	Peak C								
			225–230/320–350	Peak -Humic-like	T							
			250(300)/400–450	C1 - hu-mic-like	38–53%	0.5–2.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	[14]	
			250(400)/450–500	C2 - hu-mic-like	14–20 %							
			300–350/350–400	C3 - microbial humic-like	6–13%							
Paddy field soils under long-term chemical fertiliser, crop straw, manure, and manure compost amendments	Extract with water	330–760 mg kg ⁻¹	250–300/300–350	C4 - tyro-sine-like	14–29%							
			300–350/350–400	C5 - trypto-phan-like	4–8%							
			250–300/350									
			215–225(275–280)/330(330)	C1 - trypto-phan-like	N/A	0.97–3.13	N/A	1.5–1.8	0.80–1.20	[15]		
			225(280)/340	C2 - hu-mic-like		0.15–0.55	0.20–0.37	N/A	N/A	N/A	[16]	
			240(320)/420	C3 - terrestrial humic-like								
Frequently submerged agricultural soils	Extract with water	7.80–16%	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.07–1.50	N/A	1.54–1.72	3.66–7.91	0.62–0.92	[17]	
Fresh biochar and long-term biochar amended agricultural	Extract with water	14.14–84.18 mg L ⁻¹	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.23–4.68	1.28–5.70	1.13–1.72	5.33–14.65	N/A	[18]	

soils

Biochar derived from chicken, pig, cow, and sheep manure	Extract with water	4.57–18.70 g kg ⁻¹	310/404 350/436 340/383 260 (400)/490	C1 - marine humic-like C2 - UVA humic-like C3 - microbial by-product C4 - UVC + UVA hu-mic-like	8–41% 8–33% 10–43% 7–15%	4.75–7.18	N/A	N/A	7.0–25.87	N/A	[19]
Composted pig and cattle manure with sawdust and corn stalks	Extract with water	20–85 kg ⁻¹	g 230(275) /330 220/330 245/399n m 245/400n m 305/412 nm	C4 - trypto-phan-like C5 - peptide like C3 - soluble microbial by-product-like C4 - fulvic-like C5 - hu-mic-like mate-rials	7–24 0–25% 26.30–40% 10.9–18.10% 12.5–15.30%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	[20]
Biochars produced from soybean, stover, garlic stem, rice husk, tea waste, perilla, wood pine chip, and oak wood	Extract with water	0.40–659 mg L ⁻¹	260(340) /430 220(280) /370 260/440 270(350) 490	C1 - hu-mic-like C2 - pro-tein-/tannin-like C3 - Fulvic acid-like C4 - terrestrial humic-like	6–37% 5–100% 17–67% 9–37%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	[21]
Biochar produced from sawmill waste feedstocks	Extract with water	14.99–37.20 mg L ⁻¹	<250 (280)/390 250(320) /435 260/315 290 (350)/525	C1 - microbial humic-like C2 - ful-vic/humic-like C3 - pro-tein-like (tyro-sine-like) C4 - soil hu-mic-like	27–38% 22–31% 25–45% 4.5–7.0%	0.70–6.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	[22]

Biochars produced from sewage, soybean, rice, and peanut	Extract with water	17.60–53.8 mg L ⁻¹	230–250/390–425	Peak A - humic acid/marine humic substances	N/A	0.1~1.25	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	[23]
Biochars produced from almond shell, broiler litter, lignin, cotton-seed hull, and pecan shell	Extract with water	0–15692 mg L ⁻¹	240(300)/420 250 (300)/43 0 250 (350)/47 0 340/380 220(280)/340	C1 - fulvic-like C2 - UVC humic-/ marine humic-like C3 - UVC and UVA hu- mic-like C4 - microbial decomposition products C5 - protein-like moieties	8–83% 3–35% 4–43%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	[24]
Agricultural soils amended with biochar	Extract with CaCl ₂ , Na ₄ P ₂ O ₇ , and toluene/methanol	156–201 mg L ⁻¹	240(320) –340)/40 0–420 260–280 (340)/46 0 220(280) /340 200/294	C1 - protein-like C2 - hu- mic-like C1 - protein-like C6- trypto- phan-like	15–53% 18–27% 17–63% 5–12.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	[25]
Leaf litters from <i>Populus simonii</i> , <i>Artemisia desertorum</i> , and <i>Salix cheilophila</i>	Extract with water	1588–2544 mg L ⁻¹	210– 245/390– 425 295–315 /415–435 275–280 (220– 225)/300 –320 (295–310 nm) 275 (225)/34 5 (345)	C1 - fulvic acid-like C2 - humic acid-like C3 - protein-like C4 - protein-like	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	[26]

BIX: Biological index is an indicator of the relative contribution of the recently microbially produced DOM, i.e., the ratio of albuminoid and biological components; FI: Fluorescence index is used as an indicator of DOM source to infer the relative microbial (> 1.9) or terrestrial plant (< 1.4) contribution to DOM; HIX: Humification index reflects the degree of humification, vital complexity and condensation (H/C ratios) of terrigenous contribution (> 10); Slope R: slope ratio is the ratio in the shorter UV wavelength region (275–295 nm) relative to that in the longer UV wavelength region (350–400 nm), reflecting molecular weight and source of DOM; SUVA₂₅₄: specific UV-visible absorbance indicates the aromaticity of DOM (SUVA₂₅₄ < 3 indicates the hydrophilic fractions, whereas SUVA₂₅₄ > 4 indicates the aromatic and hydrophobic fractions).

References

1. Musadji, N.Y.; Lemée, L.; Caner, L.; Porel, G.; Poinot, P.; Geffroy-Rodier, C. Spectral characteristics of soil dissolved organic matter: Long-term effects of exogenous organic matter on soil organic matter and spatial-temporal changes. *Chemosphere* **2020**, *240*, 124808.
2. Tye, A.M.; Lapworth, D.J. Characterising changes in fluorescence properties of dissolved organic matter and links to N cycling in agricultural floodplains. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* **2016**, *221*, 245-257.
3. Jia, W.; Zhao, X.-H.; Zhao, Y.-Y.; Xu, J.-Y.; Ming, J.-J.; Cai, M.-M.; Hu, C.-X. Variation in spectral characteristics of dissolved organic matter derived from rape straw of plants grown in Se-amended soil. *J. Integr. Agr.* **2020**, *19*, 1876-1884.
4. Eckard, R.S.; Pellerin, B.A.; Bergamaschi, B.A.; Bachand, P.A.M.; Bachand, S.M.; Spencer, R.G.M.; Hernes, P.J. Dissolved Organic Matter Compositional Change and Biolability During Two Storm Runoff Events in a Small Agricultural Watershed. *J. Geophys. Res-Biogeo.* **2017**, *122*, 2634-2650.
5. Gao, J.; Shi, Z.; Wu, H.; Lv, J. Fluorescent characteristics of dissolved organic matter released from biochar and paddy soil incorporated with biochar. *RSC Adv.* **2020**, *10*, 5785-5793.
6. Li, S.; Li, M.; Wang, G.; Sun, X.; Xi, B.; Hu, Z. Compositional and chemical characteristics of dissolved organic matter in various types of cropped and natural Chinese soils. *Chem. Biol. Technol. Ag.* **2019**, *6*, 20.
7. Zhang, A.; Zhou, X.; Li, M.; Wu, H. Impacts of biochar addition on soil dissolved organic matter characteristics in a wheat-maize rotation system in Loess Plateau of China. *Chemosphere* **2017**, *186*, 986-993.
8. Xian, Q.; Li, P.; Liu, C.; Cui, J.; Guan, Z.; Tang, X. Concentration and spectroscopic characteristics of DOM in surface runoff and fracture flow in a cropland plot of a loamy soil. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2018**, *622-623*, 385-393.
9. Romero, C.M.; Engel, R.E.; D'Andrilli, J.; Chen, C.; Zabinski, C.; Miller, P.R.; Wallander, R. Bulk optical characterization of dissolved organic matter from semiarid wheat-based cropping systems. *Geoderma* **2017**, *306*, 40-49.
10. Gao, J.; Lv, J.; Wu, H.; Dai, Y.; Nasir, M. Impacts of wheat straw addition on dissolved organic matter characteristics in cadmium-contaminated soils: Insights from fluorescence spectroscopy and environmental implications. *Chemosphere* **2018**, *193*, 1027-1035.
11. Li, M.; Zhang, A.; Wu, H.; Liu, H.; Lv, J. Predicting potential release of dissolved organic matter from biochars derived from agricultural residues using fluorescence and ultraviolet absorbance. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2017**, *334*, 86-92.
12. Liu, C.; Li, Z.; Berhe, A.A.; Xiao, H.; Liu, L.; Wang, D.; Peng, H.; Zeng, G. Characterizing dissolved organic matter in eroded sediments from a loess hilly catchment using fluorescence EEM-PARAFAC and UV-Visible absorption: Insights from source identification and carbon cycling. *Geoderma* **2019**, *334*, 37-48.
13. Wang, Y.-L.; Yang, C.-M.; Zou, L.-M.; Cui, H.-Z. Spatial distribution and fluorescence properties of soil dissolved organic carbon across a riparian buffer wetland in Chongming Island, China. *Pedosphere* **2015**, *25*, 220-229.
14. Wu, H.; Kida, M.; Domoto, A.; Hara, M.; Ashida, H.; Suzuki, T.; Fujitake, N. The effects of fertilization treatments and cropping systems on long-term dynamics and spectroscopic characteristics of dissolved organic matter in paddy soil. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* **2019**, *65*, 557-565.
15. Wang, B.; Li, M.; Zhang, H.; Zhu, J.; Chen, S.; Ren, D. Effect of straw-derived dissolved organic matter on the adsorption of sulfamethoxazole to purple paddy soils. *Ecotox. Environ. Saf.* **2020**, *203*, 110990.
16. Chen, Y.; Jiang, Z.; Zhang, X.; Cao, B.; Yang, F.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, Y. Variation in the Humification Degree of Dissolved Organic Matter from Cattle Manure during Composting as Analyzed by Ultraviolet-Visible and Fluorescence Spectroscopy. *J. Environ. Qual.* **2017**, *46*, 1489-1499.

17. Jiang, T.; Kaal, J.; Liang, J.; Zhang, Y.; Wei, S.; Wang, D.; Green, N.W. Composition of dissolved organic matter (DOM) from periodically submerged soils in the Three Gorges Reservoir area as determined by elemental and optical analysis, infrared spectroscopy, pyrolysis-GC-MS and thermally assisted hydrolysis and methylation. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2017**, *603-604*, 461-471.
18. Cai, W.; Du, Z.-L.; Zhang, A.-P.; He, C.; Shi, Q.; Tian, L.-Q.; Zhang, P.; Li, L.-P.; Wang, J.-J. Long-term biochar addition alters the characteristics but not the chlorine reactivity of soil-derived dissolved organic matter. *Water Res.* **2020**, *185*, 116260.
19. Peng, N.; Wang, K.; Tu, N.; Liu, Y.; Li, Z. Fluorescence regional integration combined with parallel factor analysis to quantify fluorescent spectra for dissolved organic matter released from manure biochars. *RSC Adv.* **2020**, *10*, 31502-31510.
20. Zhang, F.; Li, Y.; Xiong, X.; Yang, M.; Li, W. Effect of Composting on Dissolved Organic Matter in Animal Manure and Its Binding with Cu. *Sci. World J.* **2012**, *2012*, 289896.
21. Rajapaksha, A.U.; Ok, Y.S.; El-Naggar, A.; Kim, H.; Song, F.; Kang, S.; Tsang, Y.F. Dissolved organic matter characterization of biochars produced from different feedstock materials. *J. Environ. Manage.* **2019**, *233*, 393-399.
22. Jamieson, T.; Sager, E.; Guéguen, C. Characterization of biochar-derived dissolved organic matter using UV-visible absorption and excitation-emission fluorescence spectroscopies. *Chemosphere* **2014**, *103*, 197-204.
23. Tang, J.; Li, X.; Luo, Y.; Li, G.; Khan, S. Spectroscopic characterization of dissolved organic matter derived from different biochars and their polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) binding affinity. *Chemosphere* **2016**, *152*, 399-406.
24. Uchimiya, M.; Ohno, T.; He, Z. Pyrolysis temperature-dependent release of dissolved organic carbon from plant, manure, and biorefinery wastes. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrol.* **2013**, *104*, 84-94.
25. Uchimiya, M.; Liu, Z.; Sistani K. Field-scale fluorescence fingerprinting of biochar-borne dissolved organic carbon. *J. Environ. Manage.* **2016**, *169*, 184-190.
26. Zhao, L.; Du, C.; Zhang, Q.; Sun, C.; Wang, S.; Luo, S. The ultraviolet-visible absorbance and fluorescence characterization of dissolved organic matter derived from the leaf litter of *Populus simonii*, *Artemisia desertorum*, *Salix cheilophila*, and *Populus tomentosa*. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2020**, *27*, 36439-36449.